Safflower Aphid, Uroleocon Compositae (Theobald) and its management: A review

Ghayal Nivedita*, Waghmare Kranti¹, Shindikar Mahesh²

*(Department of Botany, M.E.S. Abasaheb Garware College, Pune-04, MS, India) ¹(A. Kulkarni Department of Biodiversity, M.E.S. Abasaheb Garware College, Pune-04, MS, India) ²(Department of Applied Sciences, College of Engineering Pune-05, MS, India) Corresponding Author: Ghayal Nivedita

Abstract: Safflower (Carthamus tinctorius L.) is one of the important oil producing crops cultivated in India. It has several importances in dye industry, pharmaceutical industry and food industry. Some biotic and abiotic stresses affect the production of safflower. Among all the biotic stress uroleocon compositae theobald is the main pest which reduces the crop yield. To control this pest many integrated pest management strategies have evolved. Plantation of host plants around the field, intercropping systems, introducing natural enemies, sowing patterns, insecticides and biopesticides can effectively control safflower aphid. This review gives the count on effectiveness of synthetic insecticides and botanical pesticides against safflower aphid Uroleocon compositae.

Date of Submission: 15-10-2019

Date of Acceptance: 31-10-2019

I. Introduction

Pests are the important biotic factor which reduces the crop yield on large scale. Among these pests "Aphids" of superfamily Aphidoidea, within the order Hemiptera are soft bodied insects which feed on phloem of plants. They are also called as plant sap sucking insects, plant lice or ant cows. Excessive sap removal can turn a plant into yellow and leaves can wilt. In addition to removal of sap, aphid secretes a sugar secretion that acts as a medium for the growth of sooty mould and adversely affects photosynthesis ^[1]. Various species of aphids are act as vectors for transmission of plant viruses. The world aphid fauna is of around total 5,000 species, of which 250 feed on different plants ^[2]. Different species of aphids attack on vegetable crops, cereal crops and oil seed crop. Among them 199 Indian species of aphids feed on almost 208 plants of family Malvaceae (16%), Fabaceae (15%), Solanaceae (12%) and Asclepiadaceae (10%) in Vadodara, Gujrat ^{[4].} Heavily infested plant with aphids can affect the crop yeild. Sometimes it was observed as the aphid count per plant (5 cm apical twig) crosses the economic threshold level i.e. 50-70 nymphs/ adult per plant (5 cm apical twig). Depending on the environmental factors aphid attacks can reduce the crop yield by 20-80%. Among oil seed crops several aphid species attack on Safflower crop.

Safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius L.*) is an oil producing plant that belongs to the family of Compositae or Asteraceae. Safflower is a multi-purpose plant that has been cultivated for multiple reasons for centuries in India and other parts of the world. Safflower in India is grown over a region of 2,749 lakh ha with an output of 1,777 lakh tons and an amount of an output of 636 kg/ha (2007-08 to 2011-12 average)^[5]. Nearly two third of India's total 180,000 ton production is generated by the Maharashtra and Karnataka. Safflower is highly adaptive to low temperature. Many factors reduce the yield of safflower plant. Among them pest attacks affect plants more than other factors. Out of a dozen insect pests, the safflower aphid, *Uroleocon compositae* (Theobald) is a significant pest, resulting in weather-based yield losses of 30-80%^[6]. Various chemical pesticides of organophosphate family showed lethal effects on safflower aphid. But as chemical pesticides have various adverse effects on environment pesticides derived from botanicals are in demand. The study focuses on management of safflower aphids by using pesticides.

II. Safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius L*): As a commercial crop

Safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius L.*), a multifunctional crop, has been cultivated in India for orange colour dye (Carthamine) obtained from its colored flowers and for its high quality oil rich in polyunsaturated acids (Linoleic acid, 78%)^[7]. Dried flowers may be used to obtain Carthamine, a red textile dye that was commercially important at one time. India occupies an area of 3.77 lakh ha with a production of 2.40 lakh tones and productivity of 637 kg/ha^[5]. Safflower is drought tolerant and highly adaptive to humid conditions^{[8].}

Due to elevated adaptability of safflower to low humidity conditions, it is effectively cultivated under arid and semi arid areas. It grows on different soil types. But it gives better yield on well drained clay, sandy soils with neutral pH ^{[9].}

Safflower is traditionally grown for dyes, animal feed and medicines. Safflower seed is used in the food industry because of oil and in pharmaceutical industry because of its medicinal properties as well as in the paint and lacquer sectors ^[10]. Safflower, like other plant is vulnerable to biotic and abiotic stress. Abiotic stresses like salinity and draught have shown negative effects on its growth. Biotic stresses include pests which affect safflower yield.

III. Safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius L*)and Safflower aphid (*Uroleucon compositae*):

There are many factors which affect the crop yield. Among them pest attack is the important biotic stress. In India, 36 pest species attack on safflower plant ^[11]. Pests can differ on the basis of parts of plants they feed on. Depending upon the insect type, they feed on inner or outer floral parts or other parts of the host plant. The most important pest insects feeding on the whole safflower plant are *Uroleucon compositae*, *Pleotrichophorus glandolosus, Brachycaudus helichrysi, Neoaliturus fenestratus, Euscelis alsius, Macrosteles laevis, Psammotettix striatus, Circulifer haematoceps, Thrips tabaci, Aeolothrips collaris, Haplothrips sp, Helicoverpa peltigera ^[12, 13]. Losses in seed and oil content from separate areas of the nation have been recorded by 20-30% ^[14]. The aphids decrease seed and oil yields as well as attack petals which decrease the quality of this portion of the value added product of this plant ^[15].*

The pests that feed on other parts of the plant are divided into two parts, Sucking pests and the insects that feed on the leaves and stem. Sucking insect includes aphids, thrips and some species of leaf hoppers. Among them Aphids affect the safflower plant most. Aphid, (family Aphididae, order Homoptera) is the soft body insect that is a phloem feeder and also sucks the plant sap by piercing the sucking mouthparts. It results into wilt and turning of leaves yellow because of excessive sap removal. In addition to this, aphid secrets a sugary liquid waste called "Honeydew" which acts as a medium for sooty mould development and adversely affects photosynthesis ^[5]. The world aphid fauna is of around total 5,000 species, of which 250 feed on different plants ^[2].

Species like Dactynotus carthami (H.R.L.), Uroleucon compositae (Theobold), Dactynotus orientalis sp., Dactyonotus jaceae (Linn.), Macrosiphum sonchi (H.R.L.), Macrosiphum sonchi (Linn.), Macrosiphum compositae (Theobold), Macrosiphum spp. (jaceae), Myzus persicae (Sulz), Aphis fafia (Scop), Capitophorus eleagni (Del.Guer), Aphis gossypi, Aphis nerii, Pleotrichophorus glandolosus, Brachycaudus helichrysi are recoreded to be fed on safflower [^{16, 6, 17]}. In India, The Safflower aphid (Uroleucon compositae) is the major safflower pest because it can damage the crop entirely in high infestations ^[9]. The yield losses for serious infestations range from 24.20-67.72%. ^[18]. In Karnataka it ranges from 56-60% and in Maharashtra it ranges from 20-55% ^[19].

Adults of this aphid are black while nymphs are reddish dark brown in colour. They suck the cell sap from initial stage to flowering stage of the plant, impairing the plant's vitality ^{[20].}

The severe infestation the entire plant is by safflower aphid is observed in November to December because Safflower aphid is highly adaptive to cool and cloudy conditions. The correlation with relative humidity, minimum temperature and cloudy weather was considerably positive ^{[21].}

IV. Management of safflower aphid (Uroleucon compositae):

There are many ways to manage safflower pest in IPM strategies. There are many factors to control population of *Uroleucon compositae*. By manipulating the sowing time of safflower, the yield loss caused by safflower aphid can be decreased. ^[6]. If the sowing period of safflower is changed and preponed in october (instead of December) it shows decrease in the number of occurrence of aphids ^{[22].}

Along with the sowing time, plantation of alternative host plants also reduces the effect of safflower aphid on safflower. It has been recorded that Sunflower, Niger, *Euphorbia geniculata*, Calendula, *Glyricidia maculata*, Ashwaghanda, Lactus sp. and *Parthenium hysterophorus* are the other hosts of safflower aphid in Karnataka^[5, 23]. Among the various safflowers intercropping systems, minimum aphid population is recorded with musturd^[24]. Also many natural enemies have been recorded for managing the safflower aphid *U. compositae*. The dipteran, *Pseudendaphis sp.* is known to cause up to 10% parasitisation of the aphid during first week of January in Karnataka, India^[5].

