### Residual effect of organic sources and fertilizer levels to preceding maize on the growth parameters of Succeeding groundnut

T.Bharath, G.E.Ch Vidyasagar, V.Praveen Rao, S.Narender Reddy, and A.Madhavi

Department of Agronomy, Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural University, Hyderabad-500030 (Telangana), India

**Abstract:** A field experiment was conducted during 2014-2015 and 2015-16 on integrated nutrient management in maize and its residual effect on groundnut under maize-groundnut crop sequence in Southern telanagana region. The plot receiving combination of residual 50% RDF+50% RDN through urban compost  $(M_5)$  and 100% RDF  $(S_1)$  exerted significant effect on most of the growth parameters at different stages of succeeding groundnut. The maximum increase in the growth parameters like plant height, dry matter accumulation of groundnut were obtained due to residual effect of residual 50% RDF+50% RDN through urban compost  $(M_5)$  through 100% RDF  $(S_1)$  and was closely followed by the treatments having residual 50% RDF+50% RDN through FYM  $(M_3)$  and 100% RDF $(S_1)$ . With regard to interaction between residual treatments and fertilizer levels was not observed during both years of study. **Keywords:** Urban compost, FYM, INM

#### I. Introduction

One of the most important challenges faced by human beings today is to conserve/sustain natural resources, including soil and water, for increasing food production while protecting the environment. As the world population grows, stress on natural resources increases, making it difficult to maintain food security. Long term food security requires a balance between increasing crop production, maintaining soil health and environmental sustainability. In India, effective nutrient management has played a major role in accomplishing the enormous increase in food production from 52 million tonnes in 1951-52 to 264 million tonnes during 2014-15. However, application of imbalanced and excessive nutrients led to declining nutrient-use efficiency making fertilizer consumption un economical and producing adverse effects on atmosphere (Aulakh and Adhya, 2005) and groundwater quality (Aulakh *et al.* 2009) causing health hazards and climate change.

Groundnut, the premier oilseed crop of India, occupies in an area of about 6.7 million ha and contributes 7.3 million tonnes towards the oilseed production. India stands first in area and second in production, and fifth in productivity (1,000 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) after USA, China, Indonesia and Nigeria. The productivity of groundnut is low in India when compared with other countries mainly due to rain dependency (85%), monoculture (60%) and cultivation on marginal soils of low fertility. Groundnut is an energy rich crop and needs sufficient amount of nutrients and moisture to meet their requirement for growth and development and high yields. Sustainable groundnut production can be achieved by diversifying the groundnut cropping system and nutrient management practices (Dudhatra *et al.*, 2002, and Balaguravaiah *et al.*, 2005). Integration and incorporation of organic manure (FYM/urban compost) in the agricultural systems helps to improve soil structure, soil microbial activity and soil moisture conservation and which in turn helps to stabilize the production and productivity of the crops. INM is also important for marginal farmers who cannot afford to supply crop nutrients through costly chemical fertilizers.

#### **II.** Materials And Methods

A field experiment entitled "Direct and residual effect of integrated nutrient management in Maize-Groundnut crop sequence in Southern Telangana region" was conducted during *kharif* and *rabi* 2014-15 and 2015-16 at College Farm, College of Agriculture, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, Southern Telangana climatic Zone of Telangana. The soil of experimental site was sandy clay loam with pH of 7.6, Electrical conductivity 0.86 dSm<sup>-1</sup>, low in organic carbon (0.73 dSm<sup>-1</sup>), low in available nitrogen (217 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and medium in phosphorus (64 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and high in potassium (402 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The experiment was laid out in a randomized block design for maize during *kharif* 2014 and 2015 with six treatments consisting of combinations of three fertilizer levels 100,75 and 50 per cent RDF through fertilizer and 25 and 50 per cent RDN through two manures (FYM, Urban compost) with four replications. In succeeding *rabi* season, the experiment was laid out in split- plot design by taking five residual treatments from preceding maize as main plots and each at 50, 75 and 100 per cent RDF as

three sub-treatments with 3 replications for groundnut during *rabi* 2014-15 and 2015-16. The data on growth parameters viz.plant height and dry matter accumulation were recorded at 30, 60,90DAS and at harvest in groundnut during both years of study.

