The Effects Of N. P. K. Levels On The Growth, Yield Components And Grain Yield Of 2 – Cultivars Of Soybeans, "Tamakpo" And John Green(*Glycinemax* (L) Merrill), In Bali Local Government Area Of Taraba – State, Nigeria.

Ugwuegbulam, O.C., Bako, P. M And Pius, H.

Department Of Agricultural Technology Federal Polytechnic, Bali, Taraba-State, Nigeria.

Abstract

Field trial was conducted at the Teaching and Research Farm of the Department of Agricultural Technology, Federal Polytechnic, Bali, Taraba – State in 2019 cropping season, to study the effects of N. P. K. levels on the Growth, Yield components and Grain yield of 2- cultivars of Soybeans "Tamakpo" and John Grain (Glycine max(L.) Merrill), in Bali Taraba – State, in the northern – guinea savanna zone of Nigeria. The experimental design was a split – plot design with the Soybean cultivars 'TAMAKPO'' and JOHN GREEN as the main plots and five treatments T1 (Control), T2, T3, T4, and T5 as sub – plots. These treatments were replicated four times giving a total of forty (40) sub - plots. Parameters measured include; Plant height (cm) at 4, 8, and 12 weeks after planting (WAP), number of leaves per plant at 4, 8, and 12 weeks after planting(WAP), number of branches at 4, 8, and 12 weeks after planting(WAP), and number of effective and non – effective nodules per plant . For yield, the following Parameters were taken; Number of pods per plant, pod weight per plot, 100 seed weight and grain yield in kg/ha. The result shows that there was no significant difference at (P < 0.05) in the effects of treatment and cultivar on number of leaves of soybean cultivars at 4, 8, and 12, after planting (WAP). The results shows that there was no significant difference at (P<0.05) in the effects of treatments and cultivars on number of branches of soybean cultivars at 4, 8, and 12 weeks after planting (WAP). There was no significant difference at (P<0.05) in the effects of treatments and cultivars on the height of soybean cultivars at 4, 8, and 12 weeks after planting (WAP). On yield parameters, there was no significant difference at (P < 0.05) in the effects of treatment and cultivar on the yield of soybean cultivars. In the yield parameter of this study, that is number of effective and non – effective nodules, pod weight, number of pods, 100 seed weight and pod yield/ha. The results of this study indicated that there were no significant differences in the performance of the cultivars on both growth and yield parameters. This might be due to soil conditions, agronomic practices and environmental conditions in Bali Local Government Area of Taraba – State. Despite the fact, that there was no significant differences between N, P, K, levels(treatment) and cultivars, more research can be carried out using other treatments on the same cultivars, to experiment on commercial production which can be disseminated to farmers, for large scale production of soybean.

Keywords; Soybean Cultivars, Yield Performance, Effective and Non – Effective Nodules, Treatments, Leguminosae.

Date of Submission: 25-04-2020 Date of Acceptance: 08-05-2020

I. Introduction

Soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merrill), is an essential oil seed belonging to the family *leguminosae*, is mainly grown as a food crop (Iwe, 2003). Soybean generally requires at least 500 - 700mm of rainfall to mature (Randall, 2001). The rainfall requirements ranges between 600 - 1200mm uniformly distributed over a period of four – five months, For successful cultivation, soybean requires well drained fertile loamy sand or sandy soil. It also thrive well on black or clay soils provided that rainfall is not so high to cause water – logging. The pH range is 5 - 6. (Achakzai and Kayani, 2003).

Soybean requires careful land preparation and the soil should be to a medium tilt, but not so finely broken down that will encourage erosion by water (Witty and Mallarino, 2004). According to Nimje (2003), one of the most critical factors that affect the yield of soybean in Africa is low plant population density of (20,000 - 25000 plants/ha. Recommendation for plant population vary widely from 100,000 – 350, 000 plants per hectare (Bello, 2001).

Hundreds of available cultivars have been adapted to various regions of the world (Iwe, 2003). Soybean production and utilization as food came from Ancient China not later than the 11th Century, Before Christ (B.C). It is known that the seed of soybean contains protein among all cultivated legumes (FAO, 1989).

Much attention has been given to soybean worldwide due to its high nutritional food value and protein content (Tiamigu and Idowu, 2001). It is the primary source of vegetable oil and protein for food and industrial applications

(Endress, 2001).

