In Vitro Gas Production Parameters And Fermentation Characteristics Of Maize Stovers Ensiled With Or Without Additives
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Abstract: The in vitro gas production parameters and gas production characteristics of six silage types (T1 – T6) from chopped green maize stover (CGMS) and Chopped dry maize stover (CDMS) ensiled with or without ground dried cassava peels GCP) or dried poultry litter DPL were assessed using the gas production technique. The chemical composition of the silages was also analyzed. Crude protein (CP) was highest (11.94%) in T3 (CGMS + 36% w/w DPL) and lowest (5.06%) in T5 (CDMS + 5% w/w GCP). Nitrogen free extract (NFE) ranged from 52.02% in T3 (CGMS + 36% w/w DPL) to 63.78% in T5 (CDMS + 5% w/w GCP). In vitro gas production volume (IVGP), organic matter digestibility (OMD %), metabolizable energy (ME), methane (CH₄) and short chain fatty acid (SCFA) were not significantly (P > 0.05) different among the silages but dry matter degradability (DMD %) significantly differ (P < 0.05), T1 being the highest (60.53%) and T4 the lowest (29.27%). Gas production characteristics were significantly different among the silages. It was concluded that ensiling maize stover with ground dried cassava peels or dried poultry litter improved the gas production characteristics and the nutritive value of the silage.
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I. Introduction

Several assessments have indicated that increases in demand for livestock products, consequent to increases in human population growth, income growth and urbanization, will continue for at least the next three decades [1]. To meet the protein requirement of the ever increasing human populace, our huge livestock population needs special attention of nutritionists for supplying sufficient nutrients not only to fulfill their hunger but also to maintain optimum productivity potentials [2].

Since increased human population and urbanization is accompanied by reduced hope of increasing cultivated area for green forage or regenerating degraded pastures, the feasible alternative is efficient utilization of crop residues for ruminant feeding. Crop residues output has steadily increased during the last four decades in developing countries and have consistently gained recognition as ruminant feedstuffs as they constitute a major portion of roughages. The high percentage of structural carbohydrates and low nitrogen contents of crop residues, however, result in low palatability and poor nutrient utilization in ruminants [3]. Ensiling feedstuffs with water soluble carbohydrates or poultry litter have been found beneficial [4,5].

Feed evaluation is essential for feed producers to know the energy, protein and major mineral levels of the feeds so as to develop balanced, least-cost diets for livestock [6]. The in vitro gas production technique has, for many years, enjoyed so much of advocacy in its use for studying nutritive value of ruminant feedstuffs, having the advantages of being less expensive, less time consuming and allowing the screening of small quantities of feed samples [7, 8].

II. Materials And Method

Preparation of samples

Samples were taken from each of the ensiled stovers and oven-dried at 105°C to constant weight. The dried samples were milled through 1mm sieve hammer mill and 200mg milled sample of each silage type was weighed into incubation bag before placement in the cylinders of 100ml plastic syringes in triplicates. Each treatment had triplicates of syringes without substrates called blanks. The pistons were gently fitted and silicon rubber tubes properly clipped. Samples were also collected for proximate composition
Collection and preparation of rumen fluid
Rumen fluid was collected from three (3) goats under the same feeding regime using suction tube as
described by Babayemi and Bankole [9], prior to morning feeding. The fluid was collected into thermos flask
and taken to the laboratory where it was strained through a four-layered cheese cloth into warm flask, constantly
flushed with CO₂ gas.

Preparation of Buffer Solution
The buffer used consisted of 9.8g NaHCO₃ + 2.77g Na₂HPO₄ + 0.57g KCl + 0.47g NaCl + 0.12g
MgSO₄.7H₂O + 0.16g CaCl₂.2H₂O per litre and was stored in dark bottle. CaCl₂.2H₂O was the last solute to be
added after the others had been in the solution. Before use, a volume of buffer was warmed at 30°C under
continuous flushing with CO₂. During this process, Urea was added to the buffer at the rate of 1.0g/litre.

In vitro gas production
The in vitro gas production was done according to the method described by Menke and Steingass [10].
Inoculum for incubation of samples was prepared by mixing strained rumen liquor with buffer at the ratio 1:4
(v/v). The syringes containing the samples were pre-warmed for an hour in the incubator at 39°C before the start
of incubation. The steel clip on the silicon rubber tube of each syringe was loosened and 30ml of inoculum
dispensed into the syringes through the rubber tubing. The same volume of inoculum was introduced into the
blanks (syringes without substrates). The level of pistons of the syrings and the time of inoculation were
recorded.

The set-up was incubated at 39°C in the incubator for 24 hours while the volume of gas produced was
recorded at 3-hour intervals as 6, 9, 12, 15, 18, 21, 24 hours. The volume of gas was read by measuring the head
space formed between the top of the piston and the mixture in the syringe.