*Kharif* maize hybrid (DHM-117) was sown on 27<sup>th</sup> July during first year and 19<sup>th</sup> June during second year adopting a spacing of 60 x 20 cm. Succeeding rabi Groundnut (Kadire-6) was sown on 26<sup>th</sup> November during first year and 16<sup>th</sup> October during second year adopting a spacing of 30 x 10 cm. In general the climatic conditions were congenial during crop growth period and incidence of pest and disease attack was noticed to a some extent.

#### **III. Results And Discussion**

#### Growth Parameters Plant height at different stages

During both years of study, the plant height was not significantly different with residual treatments of preceding maize crop on *rabi* groundnut at 30 days after sowing. The interaction between residual treatments in maize crop and succeeding fertilizer levels in groundnut was not significant at 30 days after sowing.

During first year of study, the plant height was the highest in 50% RDF+50% RDN through urban compost ( $M_5$ ) followed by 50% RDF+50% RDN through farmyard manure ( $M_3$ ) and 100% RDF ( $M_1$ ), with significant disparity between rest of the treatments tried, while during second year, the highest plant height was obtained with 50% RDF+50% RDN through urban compost ( $M_5$ ), which was however, on par with 50% RDF+50% RDN through farmyard manure ( $M_3$ ) and 100% RDF ( $M_1$ ), significantly superior to rest of the treatments. Significantly lowest plant height was recorded with 75% RDF+25% RDN through farmyard manure ( $M_2$ ) during the both years of study at 60, 90 days after sowing and at harvest.

During both the years of study, fertilizer levels exerted a significant influence on plant height of groundnut and it was the highest with 100% RDF ( $S_1$ ), which was however, comparable with 75% RDF ( $S_2$ ) and significantly superior to 50% RDF ( $S_3$ ) at 60, 90 days after sowing and at harvest. At 30 day after sowing no significant difference was observed in terms of plant height.

With regard to interaction between residual treatments and fertilizer levels, combination of  $M_5S_1$  recorded the tallest plants, which were on par with  $M_3S_1$  and  $M_1S_1$  during both the years of study at 60 days after sowing. Significant increase in plant height with different levels of RDF along with FYM and urban compost was probably due to cell and internodal elongation, plant metabolism, there by promoting vegetative growth which is positively correlated to the productive potentiality of plant which corroborates with the results of Masood *et al.* (2011).



Fig.1.Plant height (cm) at 60 DAS of *rabi* groundnut after kharif maize as influenced by different treatments



#### Dry matter accumulation (g plant<sup>-1</sup>) at different stages

During both years of study, the dry matter accumulation was not significantly different with residual treatments of preceding maize crop on *rabi* groundnut at 30 days after sowing. During first year of study, the dry matter accumulation was the highest in 50% RDF+50% RDN through urban compost ( $M_5$ ) followed by 50% RDF+50% RDN through farmyard manure ( $M_3$ ) and 100% RDF ( $M_1$ ), with significant disparity between rest of the treatments tried at 60,90 days after sowing and at harvest, while during second year, the highest dry matter accumulation was obtained with 50% RDF+50% RDN through urban compost ( $M_5$ ), which was however, on par with 50% RDF+50% RDN through farmyard manure ( $M_3$ ) and 100% RDF ( $M_1$ ) which are significantly superior to rest of the treatments at 60,90 days after sowing and at harvest.75% RDF+25% RDN through farmyard manure ( $M_2$ ) was recorded the lowest dry matter accumulation during both the years of study at 60, 90 days after sowing and at harvest. Higher dry matter production with residual effect of manure and RDF could be attributed to enhanced plant height, leaf area index and photosynthates accumulation, thereby improving the plant vigor due to source-sink relationship. These findings are in conformity with those of Pokharel *et al.* (2009), Tetarwal *et al.* (2011) and Ravi *et al.* (2012).

During both the years of study, fertilizer levels exerted a significant influence on dry matter accumulation of groundnut and it was the highest with 100% RDF ( $S_1$ ), which was however, comparable with 75% RDF ( $S_2$ ) and significantly superior to 50% RDF ( $S_3$ ) at 60,90 days after sowing and at harvest.

