Mineral Composite Assessment of Kerbala District in Iraq By Means of Remote Sensing

Amal M. Saleh

College of Agriculture /University of Baghdad

Abstract: Remote sensing is the science of acquiring, processing, and interpreting images and related data, acquired from aircraftand and satellites, that record the interaction between matter and electromagnetic energy. In geologic terms Landsatprovides data especially useful formineral exploration. It can be used to identify areas containing minerals useful in the search for mineral deposits, including iron oxides and/or hydroxides (hematite, goethite, and limonite); clays (kaolinite, dickite, and montmorillonite); and carbonates (calcite, and dolomite). Utilizing remote sensing (RS) and geographic information systems (GIS) tools, mineral composite characteristics (heavy minerals, light minerals, and clay minerals) of Al-Hindiya in Iraq were investigated and mapped. Mineral composite (MC) index maps were produced from one LANDSAT-ETM+ satellite image taken in 2015resulting by GIS.Employing bi-variety correlation analysis, relationships among index maps were investigated. According to the results, spatial distribution for heavy minerals maps indicated that the majority of the study area has Magnetite but poorAmphibole and Hematite. It is also observed that the sediments of the study area are composed essentially of Calcite and Gypsum; as dominant with Quartz. The results of spatial distribution for clay minerals maps showed that clay fraction is dominated by Kaolinite, mixed layer Illite_Montmorillonite, and Montmorillonite Illite. Amphibole and Hematite index maps showed positive correlation. It is also observed that there was a positive correlation between Gypsum and Calcite indexmaps, while Quartz indexmap is negatively correlated with Gypsumindex map. There were no significant correlations among clay minerals indicating that the clay minerals may be transported by Euphrates river and deposited as fluvial deposits.

Keywords: Remote sensing; ERDAS IMAGINE; Mineral composite; GIS;LANDSAT-ETM+;Index maps.

Date of Submission: 05-09-2017

Date of acceptance: 28-10-2017

I. Introduction

Remote sensing can be understood as he science, technology and art of acquiring, processing, and interpreting airborne or spaceborne images that record the interaction between matter and electromagnetic energy (Aranoff, 2005). Nowadays a plethora of satellites surrounding the earth provides continuous sets of data of quite different nature used for navigation, positioning, meteorology, surface temperature, sea water condition, etc. Among the most interesting satellites dedicated to systematically provide global covered of earth resources are the Landsat family. In geologic terms Landsat provides data especially useful for mineral exploration. It can be used to identify areas containing minerals useful in the search for mineral deposits, including iron oxides and/or hydroxides (hematite, goethite, and limonite); clays (kaolinite, dickite, and montmorillonite); micas (illite, sericite, and muscovite); sulfates (jarosite, and alunite); and carbonates (calcite, and dolomite) (Corral et al., 2011).

On the other hand, Geologists can apply GIS in mining and mineral exploration to present data in an integrated platform by using traditional cross-sections and graphical strip logs in conjunction with planning map views. In mineral exploration geologists deal with various types and sources of data to explore for new economical mineral deposits. The data sources vary from geological maps, multispectral satellite images, hyper-spectral airborne and geophysical images to data in many formats. GIS is the best platform to bring all these data together in a geologist's computer and deliver fruitful outcomes.GIS can save money and decrease the regular cost to explore for minerals and this due to these reasons:

a) fewer hours spent digitizing to incorporate data into models;b) variety of GIS analysis methods on quantitative and raster data to look for anomalous areas.; c) and the ability to capture old data of different types and view/analyze as compatible layers. Some of these data would only previously have been examined in paper formats (Al-Ramadan, 2012).

Utilizing remote sensing (RS) and geographic information systems (GIS) tools, mineral compositecharacteristics (ferrous minerals (FM), iron oxide (IO), and clay minerals (CM)) of the Kelkit River Basin in Turkey were investigated and mapped(Dogan, 2009).Mineral composite (MC) index maps were produced from three LANDSAT-ETM+ satellite images taken in 2000. Resulting MC index maps were summarized in nine classes by using 'natural breaks' classification method in GIS. Using field data for which their geographic coordinates had been determined by global positioning system (GPS), developed MC maps were verified, and found dependable for environmental and ecological modeling studies(Dogan, 2009).

In Egypt, Tushka Lakes and the surrounding area were analyzed and mapped for land cover change and mineral composite (MC) characteristics, ferrous minerals (FM), iron oxides (IO), and clay minerals (CM) (Sarajlic, 2012). Land cover change and MC maps were developed using Landsat TM image and Landsat ETM+ image.Using ArcMap 10, developed MC index maps were classified into seven classes by using the natural breaks method. The developed maps from this study are to be used as a guide for agriculture and environmental decision making (Sarajlic, 2012).

Nowadays, there are many different techniques and many ready-to-use professional tools in form of software packages are available for researchers to help them perform the atmospheric correction of multispectral data (Khan, 2013).