Insecticides have shown a great effect on population of safflower aphid. Chemical pesticides have shown lethal effects on safflower aphid.

Chemical family	Mode of action	Insecticide	Efficacy	References
1. Organophosphates	Acetylcholinesterase	1.Acephate 1 g/L of water at 40	Effective	(25)
1. Organophosphates	inhibitors	days after Sowing (DAS)	Linouive	
	minoitors	2.Dimethoate (0.05%)/ Malathion		
		dust (5%) at 20 kg/ha, alternate	Best production and	(26)
		use for 60 days	highest Benefit Ratio	
		3.0.05% Dimethoate at inetraval	-	
		of 10 days starting from 40		
		DAS	Better plant height ,	(27)
		4.0.05% dimethoate	better number of	
			branches/plant	(20)
		5.Dimethoate 0.05%	Highest yield and ICBR	(28)
				(29)
			Effective results with a	(
		6.Dimethoate 0.05%, Malathion 0.05%	better yeild	
		0.03%	Lowest incident of	(30)
		7.One dusting of Parathion-	aphids/ 5 cm shoot	
			length, seed yield 15.55	
		methyl D (at 45 DAS)	q/ha	
			Highest Incremental Cost	(31)
			Benefit Ratio (ICBR)	
2. Neonicotinoid	Nicotin Acetyl	1.2 sprayings of Thimethoxam	Maximum percent decline	(32)
	Choline receptor	0.05% and Acetamiprid 0.004%	in aphid population and	
	(nAChR) Anagonists		yield 1087 kg/ha, 952	
			kg/ha respectively.	
		2 Thismatheware 25 WC 0.005%		
		2. Thiamethoxam 25 WG 0.005% 1,3,7 and 14 DAS		
		3.2 sprays of Thiamethoxam 25%	Highest yield 1025.1	(25)
		WG (at 45-55 DAS)	kg/ha	
		Clothianidin 50% WDG (at 60-65	Kg/IId	
		DAS)	Highest seed yield of	(33)
		4. Thiamethoxam 0.005 %	15.409 g/ha and 2.94 B:C	
		Acetamiprid 0.004%	and highest seed yield of	
		Ĩ	15.23 g/ha and 2.64 B:C	
			_	
			97.2 % decline in aphid	(34)
		5. Thiomethoxam 0.05%	population and highest	
		Acetamiprid 0.005%	seed yield of 1393.3	
		CT 11 1 17 0 0T 0	kg/ha	
		6.Imidacloprid 17.8 SL @	96.4% decline in aphid	
		0.035%	population Found effective against	(35)
		Thiomethoxam 0.05%	U. Compositae	. ,
		Thiomethoxam 0.05%	0. Compositue	
			highest seed yield of 1224	(32)
		Acetamiprid 0.005%	kg/ha B:C ratio 2.28	
		7.Thiamethoxam 25 WG	highest seed yield of 1035	
		(0.0125%)	kg/ha B:C ratio 1.86	
		Imidacloprid 70 WG (0.015%)	highest seed yield 16.04	
		Acetamiprid 20 SP	q/ha, oil content 28.9%	
		(0.01%)	and B:C ratio >8.0	
		8. Thiomethoxam 25 g a. i./ha	1170.00 kg /ha seed yield	(36)
			and ICBR 1:14.12	
		9. Dinotefuron 20SG @ 0.25 g/l	1026.00 kg/ha seed yield	
			and ICBR 1:10.39	
		10. Dinotefuron 20 SG@	1104 kg/ha seed yield and ICBR 1:10.00	
		0.25g/l	Maximum protection up	(37)
		5.255/1	to 92.84 %	
			Aphid count of 14.61/ 5	(38)
			cm apical shoot at 10	
			DAS, highest seed yield	
			of 15.64 q/ha, B:C ratio	
			Highest seed yield of	(39)
			952kg/ha and B:C ratio	
2 Drugth	Codium 1 1	1.0 approximation of Electric data	1.67	(31)
3. Pyrethroid	Sodium channel modulators	1.2 sprayings of Fluvalinate (at 45 and 60 DAS),	Low aphid population and maximum seed yield	×- 7
	modulators	тэ ани оо <i>DA</i> ъ),	and maximum seed yield	