With regard to interaction between residual treatments and fertilizer levels, combination of  $M_5S_1$  recorded the highest dry matter accumulation, which was on par with  $M_3S_1$  and  $M_1S_1$ , during both year of study at 60 days after sowing.





Residual effect of organic sources and fertilizer levels to preceding maize on the growth parameters ..



#### **IV. Conclusions**

The growth parameter *viz.*, plant height and dry matter accumulation were recorded significantly higher with integration of residual 50% RDF+50% RDN through urban compost  $(M_5)$  and 100% RDF  $(S_1)$  for succeeding groundnut on par with residual 50% RDF+50% RDN through FYM  $(M_3)$  and 100% RDF  $(S_1)$  for succeeding groundnut, while growth parameters *viz.*, Plant height and dry matter accumulation were not significantly influenced at 30 days after sowing during both the years.

#### References

- Aulakh ,M.S and Adhya, T.K., 2005, Impact of agricultural activities on emission of greenhouse gases-indian perspective. In "International conference on soil, water and environmental Quality-Issues and strategies', pp.319-335(*Indian society of soil science*: New Delhi).
- [2]. Aulakh, M.S., Khurana, M.P.S and Singh, D., 2009, Water pollution related to agricultural industrial and urban cativities, and its effects on food chain. Case studies from Punjab. *Journal of New Seeds.* (10):112-137.
- [3]. Balaguravaiah, D., Adinarayana, G., Prathap, S. and Reddy, T.Y. 2005, Influence of long-term use of inorganic and organic manures on soil fertility and sustainable productivity of rainfed groundnut in Alfisols. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science*. 53(4): 608–611.
- [4]. Dudhatra, M.G., Vaghani, M.N., Kachot, N.A. and Asodaria, K.B. 2002, Intergarted input management in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea*)-wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) cropping system. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*. **47**(4): 482–486.
- [5]. Masood, T., Gul, R., Munsif, F., Jalal, F., Hussain, Z., Noreen, N., Khan, H., Nasiruddin and Khan, H. 2011. Effect of different phosphorus levels on the yield components of Maize. *Sarhad Journal of Agriculture*, **27** (2): 167-170.
- [6]. Pokharel, B.B., Sah, S.K., Amgain, L.P and Ojha, B.R. 2009. Response of promising maize cultivars to different nitrogen levels in winter. Proceeding of the Tenth Asian Regional Maize Workshop. 479-483.
- [7]. Ravi, N., Basavarajappa, R., Chandrashekar, C.P., Harlapur, S.I., Hosamani, M.H and Manjunatha, M.V. 2012. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth and yield of quality protein maize. *Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, **25** (3): 395-396.
- [8]. Tetarwal, J.P., Ram, B and Meena, D.S. 2011. Effect of integrated nutrient management on productivity, profitability, nutrient uptake and soil fertility in rainfed maize. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, **56** (4): 373-376.

#### 1. Plant height (cm) at 30 DAS of rabi groundnut after kharif maize as influenced by different treatment

Treatments given to <i>kharif</i> maize(M)	Treatments given to rabi groundnut(S)								
	2014-15				2015-16				
	Recommen	ded Dose of Fe	rtilizer (%	)	Recommended Dose of Fertilizer (%)				
	100(S1) 75(S2) 50(S3) Mean				100(S <sub>1</sub> )	75(S <sub>2</sub> )	50(S <sub>3</sub> )	Mean	
M <sub>1</sub> - 100% RDF of NPK	12.47	11.00	9.57	11.0	13.13	11.78	10.27	11.7	
M <sub>2</sub> - 75% RDF of NPK +25% N-FYM	12.86	11.77	10.26	11.8	12.77	11.33	10.35	11.5	
M <sub>3</sub> - 50% RDF of NPK +50% N-FYM	14.46	12.66	11.27	12.8	15.03	13.33	12.00	13.5	
M <sub>4</sub> - 75% RDF of NPK +25% N-Urban Compost	13.28	11.96	10.98	12.1	12.86	11.40	10.60	11.6	
M <sub>5</sub> - 50% RDF of NPK +50% N-Urban Compost	16.23	13.56	13.06	14.2	16.76	14.16	13.40	14.8	
Mean	13.9	12.2	11.0		14.1	12.4	11.3		
	S.Em ±	CD(P=0.05)				S.Em ±	CD(P=0.05)		
М	1.78	NS			M	1.67	NS		
S	1.46	NS			S	1.52	NS		
M at S	0.54	NS			M at S	0.17	NS		
S at M	0.53	NS			S at M	0.18	NS		