This study has been aimed to develop updated thematicmaps that reveal the spatial distribution of mineral composite of Kerbala District/Al-Hindiya:

i. Heavy minerals (Amphibole, Hematite, and Magnetite).

ii. Light minerals (Calcite, Gypsum, and Quartz).

iii. Clay minerals (Illite_Montmorillonite, Kaolinite, and Montmorillonite_Illite).

The produced maps have the potential to help the decision makersand researchers who study in the region, and can be useful for the studies devoted to agriculture environment.

II. Description Of The Study Area

Al-Hindiya or Hindiya is a city in Iraq on the Euphrates River. It is geographically situatedon latitude32° 17′ 31.68″- 32° 47′ 15.84″North and longitude 44° 17′ 47.28″- 44° 05′ 52.03″ East.The city is located in theKerbala District and it lies to the south of Baghdad and west of ancient Babylon(Fig.1a).The study area includes one main soil formations;the alluvial flood plain. The land is naturally vegetated withAgool (*Alhagi maurorum*).The major soil families at this site is (fine, smectitic, active, calcareous, hyperthermic, Typic Torrifluvents) (Soil Survey Staff, 2014).

2.1. Climate

Al-Hindiya experiences a hot desert climate(Köppen-Geigerclimate classificationBWh) andthe average maximum temperature is as high as 30.78°C (87.42 °F) (Peel et al., 2007).The district is at an altitude of about 30 metres (98 ft) above sea level (Sanlaville, 1989). Observations of rainfall at Hindiya gave a mean annual precipitation of 160 millimetres (6.3 in), but there were wide variances from a maximum of 568 millimetres (22.4 in) to a minimum of 37 millimetres (1.5 in) (Allan, 2001). In addition to the remarkable difference in the temperature between day and night, the wind prevalent in the area is mostly north west toward south east accompanied by sand storms especially in summer and sometimes winds come from the south and south west (Iraqi meteorological organization, 2008).

2.2. Stratigraphy of the study area

Sedimentary rocks of the Tertiary and Quaternary sediments are outcrops in Karbala District and include the followings from oldest to youngest:

Injana Formation (Upper Miocene): The formation is exposed along both ridges of Tar Al-Najaf and Tar Al-Sayid, and in the eastern bank of Al-Razzaza Lake to the west of Karbala city. While in the rest of the areas it is either covered by Dibdibba Formation or thick layers of recent sediments.



Figure 1.(a) Location map of the study area, (b) The Satellite Landsat ETM+scene.

- This formation is basically composed of sandstone and claystone with different colors (Green, grey, and brown). The contact between the Injana Formation and the Diddibba Formation appears as a soft layer of gravel. The environment of deposition varies from being marine to continental (Buday and Jassim, 1987).
- Dibdibba Formation (Pliocene): This formation is outcropped in the area between Al-Razzaza Lake and Karbala city from the northern west and west sides; it is mainly composed of sandstones, gravelly sandstones, and lenses of claystone. It forms a cover to Injana Formation deposits and the environment of deposition of this formation is continental (Buday and Jassim 1987). There is a gypcrete layer composed of sand, shale, gravel, and high percentage of gypsum are derived from the Quaternary sediments. Karbala represents a depocenter of the Dibdibba Formation along the Euphrates boundary fault (Jassim and Goff, 2006).
- Quaternary sediments: These sediments are outcrop inside Karbala city and extend to the Euphrates River and are composed of sand, shale, clay and there is gravel in some areas especially of Pleistocene sediments that exist in the northern part of the study area. The thickness of these sediments varies and increases toward the Euphrates River. The environment of deposition of these continental sediments is erosional and precipitational (Buday and Jassim, 1987).

Lithologically, the soils of Karbala was covered by Quaternary deposits during Holocene period; however, the Tertiary deposits were widely exposed in the area which mainly formed from depression fill deposits such as silt, sand, clay and almost with high gypsum content (Domas, 1983).

III. Materials And Methods

One LANDSAT-ETM+ image detected on 30 September 2015 (path/row: 169/37) was downloaded free from Earth Explorer¹. Geometric correction was tested by using 20 ground control points, and found accurate (root mean square (RMS) error <0.001) enough to proceed (Fig.1b). The stacked imagewassubset to obtain the area of interest (AOI)by using a vector AOI that was created from the map of Al-Hindiya. Then, a radiometric enhancement was applied on the subset image to remove effects of noise using image interpreter tool of ERDAS IMAGINE® software (2014) (Fig.2).

