Analytical Methods Development and Validation of Glucosamine Sulphate and Its Impurities by Using AQBD Approach

Ms. Kanchan T. Sawarkar Priyadarshini J. L. College of Pharmacy Nagpur

Abstract:

Background: Pharmaceutical firms are confronting issues with pharmaceutical quality control. The classic OFAT approach required testing final products. It is time-consuming and expensive. Instead, the new AQbD strategy seeks to instill quality in the product throughout the manufacturing process, reducing the likelihood of product failure. A high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) method was devised to detect glucosamine sulphate impurities in bulk and solid dose formulations. There are many methods developed for the same but by using new DoE tools we can develop more robust method.

Materials and Methods: The HPLC separation was achieved on Phenomenex 100-5 C-18 column (5 μ m 100Å, 250 mm X 4.6 mm) using a mobile phase Acetonitrile: Potassium dihydrogen ortho phosphate buffer (80:20 ν/ν pH 3.0) at a flow rate of 1.0 ml/min and UV detection at 210 nm. The method was validated for specificity, linearity, solution stability, accuracy, precision, limit of detection, and limit of quantitation. CPP were selected by risk assessment Programme.

Results: The detector response for glucosamine sulphate was linear over the selected concentration range from 100 to 500 ug/ml with a correlation coefficient 0.9997. The accuracy was between 97.40 - 100.37%. The precision (R.S.D.) amongst five sample preparations was 0.029(intraday) & 0.879(interday). The limit of detection and the limit of quantitation are 3.307 and 10.023 ug/ml, respectively.

Conclusion: Forced degradation study of glucosamine was carried out under acidic, alkaline, oxidative, thermal, and neural conditions. It was found that glucosamine was stable in all conditions except in oxidative degradation. The amount estimated in oxidative degradation by HPLC and HPTLC was found to be 95.28 and 95.33 respectively.

Key Word: *Quality by design (QbD, Design of experiments (DoE), High performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), CPP (Critical process parameters).*

Date of Submission: 09-06-2025

Date of Acceptance: 20-06-2025

I. Introduction

Glucosamine is a natural amine sugar derived from the chitin found in sea prawns and crab shells. Studies have revealed that glucosamine when combined with chondroitin sulphate, it can strengthen cartilage, increase chondrocyte activity, and minimize cartilage breakdown. It is used as dietary supplement in osteoarthritis or degenerative joint disease. According to the ICH recommendations Q3A(R2) impurity is defined as "any component of the new drug substance that is not the chemical entity defined as the new drug substance". Chromatography is one of the preferable methods of impurity detection^{1,2,3,4,5}. The International Conference on Harmonisation (ICH) Q8 (R1) guideline describes QbD as "a systematic approach to development that begins with predefined objectives and emphasises product and process understanding and control, based on sound science and quality risk management." When the concept of QbD is applied to the development of analytical methods, it is called Analytical Quality by Design. In conventional practice, analytical procedures were based on one factor at a time (OFAT), which optimises one parameter at a time while keeping others constant while waiting for the desired outcomes. This strategy consistently led in constrained robust behaviour, failure to comply with outcomes, and the need for a revalidation methodology. The AQbD examines scientific understanding in method implementation sequences, starting with product quality6,7,8,9,10.

II. Material And Methods

Materials

Following marketed preparations Glucosamine sulphate U.S.P. (500 mg) were used for comparative study: Lubrijoint 500 (WALLACE PHARMACEUTICALS PVT LTD), Cartigen 500 PHARMED LIMITED

Instruments

- Precision Balance: A Precision Balance model Citizen Cy220 having sensitivity 0.1 mg.
- Spectrophotometer: Double beam UV-Visible spectrophotometer model shimadzu UV 1800- and 10mm matched quartzes cell was used for measurement of sample solutions.
- HPLC System: HPLC model- SHIMADZU with pump- SPD-20AD (LC-20A) having variable wavelength, UV-Visible detector, and Rheodyne sample injector (20 µl) was used.
- Column: The analytical column was Phenomenex 100-5 C-18, 5 μm 100Å, 250 mm X 4.6 mm.
- Assay of drug by suitable official / reported method: 0.25 g GS was dissolved in 50 ml of water and 1 ml of 0.1 M HCl was added and titrated with 0.1 M NaOH using methyl orange indicator. Blank titration was carried out. 1 ml of 0.1 M NaOH is equivalent to 0.02867 g of C6H13NO8S. The percentage purity of Glucosamine sulphate was found to be 99.82 %.