#### 2. Plant height (cm) at 60 DAS of *rabi* groundnut after kharif maize as influenced by different treatments Treatments given to *kharif* maize(M) Treatments given to rabi groundnut(S)

frence Bren to many manee()	Treatments given to Tubi groundmat(s)									
		2014-1	5	2015-16						
	Recomm	nended Dose o	of Fertilize	er (%)	Recommended Dose of Fertilizer (%)					
	100(S <sub>1</sub> )	75(S <sub>2</sub> )	50(S <sub>3</sub> )	Mean	100(S <sub>1</sub> )	75(S <sub>2</sub> )	50(S <sub>3</sub> )	Mean		
M <sub>l</sub> -100% RDF of NPK	26.45	23.4	19.64	23.16	26.97	22.67	20.45	23.36		
$M_{2}\text{-}75\%\text{RDF}$ of NPK +25% N-FYM	17.56	14.58	13.68	15.27	18.03	15.06	14.2	15.76		
$M_{3}\text{-}50\%RDF$ of NPK +50% N-FYM	24.36	22.66	20.47	22.50	24.83	23.2	20.96	23.00		
M4- 75% RDF of NPK +25% N-Urban Compost	20.38	18.38	16.97	18.58	20.87	18.83	17.43	19.04		
M5- 50% RDF of NPK +50% N-Urban Compost	28.17	24.36	22.57	25.03	28.63	24.85	23.04	25.51		
Mean	23.38	20.68	18.67		23.87	20.92	19.22			
	S.Em <u>+</u>	CD(P=0.0 5)				S.Em <u>+</u>	CD(P=0.0 5)			
М	1.62	3.34			Μ	1.23	2.48			
S	1.3	2.7			s	1.53	3.14			
M at S	1.43	2.9			M at S	1.46	2.97			
S at M	1.75	3.57			S at M	1.79	3.54			

#### 3. Plant height (cm) at 90 DAS of *rabi* groundnut after *kharif* maize as influenced by different treatments Treatments given to *kharif* maize(M) Treatments given to rabi groundnut(S)