Measurement of methane gas produced
After 24 hours of incubation, 4ml of 10M NaOH was introduced into the syringes through the silicon
tube and properly shaken for proper mixing and absorption of CO₂ gas by the NaOH solution introduced,
according to the method described by Fievez, et al. [11]. After all the CO₂ gas might have been absorbed, the
volume of methane left in the syrings was recorded in ml. The average volume of gas produced from the blanks
was deducted from the volume of gas per sample to estimate the net gas produced for each sample.

Calculation
The gas production characteristics were estimated using the Fit curve macro NEWAY Excel developed by
Chen, [12] for estimating rumen degradability and gas production characteristics, adapting the non-linear
equation of McDonald [13]: Y = a + b (1- e⁻ᶜ(ᵗ⁻ᵗ₀)) for feedstuffs with lag time t₀;
Where Y= volume of gas produced at time t,
  a = volume of gas produced from soluble fraction
  b = volume of gas produced from insoluble but degradable fraction,
  (a+b) = total volume of gas produced,
  c = rate of gas production
  t = incubation time,
  t₀ = lag time
The post incubation parameters such as metabolizable energy (ME, MJ/kg DM), Organic Matter Degradability
(OMD, %) and Short Chain Fatty Acids (SCFA) were estimated at the 24 hours post gas production exercise
according to [10]
ME = 2.20 + 0.136 Gv + 0.057 CP + 0.0029 CF
OMD (%) = 14.88 + 0.889 Gv + 0.45 CP + 0.0651 XA
SCFA= 0.0239 Gv – 0.0601
Where Gv = Net gas volume (ml/200mg DM) at 24 hour,
CP= Crude protein
CF= Crude fiber,
XA= Total ash of the incubated sample
Data collected were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using the SAS [14] software package in a
completely randomized design. Where significant differences existed in means, they were separated using
Duncan [15] Multiple range multiple F-test

III. RESULT
The results of the proximate composition of the silages are presented in Table 1. The CP ranged from
5.06% in T5 to 11.94% in T3. The CF ranged from 20.10% in T3 to 23.37% in T6. Silage DM ranged from
28.10 in T4 to 38.30 in T6. Ash and EE were lowest (3.25 and 0.95% respectively) in T1 and highest (10.35 and 2.4% respectively) in T6 while NFE content ranged from 57.02% in T3 to 63.78% in T5.

Neutral detergent fibre (NDF) was highest (59.43%) in T1 (CGMS only) and with highest (30.12%) amount of hemicellulose. Lignin was highest (17.02%) in T4 (CDMS only) and lowest (8.11%) in T5 (CDMS + GCP).

Table 1: Chemical Composition (%) of Maize Stovers ensiled with or without ground dried cassava peels or dried poultry litter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nutrients ( %)</th>
<th>CGMS Only (T1)</th>
<th>CGMS + 5% GCP (T2)</th>
<th>CGMS + 15% DPL (T3)</th>
<th>CDMS only (T4)</th>
<th>CDMS + 5% GCP (T5)</th>
<th>CDMS + 15% DPL (T6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dry matter</td>
<td>28.90</td>
<td>32.90</td>
<td>36.80</td>
<td>28.10</td>
<td>32.00</td>
<td>38.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude protein</td>
<td>8.75</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>11.94</td>
<td>6.23</td>
<td>5.06</td>
<td>6.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude fibre</td>
<td>23.36</td>
<td>21.30</td>
<td>20.10</td>
<td>23.50</td>
<td>22.59</td>
<td>23.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>6.66</td>
<td>9.02</td>
<td>5.97</td>
<td>6.67</td>
<td>10.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ether extract</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>2.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFE</td>
<td>63.69</td>
<td>63.33</td>
<td>57.02</td>
<td>62.82</td>
<td>63.78</td>
<td>57.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibre fraction (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDF</td>
<td>59.43</td>
<td>40.72</td>
<td>43.93</td>
<td>52.38</td>
<td>48.67</td>
<td>47.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADF</td>
<td>29.31</td>
<td>29.50</td>
<td>28.72</td>
<td>30.60</td>
<td>39.14</td>
<td>37.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADL</td>
<td>11.26</td>
<td>10.41</td>
<td>9.35</td>
<td>17.02</td>
<td>8.11</td>
<td>8.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemicellulose</td>
<td>30.12</td>
<td>11.22</td>
<td>17.21</td>
<td>21.78</td>
<td>9.51</td>
<td>10.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellulose</td>
<td>18.05</td>
<td>19.09</td>
<td>19.37</td>
<td>13.58</td>
<td>29.61</td>
<td>28.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CGMS = Chopped Green Maize Stover only (CGMS only)
CDMS = Chopped Dry Maize Stover only (CDMS only)
GCP = Ground Dried Cassava Peels
DPL = Dried Poultry Litter
+ = ensiled with
NFE = Nitrogen Free Extract
NDF = Neutral detergent fibre
ADF = Acid detergent fibre
ADL = Acid detergent lignin