Spectral libraries are collections of reflectance spectra measured from materials of known composition, usually in the field or laboratory and spectra from libraries can guide spectral classification

¹Earth Explorer is serviced by USGS: http://edcsns17.cr.usgs.gov/NewEarthExplorer/

or define targets to use in spectral image analysis (Shippert, 2013). So, the next step involves the spectral analysis of multispectral Al-Hindiya imagery. ERDASIMAGINE®software (2014) contain spectral libraries developed by JPL (Jet Propulsion Laboratory), USGS, and Erdas which contain spectral signature for a wide variety of materials ranging from minerals, vegetation etc. These spectral libraries play avital role in multispectral image analysis. For identification analysis of mineral composite, we adopted the approach of spectral signatures classification and identification (Target Detection Wizard-Project Specification).The subset image was used to create index maps of mineral composite by using spectral enhancement technique in ERDAS IMAGINE® software (2014) in order to bring out hidden and unclear land features. Created mineral composite (MC) index maps were converted to shape files in ArcGIS software (ESRI, 2008). Developed index maps were reclassified in ArcGIS using 'natural breaks' (Jenks) method (Fig.3, 4, 5) which are based on natural groupings inherent in the data and the features which are divided into classes whose boundaries are set where there are relatively big jumps in the data values (Dogan, 2009). After reclassifying, all indices were summarized in nine classes which are easy to interpret.46 points were determined to extract corresponding index values in ArcGIS. Organizing extracted values as an XYZ file in Microsoft Excel software (Microsoft, 2010), bi-variety correlation analysis (Pearson coefficients) was conducted in SPSS 11 statistical software (SPSS, 2001).



Figure 2. Spatial _ Enhancement image of Landsat ETM+data.



Figure 3. Histograms of Amphibole, Hematite, and Magnetite index maps.



Figure 4. Histograms of Calcite, Gypsum, and Quartz index maps.



Figure 5. Histograms of Illite_Montmorillonite, Kaolinite, and Montmorillonite_Illite index maps.

IV. Results And Discussion

4.1.Spatial distribution of Mineral composite (MC)

The minerals of Lower Mesopotamia soils could be categorized into twogroups; non-clay minerals, and clay minerals. The first group comprises the heavy minerals assemblages comprises number of minerals; Amphibole, Hematite, and Magnetite. These minerals derived from igneous, metamorphic and even sedimentary rocks in the study area of Euphrates River (Al-Marsoumi and Al-Jabbri, 2007).

The second group comprises thelight minerals composed ofCalcite, Gypsum, andQuartz.The carbonates are originated fromfragments of macrofauna shells (aragonite), andauthigenic (as

microcrystalline Calcite) carbonate rock fragments (Albadran, 2006).Gypsum also occurs multisources were suggested for this mineral;authigenic by directprecipitation

from ground water due to evaporation, some secondary gypsum has been blown from the gypseferous desert areas and deposited in Al-Hindiya soils under consideration (Buringh, 1960). Quartz forms the main light silicate minerals owing to its high resistance to erosion (Al-Marsoumi and Al-Jabbri, 2007).

The clay fractions of all the sediments have similar clay assemblages of Kaolinite.Kaolinite is a common mineral in Al-Hindiya soils, owing to Grim (1962), the presence of carbonate material in Al-HindiyasoilsreflectsthedetritaloriginofKaolinite.Furthermore,

illite_montmorillonite,andmontmorillonite_illite mixedlayered clay minerals were also detected;this phenomenon reflects anintermediatephase of illite and chloritediageneticalteration to montmorillonite(Al-Marsoumi and Al-Jabbri, 2007).

4.1.1.Spatial distribution of heavy minerals

Spatial distribution of heavy minerals Amphibole, Hematite, and Magnetite classes were determined and given in Fig. 6, 7, 8.Nine index classes were interpreted under five categories namely, very rare (0-51); rare(51-102); medium (102-153); high (153-204); and very high (204-255)(Dogan, 2009).

Spatial distribution of Amphibole showed that nearly half of the District area(45.133%) participated in 'very rare' category, while the areas between 'very rare' and 'rare' categories covered(43.867%) of the total study area(Table 1). The areas that contain Amphibole in 'medium-high' category covered small portion (10.132%) of the total study area. The areas in 'high-very high' category covered minor portion (0.868%) of the study area.

According to the spatial distribution of Hematite, The main part of the study area (50.940%) were assessed in 'very rare- rare' category, while the areas between 'rare' and 'medium' categories covered (36.822%) of the total study area (Table 1).The areas in 'medium-high' category covered small portion (10.695%) of the study area. The areas that contain Hematite in 'high-very high' category covered minor portion (1.543%) of the total study area.



Figure 6.Amphibole index map of Al-Hindiya.



Figure 7. Hematite index map of Al-Hindiya.



Figure 8.Magnetite index map of Al-Hindiya.