Treatments given to rabi groundnut(S)								
	2014-1	5		2015-16				
Recomm	nended Dose o	of Fertilize	er (%)	Recommended Dose of Fertilizer				
100(S <sub>1</sub> )	75(S <sub>2</sub> )	50(S <sub>3</sub> )	Mean	100(S <sub>1</sub> )	75(S <sub>2</sub> )	50(S <sub>3</sub> )	Mean	
34.68	33.24	29.54	28.37	35.12	33.68	30.57	33.12	
26.26	20.9	21.6	26.55	26.66	21.3	22	23.32	
33.27	31.78	30.63	30.27	33.6	32.13	31	32.24	
28.8	26.9	25.33	29.71	29.16	27.33	25.74	27.41	
36.43	35	32.13	32.71	36.93	35.4	32.43	34.92	
31.89	29.56	27.85		32.29	29.97	28.35		
S.Em ±	CD(P=0.0 5)				S.Em ±	CD(P=0.0 5)		
1.97	4.56			М	1.71	3.64		
1.57	3.18			s	1.57	3.28		
1.26	NS			M at S	1.26	NS		
1.27	NS			S at M	1.25	NS		
	Recomm 100(S <sub>1</sub> ) 34.68 26.26 33.27 28.8 36.43 31.89 S.Em ± 1.97 1.57 1.26 1.27	2014-1           Recommended Dose of           100(S1)         75(S2)           34.68         33.24           26.26         20.9           33.27         31.78           28.8         26.9           36.43         35           31.89         29.56           S.Em ±         CD(P=0.0           1.97         4.56           1.57         3.18           1.26         NS           1.27         NS	Treatmen           2014-15           2014-15           Recommended Dose of Fertilize           100(S1)         75(S2)         50(S3)           34.68         33.24         29.54           26.26         20.9         21.6           33.27         31.78         30.63           28.8         26.9         25.33           36.43         35         32.13           31.89         29.56         27.85           S.Em ±         CD(P=0.0 5)         5)           1.97         4.56           1.57         3.18           1.26         NS           1.27         NS	Treatments given to           2014-15           2014-15           Recommended Dose of Fertilizer (%)           100(S1)         75(S2)         50(S3)         Mean           34.68         33.24         29.54         28.37           26.26         20.9         21.6         26.55           33.27         31.78         30.63         30.27           28.8         26.9         25.33         29.71           36.43         35         32.13         32.71           31.89         29.56         27.85         5           S.Em ±         CD(P=0.0 5)         5)         1.97         4.56           1.57         3.18         1.26         N8         1.26         N8           1.27         NS         5         5         5         5	Treatments given to rabi groun           2014-15           Recommended Dose of Fertilizer (%)         Recomm           100(S1)         75(S2)         50(S3)         Mean         100(S1)           34.68         33.24         29.54         28.37         35.12           26.26         20.9         21.6         26.55         26.66           33.27         31.78         30.63         30.27         33.6           28.8         26.9         25.33         29.71         29.16           36.43         35         32.13         32.71         36.93           31.89         29.56         27.85         32.29           S.Em ±         CD(P=0.0         5)         1.97         4.56         M           1.57         3.18         S         1.26         NS         M at S           1.26         NS         M at S         S at M         1.27	Treatments given to rabi groundnut(\$)           2014-15         2015           2014-15         2015           Recommended Dose of Fertilizer (\$)         Recommended Dose           100(\$1)         75(\$2)         50(\$3)         Mean         100(\$1)         75(\$2)           34.68         33.24         29.54         28.37         35.12         33.68           26.26         20.9         21.6         26.55         26.66         21.3           33.27         31.78         30.63         30.27         33.6         32.13           28.8         26.9         25.33         29.71         29.16         27.33           36.43         35         32.13         32.71         36.93         35.4           31.89         29.56         27.85         32.29         29.97           S.Em ±         CD(P=0.0         5)         \$8.Em ±         \$8.Em ±           1.97         4.56         M         1.71           1.57         3.18         \$1.26         \$1.26           1.26         NS         M at S         1.26           1.27         NS<	Treatments given to rabi groundnut(S)           2014-15         2015-16           2014-15         2015-16           Recommended Dose of Fertilizer (%)         Recommended Dose of Fertilizer           100(S1)         75(S2)         50(S3)         Mean         100(S1)         75(S2)         50(S3)           34.68         33.24         29.54         28.37         35.12         33.68         30.57           26.26         20.9         21.6         26.55         26.66         21.3         22           33.27         31.78         30.63         30.27         33.6         32.13         31           28.8         26.9         25.33         29.71         29.16         27.33         25.74           36.43         35         32.13         32.71         36.93         35.4         32.43           31.89         29.56         27.85         32.29         29.97         28.35           SEm ±         CD(P=0.0 5)         5         5         5         5           1.97         4.56         M         1.71         3.64           1.57         <	