Reagents and Solutions:

The diluent was optimized as mixture of Water and Acetonitrile (50: 50 v/v).

- Preparation of potassium dihydrogen ortho phosphate buffer (pH:3.0): Accurately weighed 1.36 gm of potassium dihydrogen ortho phosphate in a 1000 ml of volumetric flask and add about 900 ml of milli-Q water and degas to sonicate and finally make up to the volume with water. Then added 1ml of triethyl amine and pH was adjusted to 3.0 with dilute orthophosphoric acid solution.
- Preparation of the drug solution: Stock standard solution [A]: Weighed 10 mg of active drug glucosamine sulphate sodium chloride was transferred to 10 ml of volumetric flask and volume make up with diluent. This made 1000 µg/ml concentration of stock standard solution.
 Working standard solution [B]: Appropriate dilutions were made from the above resulting solution with

Working standard solution [B]: Appropriate dilutions were made from the above resulting solution with optimized mobile phase so as get a concentration of 100 μ g/ml. This solution was used further in the experiment.

Chromatographic Column

Column : Phenomenex 100-5 C-18, 5 μm 100Å, 250 mm X 4.6 mm.

Detection Wavelength : 210 nm

Injection Volume : 20 µl Flow Rate : 1.0 ml/min

Temperature : Ambient

Mobile phase : Acetonitrile: Potassium dihydrogen ortho phosphate buffer (80:20) pH 3.0

The chromatographic conditions were set as per established parameters and mobile phase was allowed to equilibrate with stationary phase as indicated by the steady baseline.



Fig1: HPLC chromatogram of glucosamine sulphate

Quality by Design approach for optimization of HPLC method:

Critical quality attributes were identified for this method. Central composite drug design was used for research methodology. A 33 factorial design was applied for the optimization of the processes. Design Expert ® software version 13 was used for the QbD studies. The critical quality attributes (CQA) identified for this method were flow rate of mobile phase, wavelength, and pH of mobile phase. The critical analytical attribute (CAA) for this method were number of theoretical plates, tailing factor and retention time of drugs to be analyzed.

III. Result Experimental data for AQbD study of GS (HPLC)

1. ANOVA for Linear model (Response 1: Theorotical Plate)

Final Equation: Sqrt (Theorotical Plate) = +71.24166-0.068393wavelength-0.504316 pH





Fig. 2: Contour plot of response (Theoretical plate)



2. ANOVA for Linear model (Response 2: Tailing Factor)

Final Equation: Sqrt (Tailing Factor) = +12.97475+0.087302 Flow Rate-0.056197 wavelength-0.024639 pH Factor Coding: Actual Tailing Factor



Fig. 4: Contour plot of response (Tailing Factor)



3. ANOVA for Quadratic model (Response 3: Retention Time)

Final Equation: Retention Time = +6.27688+4.43327 Flow Rate-0.025878 wavelength+0.159176 pH









Fig. 7: 3D plot of response (Retention time)

Fig. 8: Overlay plot of response



The percentage RSD values are less than 2% indicate that proposed method is falls within acceptable limits. The critical parameters for quality control study were selected and run on design expert version 13 software. Model was found significant in given range of concentration.

Analysis of marketed formulation by proposed method

Weigh accurately powder equivalent to 10mg of GS, dissolved in diluent, shake properly and make up the volume. The solution was sonicated for 5min. The solution was filtered through Whatman filter paper and aliquot portion of the filter was diluted with mobile phase to get a conc. of 100 μ g/ml of the same. The chromatogram was recorded. The contents of drugs were calculated. The results are shown table:

Cartigen (Hard Gelatin Capsule)

Average weight of Capsule content = 515 mg (each capsule contains 500 mg GS). Weight of the capsule content equivalent to 10 mg of glucosamine sulphate was 10.3 mg.

Lubrijoint (Tablet)

Average weight of tablet = 835 mg (each tablet contains 500 mg GS). Weight of the tablet powder equivalent to 10 mg of glucosamine sulphate was 16.7 mg.

