An Overview of Methods Used In Assessing the Dynamics of Organic Matter in Soils

Abdul R Conteh

Principal Research Officer (Soils), Njala Agricultural Research Centre (NARC), Sierra Leone Agricultural Research Institute (SLARI), PMB 1313, Freetown, Sierra Leone.

Abstract

The organic matter content of a soil is a key indicator of a sustainable soil management system because of its influence on the physical, chemical and biological health of a soil. Management of soil organic matter requires appropriate methods to characterize and quantify the status of organic matter under different management practices. A wide range of approaches has been adopted for the determination of organic matter in soils. Some of these approaches include los-on-ignition, dry combustion, wet oxidation, spectroscopic methods and isotopic methods. This article examines each of these methods highlighting their shortcomings.

Date of Submission: 02-08-2020

Date of Acceptance: 17-08-2020

------ -----

I. Introduction

The achievement of both financial and ecological sustainability is a major goal in the utilization and management of soils for crop production. To achieve this goals, soil quality must bemaintained at a level which ensures that none of the following soil related factors are allowed torestrict crop growth and function; aeration, water supply, nutrient supply, temperature, and hardness. However, many soils on which crops are typically grown are prone to some of these growth limiting factors. This inevitably leads to reductions in yields andfarm income. In the longer term, a reduction in soil quality reduces the land value, and this, combined with shorter term reductions in yields and income, provides agricultural stakeholders with strong incentivesto avoid or reverse adverse soil conditions.

The soil problems outlined above can be mostly alleviated through efficient management of theorganic matter content in the soil. The soil organic matter content is a keyindicator of a sustainable cropping system because of its influence on the physical, chemical andbiological health of a soil. The importance of organic matter to soil fertility and sustainable crop production is now well recognized and there have been extensive reviews covering the role of organic matter in soils (Matherset al., 2000;Syers and Craswell, 1995). The particular significance of soil organic matter for soil fertility lies on its influence on so many soil properties. Although the total organic matter in agricultural soils rarely exceeds 5% by soil weight, it is a key component of any ecosystem, and variations in its abundance and nature have profound effects on many of the processes that occur in the system.

Soil organic matter adds structure, stability and permeability to soils high inclay (Syers and Craswell, 1995). For all soils, it is a source of nutrients through its decomposition or through its contribution to the soil's cation exchange capacity. Soil compaction and many othersoil problems are mainly due to soil organic matter losses. Soilorganic matter protects against heavy metal and salt toxicities, it detoxifiespesticides and prevents their leaching down the soil profile. In acid soils, organicmatter has been reported to be a potential factor in improving the efficiency of soil and fertilizer phosphorus utilization by reducing phosphorus fixation (Syers and Crasswell, 1995). With growingconcern about atmospheric CO_2 levels, soil organic matter is a significant source and sink foratmospheric CO_2 (Matherset al., 2000).Plants use atmospheric CO_2 to synthesize the structural tissues that form the majority of organic matter in terrestrial ecosystems (Figure: 1). This is the basis for the formation of soil organic matter. Plants release litter from roots and leaves into and onto the soil. In addition they exude sugars, organic acids, and other low molecular weight compounds into the rhizosphere (Gleixner, 2013).

Therefore, the variation in organic matter content of a soil induced by a land-use system is a significant indicator of the sustainability of that land-usesystem.



Figure 1: Soil organic matter formation and carbon cycling in soil. Source: Gleixner, 2013

Management of soil organic matter requires appropriate methods to characterize and quantify the status of organic matter under different management practices. A wide range of approaches has been adopted for the determination of organic matter in soils and some earlier reviews can be found in Nelson and Sommers (1982), and Stevenson and Elliott (1989). The purpose of this article is to provide an overview of some of the most common methods used for organic matter analysis.

II. Loss-On-Ignition

This was one of the earliest methods of determining the organic matter content of soils. The procedures involved in this method are essentially gravimetric in which the organic matter content of pre-weighed soil is supposedly destroyed by ignition and the loss in weight used as a measure of the organic matter content. Ignition can be carried out either at lowtemperatures ($350 - 400^{\circ}$ C) or at high temperatures ($800 - 900^{\circ}$ C). Inadequacies of this approachhave been ascribed (Nelson and Sommers, 1982) to the lack of discrimination between loss of organic matter and loss of mineral matter such as carbon dioxide from carbonates and water andhydroxyl groups from clay.

Proponents of this method such as Spainet al. (1982) andDonkin (1991) have argued that ignition carried out at temperatures below 450°C can give estimates of organic matter that are sufficiently accurate for most purposes. Ball (1964) examined the loss-on ignition procedure for over one hundred soils having a wide range of organic matter content andobtained significant correlations with the dichromate method of Tinsley (1950). Davies (1974) foundthat the presence of carbonates in soil does not affect its loss-on-ignition value at 430°C and concluded that the gravimetric estimation of soil organic matter is generally applicable to bothcalcareous and non-calcareous soils provided that the furnace temperature is controlled between 375 and 450°C. Davies (1974) mentioned an exception in soils having gibbsite in their clay fractionbecause of previous reports that gibbsite loses substantial amounts (approximately 35 %) of water ataround 300°C. Ben-Dor and Banin (1989) also obtained significant linear correlations (r = 0.97) between organic matter determined by the loss-on-ignition procedure and organic carbon determined by the method of Walkley and Black (1934) in soils from the arid region of Israel (Figure 2).