		Table 1. Class cov	ver areas of Amphibole, I	Hematite, and Magneti	ite in Al-Hindiya.
~ 1		~		Interpretation	
Class	Index values	Cover area km ²	% of the study area	Category	% of the total study area
Amphibole					
1	0 - 33	270.724	18.979	Varra vava	45 122
2	33 - 50	373.084	26.154	very rare	40.100
3	50 - 66	314.821	22.070	Very rare - rare	22.070
4	66 - 84	161.193	11.300	Dare	21 707
5	84 - 102	149.732	10.497	Kaic	21.777
6	102 - 122	80.086	5.614	Madium	9 502
7	122 - 148	42.491	2.979	Medium	0.375
8	148 - 181	21.949	1.539	Medium -high	1.539
9	181 - 255	12.377	0.868	High - very high	0.868
Total		1426.457	100		100
~		~ · · ·	A/ A/1 / 1	Inte	rpretation
Class	Index values	Cover area km ²	% of the study area	Category	% of the total study area
Iematite					
1	0 - 31	106.889	7.493	Very rare	7.493
2	31 - 61	137.461	9.637	Very rare - rare	9.637
3	61 - 82	195.125	13.679	Dara	22 910
4	82 - 99	287.158	20.131	Kalt	55.010
5	99 - 118	300.571	21.071	Rare - medium	21.071
6	118 - 138	224.676	15.751	Medium	15.751
7	138 - 159	104.008	7.291	Medium - high	7.291
8	159 - 186	48.556	3.404	High	3.404
9	186 - 255	22.013	1.543	High - very high	1.543
Total		1426.457	100		100
~		~ · · ·		Inte	rpretation
Class	Index values	Cover area km ²	% of the study area	Category	% of the total study area
lagnetite					
1	0 - 62	15.194	1.065	Very rare - rare	1.065
2	62 - 95	33.213	2.328	Rare	2.328
3	95 - 117	101.507	7.116	Rare - medium	7.116
4	117 - 132	226.494	15.878		
5	132 - 145	358,791	25.153	Medium	41.031
- 6	145 - 159	314.011	22.013	Medium - high	22.013
7	159 - 176	236.341	16,569		22.015
8	176 - 200	112 278	7 871	High	24.440

In Magnetite point of view, the majority (94.600%) of the study area was evaluated between 'rare-medium' and 'medium-high' categories, and this was ensued by'very rare-rare' (3.393%), and 'high-very high' (2.007%) categories, respectively (Table 1).

2.007

100

The results for heavy minerals maps indicated that the majority of the study area has Magnetite but poorAmphibole and Hematite. This indicates that the origin of sand dunes of Al-Hindiya is the recent sediments of the Euphrates river and the older nearby exposed geologicalformations (Mahmoud and Al-Ani, 1985).

The dust storms loads were depend on the direction of the wind and the geological formations that were in their path way. Consequently, the stable heavyminerals may reflect such geological formations as the regional dust storms blowing from western desert of Iraq (Al-Dabbas et al., 2011).

200 - 255

28.628

1426.457

9

Total

High - very high

2.007

100

4.1.2.Spatial distribution of light minerals

Spatial distribution of light minerals Calcite, Gypsum, and Quartzclasses were determined and given in Fig. 9,10, 11.Nine index classes were interpretedunder five categories(Dogan, 2009).Spatial distribution ofCalciteshowed that (65.522%) of the District areaparticipated in 'rare-medium'category, and this was ensued by'very rare-rare' (17.094%), 'medium-high' (15.912%), and 'high-very high' (1.472%) categories, respectively (Table 2).

According to the spatial distribution of Gypsum,the main part of the study area (54.200%) was assessed in'rare- medium' category,whilethe areas between 'medium'and 'high' categoriescovered (31.674%)of the total study area (Table 2).The areas that contain Gypsum in 'very rare-rare' category coveredsmall portion (11.662%)of the study area. Minor portion (2.464%) of the study area was evaluated in 'very high' category.

In Quartz point of view, the majority (71.899%) of the study area was evaluated in 'rare-medium' category (Table 2). The areas between 'medium' and 'high' categories covered (18.413%) of the study area, while the areas in 'high-very high' category covered (7.093%) of the study area. The areas that contain Quartzin 'very rare-rare' category covered minor portion (2.595%) of the total study area.

The results for light minerals maps indicated that the sediments of the study area are composed essentially of Calcite and Gypsum; as dominant with quartz. These sediments reflects the relative rapid transportation of sediments by Euphrates river mainly during flooding phase (Al-Marsoumi and Al-Jabbri, 2007).

Gypsum and Calcium Carbonate minerals in Al-Hindiya soils are considered to be mainly of secondary origin (i.e., pedogenic), but some are of primary origin (i.e., geogenic) and are inherited from the parent material. Gypsum of pedogenic origin varies inshape, size, form, and distribution with soil depth. (Ataa and Muhaimeed, 2007).

Quartz is the one of the most abundant minerals in Al-Hindiya, among the reasons for its abundance are:







Figure 10.Gypsum index map of Al-Hindiya.



Figure 11.Quartz index map of Al-Hindiya.