The result of the in vitro gas production parameters is presented in Table 2. There were no significant differences (P > 0.05) in total gas volume (TGV), in vitro organic matter digestibility IVOMD, metabolizable energy (ME), short chain fatty acid (SCFA) and methane (CH4) production. Absolute values however indicated that T4 (CDMS only) had higher values than the other silages in all the parameter stated above. In vitro dry matter degradability was significantly (P < 0.05) higher (60.53%) in T1 than T4 (29.27%) but similar to T2, T3, T5 and T6.

Table 2: In vitro fermentation parameters of ensiled maize stover and concentrate supplements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>T1</th>
<th>T2</th>
<th>T3</th>
<th>T4</th>
<th>T5</th>
<th>T6</th>
<th>SEM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Gas Volume (ml/200 mg DM)</td>
<td>64.67</td>
<td>65.80</td>
<td>63.81</td>
<td>76.78</td>
<td>61.00</td>
<td>55.90</td>
<td>11.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methane (ml/200 mg DM)</td>
<td>16.67</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>23.33</td>
<td>21.33</td>
<td>19.33</td>
<td>3.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV Organic Matter Digestibility (%)</td>
<td>76.34</td>
<td>76.96</td>
<td>77.57</td>
<td>86.33</td>
<td>71.83</td>
<td>68.05</td>
<td>9.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV Dry Matter Digestibility (%)</td>
<td>60.53a</td>
<td>43.57ab</td>
<td>48.10ab</td>
<td>29.27b</td>
<td>35.57ab</td>
<td>45.23ab</td>
<td>9.65a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolizable Energy (MJ/Kg DM)</td>
<td>11.54</td>
<td>11.61</td>
<td>11.62</td>
<td>13.06</td>
<td>10.85</td>
<td>10.23</td>
<td>1.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Chain Fatty Acids (mmol/200mg DM)</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means with different superscripts are significantly different (P < 0.05)
*T1- T6 as in table 1
IV = In vitro
SEM = Standard error of mean

DOI: 10.9790/2380-1111010813 www.iosrjournals.org 10 | Page
The in vitro gas production characteristics are presented in Table 3. The gas produced from soluble fraction ‘a’, from insoluble but degradable fraction ‘b’ and from the potentially degradable fraction ‘a + b’ were all significantly (P < 0.05) different among the treatments with T5 and T6 having similar but higher values than other silage types. All the silages had negative ‘a’ values. Potential extent of gas production from insoluble but degradable fraction ‘b’ ranged from 55.21 (ml/200mg DM) in T2 to 162.26 (ml/200mg DM) in T6. Gas production from the potentially degradable portion ‘a + b’ was highest (159.01 ml/200mg DM) in T6 and lowest (33.54 ml/200mg DM) in T1.

The gas production rate constants ‘c’ were also significantly (P < 0.05) different. T1 had the fastest (0.16 fraction/h) rate and T5 and T6 had similar and lowest (0.01 fraction/h) rate. All the silages exhibited lag phase (l) during the incubation which were also significantly (P < 0.05) different. Lag phase for T5 was significantly (P < 0.05) higher (6.7 h) than T2 (5.67 h) and T3 (5.5 h).

Table 3: In vitro fermentation characteristics of ensiled maize stover and concentrate supplements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>T1</th>
<th>T2</th>
<th>T3</th>
<th>T4</th>
<th>T5</th>
<th>T6</th>
<th>SEM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>-34.60</td>
<td>-11.80</td>
<td>-0.79</td>
<td>-17.27</td>
<td>-0.48</td>
<td>-3.25</td>
<td>4.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>68.14</td>
<td>55.21</td>
<td>69.68</td>
<td>77.69</td>
<td>139.42</td>
<td>162.24</td>
<td>13.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a+b</td>
<td>33.54</td>
<td>43.35</td>
<td>68.90</td>
<td>60.42</td>
<td>139.44</td>
<td>159.01</td>
<td>15.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l</td>
<td>5.80h</td>
<td>5.67h</td>
<td>5.50h</td>
<td>6.10h</td>
<td>6.70</td>
<td>6.30</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means with different superscripts are significantly different (P < 0.05)
*T1–T6 as in table 1
a = Gas from soluble fraction
b = Potential extent of gas production
a + b = Gas production from potentially fermentable fraction
c = Rate of fermentation
l = Lag time