Spain et al. (1982) compared the organic matter values determined by the Walkley-Black procedure and the loss-on ignition for 766 surface soil samples representing 21 soil groups in Australia and found a close relationship between the two measurements. They found that the Walkley-Black values range from 0.1 to 14 % and the loss-on-ignition from 0.8 to 36 %. Generally, most studies utilizing the loss-on ignition method in estimating organic matter content reported satisfactory results. It is however still unclear as to what extent this method is applicable to soils from a wide range of ecosystems.

III. Dry Combustion Techniques

In dry combustion techniques, a sample is combusted in a furnace at elevated temperatures to convert all carbon-containing compounds to carbon dioxide (CO_2) which is subsequently measured to give an indication of organic carbon content. The evolved CO_2 can be measured by a wide range of procedures which include gravimetric, titrimetric, volumetric, and spectrophotometric and gas chromatographic techniques. This method has a limitation that samples containing significant quantities of carbon in the form of carbonates and elemental carbon will give an overestimate of organic carbon contents (Nelson and Sommers, 1982). This limitation can be minimized by treating the samples with dilute acid before combustion to remove all carbon existing in the form of carbonates. Alternatively, inorganic carbon can be measured separately and subtracted from the total carbon measurements to give an estimate of soil organic carbon content. A detailed description of various dry combustion procedures can be found in Nelson and Sommers (1982). In recent times, there has been an increase in the number of studies that determine organic carbon status using continuous-flow analyzers (Santi*et al.*, 2006).



Figure 2: Organic matter content (loss-on-ignition) versus organic carbon content (dichromate wet-oxidation) of 91 Israeli aridic and semiarid soils. Source: Ben-Dor and Banin (1989)

One of the best known continuous-flow analyzers is the Automatic Carbon and Nitrogen Analyzer by Mass Spectrometry or ANCA-MS (Barrie, 1991). This instrument is usually used for the automated analysis of ¹⁵N and ¹³C in plant and soil samples but can also determine % N and % C on weighed samples. Samples containing up to 5 mg C are placed in tin capsules and dropped into a heated silica combustion tube (>1000°C) containing Cr_2O_3 granules as oxidation catalyst. A pulse of pure oxygen is introduced into the He gas stream which flows continuously through the combustion tube.

The timing of the O_2 pulse and the tin capsule introduction is so arranged that flash combustion of the sample occurs (Figure 4). The combustion products are then swept through a heated (~600°C) reduction furnace containing copper wire in which NO is reduced to N_2 . Water vapour is then removed by passage through a moisture trap containing magnesium perchlorate, after which the remaining combustion products, N_2 and CO_2 , are separated on a chromatographic column. Percentage C is determined as CO_2 using a thermal conductivity detector placed between the moisture trap and the mass spectrometer.





Figure 3: Plot of linear regressions for organic C measured in the soils of Pianosa Island by dry combustion after removal of inorganic C by excess acid as a function of (a) organic C as difference between the total C determined by dry combustion and the inorganic C determined by a volumetric calcimeter or (b) organic C determined by the Walkley-Black method with a CF of 1.30. Source: Santiet al., 2006.

Although modern dry combustion instruments are capable of handling large numbers of samples with minimal variability due to operator error, they are often very costly and cannot be afforded by most analytical laboratories.



Figure 4: Schematic of an Automatic Carbon and Nitrogen Analyzer by Dry Combustion. Source: Barrie, 1991.

IV. Wet Oxidation Techniques

Several oxidizing agents have been employed for the determination of organic carbon in soil, the most common of which has been the dichromate oxidation proposed by Schollenberger(1927)and modified by Walkley and Black (1934). The fundamental principle of the method is that allorganic carbon is oxidized by the dichromate under acid conditions, and the amount of dichromateconsumed, or Cr^{3+} released, or C02 evolved, gives an indication of the organic carbon content (Nelson and Sommers. 1982):

$$Cr_2O_7^{2+} + 3C^0 + 16H^+ \rightarrow 4Cr^{3+} + 3CO_2 + 8H_2O$$

This method has been employed in so many studies that a complete review of its applicationsis beyond the scope of this review. The procedure has been the basis of routine organic carbon determinations in most soil laboratories. The various modifications of this method that have been proposed abound in the literature. Despite its wide usage, dichromate procedures are subject to certain limitations. Several studies have shown that recovery of organic carbon is rarely complete and varies considerably between soils (Nelson and Sommers, 1982). In addition to the incomplete recovery of organic carbon, several substances present in soil can interfere with the determination of oxidizablecarbon, notably chlorides, iron (II) and higher oxides of manganese leading to incorrect values for organic carbon because of the participation of these ions in redox reactions involving chromic acid mixtures.

For example,

$$\operatorname{Cr}_{2}O_{7}^{2-} + 2\operatorname{Fe}^{2+} + 14\operatorname{H}^{+} \rightarrow 2\operatorname{Cr}^{3+} + 6\operatorname{Fe}^{3+} + 7\operatorname{H}_{2}O$$

 $\operatorname{Cr}_{2}O_{7}^{2-} + 6\operatorname{Cl} + 14\operatorname{H}^{+} \rightarrow 2\operatorname{Cr}^{3+} + 3\operatorname{Cl}_{2} + 7\operatorname{H}_{2}O$

Therefore, the presence of these ions in soils in significant amounts can result in higher values of organic carbon. The presence of higher oxides of manganese (MnO_2) can result in underestimation of organic carbon values because of competition with the dichromate for oxidizable substances. For example,

$$2MnO_2 + C + 8H \rightarrow CO_2 + Mn^{2+} + 4H_2O$$

In addition to the aforementioned limitations, the assumption that all organic carbon in soil exists in a valence state of zero may not be appropriate. There has been no study to evaluate this assumption.