~	T 1 1	~ · · ·	A/ A/I / I	Interpretation		
Class	Index values	Cover area km [*]	% of the study area	Category	% of the total study area	
Calcite						
1	0 - 39	76.641	5.373	Very rare	5.373	
2	39 - 64	167.202	11.721	Very rare - rare	11.721	
3	64 - 83	243.991	17.105	Dare	32 624	
4	83 - 102	221.365	15.519	Kaic	52.024	
5	102 - 121	211.721	14.842	Medium	32 898	
6	121 - 138	257.559	18.056	nicurum	52.070	
7	138 - 160	167.216	11.722	Medium - high	11.722	
8	160 - 194	59.763	4.190	High	4.190	
9	194 - 255	20.999	1.472	High - very high	1.472	
Total		1426.457	100		100	
Class	Index values	Cover area km2	% of the study area "	Int	erpretation	
Class	index values	Cover area kiir	% of the study area	Category	% of the total study area	
Gypsum						
1	0 - 43	27.183	1.906	Very rare	1.906	
2	43 - 73	139.159	9.756	Very rare - rare	9.756	
3	73 - 97	137.243	9.621	Rare	9.621	
4	97 - 119	292.843	20.529	Rare - medium	20.529	
5	119 - 138	343.069	24.050	Medium	24.050	
6	138 - 158	246.154	17.256	Medium - high	17.256	
7	158 - 179	126.380	8.860	High	14 418	
8	179 - 204	79.276	5.558		11.110	
9	204 - 255	35.150	2.464	Very high	2.464	
Total		1426.457	100	Tute	100	
Class	Index values	Cover area km ²	% of the study area	Inte	rpretation	
			·····	Category	% of the total study area	
Quartz						
1	0 - 55	37.010	2.595	Very rare - rare	2.595	
2	55 - 80	202.543	14.199	-		
3	80 - 98	242.843	17.024	Rare	31.223	
4	98 - 116	263.877	18.499	Rare - medium	18.499	
5	116 - 136	316.343	22.177	Medium	22.177	
6	136 - 155	169.949	11.914	Medium - high	11.914	
7	155 - 178	92,709	6 499	High	6 499	
	178 - 209	65 307	4 578	High - very high	4 578	
9	209 - 255	35 876	2,515	Very high	2,515	
	207-255		2.515	, cr , men	2.313	
Total		1426.457	100		100	

Table 2. Class cover areas of Calcite, Gypsum, and Quartz in Al-Hindiya.

• its stability in a wide pressure and temperature range.

• its chemical and physical resistance to weathering.

Quartz tends to accumulate in deposits of eroded material, both due to its physical and chemical resistance and because it is often formed from silicate minerals during chemical weathering(Driscoll, 2010).

4.1.3.Spatial distribution of clay minerals

Spatial distribution ofclay minerals Illite_Montmorillonite, Kaolinite, and Montmorillonite_Illite classes were determined and given in Fig. 12, 13, 14. Nine index classes were interpreted under five categories (Dogan, 2009).

According to the spatial distribution of Illite_Montmorillonite, the main part of the study area (67.182%) was assessed in 'very rare-rare' category of the total study area (Table 3). The areas between'rare' and 'medium' categories covered (22.033%) of the total study area, whilethe areas in 'medium-high' category coveredsmall portion (8.850%) of the study area. The areas that contain Illite_Montmorillonite in 'high-very high' category covered minor portion (1.935%) of the study area.

InKaolinite point of view, the majority (73.918%) of the study area was evaluated in 'very rarerare' category (Table3). The areas between 'rare' and 'medium' categories covered (24.212%) of the study area, while the areas in 'medium-high'category covered very small part (1.456%) of the study area. The areas in 'high-very high' category covered minor portion (0.414%) of the study area.

Spatial distribution of Montmorillonite_Illite showed that the main part of the study area (74.622%) was evaluated in 'very rare-rare' category, while the areas between 'rare' and 'medium' categories covered (16.137%) of the total study area (Table 3). The areas that contain Montmorillonite_Illitein 'medium-high' category covered small portion (8.285%) of the study area. The areasbetween 'high' and 'very high' categories covered minor portion (0.956%) of the study area.

The results for clay minerals maps indicated that clay fraction is dominated by kaolinite, mixed layer Illite_montmorillonite, and montmorillonite_Illite in Al-Hindiya. The clay mineralsmay be transported by Euphrates river and deposited as fluvial deposits often bearinginherited properties from the weathered parent rock, so it is possible to usethese minerals as tracer to elucidate the sources area.Mixed layers of clay minerals are formed by the stacking of layers of different types or composition, and the diagentic alteration processes are the main causes of increasing mixed layers (Meunier, 2005). Kaolinite can be formed by weathering of potash feldspar minerals (Grim, 1968) or as a result of reerosion of ancient sediments (Al-Rawi, 1977), it may exists in the fluvial or coastal environments (Grim, 1968). The original source is either detrital coming from the high drainage channels or as a result from diagenetic processes during transportation by leaching of other minerals. The depositional environment of these minerals may be characterized by an arid to semi-arid climate in the source area (Al-Ali, 2010).