AUCtest x Concstd x D.F. x Avg. wt. of tablet

% Label claim

x 100

AUC_{std} x Wt_{test} x Label claim of tablet

Fig. 10: Formula for percentage label claim

Sr. No.	Amount of tablet powder taken (gram)	Amount of drug estimated (gram)	% Label claim				
1	10.4	10.36	99.68				
2	10.3	10.20	99.12				
3	10.3	10.20	99.03				
4	10.6	10.58	99.87				
5	10.5	10.44	99.50				
	Statistics						
Sr. No.	Mean	±SD	% RSD				
1	99.44	0.3593	0.3613				

Table 1: Data for assay of Cartigen (HPLC)

 Table 2: Data for assay of Lubrijoint

Sr. No.	Amount of tablet powder taken (gram)	Amount of drug estimated (gram)	% Label claim				
1	16.4	16.09	98.15				
2	16.6	16.33	98.43				
3	16.5	16.21	98.25				
4	16.7	16.46	98.62				
5	16.7	16.49	98.76				
	Statistics						
Sr. No.	Mean	±SD	% RSD				
1	98.44	0.2525	0.2565				

Validation of HPLC method

The proposed method was validated as per ICH guidelines Q2(R1) for linearity and range, precision, accuracy, ruggedness, robustness, LOD and LOQ.

1. **Precision:** It is expressed as ±SD and % RSD of any measurements & was ascertained by replicate analysis of homogenous sample

		1 4010 0	i i ceision uu				
Sr. No.	Drug concentration (µg/ml)						
	100		30	00	500		
	Intraday	Interday	Intraday	Interday	Intraday	Interday	
1	429777	438601	622402	667534	805407	813306	
2	429635	436402	662450	647833	805764	823305	
3	429775	438320	662860	666031	805914	813354	
Statistics							
Mean	429729	437774.3	662570.7	660466	805695	816655	
% RSD	0.018	0.273	0.037	1.660	0.032	0.705	

Table 3: Precision data of GS

2. linearity and range: The series of solutions of GS were analyzed in the range of 100 to $500 \,\mu$ g/ml.

3. Accuracy: It was determined based on recovery study performed by standard addition method.

4. Ruggedness

Ruggedness should be used as a parameter evaluating constancy of the results when external factors such as analyst, laboratory, instrument, reagents and days are varied. The studies of ruggedness were carried out under different conditions i.e., intraday, interday and different analyst. The results are shown in table;

Sr. No.	Parameters	Results
1	Linear dynamic range (µg/ml)	100 - 500
2	Slope	1193.9
3	Y-intercept	306311
4	Correlation coefficient	0.9997

 Table 4: Linearity performance parameters for GS

5. LOD and LOQ

The theoretically determined values of detection and quantification limits were crossed by actual analysis of these conc. using propose methods. The LOD and LOQ were calculated using the equation given below. $LOD = 3.3\sigma/s$,

 $LOQ = 10\sigma/s$ where;

 σ = the standard deviation of the response

S = the slope of the calibration curve

Table 5: LOD and LOQ data of GS (HPLC)					
Sr. No.	Parameters	Results			
1	LOD	3.30 µg/ml			
2	LOQ	10.02 µg/ml			

Table 5: LOD and LOQ data of GS (HPLC)

Table 6: Data for accuracy of Cartigen

Sr. No.	Relative concentration (µg/ml)							
	Unspiked sample	Added sample		Total amount estimated	Recovered sa	mple	% Recovery	
			80 9	%				
1			179	.59	79.60		99.48	
2	100	80	180	.12	80.12		100.16	
3			179	.39	79.39		99.24	
			100	%	•			
1	100			198	198.12 98.12		98.12	
2		100	199	.72	99.72		99.72	
3	1		200	.16	100.16		100.16	
			120	%				
1			220	.804	120.804		100.37	
2	100	120	219	.196	119.196		99.33	
3	3		220	220.880 120.880			100.37	
			Statis	stics	•			
Sr. No.	Recovery level	N	lean		±SD	% R	SD	
1	80 %		99.0	52 0.4	77214	0.479	0002	
2	100 %		99.3	33 1.0	73561	1.080	0766	
3	120 %	1	00.02	0.6	00444	0.600	304	