V. Physical Fractionation

The use of physical fractions in organic matter studies has received considerable attention and has been the basis of several studies on soil organic matter turnover (egCamberdella and Elliott, 1994). Physical fractionation of soil organic matter is considered by several workers to be less destructive, and the results obtained from physical soil fractions are believed to relate more directly to the structure and function of soil organic matter in situ (Golchinet al., 1995). Two basic methods of physical fractionation of soil have been reported; particle-size fractionation and particle density fractionation.

Physical fractionation of soil according to particle size has been used extensively to study soil organic matter and the methods have proven to be useful in revealing differences in the structural and dynamic properties of organic matter from different soils. Methods used for particle-size fractionation have extended from dry sieving, which may retain macro-aggregates in themm size range, to crushing of soil in mills and the use of chemical extractants to achieve completedispersion (Laddet al., 1993). The most common method used to obtain a high degree of disruption of the soil structure prior to physical fractionation is ultrasonication (Christensen, 2001). The use of sonication prior to physical fractionation has the potential problem of creating redistribution of organicmatter among the various size fractions (Camberdellaand Elliott, 1994). Although differencesobserved in the distribution of organic matter and particle-size fractions have been used to revealdifferences in organic matter turnover under various management practices, such differences mayalso arise as a result of differences in methodology (Christensen, 2001).

Physical fractionation of soil organic matter has also been carried out on the basis of particle density. Such fractionation allows the physical separation of organic matter found within a specific size class from the heavier density mineral particles. Separations based on density have been used for two main purposes in soil organic matter studies; to separate the 'light fraction' (free or non-complexed soil organic matter)consisting largely of undecomposed residue and their partial decomposition products, and to separateorgano-mineral associations, usually in aggregates (Golchinet al., 1995). Fractions separated on the basis of particle density have yielded useful information on the extent of association of organic and inorganic materials in soils, as well as some mineralogical characterization of the fraction components. Generally, soil samples ground no finer than 0.2 mm are suspended in solutions of known density and centrifuged to separate the materials with greater and lower density than the solution. The light fraction obtained by the use of solutions with densities less than 1.6 Mg/m³ consists largely of unaltered or partially degraded plant materials and charcoal (Skjemsatdet al., 1996). It has also been shown that the rate of loss of carbon from a number of fractions separated fromvertisols decreased with increasing density and time of cultivation (Skjemstad and Dalal, 1987).

VI. Fractionation Of Humic Substances

The fractionation scheme proposed by Oden (1919, as cited by Haves and Swift, 1978) appears to have been the earliest and most widely used fractionation of soil organic matter. This fractionation scheme is based on differences in solubilities of organic constituents in acid and alkali. The technique involves extraction with an alkaline reagent, separation of the alkaline extract from the residue, and then acidification of the extract. This procedure gives rise to three gross fractions, Humic acid (HA), Fulvic acid (FA) and Humin. This has been a common technique used to separate and examine soil organic matter and has been the basis of many studies (egSchnitzeret al., 1981; Schnitzer and Schuppli, 1989). The chemical properties of HA and FA have been studied extensively. HA is believed to be the most biologically resistant fraction of soil organic matter, with a core of strongly condensed aromatic structures surrounded by aliphatic side-chain components. FA appears to be composed mainly of microbial metabolites and younger material not highly associated with the mineral fraction, although all material, young and old, can be part of the FA. This fractionation scheme has provided useful guide in interpretation of organic matter dynamics. For example, the C:N:P ratios of HA and FA fractions have been used to assess the origin and turnover of nutrients in soil organic matter from different depths and zones (Schoenau andBettany, 1987). The HA:FA ratios have also been used as indicators of the degree of humification in soil. Higher HA:FA ratios found in surface soils of most environments are believed to reflect more intense humification as a result of greater biological activity (Anderson and Coleman, 1985). The ratio of the optical densities or absorbance of dilute aqueous solutions of HA and FA at 465 and665 nm (E4/E6 ratio) has been used to indicate the degree of condensation of the aromatic carbon network, with a low ratio indicative of relatively high degree of condensation of aromatic humicconstituents (Chenet al., 1977). Conversely, a high E4/E6 ratio reflects a low degree of aromaticcondensation and infers the presence of relatively large proportions of aliphatic structures.

The major problem with this fractionation scheme has been the choice of a suitable solventfor extracting organic matter from soils. This difficulty arises from the varying properties of the manyorganic compounds present in soils and the ability of these compounds to be bound, in varyingdegrees, to each other and complexed with inorganic components. Theideal extractant should remove practically all organic matter from soil without altering its physical andchemical properties. The use of dilute aqueous solutions of NaOH as SOM extractant has been proposed by Archard (1786, as cited by Schnitzer and Schuppli, 1989), and has gained considerable applications. The major concerns with the use of NaOH are the creation of artifacts in the extractedorganic matter due to hydrolysis and auto-oxidation (Stevenson, 1982) and the arbitrary nature of theseparation into HA and FA fractions. Other reagents that have been widely used for the extraction of organic matter in soil include dilute solutions of neutral salts such as $Na_4P_2O_7$.

