Oxidation of Xylitol, Gulitol and Tallitol in aqueous alkaline medium by Hexacyanoferrate(III) ion: A kinetic and mechanistic study.

Dr. S. N. Yadav¹, Dr. Bimal Kanth², Dr. S. A. Afsah³

¹Department of physics R. K. College Madhubani ²Department of chemistry, M.M.A.M. Campus, Biratnagar, Nepal ³Department of Chemistry, R. K. College, Madhubani

Abstract: The rate of oxidation of xylitol, gullitol and tallitol were studied. It appears that the rates were found to be directly proportional to the substrate and hydroxide ion concentrations. The dependance of the rate of reaction on oxidant concentration were nearly seems to be first order of lower concentration and tends towards zero order at higher concentration. The results corroborates the previous observation. A probable mechanism has been suggested for the overall reaction.

A very little study has been made on the mechanism of oxidation of polyhydroxy alcohols in alkaline medium. A limited work or alkaline permanganate¹⁻³ is available. The kinetic data suggests that the oxidation involves the formation of a complex between the amount of substrate and $[KFe(CN)_6]^{2-}$. The complex gets disproportionate into the free radical and $[KFe(CN)_6]^{3-}$ ion²⁻⁴. Extending the information concerning the oxidation kinetics of polyhydroxyalcohol in aqueous alkaline medium. It is here by reported the kinetics of oxidation of xyllitol, gullitol and tallitol with hexacyanoferrate(III)ion in aqueous alkaline medium.

Date of Submission: 18-12-2019Date of Acceptance: 01-01-2020

I. Results and Discussion:

Oxidation of xylitol, gullitol and tallitol were carried out in aqueous alkaline medium of constant ionic strength of the medium & temperature.

The reaction follows nearly first order kinetics at lower concentration of hexacyanoferrate(III) ion approaching towards zero order at its higher concentration(Fig.1). The concentration of hexacyanoferrate ion variations for xylitol, gullitol & tallitol was 2.0×10^{-3} to 10.0×10^{-3} M, 1.0×10^{-3} to 8.0×10^{-3} M and 1.0×10^{-3} to 10.0×10^{-3} M.



Fig. I Effect of $K_3 [Fe(CN)_6]$ on the reacton rate (A) xylitol 8.0×10^{-2} M [NaOH]=0.6M, μ =0.8M at 30^{0} C (B) [gullitol] = $8.\times 10^{-2}$ M, [NaOH] = 0.5 M, μ =0.8 M at 30^{0} C and (C) [tallitol] = 5.0×10^{-2} N, [NaOH] 0.3 M, μ =1.0M.

The graphical reprentation in Fig,2 and 3 confirms the Ist order kinetics with respect to substrate as well as hydroxide ion concentration respectively the substrate concentration was 0.4×10^{-2} to 8.5×10^{-2} M for xylitol, Similarly 1.5×10^{-2} to 18×10^{-2} M for zullitol and 1.5×10^{-2} to 16×10^{-2} M for tallitol. The

hydroxide ion concentration was varied from 0.5×10^{-1} to 7.5×10^{-1} M for xyllitol, 1×10^{-1} to 8.5×10^{-1} M for gullitol and 0.8×10^{-1} to 10.5×10^{-1} M for tallitol.



Fig. II Effect of substract concentration on reaction rate; (xyllitol) $K_3 [Fe(CN)_6] = 2.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ M}$ $[NaOH] = 0.5M, \mu = 0.915M \text{ at } 30^{\circ}C$ (B) [gullitol] $K_3 [Fe(CN)_6] = 3x10^{-3} \text{ M}, [NaOH] = 0.5 \text{ M}, \mu = 0.8 \text{ M} \text{ at}$ 30° C and (C) [tallitol] K_3 [Fe(CN)₆] = 3.0×10^{-3} M, [NaOH] = 0.5 M, $\mu = 1.0$ M at 25° C



Fig. III Effect of OH⁻ concentration on reaction rate; A (xyllitol) = $1.0x10^{-3}$ M K_3 [$Fe(CN)_6$] = $5.0x10^{-3}$ M, μ =1.05M at 30^oC (B) [gullitol] $K_3 \left[Fe(CN)_6 \right] = 3.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ M}, \ \mu$ =1.02 M at 30^oC and (C) [*tallitol*] K_3 [*Fe*(*CN*)₆] = 3.0x10⁻² M, μ =1.0M at 25^oC

II. Mechanism:

Keeping in view all the observation at low of reactants, the following rate expression may hold good. $-\frac{d[HCF(III)]}{dt} = k[Fe(CN)_6^{3-}][OH][S] ------(1)$ Where k is the rate constant. The k value as observed were found to be 1.2×10^{-2} , 2.05×10^{-2} and 1.95×10^{-2} 10-2 mol-2dm-6s-1 for xyllitol, D-zullitol and D-tallitol respectively.