Figure 12. Illite_Montmorilloniteindex map of Al-Hindiya.



Figure 13. Kaolinite index map of Al-Hindiya.



Figure 14. Montmorillonite_Illite index map of Al-Hindiya.

		Cover area km²	% of the study area	Interpretation	
Class	Index values			Category	% of the total study area
llite_Mont	morillonite				
1	0 - 44	167.730	11.759	Very rare	11.759
2	44 - 62	351.402	24.635	Very rare - rare	24.635
3	62 - 80	245.083	17.181	Rare	30.788
4	80 - 98	194.104	13.607		
5	98 - 116	188.764	13.233	Rare - medium	13.233
6	116 - 135	125.535	8.800	Medium	8.800
7	135 - 157	66.399	4.655	Medium - high	4.655
8	157 - 184	59.834	4.195	High	4.195
9	184 - 255	27.606	1.935	High - very high	1.935
Total		1426.457	100		100
		-		Inte	erpretation

Table 3. Class cover areas of Illite_Montmorillonite, Kaolinite, and Montmorillonite_Illite in Al-Hindiya.

Class Index values Cover area km ² % of the study area	l '
---	-----

% of the total	study area
----------------	------------

Category

Kaolinite					
1	0 - 49	151.028	10.587	Very rare	10.587
2	49 - 64	318.027	22.295	Very rare - rare	22.295
3	64 - 79	283.805	19.896	Dare	41.036
4	79 - 91	301.557	21.14	Kait	41.050
5	91 - 104	222.330	15.586	Rare - medium	15.586
6	104 - 122	78.120	5.476	Medium	8 676
7	122 - 146	44.921	3.15	Medium	0.020
8	146 - 180	20.766	1.456	Medium - high	1.456
9	180 - 255	5.903	0.414	High - very high	0.414
Total		1426.457	100		100

Interpretation

Class	Index values	Cover area km2	% of the study area		
Class	Index values	Cover area Kiii	70 OF the study area	Category	% of the total study area

Total		1426.457	100		100
9	189 - 255	13.637	0.956	High - very high	0.956
8	159 - 189	27.409	1.921	High	1.921
7	132 - 159	90.779	6.364	Medium - high	6.364
6	108 - 132	93.110	6.527	Medium	6.527
5	87 - 108	137.081	9.610	Rare - medium	9.610
4	68 - 87	220.837	15.482	Kale	57.980
3	51 - 68	321.006	22.504	Dara	27.096
2	33 - 51	266.364	18.673	very late	50.050
1	0 - 33	256.234	17.963	Veru rare	26.626
Montmorill	onite_Illite				

4.2.Correlation coefficients of mineral composite (MC)

Correlation analysis results of 46points delineated the relationships among produced raster maps of mineral composite (Table 4, 5, 6).

4.2.1.Correlation coefficients of heavy minerals

A positive correlation (0.370) was detected betweenAmphibole and Hematitevariables 0.05 level (2-tailed) emphasizing the relationship between these two variables(Table 4).

Index	Amphibole	Hematite	Magnetite
Amphibole	1		
Hematite	0.370*	1	
Magnetite	0.001	0.271	1

Table 4.	Pearson	correlations	of Amphibole	, Hematite, and	Magnetite indices.
				, ,	0

*Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

The distribution of Fe_2O_3 in floodplain sediment is related mainly to the geological substratum and mineralised areas, and particularly to areas with mafic and ultramafic rocks, and mineralisation. Iron is a major element in soil with a median value of 2.1% (Rose et al., 1979). It is present mostly as Fe^{2+} in ferro-magnesian silicates, such as Olivine, Pyroxene, Amphibole and Biotite, and as Fe^{3+} in iron oxides and hydroxides, as the result of weathering. It has both lithophile and chalcophile properties, forming several common minerals, including pyrite FeS_2 , magnetite Fe_3O_4 , haematite Fe_2O_3 and siderite $FeCO_3$. It is also present in many rock-forming minerals, including Mica, Garnet, Amphibole, Pyroxene and Olivine.

4.2.2.Correlation coefficients of light minerals

Correlation analysis results betweenGypsumandCalcitevariables showed positive correlation (0.397) at 0.01 level (2-tailed)emphasizing the strong relationship between thesetwo variables(Table 5).

Tuble 5.1 curson correlations of Galerice, Gypsum, and Quartzmatees.						
Index	Calcite	Gypsum	Quartz			
Calcite 1						
Gypsum	0.397**	1				
Quartz	-0.156	-0.532**	1			

**Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Different forms of sulfate minerals can be found in soils, with gypsum (CaSO₄·2H₂O) being the dominant type that occurs in central Iraq. The hot and dry climatic conditions are more suitable for the formation of gypsum than other forms of calcium sulfate minerals such as bassanite and anhydrite (Muhaimeed et al., 2013).