Little is known as to whether and to what extent soil organic matter extracted by dilute NaOH solution differs from that removed by dilute Na₄P₂O₇ solution (Schnitzer and Schuppli, 1989). Problems with hydrolysis and auto-oxidation appear to be at least partly overcome by extracting under an atmosphere of N₂ (Anderson and Schoenau, 1993) although Tanet al. (1991) found no difference between organic matter extracted under air and under N₂.

Despite the popularity of this fractionation scheme, the fractions obtained are notconceptual pools but procedurally defined fractions with limited utility in studies of SOM dynamics(Stevenson and Elliott, 1989).

VII. Isotopic Techniques

Soil organic matter has also been studied extensively using both stable and radioactiveisotopes of carbon. The type of isotopic measurements performed usually depends on the type of information required and the objectives of the study. The use of isotopes is reported to provide precise quantification of changes in SOM during decomposition (Gregorich et al, 2016). Themost common isotopic techniques used are ¹⁴C-labelling and ¹³C natural abundance. Generally, ¹⁴C-labelling is used to study short-term dynamics of SOM, and the ¹³C natural abundance is used tocharacterize the long-term status.

¹⁴C-labelling

The application of ¹⁴C in studies of SOM seems to have been first brought to the attention of soil chemists by Bingermanet al. (1953). Since then ¹⁴C hasbeen used in so many aspects of SOM research that a complete review of its applications is beyond the scope of this presentation. The use of ¹⁴C as a label has been made possible because of its radioactive nature, a β -emitter with a half-life of 5730 years. The use of ¹⁴C-labelled substrates hasbeen found necessary to trace the fate of added organic matter in various soil constituents.

Substrates labeled with ¹⁴C have ranged from simple compounds, such as glucose and amino acids,to complex compounds, such as proteins and cellulose, to plant leaves and roots. With the addition of ¹⁴C-labelled substrates to the soil, information has been obtained on the amount and rate of mineralization of the substrate.

This approach has been used by many researchers to examined the distribution of labeled carbohydrates among size fractions from soils incubated with ¹⁴C-labelled glucose (28 days), rye-grass (1 year) and straw (4 years).Despite the popularity of ¹⁴C-labelling techniques in SOM studies, erroneous conclusions maybe drawn if the decay rates of the ¹⁴C-labelled components of plant materials differ from those of theunlabeled components (Voroneyet al., 1993). Also, producing artificially and uniformly labelled plantmaterial remains difficult and requires sophisticated equipment.

¹³C Natural abundance

Carbon has two stable naturally occurring isotopes, ${}^{12}C$ and ${}^{13}C$. The isotope ${}^{12}C$ makes upapproximately 98% of the carbon in nature while ${}^{13}C$ makes up approximately 1%. The ratio of thesetwo stable isotopes $({}^{13}C/{}^{12}C)$ is affected by differences in photosynthetic pathways in plants. As a result, plants have been classified into C3, C4 and CAM based on photosyntheticpathway. The ¹³C/¹²C ratio is often measured relative to a standard and expressed as δ^{13} C. Plantswith a C4 pathway have a higher 13 C/ 12 C ratio (δ^{13} C of approximately -12 to -21%) than C3 plants ($\delta^{13}C < -21\%$). Detailed reviews on the mechanistic causes of variations in the carbon isotope ratiosin plant materials are given by Boutton(1996). The use of ¹³Cnatural abundance as a tracer in SOM studies is based on the fact that the ¹³C content of SOM corresponds closely to the 13 C content of the plant material from which it is derived and that there is negligible fractionation of 13 C during the decomposition of plant material in soil (Gregorichet al., 2006). Therefore, the incorporation of C3 plant material into a soil that previously carried C4vegetation will lead to a corresponding change in the δ^{13} C value of the soil. This approach has been used to study SOM dynamics and plant residue carbon in particle-size fractions in temperate and tropical soils. Using this method, researchers have studied the changes in SOM as a result of cropping, the dynamicsof SOM it particle-size fractions of forested and cultivated oxisols, and used it to quantify maize root-derived soil organic carbon. Some extensive reviews on the useof ¹³C natural abundance in SOM studies have been presented by Boutton (1996). A major source of error in the use of this technique is the changes in the isotopiccomposition of SOM during decomposition which in turn may be due to differences in δ^{13} C betweendegradable and recalcitrant SOM.

VIII. Spectroscopic Techniques

A wide range of spectroscopic techniques have been employed in understanding the natureand dynamics of organic matter in soils. The use of such techniques has made it possible to identifydifferent compounds present in soil organic matter based on functional groups. Such techniques areknown to be non-abrasive and therefore can provide detailed information on the nature of soil organic matterials *in situ*. The most common spectroscopic techniques employed in studies of SOM aresolid-state ¹³C NMR (Nuclear Magnetic Resonance) spectroscopy and pyrolysis-mass spectrometry. These will be covered in this review. Other spectroscopic techniques include Infraredspectroscopy and electron spin resonance spectroscopy.

Solid-state ¹³C NMR Spectroscopy

The application of NMR spectroscopy to SOM studies appears to have first been carried out byBarton and Schnitzer (1963) in their studies on ¹H NMR of a methylated humic acid.Since then, many studies on the application of ¹³C NMR spectroscopy to SOM studies have been conducted. Useful reviewsregarding the principles and applications of NMR spectroscopy in the study of SOM can be foundelsewhere (Ivanova and Randall, 2003; Chukovet al., 2018; Matherset al., 2000; Preston, 2015).