Soybean production is high in the guinea savanna zones and forest belts of Nigeria (Okpala and Ibiam,2000).

There are about 316 cultivars of soybean from Brazil; Some of them include;

- Embrapa 48 soybean This is more than 15 years on the market.it possess milk with superior flavour.
- ♦ BRS 213 has triple null for lipoxigenase enzyme. It has the taste of beany flavour.
- BRS -216, has very long seeds and high protein value.
- ♦ BRS 257, has similar productivity with current cultivation. Soy milk and soybean industry needs it.
- ♦ BRS 258, originated from an old Embrapa soybean cultivar called BR 36.
- BRS -267, has very large seeds and sweet flavour.
- BRS 282, originated from Embrapa 48 and was launched 3 years ago (<u>https://www.intechopen.com</u>). (Brazilian soybean varieties for human -.....).

In Nigeria, 'TAMAKPO' and John Green cultivars are cultivated in Bali, Bali local Area of Taraba – State. In view of the above, two cultivars of soybean were grown to determine their yield performance in Bali local Area of Taraba – State and its environs and to recommend the best yielding cultivar for cultivation to farmers for commercial production.

II. Materials And Methods

Field experiment was conducted at the Teaching and Research Farm of the department of Agricultural Technology, Federal Polytechnic Bali, Taraba - State, in 2019 cropping season. The study area was located within 7^{0} 12¹ N to 9⁰ 00¹ N of the Equator, and longitude 10⁰ 00¹ E to 12⁰ 00¹ E of the meridian (ANAMMCO ATLAS, 2008). Its land mass is about 10, 000² Km and lies within the guinea savannah ecological zone of Nigeria. It's annual rainfall ranges between 750mm to 1100mm, while temperature ranges between $22^{\circ}C$ – 35° C. The land was ploughed with tractor, while harrowing was done with hoe. The experimental field was a spit -plot design with the soybean cultivars "Tamakpo" and John Green as the main plots and five treatments T1 (Control), T2, T3, T4, and T5 as sub – plots. These treatments were replicated four times giving a total of 40 sub – plots. The following treatments was used; T1 = 0 Kg N. P.K/ha (Control), T2 = 72 Kg N. P. K/ha, T3= 96 Kg N. P. K/ ha, T4 = 120 Kg N. P. K/ ha, and T5 = 144 Kg N. P. K/ha. Each main plot measured 20M X3M = $(60M^2)$, while the sub – plot measured 4M X3M = $(12M^2)$, separated by 0.75M pathway between each plot and replications. The total experimental area was 40M X $12M = (480M^2)$. The cultivars of soybean used was purchased from a local market in Bali on the 27th of July, 2019. when rainfall has fully established, seeds were sown on the 2nd of August, 2019, and herbicide Butachlor 50% EC was applied to suppress weeds. Planting was done by drilling two seeds and later thinned to one at an intra – row spacing of 7CM after three weeks of planting (WAP), that gave an estimated plant population of 166, 666 plants per hectare. Seeds were planted at inter - plot spacing of 75CM, inter - row spacing of 75CM and intra - row spacing of 7CM. N. P. K. fertilizer (15: 15: 15) was applied at 4, 8, and 12 weeks after planting (WAP).

Data collected include: Number of leaves at 4, 8, and 12 (WAP), Number of branches at 4, 8, and 12 (WAP), height at 4, 8 and 12 (WAP), and yield parameters which include, number of effective nodules, number of non –effective nodules, pod weight, number of pods, 100 seed weight and pod yield.

Data collected was analysed using SPSS VERSION 22 and separation was done using Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT).

III. Results And Discussion

Table 1: shows that there was no significant difference (P< 0.05) in the effects of treatment and cultivars on number of leaves of soybeans at 4, 8, and 12 weeks after planting(WAP), using SPSS Version 22 and separation was done using Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT), Which showed no significant difference at P< 0.05. This result might be due to the fact that soybean cultivars / varieties are differently affected due to agronomic practices and environmental conditions (Akparaobi, 2009).

Table 2: shows that there was no significant difference at (P< 0.05) in the effect of treatments and cultivar on the number of branches of soybean plants at 4, 8, and 12weeks after planting(WAP), using SPSS Version and separation was done using Duncan Multiple Range Test(DMRT), which showed no significant difference at P<0.05. This might be due to climatic and soil conditions, during the 2019 cropping season.