 Table 1: Chemical insecticides showing the good results in controlling safflower pest (Uroleucon compositae)

 Chemical family
 Mode of action

		2.Ethofenprox 0.01%3.Methyl demeton 0.05%	Lowest incident of aphids/ 5 cm shoot length, seed yield 15.55 q/ha Lowest aphid count	(30) (40)
4. Carbamates	Acetylcholinesterase inhibitors	1.2 dusting of Carbaryl D (at 45and 60 DAS)	Low aphid population and maximum seed yeild	(31)
5. Flonicamid	Selective homopteran feeding blockers	1.Flonicamid 50 WG @ 0.1 g/l 2.Flonicamid 50WG @ 0.1 g/l	Highest seed yield of 1087 kg/ha and 1.89 B:C ratio Aphid count of 12.32 / 5 cm apical shoot at 10 DAS, highest seed yield of 15.40 q/ha, B:C ratio 2.94	(39)
6. Cartap Hydrochloride	Nereistoxin analogues	1.Cartap hydrochloride 0.02%,	Lowest incident of aphids/ 5 cm shoot length, seed yield 15.55 q/ha	(30)
7. Cyclodiene organochlorines	GABA-gated chloride channel antagonists	1.Endosulfan 0.05%	Lowest aphid count	(40)

Study reviewed the detailed use of chemical insecticides used in early decades ^[6]. Various citations showed that chemical insecticides derived from organophosphate family are more effective than any other insecticidal family. Results of organophosphates are effective since 70's. Other than organophosphate insecticide primicarb 5% derived from family amminopyridine has shown high effectiveness against safflower aphid with a good crop yield ^[41]. Even the data from Table 1 show that use of insecticides derived from organophosphates are high in demand because of its affectivity against safflower aphid.

Chemical pesticides are unacceptable ecologically though they are very effective in showing rapid action against pest, availability, predictable level of control of easy handling. But these synthetic insecticides have shown problems like toxic effects on human as well as on environment. Regular use of insecticides make pests resistant against the chemical component that previously used to kill the pest. Pest resistivity against insecticides depends on pesticidal dose, number of treatments and genetic heterogeneity. Reappearance of the pest after application of insecticide i.e. resurgence of the pest is the main cause of intensive use of insecticides. To reduce the burden on environment many bio pesticides have evolved and have shown good results against safflower aphids. Following are some examples of bio pesticides which have shown great results against safflower aphid i.e. *U. compositae*.

Bio pesticides	Efficiency	Authors	
1. 2% leaf extract of <i>N. tabacum</i> and <i>I. carnea</i>	Equally effective in suppressing the aphid count and increasing the crop yield as endosulphan 0.05% and phosphamidon 0.02%	(42)	
2. Tobacco decoction (2%) extracted in hot water	54.41 aphids per 10 cm terminal twig, higher flower yield 26.57 tonnes/ha and ICBR 1:44.38	(1)	
Tobacco decoction (2%) extracted in cold water	58.79aphids per 10 cm terminal twig, higher flower yield 24.00 tonnes /ha and ICBR 1:33.88		
3. Oils fron Karanj (<i>pongamia pinata</i>),Neem (<i>Azadiracta indica</i>), Castor (<i>Racinus communis</i>)	Highest seed yield of 914.76 kg/ha, 776.48 kg/ha, 637.15 kg/ha respectively	(43)	
 NSKE 5% (Neem Seed Kernel Extract), Neem cake extract 5%, Neem oil 1% 	NSKE 5% showed results as good as dimethoate 0.05% followed by neem cake extract and neem oil	(29)	
5. Neem oil (0.5%) and NSKE (5%)			
	protected the crop against pest and resulted	(36)	

Table 2: Bio-pesticides showing the good results in controlling safflower pest (Uroleucon compositae).