¹³C NMR spectroscopy is widely accepted as being one of the most powerful forms of spectroscopy for structural elucidation of complex biomolecules. It offers the possibility of quantification which should allow the relative amounts of carbon in different chemical environments tobe determined (Martherset al., 2000). The great strength of NMR in SOM research is its uniqueability to provide information on very complex materials, such as those characterized by low solubility,irregular structures, and strong physical or chemical links to each other or to mineral matter (Preston,2015). The information provided by NMR spectroscopy can also aid in choosing appropriate methodsfor conventional chemical analysis, for example, for individual lipids, sugars, or amino acids (Golchinet al., 1995). Initially, the low sensitivity of the ¹³C nucleus meant that carbon values above 2% weregenerally required to obtain spectra with an acceptable signal-to noise ratio (Skjemstadet al., 1996).

However, the development of Fourier-transform (FT) techniques for data acquisition, and CPMAS(Cross-Polarisation Magic-Angle Spinning) methods have further improved the sensitivity of solid-state¹³C NMR spectroscopy. Also, Skjemstadet al. (1996) showed that the treatment of a soil sample with a solution of 2 % and 10 % HF, respectively, can remove mineral matter without significantly affecting the organic component, thereby improving the ¹³C NMR spectra obtained. The technique of CPMAS ¹³C NMR has been applied to a wide range of studies inunderstanding the

The technique of CPMAS ¹³C NMR has been applied to a wide range of studies inunderstanding the processes of SOM decomposition and has produced voluminous information(egSmernik, 2005; Ivanova and Randall, 2003; Chukovet al., 2018). Probably the most important discovery from ¹³C NMRspectroscopy is that soil organic matter, in general, contains significant quantities of aliphaticmacromolecules which are not carbohydrates, proteins, or low molecular weight waxes. Thesecompounds are often referred to as polymethyleneic of parraffinic structures.

Other important findings from the application of 13 C NMR showed that phenolic carbon is not asdominant a structural feature of soil organic matter as previously thought, and that labile substancessuch as carbohydrates are only a transitory component of soil organic matter. Using this technique, ithas been shown that the most noticeable change in the initial stages of decomposition is a decrease in the ratio of o-alkyl to alkyl C (Mathers et al., 2000). This change isoften associated with the loss of the most easily metabolizable carbohydrates and an accumulation of alkyl carbon. The technique has also been used in understanding the structure and dynamics of organic matter in size and density fractions of soils (Golchinet al., 1995).

Although the use of 13 C NMR has produced useful information on SOM studies, the methodrequires the, presence of more carbon than many agricultural soils contain and the results can be be by the presence of paramagnetic Fe³⁺ ions (Arshadet al., 1988). Also, in studies of SOM, signals in 13 C NMR spectra tend to be broad and overlap. As such, only information on carbon types(eg aliphatic, aromatic, carbonyl etc) can be derived from these spectra. Furthermore, the high cost associated with the equipment required for such analysis makes itof remote accessibility for most soil laboratories.

Pyrolysis-Mass Spectrometry

The technique of pyrolysis-mass spectrometry (Py-MS), as applied to soil organic matterstudies, appears to have been pioneered by Schultenet al. (1987). In this technique, the sample ispyrolysed directly under vacuum in the ion source of a mass spectrometer during which weaker bondsin organic compounds are broken by a pulse of thermal energy and the volatile compounds areidentified by mass spectrometry. Based on the identified products, the nature of the originalmacromolecule is inferred. The ratios of the different products obtained give an indication of thedegree of humification. Extensive reviews regarding the origin, principles and applications of thistechnique in SOM studies have been published in recent years (Saiz-Jimenez, 1996).

Pyrolysis-Mass Spectrometry has been applied extensively in the investigation of complexbiomaterials, humic substances and forest soils rangingwidely in organic matter contents (Schultenet al., 1987). Further improvements in the technique, which include Curie-point Pyrolysis-Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry (Py-GC/MS), Pyrolysis-Field Ionization Mass Spectrometry (Py-FIMS), and Pyrolysis-Field Desorption MassSpectrometry (Py-FDMS) have enabled the characterization of molecular subunits of SOM. These techniques are described in detail in Schnitzer and Schulten (1995).

Despite the wealth of information provided by the technique of pyrolysis-mass spectrometry, Saiz-Jimenez (1996) cautioned that pyrolysis data of humic substances are not correctly interpreted, and misunderstandings often occur in pyrolysis papers. The technique can cause drasticmodifications of the original building blocks which can lead to incorrect conclusions. The techniqueconsiders pyrolysis products as building blocks of the macromolecules from which they were derived. Other studies have failed to confirm this. For example, Derenne and Quenea(2014)found that pyrolysis of plant and soil polysaccharides results in complex pyrolysates containing a widevariety of volatile, relatively low molecular weight compounds. Pyrolysis of cellulose was found toproduce carbonyl compounds, mainly aldehydes and ketones of different chain lengths. Based on these results, there is a tendency to conclude that cellulose is a polymer made up of all those compounds identified. Cellulose, however, is known to be a polymer of glucopyranose unitslinked by β -1,4 linkages, but no such units were found in cellulose pyrolysis products.

These, and many other observations, have shown that interpretations of the chemical nature ofbiomacromolecules, in the light of information provided by pyrolysis, data is a difficult task.