Table 3: showed that there was no significant difference at (P<0.05), in the effect of treatments and cultivars on the plant height of soybean plants at 4, 8, and 12 weeks after planting (WAP), using SPSS Version

22 and separation was done using Duncan Multiple Range Tests (DMRT). This might be so, due to the fact that cultivars/ varieties are tested at different environmental zones and different agronomic practices where soybean is cultivated during a particular cropping season.

Table 4: shows that there was no significant difference at (P<0.05) in the effects of treatments and cultivar on the yield of soybean plants. Yield Parameters measured include; number of effective and non effective nodules, Pod weight, number of pods, 100 seed weight and pod yield/ha.

SPSS version 22 was used for the analysis, while Duncan Multiple Range Tests was used for the separation. This might be due to agronomic practices, climatic conditions and environmental conditions employed, during the 2019 cropping season.

IV. Conclusion

In conclusion, the result of the study revealed that soybean cultivars "Tamakpo" and John Green has no significant differences at P<0.05 in both growth and yield parameters used during the field experiment. There was also no significant differences at P<0.05 between treatments and cultivars, as well as no significant difference at P<0.05 in separation using Duncan Multiple Range Tests (DMRT).

With regards to this result, the same research can be carried out in other ecological zones using the same cultivars and other treatments which can boost high production of soybean that can be disseminated to farmers for maximum yield.

References

- Achakzai, A. K. K and Kayaani, S.A. (2003). Fertilizer and inoculation of phosphorous, potassium and sodium content of pot [1]. culture mature soybean group. JournalofBiologicalScience. 3 (3): 291 - 297.
- [2]. AnambraMotors Manufacturing Company Limited.(ANAMMCO World Atlas, 2008).
- [3]. Bello, I. (2001). The effect of Phosphorous on performances of some 3 varieties of soybean in Bauchi. M. Tech. Thesis. AbubakarTafawaBalewa university Bauchi, Nigeria.Pp 38 - 42.
- [4]. Brazilian soybean varieties for human.....
- [5]. https:// www. Intechopen.con/book.....
- [6]. [7]. Endress, J. (2001). Product characteristics. Multinational Aspects and Utilization Campaign. IL: AOCS press.
- Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO, 1989), Soybean production in the tropics. Annual Reports, pp 14-16.
- [8]. Iwe, M.O. (2003). The Science and Technology of soybean production. Published byRejoint communication service limited. 65 AdelabustreetUwani, Enugu, Nigeria. Pp 10 -14. 'Neonotoniawightii in Global plants on JSTOR.' Nimjie, P.M (2003). The effect of phosphorous fertilizer on soybean based cropping sequence under rain fed.
- [9]. IndianJournalofAgriculturalScience 9 (6): 220 - 228.
- Okpala, D. A. and Ibiam, B. (2000). Evaluation of soybean variety adaptability to a humid tropical environment in South -[10]. eastern Nigeria. JournalofsustainableAgricultureandEnvironment: 26 -31.
- Randall, G.W. (2001). Intensive corn/soybean Agriculture not sustainable U of M. scientist says, sustainable Agriculture. [11]. University of Minnesota, Extension service. 9 (10): 21.
- [12]. Statistical Analysis: SPSS Version 22. And Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT).
- Tiamigu, S.A. and Idowu (2001). Economic of resource use among small scale soybean farmers in Nigeria State. Tropical Oil [13]. seed Journal 6: 71 – 75.
- [14]. Wittry, D.J. and Mallarino, A.P. (2004). Comparismof uniform and variable rates of phosphorus fertilizer for corn/soybean rotations. AmericanAgronomyJournal (2): 120-146.

Table 1: Effect of Treatments and Cultivar on the number of leaves of Sovbeans plants

Treatment	Weeks after Planting			
(NPK/ha)	4	8	12	
0 kg	16.50	39.00	45.88	
72 kg	16.75	49.25	48.25	
96 kg	16.88	44.50	60.63	
120 kg	17.00	44.63	56.25	
144 kg	15.75 41.50	41.50	62.00	
SEM	0.48	4.19	6.08	
	NS	NS	NS	
Cultivar				
Tamakpo	16.350	45.60	54.80	
John-Green	16.800	41.95	54.40	
SEM	0.31	2.65	3.85	
	NS	NS	NS	

Effect of Treatments and Cultivar on the number of leaves of Soybeans plants

The results from Table 1 shows that there was no significant difference (p<0.05) in the effects of treatments and cultivar on number of leaves of Soybeans plants at 4, 8 and 12 Weeks After Planting (WAP).

