Poultry Manure and NPK Fertilizer Application and their Residual Effects on the Yield and Yield Components of Tomato (*Lycopersiconesculentum. Mill*) in two Distinct Ecological zones of Central Southern Nigeria.

Isitekhale, H.H.E¹,Osemwota, I.O¹ andAmhakhian, S.O;²

¹(Department of Soil Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Ambrose Alli University, Ekpoma, Edo State, Nigeria). ²(Department of Soil Science, Kogi State University, Ayingba, Kogi State, Nigeria).

Abstract: Field experiments were conducted in two ecological zones of Central Southern Nigeria; Agbede (derived savanna) and Obadan (forest) of Edo State to investigate poultry manure and NPK fertilizer and their residual effects on performance of tomato. The treatments consisted of three levels of poultry manure (0, 4 and 6 t PM ha⁻¹) and four levels of NPK fertilizer (0, 50, 100 and 150kg NPK ha⁻¹). Factorial experiment using randomized complete block design was engaged. Plant height and leaf area were significantly increased by the application of 6 t PM ha⁻¹ and 6 t PM ha⁻¹ plus 50kg NPK ha⁻¹ and 6 t PM ha⁻¹ in both locations and years, respectively. The application of 4 t PM ha⁻¹ alone in 2005 and its residual effects significantly enhanced plant height and leaf area in both locations. In Obadan soils, optimum fruit yields of 3.42 and 2.85 t ha⁻¹ were obtained from the combined application and residual6 t PM ha⁻¹ plus 100kg NPK ha⁻¹, while in Agbede soils, optimum fruit yields of 1.44 and 1.55 t ha⁻¹ were obtained from the application and residual 6 t PM ha⁻¹ plus 50kg NPK ha⁻¹ and their residual effects respectively. Responses to manure fertilizer mixtures are higher in the forest compared to the derived savanna ecological zone of Nigeria.

Key Words: Application, Fertilizer, Manure, Tomato and Yield.

I. Introduction

Tomato (*Lycopersiconesculentum*. *Mill*) is cultivated throughout tropical Africa and South America and southern Italy (1). Most tomatoes grown in West Africa are local cultivars whose resistance to diseases is usually good (2).

Nitrogen, P and K, among others are known to affect yield (3). Deficiency in K affects fruit size and quality rather than numbers. Organic manure has been identified as a potential source of nutrients in vegetable production (4) and has also be studied on other crops such as maize (5,6). Recent studies have shown that the use of commercial fertilizers in Nigeria for crop production is limited by their scarcity and high cost (7). Small farm holders in tropical Africa and Latin America rarely use organic fertilizers on food crops (8). Poultry manure is a source of organic manure from livestock that enriches the soils, it does not only increase the nutrient status of the soil but improves the structure (9).Poultry manure should be managed for its N value(11). Poultry manure may have higher values for P and K (12). About 70% of N in poultry manure can be available to the crop during the first year of application (10).

Many workers in Nigeria had researched extensively on the effects of fertilizers on crop growth and yield. NPK fertilizer application sustains soil fertility and crop production(11). It was also reported that NPK fertilizer increases okra height, leaf area and yield components (13). Lower rates of application were also observed to increase maize yield significantly (14). The application of 300kg ha⁻¹ NPK fertilizer to cassava increased dry matter parts (leaves, stem and tubers) in comparison to 10, 100, 200 and 400kg ha⁻¹ NPK (15). It is important for farmers to manage their organic residues alongside mineral fertilizers that are in short supply and scarce (16). Application of 10 t PM ha⁻¹ and combinedapplication of 5 t PM ha⁻¹ + NPKMg (50:35:55:20kg ha⁻¹) fertilizer gave higher maize crop growth and yield when compared to NPK fertilizer alone(17).

Poultry manure, when combined with mineral fertilizer can exhibit long residual effect, which improves growth and yield (18). Poultry manure alone or in combination with mineral fertilizer exert more beneficial effects on fruit yield when compared to fertilizer applied alone(19). A practical approach to poultry manure handling is to characterize the waste material before it can be appropriately used as soil amendment because variation is introduced by differences in species of animal used, housing systems and age even within a specific operation, the characteristics of the manure will be influenced greatly by the ration, the type of bedding and the handling system (20). Poultry manure alone and its combination with NPK fertilizer in first year of application and their residual effects improved degree of saturation, soil moisture content and lower soil bulk density in both forest and savannah zones, it was significantly better in the forest soils. Better water stable aggregate, porosity, void ratio and air filled porosity due to residual effects of poultry manure and fertilizer