IX. Oxidation By Potassium Permanganate (Kmno₄)

Solutions of potassium permanganate (KMnO₄) have been used extensively for the oxidation of organic compounds, the rates and extents of oxidation of the different substrates governed by theirchemical composition (Hayes and Swift, 1978). An extensive literature dealing with the oxidation of organic compounds by permanganate is given by Stewart (1965). It was Piretet al. (1957, as cited byHayes and Swift, 1978) who first brought to the attention of soil chemists the usefulness of KMnO₄solutions in oxidizing soil organic matter when they showed that oxidation of peat by KMnO₄ yielded12 to 22% of aromatic polycyclic acids. More recently, extensive work in understanding the chemistry of soil organic matter had utilized the oxidizing power of KMnO₄ (Schnitzer and Desjardin, 1964).Most of the work, however, was concerned with identification of the oxidation products of humic andfulvic acids.

The need to investigate the products formed by the use of different concentrations of permanganate over varying conditions of time had been felt over the years. For instance, studies with less than the theoretical amounts of permanganate required for complete oxidation could revealthe quantity of readily oxidizable components. Some attempts to carry out studies in this directionhad been undertaken by Schnitzer and Desjardins (1964), which however, were based on the use of strong oxidizers for investigation into the chemical structures of humic compounds.

In relatively recent years, Loginowet al.(1987) developed a method of fractionating soilorganic matter based on susceptibility to oxidation by KMnO₄. In its original form, the degree of oxidation with three different concentrations of KMnO₄ was used, in conjunction with the total carbon concentration of the soil to obtain four fractions of soil organic carbon based on their ease of oxidation. Although the technique cannot be used to obtain the organic fractions *in substantio*, workdone by Contehet al. (1998, 1999) has shown the technique to be useful for monitoring small, short-termchanges in soil organic matter, characterization of organic matter of various soils, and evaluation of qualitative changes occurring under the influence of organic and mineral fertilization. The method isbased on the supposition that the oxidative action of potassium permanganate on soil organic carbonis comparable to that of enzymes produced by soil microorganisms. The procedure involves reacting the soil organic matter with an excess of three different concentrations of KMnO₄. As some classes of organic compounds are oxidized, the permanganate is reduced and the reduced permanganate, which is equivalent to the amount of carbon oxidized, is measured by spectrophotometry. The lowerthe concentration of KMnO₄ required for oxidation of a certain class of compounds, the more labilethat organic component. Using this procedure, Loginowet al. (1987) obtained four fractions with 3different concentrations of permanganate.

After detailed experimentation, Blairet al. (1995) proposed the use of one concentration ofKMnO₄ solution, 333 mM, to determine the labile component of soil organic matter. The un-oxidizedfraction, referred to as the non-labile carbon, is calculated from the total carbon concentration. Bycomparing the labile and non-labile carbon of a cropped soil relative to a native or reference soil,Blairet al.(1995) developed a carbon management index as an indicator of organic matter status ofsoil. The KMnO₄ oxidation procedure of Blair et al. (1995) has been employed in several recentstudies (egWeil et al., 2003; Jensen et al., 2018). Shang and Tiessen (1997) and Conteh and Blair (1998) showed that oxidationby KMnO₄ was able to predict the proportion of labile carbon which indicated a decrease in organic matter stability after cultivation.Conteh et al (1999) showed that

the labile carbon determined by $KMnO_4$ oxidation procedure gave very good correlations with other measurements of labile carbon in soil.

X. Conclusion

Maintenance of soil organic matter is key to sustainable soil management. This however must be accompanied by reliable methods that can monitor the quality and quantity of soil organic matter in the short and long term. This review has clearly shown that this is not as simple as it sounds. Much work has been accomplished and demonstrated with spectroscopic methods in understanding the nature of soil organic matter, especially the use of ¹³C NMR spectroscopy and Pyrolysis. These techniques have contributed immensely to the current understanding of soil organic matter. Their use is however lagging in the tropical world, where soil organic matter is of major importance. The use of radioisotopes has provided much information in tracking the decomposition of organic matter is soils. Physical and chemical fractionation procedures have also contributed immensely in isolating the labile component of soil organic matter. Much work remains in ensuring that these techniques become global in their usage.

