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Abstract: This study was conducted to determine seroprevalence of brucella infection in cows in Kafer-El Sheikh and Qualyobia governorates and evaluate the biochemical parameters of infected cows that reflect the effects of brucella infection on animal health and performance. A total of 240 blood samples were collected from cows (120 from Kafr-El Shick and 120 from Qualyobia). All sera were tested by Rose Bengal Plate Test (RBPT), Buffered acidified Plate Antigen Test (BAPAT) and Complement Fixation test (CFT). Brucellosis antibodies were detected in 18 of 120 (15%) and 6 of 120 (5%) cattle serum samples collected from Kafr-El Shick and Qualyobia governorates respectively. There was a significant correlation between brucellosis antibodies in cattle serum samples and location where the blood samples be collected. The highest prevalence of brucellosis antibodies was detected in cattle serum samples > 6 years of age in two governorates. Biochemical examinations revealed significant increase in Alanine aminotransferase (ALT), Aspartate aminotransferase (AST), Creatinine kinase (CK) and cholesterol levels in serum of Brucella infected cows in comparison with healthy cows. Meanwhile no significant changes were reported in urea, creatinine, glucose and C-reactive protein (CRP) levels. Changes in biochemical parameters revealed that brucellosis has harmful effects on cow’s health. It could be concluded that determination of biochemical parameters in cow can determine the extent of damage caused by brucella infection.
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I. Introduction

Brucellosis, caused by Brucella species, is a chronic zoonotic disease which is facultative anaerobic non-motile intracellular bacteria and Gram-negative [1]. The species of Brucella are Br. abortus in cattle as major host, Br. Melitensis in goats, Br. suis in swine and Br. ovis in sheep. Br. abortus also causes infection in horses and is commonly found as a secondary invader rather than a primary pathogen in chronic bursal enlargements [2]. Brucellosis is a widespread and highly infectious disease, with a great economical impact on cattle farming [3]. Bovine brucellosis is usually caused by Brucella abortus. It is characterized by abortion, with excretion of the organisms in uterine discharge and milk. Major economic losses result from abortion. Loss of calves, reduced milk yield in females and infertility in males[4]. It is also well known that animal infected with Brucella species contaminate the environment and play an important role in the spread of these infections to other susceptible animals. Moreover, these infections are zoonotic and populations such as farm workers, abattoir workers, veterinary surgeons and shepherds are also at risk of these infections. Therefore, it is essential to conduct serological tests to detect carriers and keep the flocks free of the infections [5].

Brucella infection transmitted to human through the contact with the infected materials or consumption of contaminated raw milk and milk products due to traditional feeding habits [6]. Eradication of brucellosis in animals is a required step to control the human diseases [7]. Although, brucellosis has been successfully eradicated in some countries but it is still endemic in many regions of the world [8]. In Egypt, the brucellosis was reported for the first time in 1939 for and is now endemic in some governorates of the country [9].

Diagnosis of brucellosis not basic on abortion only due to it equivocal since many pathogens can induce abortion; thus laboratory testing is essential [10]. Brucellosis is not having specific symptoms so the clinical diagnosis of the disease is difficult. Therefore the diagnosis must be supported and confirmed by the detection of antibodies against bacterial antigens, or by the isolation of the agent mostly from blood culture [11] and [12]. Some serological methods are easy to perform and can be used in the field itself. Rose Bengal Plate Test (RBPT) is one of them used to screen the flocks as a spot agglutination test. The test is highly sensitive for individual diagnosis and can be performed in the field. The RBPT can be applied in all animal species serum samples but should be confirmed the positive results by a quantitative test [13]. BAPAT and RBPT serological tests revealed the highest rate of sensitivity that guide us to use these tests as screening tests on animals brucellosis.

Brucellosis have serious effects on animal health because it infect vital organs in the body such as heart, liver, kidney and muscle leading to impairment of their function through increase or decrease the released enzymes according to the stage of infection and their damage, [14], [15] and [16]. These changes in blood
metabolites can be used as indicators for the infection and consequently help in their diagnosis [17]. There are so many factors that can affect the prevalence of brucellosis in various species of livestock so, the prevalence of brucellosis may vary with time even in the same region [18]. Prevalence of brucellosis can vary according to climatic conditions, geography, species, sex, age and diagnostic tests applied.

The aims of this work are to determine seroprevalence of brucella infection in cows in Kafer El- Shick and Qualyobia governorates and to evaluate the biochemical parameters of infected cows that reflect the effects of brucella infection on animal health and performance.