IV. Discussion

Chemical composition

The crude protein (CP) content of 5.06, 6.19, 6.23 and 7.00% for T5, T6, T4 and T2 respectively were lower than the 1.35% N (8.44% CP) minimum requirement for optimum activities of the rumen microbes [16]. Silages T1 (8.75% CP) and T3 (11.94% CP), however meet the minimum CP requirement prescribed by Norton [16]. T3 also meet the 11.3% minimum CP requirement for growth in ruminants [17]. The lower CP contents of T2 and T5 compared to the CP of their corresponding control silages (T1 and T4 respectively) may be attributed to the dilution effect of starch from the ground cassava peels (GCP) used as ensiling additive and corroborates the report of Deaville and Givens [18].

The NDF was highest (59.43%) in T1 and lowest (40.72%) in T2 although a larger portion of the NDF in T1 is hemicellulose which is more digestible by rumen microbes. T4 had a lignin content (17.00%) similar to the 16.80% reported by Amuda et al. [19] for maize stover silage. All other silages had lower lignin content than the 14.00 - 16.8% reported by Amuda et al. [19] for maize stover silage. All other silages had lower lignin content than the 14.00 – 16.8% reported by [19].

Gas production parameters

Although there were no significant differences (P < 0.05) among the silages in TGV, CH₄, OMD, ME and SCFA, absolute values indicated that T4 produced the highest (77.78 ml/200 mg DM) volume of gas, a substantial amount (23.33 ml) of which is methane, a nutritionally wasteful product [20].

Similarly, although T1 and T4 (both control) had similar values of NFE and ME, T1 had significantly (P < 0.05) higher (60.53%) IVDMD than T4 (29.27%). T2 and T5 (ensiled with 5% GCP) had similar NFE and ME, T2 had higher (43.57%) absolute value of IVDMD than T5 (35.57%). Similarly, although T3 and T6 were similar in NFE and ME values, T3 had higher (48.10%) absolute value of IVDMD than T6 (45.23%).

Each of the pairs compared comprised of silage from fresh and dry maize stover with fresh maize stover silage having higher IVDMD than the dry maize stover silage. The observed differences in IVDMD
between comparative silages agree with the report of Cone, et al. [21], who noted that the stage at harvest affects the digestibility of feed resources and that two maize silages with the same energy value may differ in animal performance (DMD inclusive) because of different energy composition (starch vs cell wall).

The extremely low (29.27%) IVDMD recorded for T4 as compared to other silage treatments could be attributed to its high (17.0%) lignin content. Depeters & Hegy [22] earlier noted that high ADL depresses digestibility of feed by rumen microbes. Considering the minimum required degradability range of 40 – 50% [23], silages T1, T2, T3 and T6 could serve as dry season maintenance ration for ruminants with little or no concentrate supplementation while T4 and T5 must necessarily be supplemented to contribute to dry season ruminant feed [23].

**Gas production characteristics**

The potential extent of gas production from the insoluble but degradable fraction 'b' and gas production from the potentially degradable fraction 'a + b' were significantly different (P < 0.05) among the silages and both follow the same trend. T5 and T6 were similar but significantly (P < 0.05) higher than the other silages in 'b' and 'a + b' values. Among the silages from dry maize stover (T4 – T6), T6 had the highest (159.01ml/200mg DM) while T4 had the lowest (60.42ml/200mg DM). Also among the silages from fresh maize stover (T1 – T3), T3 had the highest (68.90ml/200mg DM) absolute value of ‘a + b’ while T1 had the least (33.54ml/200mg DM) value.

Although T5 and T6 or T2 and T3 were statistically similar, absolute value of T6 was higher than T5 and that of T3 higher than T2. This suggests that the use of poultry litter as ensilage additive improves gas production characteristic better than the use of ground cassava peels [4]. The observed differences might also be due to the interplay of lignin and CP content of the different samples as high ADL had been noted to depress digestibility [22].

All the silages exhibited lag phase (L) which was the time taken for the microbes to effectively colonize the substrate. The earliest to start gas production was T3 (5.50 h) which also had the highest (11.94%) CP. This observation agrees with the earlier finding of Norton [16]. The highest (0.16 mg/h) rate of gas production ‘c’ was however observed in T1 and the lowest (0.01mg/h) rate in T5 and T6. This perhaps may be due to the presence of more fermentable carbohydrates (hemicelluloses) in T1 as compared to other silage types [20].

**V. Conclusion**

It was concluded from this study that dried poultry litter or ground cassava peels as silage additives improved the gas production characteristic of maize stover silage and could be used to ensile maize stover as dry season feed for ruminants.
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