 $Fe(CN)_6^{3-}$ ion is used as an oxidant at aqueous alkaline medium at presence of KCl. The equilibrium set up is

$$K + Fe(CN)_6 \longrightarrow KFe(CN)_6$$

The equilibrium lies towards right as reported³ Therefore considering $Fe(CN)_6^{3-}$ and $Fe(CN)_6^{2-}$ ion as oxidants, the following mechanism may holds good.

$$\dot{S} + KFe(CN)_{6}^{3-}$$
 fast Brochuct + Fe(CN)_{6}^{3-} (VIII)

Scheme-I

In this scheme complex (C₁) and (C₂) stands for labile complex between substract anion and $KFe(CN)_6^{2-}$ ion as well as substrate anion and $Fe(CN)_6^{3-}$ ion respectively. The oxidation rate were found to be dependent upon the concentration of the species hexacyanoferrare(III)ion. From equation 3 and 4 the rate of disappearance of hexacyanoferrate(III)ion given by following equation.

$$-\frac{d[HCF]}{dt} = K_2[\tilde{S}][KFe(CN)_6] + K_2[\tilde{S}][Fe(CN)_6]$$
(2)

By assuming total hexacyanoferrate(III)ion concentration as-

$$[Fe(CN)_{6}]_{T} = [Fe(CN)_{6}^{3}] + [KFe(CN)_{6}^{2}]$$
(3)

Considering the steady state condition in equation (3) the finel rate law becomes as in equation (4)

$$-\frac{d[HcF]}{dt} = \frac{2\kappa_1[s][oH][HcF]_{T}\{\kappa_3 + \kappa_2(\kappa_1)\}}{\kappa_1[1 + (\kappa_1^{-1})] + [HcF]_{T}\{\kappa_3 + \kappa_2(\kappa_1^{-1})\}}$$
(4)

The equation (4) so derived were found to be almost consistent with the observed kinetics results. At higher concentration of hexacyanoferrate(III), the value of

$$\frac{1}{R} = \frac{K_{-1}[1+(K,\bar{K})]}{2\kappa_{1}[s][o\bar{H}][HCF]_{T}\{k_{3}+\kappa_{2}(K,\bar{K})\}} + \frac{1}{2\kappa_{1}[s][o\bar{H}]} (5)$$
Where $R = -\frac{d[HCF]}{dt}$

 $[HCF]_T K_3 + K_2(KK^+)$ becomes larger than $K_1(1+KK^+)$, therefore the equation becomes

The linear plot of 1/R Vs $1/[HCF]_T$ conforms the validity of the rate law equation (5) and it also substanciate the proposed reaction mechanism. The value of K₁ calculated from the intercept of the plot R 5x10⁻⁵ for xyllitol, $1.5x10^{-3}$ for D-Gullitol and $2.5x10^{-3}$ min⁻¹ for D-Tallitol. The finel oxidation product were there corresponding acids.

SCHEME-I

$$\frac{d[\text{HCF}]}{dt} = K_2 \left[\tilde{S} \right] \left[K Fe(CN)_6^2 \right] + K_2 \left[\tilde{S} \right] \left[Fe(CN)_6^3 \right]$$
(2)

$$[Fe(CN)_{6}^{3-}]_{T} = [Fe(CN)_{6}^{3-}] + [KFe(CN)_{6}^{2-}]$$
(3)

$$-\frac{d[HcF]}{dt} = \frac{2\kappa_1[s][oH][HcF]_{T}\{\kappa_3 + \kappa_2(\kappa \vec{\chi})\}}{\kappa_1[1 + (\kappa \vec{\chi})] + [HcF]_{T}\{\kappa_3 + \kappa_2(\kappa \vec{\chi})\}}$$
(4)

$$\frac{1}{R} = \frac{K_{-1}[1+(k\bar{k})]}{2\kappa_{1}[5][0\bar{H}][HCF]_{T}\{k_{3}+k_{2}(k\bar{k})\}} + \frac{1}{2\kappa_{1}[5][0\bar{H}]} (5)$$

Where
$$R = -\frac{d[HCF]}{dt}$$

CamScanner DOI: 10.9790/5736-1212012832

Scanned with

-

The linear plot of 1/R vs $1/[HCF]_T$ conforms of validity of rate equation.

III. **Experimental:**

Standard solution of hexacyanoferrate(III) ion was prepared from A.R (BDH) quality sample zyllitol, gullitol and tallitol (A.R.BDH) used. NaOH solution was prepared in double distilled water. Ionic strainght mention by using KCl solution. Reaction progress was followed the estimation of the amount of hexacyanoferrate(Iv)ion prosuces after a definite dime interval with a solution of Ce(IV) sulphate using ferroin as a redox indicator. The product were identified by thin layer chromatography.

Reverence:

- Massan P.M Ibrahim, S.N Zafarrya, J.A Fawzy (2011). Journal of molecular catalysis a chemical Elsevier 344,93-98 [1].
- [2]. Laxmi jattingoudir, krithi S. Byadagi, Noorjahan.N (2013) Journal of chemical and pharmaceutical research 5(IV), 290300
- [3]. [4]. S. Singh, V.P Singh, J.M Singh and P.N Shrivastava (1977). Indian J.Chem, 15,117
- J.C James and C.B Monk (1950). J.Chem. Society faraday Trans, 1041
- W. A Eaton, P.George and G.I.H Hannania (1967) J.Phys.Chem.71.2016 [5].
- [6]. F.Feigl (1960) Spot tedt in organic chemistry elgevier new York.

Dr. S. N. Yadav. "Oxidation of Xylitol, Gulitol and Tallitol in aqueous alkaline medium by Hexacyanoferrate(III) ion: A kinetic and mechanistic study." IOSR Journal of Applied Chemistry (IOSR-JAC) 12.12 (2019): 28-32.