#### 4. Plant height (cm) at harvest of rabi groundnut after kharif maize as influenced by different treatments

reatments given to <i>knartj</i> maize(NI)	reatments given to rabi groundnut(S)									
		2014-1	.5	2015-16						
	Recomm	nended Dose o	of Fertilize	er (%)	Recommended Dose of Fertilizer (%)					
	100(S <sub>1</sub> )	75(S <sub>2</sub> )	50(S <sub>3</sub> )	Mean	100(S <sub>1</sub> )	75(S <sub>2</sub> )	50(S <sub>3</sub> )	Mean		
M <sub>l</sub> -100% RDF of NPK	48.6	46.31	43.62	46.18	49.2	47.36	45.96	47.51		
$M_{2}\text{-}75\%\text{RDF}$ of NPK $+25\%\text{N-FYM}$	43.56	42.17	38.96	41.56	44.23	43.06	39.7	42.33		
$M_{3^{-}}50\%RDF$ of NPK $+50\%N\text{-}FYM$	47.96	45.56	45.16	46.23	48.6	46.16	45.8	46.85		
M <sub>4</sub> - 75% RDF of NPK +25% N-Urban Compost	44.67	43.17	41.9	43.25	45.23	43.76	42.5	43.83		
M <sub>5</sub> - 50% RDF of NPK +50% N-Urban Compost	50.00	47.93	46.57	48.17	50.57	48.70	47.17	48.81		
Mean	46.96	45.03	43.24		47.57	45.81	44.23			
	S.Em <u>+</u>	CD(P=0.0 5)				S.Em <u>+</u>	CD(P=0.0 5)			
М	1.22	2.48			м	1.47	2.82			
S	1.15	2.32			s	1.39	2.75			
M at S	1.34	NS			M at S	1.22	NS			
S at M	1.36	NS			S at M	1.28	NS			

Treatments given to <i>kharif</i> maize(M)	Treatments given to rabi groundnut(S)									
		2014-1	.5		2015-16					
	Recomm	nended Dose o	of Fertilize	er (%)	Recommended Dose of Fertilizer					
	100(S <sub>1</sub> )	75(S <sub>2</sub> )	50(S <sub>3</sub> )	Mean	100(S <sub>1</sub> )	75(S <sub>2</sub> )	50(S <sub>3</sub> )	Mean		
$M_{l}\text{-}100\%\text{RDF}$ of NPK	3.37	3.16	2.87	3.13	3.82	3.61	3.43	3.62		
$M_{2}\text{-}75\%\text{RDF}$ of NPK $+25\%\text{N-FYM}$	3.12	2.89	2.73	2.91	3.54	3.38	3.20	3.37		
$M_{3}\mathchar`- 50\%RDF$ of NPK $+ 50\%N\mathchar`- FYM$	3.78	3.59	3.45	3.61	4.13	3.95	3.77	3.95		
M4- 75% RDF of NPK +25% N-Urban Compost	3.31	3.13	2.91	3.12	3.74	3.56	3.38	3.56		
M <sub>5</sub> - 50% RDF of NPK +50% N-Urban Compost	4.30	4.11	4.00	4.14	4.58	4.31	4.17	4.35		
Mean	3.58	3.38	3.19		3.96	3.76	3.59			
	S.Em <u>+</u>	CD(P=0.0 5)				S.Em ±	CD(P=0.0 5)			
М	0.56	NS			М	0.53	NS			
s	0.18	NS			s	0.20	NS			
M at S	0.28	NS			M at S	0.45	NS			
S at M	0.11	NS			S at M	0.12	NS			

# 5. Dry matter accumulation (g plant<sup>-1</sup>) at 30 DAS of *rabi* groundnut after *kharif* maize as influenced by different treatments

### 6. Dry matter accumulation (g plant<sup>-1</sup>) at 60 DAS of *rabi* groundnut after *kharif* maize as influenced by different treatments

Treatments given to <i>kharif</i> maize(M)	Treatments given to rabi groundnut(S)									
		2014-1	5		2015-16					
	Recomn	nended Dose o	of Fertilize	er (%)	Recommended Dose of Fertilizer					
	100(S <sub>1</sub> )	75(S <sub>2</sub> )	50(S <sub>3</sub> )	Mean	100(S <sub>1</sub> )	75(S <sub>2</sub> )	50(S <sub>3</sub> )	Mean		
$M_l\mathchar`-100\%RDF$ of NPK	19.57	17.63	15.90	17.70	20.44	18.83	17.20	18.82		
$M_{2}\text{-}75\%\text{RDF}$ of NPK +25% N-FYM	16.67	15.17	13.70	15.18	17.90	16.37	15.00	16.42		
$M_{3}\text{-}~50\%RDF$ of NPK +50% N-FYM	22.36	20.53	18.57	20.49	23.57	21.83	19.77	21.72		
M4- 75% RDF of NPK +25% N-Urban Compost	17.97	16.87	15.20	16.68	19.17	18.07	16.40	17.88		
M₅- 50% RDF of NPK +50% N-Urban Compost	25.27	23.43	21.47	23.39	26.57	24.63	23.00	24.73		
Mean	20.37	18.73	16.97		21.53	19.95	18.27			
	S.Em <u>+</u>	CD(P=0.0 5)				S.Em ±	CD(P=0.0 5)			
М	2.89	5.97			М	3.14	6.25			
S	1.34	2.67			S	1.14	2.29			
M at S	1.26	2.54			M at S	1.31	2.64			
S at M	1.29	2.59			S at M	1.47	2.96			