r. No.	Relative concentration (µg/ml)							
Unspiked sample	Added sample	Total amour estimated	nt Recovered sa	mple % Recovery				
			80 %		itteovery			
1			178.59	78.59	98.23			
2	100	80	177.92	77.92	97.40			
3			179.04	79.04	98.80			
			100 %					
1			198.62	98.62	98.62			
2	100	100	199.63	99.03	99.03			
3			199.13	99.13	99.13			
		_	120 %		I			
1			218.15	118.15	98.45			
2	100	120	217.96	117.96	98.30			
3			219.01	119.01	99.17			
		_	Statistics		I			
Sr. No.	Recovery level	Mean	1	±SD	% RSD			
1	80 %		98.14 0	0.704012	0.717331			
2	100 %		98.92 0	0.270247	0.273179			
3	120 %		98.64 0	.465081	0.471493			

Table 8: Data for Ruggedness of Cartigen (HPLC)

Level	AUC of	AUC of	% Assay	Mean	%RSD	Retention
	Standard	Sample				time
Introdov	420777	428761	99.76	00.66	0.116	5 21
inti aday	429777	427635	99.50	99.00	0.110	5.51
		428618	99.73			
x , x	100777	425221	98.93	00.07	0.140	5.24
Interday	429777	425565	99.01		0.142	5.34
		424146	98.68			
D:ff	420777	425909	99.09	00.07	0.160	5.25
Different analyst	429777	424275	98.71	98.87	0.160	5.55
		424791	98.83			

Table 9: Data for Ruggedness of Lubrijoint (HPLC)

Level	AUC of	AUC of	% Assay	Mean	%RSD	Retention
	Standard	Sample				time
Introdox	420777	424490	98.76	08.46	0 270	5 36
Intraday	429///	424146	98.68	98.40	0.370	5.50
		421009	97.95			
		420321	97.79			
Interday	429777	421946	97.47	97.76	0.230	5.33
		421310	98.02			
Different analyst	120777	420880	97.92	07.74	0.534	5.25
	429777	417184	97.06	97.76		5.35
		422556	98.31			

STRESS DEGRADATION STUDY OF GS BY HPLC

The stress degradation study of GS was done as per ICH Q1A (R2) and photostability as per ICH Q1B guidelines.

Hydrolysis in acidic conditions

It was performed in 0.1 N HCl conditions. 2 ml of working solution was transferred to 10 ml volumetric flask, to it 2 ml of degradant 0.1 N HCl was added and allowed to stand for 2 hours at room temperature. The samples were neutralized and diluted up to 10 ml



Fig. 12: Chromatogram of GS in acidic conditions

Sr. No.	Sampling time interval (hour)	% Label claim
1	0	99.34
2	2	99.30
3	4	99.15
4	6	99.07
5	8	98.89
6	24	98.83
7	3 days	98.77
8	5 days	98.69

Table 11: Results of acidic hydrolysis study

1. Hydrolysis in basic conditions

It was performed in 0.1 N NaOH. 2 ml of working solution was transferred to 10 ml volumetric flask, to it 2 ml of degradant 0.1 N NaOH was added and allowed to stand for 2 hours at room temperature and diluted up to 10 ml. The probable mechanism of alkaline hydrolysis is it opens the carbohydrate ring, exposes either the ketone or aldehyde group which is rearranged to form an enediol. It is a reaction of drug with water under basic medium



Fig. 13: Chromatogram of GS in alkaline hydrolysis

Table 12: Results of alkaline hydrolysis study

Sr. No.	Sampling time interval (hour)	% Label claim
1	0	99.88
2	2	99.81
3	4	99.75
4	6	99.66

DOI: 10.9790/5736-1806014151

Analvtical	Methods I	Development	and V	alidation	of G	Hucosamine	Sulphate .	
1110119110011	11100005 1	201010000000000000000000000000000000000	001000 1	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	<i>v</i> , <i>v</i>	11100501111110	Suprate .	٠

5	8	99.08
6	24	98.98
7	3 days	98.92
8	5 days	98.81

2. Oxidative degradation

To 2 ml of each working standard solution and 2 ml of 3 %v/v of hydrogen peroxide was added into volumetric flask.

It was allowed to stand for 2 hours at room temperature. The resultant solution of glucosamine was suitably diluted to 10 ml using diluent and chromatogram was recorded. Samples are withdrawn after a specific time interval.