Treatment	Weeks after Planting			
(NPK/ha)	4	8	12	
0 kg	0.38	7.63	11.50	
72 kg	0.75	8.50	13.00	
96 kg	0.38	9.00	14.25	
120 kg	0.13	7.75	12.63	
144 kg	0.38	7.38	12.25	
SEM	0.25	1.08	1.52	
	NS	NS	NS	
Cultivar				
Tamakpo	0.50	8.90	12.55	
John-Green	0.30	7.20	12.90	
SEM	0.16	0.68	0.96	
	NS	NS	NS	

J C--14-T-1-1. 3. Effect of T. 41. 60

Effect of Treatments and Cultivar on the number of branches of Soybeans plants

The results from Table 2 shows that there was no significant difference (p<0.05) in the effects of treatments and cultivar on number of branches of Soybeans plants at 4, 8 and 12 Weeks After Planting (WAP).

Table 3: Effect of Treatments and Cultivar on the height of Soybeans plants (CM).				
Treatment	Weeks after Planting (cm)			
(NPK/ha)	4	8	12	
0 kg	15.38	28.38	31.88	
72 kg	16.31	36.38	40.00	
96 kg	16.31	33.88	37.25	
120 kg	15.88	33.25	36.88	
144 kg	14.38	32.63	36.50	
SEM	1.02	3.45	3.88	
	NS	NS	NS	
Cultivar				
Tamakpo	15.23	33.60	37.30	
John-Green	16.08	32.20	35.70	
SEM	0.65	2.18	2.46	
	NS	NS	NS	

Effect of Treatments and Cultivar on the height of Soybeans plants

The results from Table 3 shows that there was no significant difference (p<0.05) in the effects of treatments and cultivar on the height of Soybeans plants at 4, 8 and 12 Weeks After Planting (WAP).

Treatment (NPK/ha)	No. of eff. Nods	No. of non eff. Nods	Pod weight	No. of pods	100 Seed weight	Pod yield
0 kg	3.88	2.75	118.04	257.25	23.97	3928.26
72 kg	3.25	6.50	124.50	237.63	23.63	3803.86
96 kg	8.25	6.75	133.61	257.63	23.68	3177.90
120 kg	3.63	2.88	115.24	263.75	22.61	3952.32
144 kg	4.38	3.88	113.64	234.13	23.15	3424.68
SEM	1.86	2.33	16.11	33.11	0.99	412.64
	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Cultivar						
Tamakpo	3.85	4.60	119.70	219.95	24.78	3336.00
John-Green	5.50	4.50	122.31	280.20	22.04	3978.80
SEM	1.17	1.48	10.19	20.94	0.63	260.97
	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

Table 4: Effect of Treatments and Cultivar on yield parameters of Soybeans plants

Effect of Treatments and Cultivar on yield parameters of Soybeans plants

The results from Table 4 shows that there was no significant difference (p<0.05) in the effects of treatments and cultivar on the yield parameters of Soybeans plants. Yield parameters measured include; number of effective and non-effective nodules, pod weight, number of pods, 100 seed weight and pod yield/ha.

Key:-Trt = Treatment DMRT = Duncan Multiple Range Test. WAP = Weeks After Planting (WAP) CM = Centimetre No. of eff. Nods = numbers of effective nodules No. of Non-effective nods = Number of Non-effective nodules P.W. = Pod weightNo. of Pods = Number of pods.100 S.W = 100 seed weight.Pod Y/ha = Pod yield per hecters

Ugwuegbulam, O.C,etal. "The Effects Of N. P. K. Levels On The Growth, Yield Components And Grain Yield Of 2 – Cultivars Of Soybeans, "Tamakpo" And John Green(Glycinemax (L) Merrill), In Bali Local Government Area Of Taraba – State, Nigeria." *IOSR Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science (IOSR-JAVS)*, 13(4), 2020, pp. 22-26.