References

- [1]. Anderson, D. W., and Coleman, D. C. (1985). The dynamics of organic matter in grassland soils. *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation* **40**, 211-216.
- [2]. Arshad, M. A., Ripmeester, J. A., and Schnitzer, M. (1988). attempts to improve solid-state ¹³C NMR spectra of whole mineral soils. *Canadian Journal of Soil Science* 68, 593-602.
- [3]. Ball, D. F. (1964). Loss-on-ignition as an estimate of organic matter and organic carbon in noncalcareous soils. *Journal of Soil Science* 15, 85-92
- [4]. Barrie, A. (1991). New methodologies in stable isotope analysis. In 'Stable isotopes in Plant Nutrition, Soil Fertility and Environmental Studies'. (IAEA; Vienna.) pp. 3 25
- [5]. Barton, D.R., and Schnitzer, M. (1963). A new experimental approach to the humic acid problem. *Nature* **198**, 217-218.
- [6]. Ben-Dor, E., and Banin, A. (1989). Determination of organic matter content in arid zone soils using a simple "loss-on-ignition" method. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis* 20, 1675-1695.
- [7]. Bingerman, C. W. Varner, J. E., and Martin, W. P. (1953). The effects of the addition of organic materials on the decomposition of an organic soil. Soil Science Society of America Proceedings 17, 34-38.
- [8]. Blair G.J., Lefroy R.D.B. and Lisle L. 1995. Soil carbon fractions based on their degree of oxidation and the development of a carbon management index for agricultural Systems. Aust. J. Agric. Res. 46: 1459–1466. (https://doi.org/10.1071/AR9951459)
- [9]. Boutton, T. W. (1996). Stable carbon isotope ratios of soil organic matter and their use as indicators of vegetation and climate change. In 'Mass Spectrometry of Soils'. (Eds. T. W. Boutton and S. Yamasaki.) pp. 47-82. (Marcel Dekker, Inc: New York.)
- [10]. Chen, Y., Senesi, N., and Schnitzer, M. (1977). Information provided on humic substances by E4/E6 ratios. Soil Science Society of America Journal 41, 352-358.
- [11]. Camberdella, C. A., and Elliott, E. T. (1994). Carbon and nitrogen dynamics of soil organic matter fractions from cultivated grassland soils. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* **58**, 123 30
- [12]. Christensen, B.T. 2001. Physical fractionation of soil and structural and functional complexity in organic matter turnover. Eur. J. Soil Sci. 52: 345–353. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2389.2001.00417.x
- [13]. Chukov, S. N., Lodygin, E. D., and Abakumov, E. V. 2018. Application of ¹³C NMR Spectroscopy to the Study of Soil Organic Matter: A Review of Publications. *Eurasian Soil Science*, 2018, Vol. 51, No. 8, pp. 889–900.
- [14]. Conteh A. and Blair G.J. 1998. The distribution and relative losses of soil organic carbon fractions in aggregate size fractions from cracking clay soils (Vertisols) under cotton production. Aust. J. Soil Res. 36: 257–271. (https://doi.org/10.1071/S97073)
- [15]. Conteh A., Blair G.J. and Rochester I.J. 1998. Soil organic fractions in a Vertisol under irrigated cotton production as affected by burning and incorporating cotton stubble. Aust. J. Soil Res. 36: 655–667. (https://doi.org/10.1071/S97117)
- [16]. Conteh, A.,Blair, G.J., Lefroy, R., and Whitbread, A. (1999). Labile organic carbon determined by permanganate oxidation and its relationships to other measurements of soil organic carbon. *Humic Substances in the Environment* **1**, 3-15.
- [17]. Davies, B. E. (1974). Loss-on-ignition as an estimate of soil organic matter. *Soil Science Society of America Proceedings* **38**, 150-151.
- [18]. Derenne, S. and Quenea, K. 2014. Analytical pyrolysis as a tool to probe soil organic matter. Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis, Elsevier, 2014, 111, pp.108-120. 10.1016/j.jaap.2014.12.001.
- [19]. Donkin, M. J. (1991). Loss-on-ignition as an estimate of soil organic carbon in A-horizon of forest soils. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 22, 233-241.
- [20]. Gleixner, G. 2013. Soil organic matter dynamics: a biological perspective derived from the use of compound-specific isotopes studies. Ecol Res (2013) 28: 683–695. DOI 10.1007/s11284-012-1022-9
- [21]. Golchin, A., Oades, J. M., Skjemstad, J. O. and Clarke, P. 1995 Structural and Dynamic Properties of Soil Organic Matter as Reflected by 13C Natural Abundance, Pyrolysis Mass Spectrometry and Solid-state ¹³C NMR Spectroscopy in Density Fractions of an Oxisol under Forest and Pasture' Aust. J. Soil Res., 1995, 33, 59-76
- [22]. Gregorich, E.G., Beare, M.H., McKim, U.F., Skjemstad, J.O., 2006. Chemical and biological characteristics of physically uncomplexed organic matter. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 70, 975-985.
- [23]. Hayes, M. H. B., and Swift, R. S. (1978). The chemistry of soil organic colloids. In *The Chemistry of Soil Constituents.*' (Eds. Greeland, D. J., and Hayes, M. H. B.) pp.179-320. (John Wiley: Chichester).
- [24]. Ivanova, G. I., and Randall, E. W. 2003 ¹³C NMR and Mass Spectrometry of Soil Organic Matter. Central European Journal of Chemistry. CEJC 1 (2003) 10{27
- [25]. Jensen, J.L., Christensen, B.T., Schjonning, P., Watts, C.W., Munkholm, L.J., 2018. Converting loss-on-ignition to organic carbon content in arable topsoil: pitfalls and proposed procedure. Eur. J. Soil Sci. https://doi.org/10.1111/ejss.12558.
- [26]. Ladd, J. N., Foster, R. C., and Skjemstad, J. 0. (1993). Soil structure: carbon and nitrogen metabolism. Geoderma56, 401 434.
- [27]. Loginow, W., Wisniewski, W., Gonet, S. S. and Ciescinska, B. (1987). Fractionation of organic carbon based on susceptibility to oxidation. *Polish Journal of Soil Science* **20**, 47-52.