II. Material and methods

II. 1. Retrospective study:
Data on brucellosis disease outbreaks which occurred at 2010 to 2016 in Egypt mainly Kafr- El Sheikh and Qualyobia governorates were collected from World Organization of Animal Health at its web site [19].

II. 2. Sampling:
The blood samples were collection from two different farms according to type of housing and location of farms. A total number of 240 blood samples were collected from cows including apparent health and aborted animals (120 from kafr- El Shick farm with parlour and loose house system and 120 from Qualyobia farms with cow house system). The studied animals varied in age and breed.

Ten ml of blood samples were collected from the jugular vein of each animal and samples transported on ice to the laboratory of Animal Hygiene, Behavior and Management Department, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Benha University. The blood samples were left in tightly closed tubes overnight at 4°C, and then centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 minutes to separate the sera. The clear serum was obtained by using sterile Pasteur pipettes and placed in Eppendorff tubes, labeled and stored at -70°C.

II. 3. Site of sampling:
The samples were collected from cows at different localities and housing system. Kafr- El Shick cow farm was had high hygienic condition including continuous evacuation of manure, burning of litter and good disinfection program for farms and vehicles, while Qualyobia farm was had bad hygienic conditions. Kafr- El Shick cows farm was closely located to sheep farms.

II. 4. Serological tests:
The collected blood samples were tested for brucella antibodies using serological tests according to [19]. All sera were tested by RBPT, BAPAT and CFT was performed as described in the manual of standards for diagnostic tests and vaccines. Brucella seropositive cows were designated as those with both a positive screening tests and CFT results.

II. 4.1. Rose Bengal Plate Test (RBPT):
This test was done according to [20]. The brucella abortus antigen for RBPT is an 8% Rose Bengal stained Br. abortus strain 99 cells in lactate buffer PH (3.65± 0.05).

II. 4.2. Buffered acidified Plate Antigen Test (BAPAT):
This test was carried out according to [21]. The brucella abortus antigen for BAPAT is a crystal violet brilliant green strain Br. abortus strain 99 cells at concentration of 11% in lactate buffer PH (4.02± 0.04).

II. 4.3. Complement Fixation Test (CFT):
This test was done according to [22]. USDA'S standard tube tests concentrate (4.5% Br. abortus biovar 1 strain 1119-3 cells in phenol saline\final PH 6.8). It was kindly offered by the National Veterinary Service Laboratories (NVSL), Ames, USA.

II. 5. Biochemical analysis:
ALT and AST were determined spectrophotometrically according to [23]. Creatinine was determined spectrophotometrically according to [24]. Urea was determined spectrophotometrically according to [25]. Glucose was determined by enzymatic-colorimetric method according to [26]. Cholesterol was determined by enzymatic colourimetric method according to [27]. Creatinine kinase (CK) was determined according to the method described by [28]. C-reactive protein (CRP) was determined according to [29].

II. 6. Statistical analysis:
The statistical analyses were done by Univariate Analysis of Variance, one way ANOVA and Independent t-tests using SPSS program version 20. P value < 0.05 was assumed for statistical significance.
III. Results

Surveillance was conducted to investigate the sero-pervalence of Brucellosis in susceptible animals’ cattle sampled from Kafr-El Sheikh and Qualyobia governorates. Antibodies were detected using RBPT, BAPAT and CFT. Results are illustrated in table (1-4) and chart (1-3). The results of the serum biochemical parameters determination are presented in table (5).

Brucellosis antibodies were detected in 18 of 120 (15%) and 6 of 120 (5%) cattle serum samples collected from Kafr-El Sheikh and Qualyobia governorates respectively (Table 1). There was a significant correlation between brucellosis antibodies in cattle serum samples and location where the blood samples were collected (Table 2). The highest prevalence of brucellosis antibodies was detected in cattle serum samples > 6 years of age in two governorates (Table 3). Brucella seroprevalence was significantly higher in adult cattle than in young one (p < 0.05) (Table 4). Biochemically, It was observed that serum ALT, AST and CK activities and cholesterol level showed significant increase (p<0.05) in Brucella infected cows when compared with healthy cows. However, serum CRP, BUN, creatinine and glucose levels did not show much variation between brucella infected and healthy cows table (5).