Quartz variable negatively correlated withGypsum (-0.532)at the 0.01 level (2-tailed) (Table 5). Quartz forms the main light silicate minerals owing to its high resistance to erosion. It is abundant in soils, mainly originating from physicalweathering (fragmentation) of the parent material but also, bysolution weathering, from carbonate parent materials (M a r tí n- G a r cí a et al., 2015).On the other handGypsum occurs in nature as a crystalline solid, and thesolubility of Gypsum is impacted bymanyfactors includingparticle size distribution, the amount of organic matter, the surface soilstructure,the soil's moisture condition, and the timing and volume of rainfall (Amezketa et al., 2005).

4.2.3.Correlation coefficients of clay minerals

Correlation analysis results of clay mineralsshowed that there were no significant correlations among Illite_Montmorillonite, Kaolinite, and Montmorillonite_Illite(Table 6) indicatingthat the Lower Mesopotamian Plain was covered by a recent layer of flood material and in particular, irrigation sediment. This sediment has been deposited over very extensive areas as the result of an artificial process of sedimentation by a controlled irrigation system (Buringh, 1960).

Table 6. Pearson correlations of Illite_Montmorillonite, Kaolinite, and Montmorillonite_Illiteindices	Table 6. Pears	son correlations of	f Illite_Montmori	llonite, Kaolinite, a	and Montmorillonite	Illiteindices.
---	----------------	---------------------	-------------------	-----------------------	---------------------	----------------

Index	Illite_Montmorillonite	Kaolinite	Montmorillonite_Illite	
Illite_Montmorillonite	1			
Kaolinite	-0.046	1		
Montmorillonite_Illite	-0.003	0.182	1	

V. Conclusions

In this study, mineral composite raster maps of Al-Hindyia were produced by using the RS and GIS tools.Consequently, the areas in rich andpoor mineral composite content were determined with their cover size and geographical locations in a reliable and quicker way.Wemay generally conclude:

- 1. The results of spatial distribution for heavy minerals maps indicated that the majority of the study area has Magnetite but poorAmphibole and Hematite.
- 2. The results of spatial distribution for light minerals maps indicated that the sediments of the study area are composed essentially of Calcite and Gypsum, as dominant with Quartz.
- 3. The results of spatial distribution for clay minerals maps indicated that clay fraction is dominated by Kaolinite, mixed layer Illite_Montmorillonite, and Montmorillonite_Illite.
- 4. A positive correlation was detected betweenAmphibole and Hematitevariables t 0.05 level (2-tailed) due to the distribution of Fe_2O_3 in floodplain sediment related mainly to the geological substratum and mineralised areas.
- 5. Correlation analysis results between Gypsum and Calcite variables showed positive correlation at 0.01 level (2-tailed). This means that, calcium carbonate can be found in soils, with gypsum (CaSO₄·2H₂O) being the dominant type that occurs in central Iraq. A negative correlation between Quartz and Gypsum variables was found at 0.01 level (2-tailed). This result is related to the higher chemical and physical resistance of Quartz to weathering in comparison to the moderately soluble Gypsum.
- 6. There were no significant correlations amongclay minerals indicating that the Lower Mesopotamian Plain was covered by a recent layer of flood material.