Treatments given to <i>kharif</i> maize(M)	Treatments given to rabi groundnut(S)								
		2014-1	5		2015-16				
	Recomm	nended Dose o	of Fertiliz	er (%)	Recommended Dose of Fertilizer (%)				
	100(S <sub>1</sub> )	75(S <sub>2</sub> )	50(S <sub>3</sub> )	Mean	100(S <sub>1</sub> )	75(S <sub>2</sub> )	50(S <sub>3</sub> )	Mean	
M <sub>l</sub> -100% RDF of NPK	29.36	26.45	23.85	26.55	30.66	28.25	25.80	28.23	
$M_{2^{-}}75\%RDF$ of NPK +25% N-FYM	25.01	22.76	20.55	22.77	26.85	24.56	22.50	24.63	
$M_{3}\text{-}~50\%\text{RDF}$ of NPK +50% N-FYM	33.54	30.80	27.86	30.74	35.36	32.75	29.66	32.58	
M4- 75% RDF of NPK +25% N-Urban Compost	26.96	25.31	22.80	25.02	28.76	27.11	24.60	26.82	
M <sub>3</sub> - 50% RDF of NPK +50% N-Urban Compost	37.91	35.15	32.21	35.09	39.86	36.95	34.50	37.10	
Mean	30.55	28.09	25.45		32.30	29.92	27.41		
	S.Em <u>+</u>	CD(P=0.0 5)				S.Em ±	CD(P=0.0 5)		
М	2.51	12.17			М	3.59	10.37		
S	1.12	3.26			s	2.14	4.28		
M at S	2.27	NS			M at S	4.30	NS		
S at M	1.55	NS			S at M	2.64	NS		

# 7. Dry matter accumulation (g plant<sup>-1</sup>) at 90 DAS of *rabi* groundnut after *kharif* maize as influenced by different treatments

## 8. Dry matter accumulation (g plant<sup>-1</sup>) at harvest of *rabi* groundnut after *kharif* maize as influenced by different treatments

Treatments given to <i>kharif</i> maize(M)	Treatments given to rabi groundnut(S)									
		2014-1	.5		2015-16					
	Recomm	nended Dose o	of Fertiliz	er (%)	Recommended Dose of Fertilizer (%					
	100(S <sub>1</sub> )	75(S <sub>2</sub> )	50(S <sub>3</sub> )	Mean	100(S <sub>1</sub> )	75(S <sub>2</sub> )	50(S <sub>3</sub> )	Mean		
$M_{1}\mathchar`-100\%RDF$ of NPK	42.27	40.03	37.83	40.04	44.27	42.03	39.71	42.00		
$M_{2}\text{-}75\%\text{RDF}$ of NPK +25% N-FYM	37.97	36.60	35.98	36.83	39.97	38.60	37.93	38.83		
$M_{3}\text{-}50\%RDF$ of NPK $+50\%N\text{-}FYM$	46.70	44.17	42.77	44.55	48.70	46.16	44.78	<b>46</b> .55		
M4- 75% RDF of NPK +25% N-Urban Compost	40.37	38.50	36.80	38.32	42.37	40.50	38.92	40.28		
M <sub>5</sub> - 50% RDF of NPK +50% N-Urban Compost	50.23	47.27	44.17	47.22	52.23	49.27	47.03	49.51		
Mean	43.51	41.31	39.33		45.51	43.31	41.48			
	S.Em <u>+</u>	CD(P=0.0 5)				S.Em <u>+</u>	CD(P=0.0 5)			
М	2.58	7.34			М	3.59	8.18			
S	1.21	2.43			S	1.20	2.43			
M at S	1.46	NS			M at S	1.46	NS			
S at M	1.69	NS			S at M	1.68	NS			