- [28]. Mathers, N. J., Mao, X. A., Xu, Z. H., Saffigna, P. G., Berners-Price, S. J., andPerera, M. C. S. 2000. Recent advances in the application of ¹³C and ¹⁵N NMR spectroscopy to soil organic matter studies. Aust. J. Soil Res., 2000, 38, 769–87
- [29]. Nelson, D. W., and Sommers, L. E. (1982). Total carbon, organic carbon, and organic matter. In `Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 2. Chemical and Microbiological Properties.' (Eds. Page, A. L., Miller, R. H., and Keeney, D. R.) pp. 539-580. (American Society of Agronomy: Madison, Wisconsin).
- [30]. Preston, C. M. 2015. "Environmental NMR—the early years," Magn. Reson. Chem. 53 (9), 635–647 (2015). doi 10.1002/mrc.4180
- [31]. Saiz-Jimenez, C. (1996). The chemical structure of humic substances: recent advances. In 'Humic Substances in Terrestrial Ecosystems.' (Ed. Piccolo, A.) pp. 1-44. (Elsevier: Amsterdam).
- [32]. Santi, C., Certini, G. and D'Acqui, L. P. (2006) Direct Determination of Organic Carbon by Dry Combustion in Soils with Carbonates, Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis, 37:1-2, 155-162, DOI: 10.1080/00103620500403531
- [33]. Schnitzer, M. and Schulten, H. -R. (1995). Analysis of organic matter in soil extracts and whole soils by Pyrolysis-Mass Spectrometry. *Advances in Agronomy* **55**, 167 217
- [34]. Schnitzer, M., and Desjardin, J. G. (1964). Further investigations of the alkaline permanganate oxidation of organic matter extracted from a podzolBh horizon. *Canadian Journal of Soil Science* **44**, 272-299.
- [35]. Schnitzer, M., and Schuppli, P. (1989). Method for the sequential extraction of organic matter from soils and soil fractions. Soil Science Society of America Journal 53, 1418-1424.
- [36]. Schnitzer, M., Lowe, L. E., Dormaar, J. F., and Martel, Y. (1981). A procedure for characterisation of soil organic matter. *Canadian Journal of Soil Science* 61, 517-519.
- [37]. Schollenberger, C. J. (1927). A rapid approximate method for determining soil organic matter. Soil Science 24, 65-68.
- [38]. Schulten, H. -R., Simmleit, N., and Muller, R. (1987). High-temperature, high sensitivity pyrolysis field ionization mass spectrometry. Analytical Chemistry 59, 2903-2908
- [39]. Shang, C., and H. Tiessen. 1997. Organic matter lability in a tropical oxisol: Evidence from shifting cultivation, chemical oxidation, particle size, density, and magnetic fractionations. Soil Sci. 162: 795–807. doi:10.1097/00010694-199711000-00004
- [40]. Shoenau, J. J., and Bettany, J. R. (1987). Organic matter leaching as a component of carbon, nitrogen, phosphorus, and sulfur cycles in a forest, grassland and gleyed soil. Soil Science Society of America Journal 51, 646-651
- [41]. Skjemstad J.O., Clarke P, Taylor J.A., Oades J.M., McClure S.G. (1996). The chemistry and nature of protected carbon in soil. Australian Journal of Soil Research 34, 251–271.
- [42]. Skjemstad, J. O., and Dalal, R. C. (1987). Spectroscopic and chemical differences of organic matter of two Vertsols subjected to long periods of cultivation. Australian Journal of Soil Research 25, 323-335.
- [43]. Skjemstad, J. O., Clarke, P., Taylor, J. A., Oades, J. M., and McClure, S. G. (1996). The chemistry and nature of protected carbon in soil. Australian Journal of Soil Research 34, 251-271.
- [44]. Smernik, R. J. 2005. "Solid-state ¹³C NMR spectroscopic studies of soil organic matter at two magnetic field strengths," Geoderma125, 249–271 (2005). doi10.1016/j.geoderma.2004.08.003
- [45]. Spain, A. V., Probert, M. V., Isbell, R. F., and John, R. D. (1982). Loss-on-ignition and the carbon content of Australian soils. *Australian Journal of Soil Research* 20, 147-152.
- [46]. Stevenson, F. J. 1982. Humus Chemistry: Genesis, Composition, and Reactions. (Wiley: New York).
- [47]. Stevenson, F. J., and Elliott, E. T. (1989). Methodologies for assessing the quality and quantity of soil organic matter. In 'Dynamics of Soil Organic Matter in Tropical Ecosystems.' (Eds. Coleman, D. C., Oades, J. M., and Uehara, G.) pp. 173-199. (NiFTAL Project: Hawaii).
- [48]. Syers, J. K., and Crasswell, E. T. (1995). Role of organic matter in sustainable agricultural systems. In 'Soil Organic Matter Management for Sustainable Agriculture. (Eds. Lefroy, R. D. B., Blair, G. J., and Crasswell, E. T.) pp. 71-14. (ACIAR: Canberra). ACIAR Proceedings 56.'
- [49]. Tan, K. H., Himmelsbach, D. S., and Lombartini, J. C. 1992. The significance of solid-state ¹³C NMR spectroscopy of whole soil in characterisation of humic matter. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis* 23, 1513-1532.
- [50]. Voroney, R. P., Winter, J. P., and Bayaert, R. P. 1993. Soil microbial biomass C and N. In 'Soil Sampling and Methods of Analysis.' (Ed. M. R. Carter). pp. 277-286. (CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL)
- [51]. Walkley, A. and Black, I. A. 1934. An examination of the Degtjareff method for determining soil organic matter and a proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method. *Soil Science* 37, 29-38.
- [52]. Weil, R.R., K.R. Islam, M.A. Stine, J.B. Gruver, and S.E. Samson-Liebig. 2003. Estimating active carbon for soil quality assessment: A simplified method for laboratory and field use. Am. J. of Alt. Agric. 18: 3–17. doi:10.1079/AJAA2003003

Abdul R Conteh. "An Overview of Methods Used In Assessing the Dynamics of Organic Matter in Soils." *IOSR Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science (IOSR-JAVS)*, 13(8), 2020, pp. 01-11.