6.	NSKE and Dashaparni extract	in higher yield	
		protection up to the tune of 62.15 and 60.75 per cent respectively	(37)

⁽⁶⁾ Reviewed the earlier work of ⁽⁴⁴⁾ and ⁽⁴⁵⁾, stated that safflower was controlled by botanical insecticides like Nicotine sulphate (0.05%) in 60's. Later the development of application of chemical insecticides lower the use of biopesticides. But after few years insecticides have become an environmental concern. Table 2 showed extracts of tobacco and neem have shown good effects on safflower aphid as good as dimothoate. Also early studies have stated that 2% of *Vinca rosea* is as effective as dimethoate ^{(46).} Study stated the use of neem oil 0.5% is effective against safflower aphid ⁽⁴⁷⁾.

Use of bio pesticide can depend on availability of the material, effectiveness of the insecticide, easy handling and cost. As to increase the yield and minimise the stress on environment, use of phyto originated insecticides can be used instead of chemical insecticides.

The authors are thankful to Principal and Head, A. Kulkarni Department of Biodiversity, M. E. S. Abasaheb Garware College for providing the facilities of this research.

References

- Roul, J., Bharpoda, T. M., and Zala, M. B., Evaluation of Biopesticides Against Aphid, Uroleucon compositae (Theobald) in Gaillardia pulchella Foug. Advances in Life Sciences. 2016;5(16):6055-6059.
- [2]. Blackman, R L, and V F Eastop. "Aphids on the world's crops: an identification and information guide." (Wiley and Sons). 2000.
- [3]. Singh, R., Tiwari, A. K., Patel, S., Singh, G., Agrawal, R., Sharma, A., Singh, B.B., Diversity of Host Plants of Aphids (Homoptera: Aphididae) Infesting Asteraceae in India. International Journal of Zoological Investigations. 2015;1(2):137-167.
- [4]. Kataria, R., Kumar, D. Occurrence and Infestation Level of Sucking pests: Aphids on various host plants in Agricultural Fields of Vadodara, Gujarat (India). International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications. 2012;2(7).
- [5]. Anonymous. Directorate Of Development, Hyderabad, Status report on Oilseeds. 2008
- [6]. Hanumantharaya, L., Balikai, R. A., Mallapur, C. P., Venkateshalu, & Kumar, C. J. Integrated Pest Management Strategies against saffloweraphid, Uroleucon compositae (Theobald). 7th International Safflower Conference. Australia. 2008.
- [7]. Singh, V., Nimbkar, N. Safflower (Carthamus tinctorius L.). In Genetic Resources, Chromosome engineering and Crop Improvement, 2007;4.
- [8]. Javed, H., Iqbal, J., Khan, T. M. Studies on Population Dynamics of Insect Pest of Safflower, Carthamus tinctorius L. Pakistan journal of zoology. 2013;45(1); 213-217.
- [9]. Dorbin, A., Ciceoi, R., Popa, V. I., Dorbin, I. Preliminary data on pests occurrence on safflower crop under greenhouse conditions, Scientific Papers, Series B, Horticulture. 2017; 61.
- [10]. Dorbin, A., & Marin, D. I. RESEARCH ON SAFFLOWER (Carthamus tinctorius L.) Crop in the conditions of southeastern romania. 2015; 57.