Fig. 14: Chromatogram of GS in oxidative degradation (Impurity A)

8		
Sr. No.	Sampling time interval (hour)	% Label claim
1	0	99.78
2	1	97.05
3	2	95.28

Table 13: Results of oxidative degradation

3. Thermal degradation

For dry heat degradation 50 mg of drug was transferred to petri plate and was exposed to dry heat at 60 °C for 24 hours. The resultant solution was suitably diluted and chromatogram was recorded.



Fig. 15: Chromatogram of GS in thermal degradation

Table 14:	Results	of t	hermal	degra	dation
	2.2.2.2.2.2				

Sr. No.	Sampling time interval (hour)	% Label claim	
1	0	99. 93	
2	2	99.82	
3	4	99.75	
4	6	99.72	
5	8	99.50	
6	24	99.44	

7	3 days	99.22
8	5 days	99.16

4. Neutral degradation at room temperature

For neutral degradation 50 mg of drug was transferred to petri plate and was exposed to room temperature for 24 hours. The resultant solution was suitably diluted and chromatogram was recorded. When a drug is stored in temperatures that are too high or too low, the drug's chemical stability will likely be impacted. That means that the drug may degrade and form impurities.



Fig. 16: Chromatogram of GS in neutral degradation

 Table 15: Results of neutral degradation

Sr. No.	Sampling time interval (hour)	% Label claim
1	0	99. 89
2	2	99.80
3	4	99.67
4	6	99.65
5	8	99.58
6	24	99.43
7	3 days	99.32
8	5 days	99.20

IV. Conclusion

Glucosamine was shown to be stable under all situations except oxidative destruction. The amount estimated in oxidative degradation by HPLC was found to be 95.28. The impurities found was named as Impurity A. The drug can be estimated by analytical methods like GC, GC- MS, LC-MS which may be economical, specific and precise. The new AQbD approach instead aims to build a quality in the product during the process, so that the chances of product failure are minimized.

References

- Bhagwat Ashlesha, B., and K. M. Khedkar. "Impurity Profiling: A Review." Asian Journal of Pharmaceutical Research and Development 10.2 (2022): 135-143.
- [2]. Jain, Anurag, and Sanjay Sharma. "Recent trends in impurity profiling of pharmaceutical products." MIT Int. J. Pharm. Sci 3 (2017): 1-6.
- [3]. Landge, A. K., V. K. Deshmukh, and S. R. Chaudhari. "Impurities in pharmaceuticals-A review." Journal of Current Pharma Research 4.1 (2013): 1105.
- [4]. ICH Guideline Q3A(R), Impurities in New Drug Products Revised Guidance on Federal Register. 65 (2000) 45085-90.
- [5]. ICH Guideline Q6A Specifications, Test Procedures and Acceptance Criteria for New Drug Substances and New Drug Products. Chemical substances. 65(1999) 67488-92.
- [6]. Dispas, Amandine, et al. "Quality by Design'approach for the analysis of impurities in pharmaceutical drug products and drug substances." TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry 101 (2018): 24-33.
- [7]. Das, Vedantika, Bhushan Bhairav, and R. B. Saudagar. "Quality by design approaches to analytical method development." Research Journal of Pharmacy and Technology 10.9 (2017): 3188-3194.
- [8]. Luttmann, Reiner, et al. "A comprehensive study in PAT-applications for a QbD- compliant development of continuous biopharmaceutical production." (2017).
- [9]. Das, Parag. "The significance of collective role of QbD and PAT Tools in the Pharmaceu- tical Process Automation." International Journal of Pharmaceutics and Drug Analysis (2017): 369-375