References

- Al-Ali,S. H.2010. Geochemical and mineralogical study of the fluvial deposits at Abul Khasib area, south east of Iraq.Mesopot. J. Mar. Sci.25(2): 154-165.
- [2] Albadran, B. 2006. Sedimentology and mineralogy of the Al-Hammar Marsh / Southern Iraq: A review. Marsh Bulletin, 1(1): 32-39.
- [3] Al-Dabbas M.; M. A. Abbas; and R. Al- Khafaji. 2011. The mineralogical and micro-organisms effects of regional dust stormsover Middle East region. International Journal of Water Resources and Arid Environments, 1(2): 129-141.
- [4] Allan, T. 2001. The Middle East Water Question: Hydropolitics and the Global Economy. Publisher I.B. Tauris.
- [5] Al-Marsoumi, A. M. H.; and M. H. A. Al-Jabbri. 2007. Basrah Soils;Geochemical Aspects and PhysicalProperties- A Review. Basrah Journal of Scienec, 25(1): 89-103.
- [6] Al-Ramadan, B. 2012. Mineral Exploration Using GIS.CRP 514: Introduction to GIS.City and Regional Planning Department, King Fahad University of Petroleum and Minerals.
- [7] Al-Rawi, I. K. 1977. Sedimentological study of the alluvial plain deposits in Diwania area. Unpublished M.Sc. Thesis, Baghdad University.
- [8] Amezketa, E.; R. Aragues; and R. Bazol. 2005.Efficiency of sulfuric acid, mined gypsum and two gypsum byproducts in soil crusting prevention and sodic soil reclamation. Agronomy Journal, 97: 983-989.
- [9] Aranoff, S. 2005. Remote sensing for GIS managers. ESRI Press, Redlands, California, 487 pp.
- [10] Ataa, R. M.; and A. S. Muhaimeed. 2007. Genesis of some gypsiferous soils in Iraq.Al-Takani J. 13:95-104.
- [11] Buday, T.; and S. Z., Jassim. 1987. The regional geology of Iraq. Vol.2. Tectonism, Magmatism and Metamorphism. GEOSURV. Baghdad. 352 pp.
- [12] Buringh, P. 1960. Soils and soil conditions in Iraq. Ministry of Agriculture, Baghdad, Iraq.
- [13] Corral, I.; F. González; A. Griera; M. Corbella; D. Gómez-Gras; andE. Cardellach. 2011. Landsat ETM+ Imaging for the Exploration of Epithermal Deposits in the Azuero Peninsula (Panama).revista de la sociedad española de mineralogía, macla nº 15.
- [14] Dogan, H. M. 2009. Mineral composite assessment of Kelkit River Basin in Turkey by means of remote sensing. Journal of Earth Systems Science, 118(6): 701-710.
- [15] Domas, J. 1983. The Mesopotamian Plain Project/The Geology of Karbala-Kut-Ali Al-Gharbi area. D.G. of Geological Survey and Mining, Rep. No.4.
- [16] Driscoll, K. 2010. Understanding quartz technology in early prehistoric Ireland. PhD thesis. UCD School of Archaeology, University College Dublin, Ireland. Scribd.com. Retrieved.
- [17] ERDAS. 2014. ERDAS Field Guide. Norcross, GA: Leica Geosystems GIS & Mapping, LLC.
- [18] ESRI. 2008. ArcGIS 9.3, What is in ArcGIS 9.3. (Environmental Systems Research Institute, Redlands, California, USA).
- [19] Grim, R. E. 1962. Applied clay mineralogy. McGraw-Hill Book Co. Inc., New York.
- [20] Grim, R. E. 1968. Clay mineralogy. 2nd Ed., McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 596 pp.
- [21] Iraqi meteorological organization. 2008. Climatic elements data of recorded in Karbala station for period from (1976 2007).
- [22] Jassim, S. Z.; and J. C., Goff. 2006. Geology of Iraq. Dolin, Prague and Moravian Museum, Brno, 341 pp.
- [23] Khan, J. 2013. Preliminary Results Hyperspectral Image, Analysis for Dolomite Identification in Tarbela, Dam Region of Pakistan. International Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering, 2(3): 30-34.
- [24] Mahmoud, M. M.; and R. A. Al-Ani. 1985. Heavy mineral analysis of sand dunes in the Western Desert of Iraq. Jour. Geol. Soc. Iraq. 18(1): 180-196.
- [25] Martín-Carcía, J. M.; R. Márquez; G. Delgado; M. Sánchez-Mara-ñón; and R. Delg-ado. 2015. Relationships between quartz weathering and soil type(Entisol, Inceptisol and Alfisol) in Sierra Nevada(southeast Spain). European Journal of Soil Science, 66: 179-193.
- [26] Meunier, A. 2005. Clays. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 472 pp.
- [27] Microsoft. 2010. Microsoft Office Excel. Microsoft Office Professional Edition (USA: Microsoft Corporation).
- [28] Muhaimeed, A. S.; S. R. al-Jeboory; K. A. Saliem; R. Burt; and J. V. Chiaretti.2013. Genesis and classification of selected soilsin an arid region of Central Iraq.A peer-reviewed contribution published in Soil Horizons, pp. 1-13.
- [29] Peel, M. C.;B. L. Finlayson; and T. A. McMahon. 2007. "Updated world map of the Köppen-Geiger climate classification". Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. 11: 1633-1644.

- [30] Rose, A. W.; H. E. Hawkes; and J. S. Webb. 1979. Geochemistry in mineral exploration. Academic Press, New York, N.Y., pp. 490-517.
- [31] Sanlaville, P. 1989. "considérations sur l'évolution de la basse mésopotamie au cours des derniers millénaires". Paléorient (in French) (Paléorient and CNRS Editions).
- [32] Sarajlic, S. 2012. Land cover change and mineral composite assessment of Tushka Depression, in Egypt, using remote sensing and GIS. Geology Graduate Student, Geosciences Department, Georgia State University.
- [33] Shippert, P. 2003. "Introduction to Hyperspectral Image Analysis". Online Journal of Space Communication, Ohio University, Athens,OH45701, US online at http://spacejournal.ohio.edu/pdf/shippert.pdf,accessed 4 Jan 2013.
- Soil Survey Staff. 2014. Keys to soil taxonomy. 11th.ed; 2014. USDA-Natural Resources Conservation Service. [34]
- [35] SPSS. 2001. SPSS 11.0 for Windows. SPSS Inc., Chicago.

Amal M. Saleh. "Mineral Composite Assessment of Kerbala District in Iraq By Means of Remote Sensing." IOSR Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science (IOSR-JAVS), vol. 10, no. 10, 2017, pp. 01-19. -----