- [11]. Bharaj, G. S., Deshpande, S. L., Saxena, M. K. Field screening of safflower genotypes for resistance against safflower aphid. National seminar: Stress Mgmt. oilseeds International Seminar Oil Resarch. 2003
- [12]. Saeidi, K., Nur Azura, A., Omar, D., Abood, F. Pests of safflower (Carthamus tinctorious l.) and their natural enemies in Gachsara, Iran. south Asian Journal Of Experimental Biology . 2011;1(6):286-291.
- [13]. Esfahani, M. N., Alizadeh, G., Zarei, Z., Esfahani, M. N. The Main Insect Pests of Safflower on Various Plant Parts in Iran. Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology.2012;1281-1289.
- [14]. Singh, V., Singh, H., Hegde, D. M., Ghorpade, S. A., Men, U. B. Insect pests of safflower and their management, Applied entamology 2, Insect pest of pulses and oilseeds and their management (chapter 12), Eds Anand Prakash and Jagdiswari Rao, Applied zoologist Research Association, CRRI, Cuttak. 2002;196-213.
- [15]. Sastry, K. Managing pests of safflower. New Paradigm. IVth Intl. Saff. Conf. Bari, Italy.1997.
- [16]. Saeidi, K., Adam, N. A. A survey on pest insect fauna of safflower fields in the Iranian Province of Kohgiloyeh and Boyerahmad. 2011;6(19):4441-4446.
- [17]. Saeidi, K., Mifrakhraei, S., Mehrkhou, F., Valizadegan, O. Biodiversity of insects associated with safflower (Carthamus tinctorius) crop in Gachsaran, Iran. 2015; 47(1): 26-30.
- [18]. Shetgar, S. S., Bilapate, G. S., Puri, S. N., Londhe, G. M. Chemical control of safflower aphid (Uroleucon sonchi). 1993;55(2):216-218.
- [19]. Ghorpade, S. A., Patil, N. M., Thakur, L. S., Shinde, Y. M. Control of Aphids and Helicoverp armigera on safflower. 1994;19(2), 206-208.
- [20]. Kumbhar, S. C., Mutkule, D. S., Sarukh, P. L., Bade, A. S. Population dynamics of safflower aphid (Uroleucon compositae Theobald) in relation toweather parameters. 2018;6(4):1745-1747.
- [21]. Mallapur, C. P., Hanumanthraya, L., Harishbabu, B. N., & Yaragoppa, S. D. Influence of weather parameters on population fluctuation of Safflower aphid (Uroleucon compositae Theobold). Sixth International safflower conference held at Istanbul. Turkey. 2005.
- [22]. Jha, S., Paul, S. K., Ghosh, M. R. Aphid and predator population on safflower as Influenced by time of sowing. 1998;18(3).
- [23]. Mallapur, C. P. Alternate hosts of safflower aphid. 5, s.l. :Insect Environment. 2000;6(5).
- [24]. Hagargi, S., Thakur, S., Patil, A. A. Effect of intercropping system on the incidence of safflower pests and their natural enemies. Journal of entamology and zoology studies. 2018;6(5):776-781.
- [25]. Basavraj, K., Srinivas, A. G., Hanchinal, S. G., Desai, B. K. Bioefficacy of newer insecticidal molecules against safflower aphid. 2012;29:342-344.
- [26]. Balikai, R. A., & Yelshetty, S. Effect of ultra low and high volume sprayers on insecticides and neem oil efficacy in the control of safflower aphid. Indian Journal of Agricultural Research. 2001;133-135.
- [27]. Kamath, S. P., Hugar, P. S. Population dynamics of aphid, Uroleucon compositae Theobald (Aphididae : Hemiptera) on safflower. Karnataka journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2001;14(1):154-156.