- [10]. Jahani, Maryam, et al. "Recent Progresses in Analytical Perspectives of Degradation Studies and Impurity Profiling in Pharmaceutical Developments: An Updated Review." Critical Reviews in Analytical Chemistry (2021): 1-22.
- [11]. Zhang, Kelly, et al. "Reactive impurities in large and small molecule pharmaceutical excipients-A review." TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry 101 (2018): 34.
- [12]. Nagpal, Sunny, et al. "A review on need and importance of impurity profiling." Current Pharmaceutical Analysis 7.1 (2011): 62-70.
- [13]. Verma, Akanksha, Shivali Singla, and Priyankul Palia. "The Development of Forced Degradation and Stability Indicating Studies of Drugs-A Review." Asian Journal of Pharmaceutical Research and Development 10.2 (2022): 83-89.
- [14]. Venkataraman, Sowmyalakshmi, and Merugu Manasa. "Forced degradation studies: Regulatory guidance, characterization of drugs, and their degradation products-a review." Drug Invention Today 10.2 (2018).
- [15]. Görög, Sándor. "The importance and the challenges of impurity profiling in modern pharmaceutical analysis." TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry 25.8 (2006): 755-757.
- [16]. Holm, René, and David P. Elder. "Analytical advances in pharmaceutical impurity profiling." European Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences 87 (2016): 118-135.
- [17]. Hotha, Kishore, et al. "Forced degradation studies: Practical approach-overview of regulatory guidance and literature for the drug products and drug substances." Education 2012 (2009).
- [18]. Blessy, M. R. D. P., et al. "Development of forced degradation and stability indicating studies of drugs—A review." Journal of pharmaceutical analysis 4.3 (2014): 159-165.
- [19]. Khan, Hamid. "Analytical Method Development in Pharmaceutical Research: Steps involved in HPLC Method Development." Asian Journal of Pharmaceutical Research 7.3 (2017): 203-207.
- [20]. Iram, Farah, et al. "Forced degradation studies." J Anal Pharm Res 3.6 (2016): 00073.
- [21]. Ramu, Bandameedi, and Kishore Babu Chittela. "High Performance Thin Layer Chromatography and Its Role Pharmaceutical Industry." Open Sci. J. Biosci. Bioeng. 2018; 5 (3): 29 34 (2018).
- [22]. Jetani, Jay M., and K. Girish Pai. "A comparative review of the USFDA guidelines on process validation focusing on the importance of quality by design (QbD)." Research Journal of Pharmacy and Technology 10.4 (2017): 1257-1260.
- [23]. Green, J. Mark. "Peer reviewed: a practical guide to analytical method validation." Analytical chemistry 68.9 (1996): 305A-309A.
- [24]. Araujo, Pedro. "Key aspects of analytical method validation and linearity evaluation." Journal of chromatography B 877.23 (2009): 2224-2234.
- [25]. Peraman, Ramalingam, Kalva Bhadraya, and Yiragamreddy Padmanabha Reddy. "Analytical quality by design: a tool for regulatory flexibility and robust analytics." International journal of Analytical chemistry (2015).
- [26]. Parenty, Alexis DC, William G. Button, and Martin A. Ott. "An expert system to predict the forced degradation of organic molecules." Molecular pharmaceutics 10.8 (2013): 2962-2974.
- [27]. Boobalan, M., et al. "Stability Indicating Forced Degradation Studies to Assess Degradation behaviour of Chlordiazepoxide and Amitriptyline Hydrochloride in Pharmaceutical Dosage Form by RP-HPLC." Research J. Pharm. and Tech 13.1 (2020): 313-318.
- [28]. Bushra, Most Umme, et al. "Study of forced degradation of ciprofloxacin HCl indicating stability using RP-HPLC method." Der Pharma Chem 5 (2013): 132-137.
- [29]. Das, Parag, S. Mishra, and B. Nayak. "The colossal role of QbD and PAT tools in the pharmaceutical process automation." Int. J. Pharma Res. Health Sci 5 (2017): 1909-1923.
- [30]. Wu, Huiquan, and Mansoor A. Khan. "Quality-by-design: an integrated process analytical technology approach to determine the nucleation and growth mechanisms during a dynamic pharmaceutical coprecipitation process." Journal of pharmaceutical sciences 100.5 (2011): 1969-1986.
- [31]. Munson, James, C. Freeman Stanfield, and Bir Gujral. "A review of process analytical technology (PAT) in the US pharmaceutical industry." Current Pharmaceutical Analysis 2.4 (2006): 405-414.
- [32]. Shao, Yu, et al. "A stability-indicating HPLC method for the determination of glucosamine in pharmaceutical formulations." Journal of pharmaceutical and biomedical analysis 35.3 (2004): 625-631.
- [33]. Tekko, Ismaiel A., Michael C. Bonner, and Adrian C. Williams. "An optimized reverse- phase high performance liquid chromatographic method for evaluating percutaneous absorption of glucosamine hydrochloride." Journal of pharmaceutical and biomedical analysis 41.2 (2006): 385-392.