Chart (2): Brucellosis outbreaks in Kafr-El Sheikh and Qualyobia governorates during last 6 years.
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Table (1): Seroprevalence of brucellosis among cattle serum samples at Kafr-EL Sheikh and Qualyobia farms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Governorates</th>
<th>No. of samples</th>
<th>No. of positive</th>
<th>% positive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kafr-El Shick</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qualyobia</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table (2): The effect of location on the mean and standard error of brucellosis antibodies in cattle serum samples:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locations</th>
<th>Mean ± SE of brucellosis antibodies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kafr-El Shick</td>
<td>1.15 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qualyobia</td>
<td>1.05 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- The mean with different superscript in the same column are significantly different.
- The significant difference at the 0.05 level.
- The confidence interval for mean equal 95%

Table (3): Seroprevalence of brucellosis among cattle sera in relation to age at Kafr-EL Sheikh and Qualyobia farms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Governorates</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>No. of samples</th>
<th>No. of positive</th>
<th>% positive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kafr-El Shick</td>
<td>≤ 1.5 yrs</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5-6 yrs</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 6 yrs</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>26.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qualyobia</td>
<td>≤ 1.5 yrs</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5-6 yrs</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 6 yrs</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>22.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table (4): The effect of age on the mean and standard error of brucellosis antibodies in cattle serum samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Mean ± SE of brucellosis antibodies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kafr-El Shick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 1.5 years</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5-6 years</td>
<td>1.06 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;6 years</td>
<td>1.26 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- The mean with different superscript in the same column are significantly different.
- The significant difference at the 0.05 level.
- The confidence interval for mean equal 95%
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table (5): Some serum biochemical parameters (Mean ±SE) in control and <em>Brucella</em> infected groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Biochemical parameters</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT (U/L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AST (U/L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CK (U/L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP (U/L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol (mg/dl)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea (mg/dl)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine (mg/dl)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mg/dl)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means in the same row with different superscript letters are significantly different at p<0.05

**IV. Discussion**

Brucellosis is one of the most serious animal and human diseases. It is a zoonotic infectious disease and can affect the economy of a country by incurring heavy loss to the livestock and dairy industries [30]. Health surveillance is the ongoing systemic collection, analysis and interpretation of health data essential for planning, implementing and evaluating public health activities. The scope of surveillance is broad from early evaluation of localities and housing system where blood samples were collected. There is direct relationship between herd size and probability of herd brucellosis in dairy cattle. The larger populations often have a greater density which results in a greater potential for exposure to infection.

The degree of shedding from infected animals is the most critical factor. The obtained results showed significant increase in CK level in *Brucella* infected cows when compared with healthy cows. Similar results were reported previously [38], [41] reported different forms of endometritis, ulcerative, granulomatous, hemorrhagic and chronic during histopathological examination of uterus of cows infected with *Brucella*. Moreover, [42] founded that cows with pathological uterine findings (clinical endometritis) have elevated CK and AST activities in serum that correlated significantly with the degree of endometritis.

Serum cholesterol concentration showed significant increase in *Brucella* infected cows in comparison with healthy cows. This results agreed with that of [43] who indicated that *brucella* infection increase serum total cholesterol, LDL-cholesterol and high level of LDL/HDL ratio in *brucella* infected animals. The increase
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in the serum cholesterol concentration may be attributed to hepatic damage. Normal metabolizing activity and excretory as bile acids of liver gets hampered so the cholesterol accumulated [13]. Or may be attributed to reduced lipoprotein lipase enzyme activity, [44] reported that Brucella abortus is a gram- negative intracellular bacterium; it induces the production of tumor necrosis factor (TNFα). The production of TNFα inhibits lipoprotein lipase enzyme [45].

There were no significant changes in urea, creatinine, glucose and CRP levels in cows infected with Brucella when compared with healthy cows. [46] found no significant changes in serum creatinine level in Brucella infected cows. Also, [37] reported no significant changes in glucose level in brucella infected cows. On the other hand, [47] and [13] reported significant increase in creatinine level in brucella infected cattle and significant decrease in glucose level in brucella infected ewes respectively. Also, [36] found a significant correlation between CRP level and antibodies titre in patients infected with brucella. The variation may be due to severity of brucellosis or may be due to difference of animal species. [14] mentioned that brucella species infect vital organs of the body leading to their function impairment. This function impairment depends on the stage of brucellosis and extent of organ’s damage.

V. Conclusion

From the present study, Egypt is endemic area with brucellosis so, implement the periodic seroprevalence studies in the susceptible animal for early diagnosis of brucella infection which, it is a good tool for eradication of brucellosis. brucella infection has degenerative effect on liver. Study of biochemical parameters can determine the extent of hepatic damage caused by brucellosis.
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