- [28]. Shirisha, M. (2005). Biology and bioefficacy of selected insecticides against safflower aphid Uroleucon compositae (Theobald). Hyderabad, India: M.Sc(Ag.) Thesis, Acharya N G Ranga Agricultural University.
- [29]. Mallapur, C. P., Hulihalli, U. K., Kubsad, V. S. Safflower aphid management through botanical insecticides, Karnataka journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2001;14(2): 321-325.
- [30]. Gore, B. B., Suryavanshi, D. S., Shirale, D. K. Bioefficacy of newer insecticide molecules against safflower aphid, Uroleucan compositae (Theobald). Karnataka journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2010; 23(1):99-100.
- [31]. Pote, G. V., Shinde, V. S., Chavhan, T. B. Control of aphid (Uroleucon sonchi L.) on rainfed safflower using various dust ans spray formulations. Jornal of Soils and Crops. 2005;15(2): 389-393.
- [32]. Akashe, V. B., Gaud, M. A., Shinde, S. K., Deshpande, A. N. Influence of weather parameters on safflower aphid, Uroleucon compositae (Theobald) and its management. International Journal of Agricultural Science. 2009;5(2):453-458.
- [33]. Vaani, M. N., Udikeri, S. S., Karabhantanal, S. S.. Baseline toxicity of insecticides for safflower aphid uroleucon compositae theobald (hemiptera: aphididae). The Bioscan- An International Quarterly of Life Sciences. 2016;841-845.
- [34]. Jemimah, N., Rao, S. R., Ramesh Babu, T., Raja Ram Reddy, D. Bioefficacy of Newer Insecticides Against Safflower Aphid Uroleucon compositae. International Journal of Applied Biology and Pharmasuitical Technology. 2013;41(1):30-32.
- [35]. Kumbahar, S. C., Mutkule, D. S., Bade, A. S., Sarukh, P. L. Bio-efficacy of newer insecticides against safflower aphid, (Uroleucon compositae Theobald). Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies. 2018;6 (4):1741-1747.
- [36]. Pawar, S. R., Bharpoda, T. M. Efficacy of botanicals and synthetic insecticides against aphid, Uroleucon compositae Theobald infesting safflower. Pesticide Research Journal. 2013;25(1):496-500.
- [37]. Rani, M. N., Lolage, G. R., Kharbade, S. B. . Studies on Biological Suppression of Safflower Aphid, Uroleucon compositae (Theobald) on Safflower. Trends in Biosciences. 2015;8(19):5378-5383.
- [38]. Vaani, M. N., Udikeri, S. S. Karabhantanal, S. S. Bioefficacy, yield and economic impact of protecting aphid Uroleucon compositae(Theobald) pest in safflower through selected insecticides and biorationals, s.l.: Res. Environ. Life. Sci. 2016;9(7):826-829.
- [39]. Akashe, V. B., Gud, M. A., Shinde, S. K., & Deshpande, A. N. . Bioefficacy of some newer insecticides against Uroleucon compositae (Theobald) infesting safflower, Carthemus tinctotius Linnaeus. Safflower: unexploited potential and world adaptability. Safflower: unexploited potential and world adaptability,7th International safflower conference. New South Wales, Australia. 2008.
- [40]. Mane, P. D., Kulkarni, S. N. . Bio-efficacy of plant products against safflower aphids and thrips. Green Farming. 2009;2(10):725-726.
- [41]. Basavanagaoud, K., Kulkarni, K. A., Thontadarya, T. S. Safflower varietals reaction to the aphid and the differences in biochemical constituents in resistant and susceptible varieties. Mysore Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 1980;14:512-515.
- [42]. Kulat, S. S., Nimbalakar, S. A., Nandanwar, V. N., Hiwase, B. J. . Seasonal monitoring and evaluation of some plant extracts and insecticides against Dactynotus carthami (HRL) on safflower. Journal of Applied Zoological Researches. 2000;11(1): 20-22.
- [43]. Akashe, V. B., Gud, M. A., Shinde, S. K., Kadam, J. R. . Efficacy of plant oils against safflower aphid (Uroleucon compositae T.). Bioinfolet- A Quarterly Journal of Life Sciences. 2013;10(4(b)):1393-1395.
- [44]. Singh, S., Sindhu, H. S. (1959). A schedule for control of the mustard aphid by some insecticides. Indian oilseeds journal.1959;3 (1):164-178.
- [45]. Narayanan, E. S. . Insect of safflower and methods of their control. A monograph on Niger and Safflower by V.M. Chavan. 1961.
 [46]. Patil, R. K., Rayar, S. G., Hiremath, I. G., Basappa, H., Patil, B. R. . Effect of different plant products on safflower aphid and on it
- [46]. Patil, R. K., Rayar, S. G., Hiremath, I. G., Basappa, H., Patil, B. R. Effect of different plant products on safflower aphid and on it natural predator. Journal of Oilseed Research. 1997;19:202-205.
- [47]. Yelshetty, S and Balikai, R A. Relative efficacy of neem oil against safflower aphid, Uroleucon compositae (Theobald). s.l.: Advances in Agril. Res. In India. 1998;75-77.

Ghayal Nivedita. "Safflower Aphid, Uroleocon Compositae (Theobald) and its management: A review. "IOSR Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science (IOSR-JAVS) 12.10 (2019): PP- 29-34.