combination were also reported. This was contrary to fertilizer applied alone which reduced some of the above properties (21). Application of 4 and 6 t PM/ha, combined application of 6 t PM/ha plus 50 or 100kg NPK/ha and 4 t PM/ha plus 100 NPK/ha in the year of application and their residual effects in gave higher exchangeable Mg, K, Ca and ECEC when compared to the control in both years. Soil pH, organic carbon, total N and available P were significantly enhanced by poultry manure application and the combined application in the first year (22). Combination of poultry and cattle manures gave better leaf area of 1.445 cm over either of cattle and poultry manure which were 1.191 and 1.215 cm, respectively. Poultry waste alone has the highest yield of 854 kg ha⁻¹. With the manures soil organic matter increased from 438 mg L⁻¹ for control plot to 865 mg L⁻¹ on poultry and cattle manure of leaves more than poultry manure; relative to the control, 2, 4, 6 t ha⁻¹ of poultry manure and NPK fertilizer increased number of branches by 6.01, 7.01, 7.23, 7.72 and 6.89%, respectively. The increases in number of leaves were 16.57, 20.17, 20.12, 20.63 and 22.50%. However, the, they recommended application of 6.0 t ha⁻¹ manure (Awodun, 2007). It has been reported higher profuse leaves, branches and shoot dry matter yield of *Amaranthuscruentus* due to the application of organic N (poultry manure) when compared to inorganic N (urea) (24). Hence the study was conducted to determine the application of poultry manure and NPK fertilizer and their residual effects on the yield and yield components of tomato.

II. Materials and Methods

The study was carried out in two distinct locations: Agbede (derived savanna) and Obadan (forest) of Edo State, Nigeria. Edo State is located between Latitudes $5^0 4^{II}$ and 7^0 and 38^{II} and Longitudes $5^0 4^{II}$ and $7^0 38^{II}$ of the equator. The State has a mean rainfall of 1300mm around Auchi and about 2300mm in Benin City (25). Agbede soils were earlier classified as RhodicPaleustalfs while Obadan soil as RhodicPaleudult. The physicochemical properties of the soils before the experiment are shown in Table 1.

Treatments consisted of three levels of poultry manure (0, 4 and 6 ton ha⁻¹) and four levels of NPK 15:15:15 fertilizer (0, 50, 100 and 150kg ha⁻¹) that were combined factorially and fitted into randomized block design. The twelve treatment combinations were replicated three times. Tomato seedlings were transplanted to the field at a spacing of $50 \text{cm} \times 100 \text{cm}$ (2). Fertilizer was applied by broadcasting at a week after transplanting by band placement and poultry manure was applied three weeks before transplanting in order to equilibrate with the soils. Data on plant height, leaf area at 3, 6 and 9 WAP, fruit number and yield were collected and subjected to statistical analysis. Means were separated using Duncan Multiple Range Test (26).

III. Results

The results of the analysis of the soil and manure used for the experiment are presented in Table 1. The N, P and K contents of the poultry manure were high, however, available P was highest.Tomato plant height and leaf area are presented in Tables 2 and 3, respectively. Application of 6 ton PM ha⁻¹ + plus 50kgNPK ha⁻¹ in 2005 resulted in higher plant height of 66.00 and 63.33 and 100cm at 3, 6 and 9 weeks after planting (WAP) in Agbede soils. In 2006, residual treatment resulted in plant height of 27.67 and 42.50cm at 3 and 6 WAP while at 9 WAP, application of 6 t PM ha⁻¹ + 150 NPKha⁻¹ resulted in the highest plant height of 53.67cm. In Obadan soils, application of 4 t PM ha⁻¹ + 50kg NPKha⁻¹ in 2005, resulted in plant heights of 45.57, 67.33 and 103.67cm at 3, 6 and 9 WAP, respectively. But in 2006, its residual effect yielded the highest plant height of 52.22 and 71.79cm at 3 and 6 WAP, respectively. Application of 4 t PM ha⁻¹ in 2005 significantly produced taller plants in Obadan soils but in 2006 it was the residual effect of 6 t PM ha⁻¹. Similar results were obtained in Agbede in 2005 and in 2006.

Leaf area of 19.77, 20.85, and 22.58 cm² (Table 4) were obtained at 3, 6 and 9 WAP, respectively in Agbede soils. Residual 4 ton PM ha⁻¹ + 50kg NPK ha⁻¹ in 2006 produced plants with the highest leaf area. In both years, poultry manure at 9 WAP produced plants with better leaf area when compared to NPK fertilizer in Agbede soils. In 2005 application of 6 ton PM ha⁻¹ + 50kg NPK ha⁻¹ resulted in leaf area of 18.16 and 27.23cm² (Table 5) when compared to 2.74 and 4.10cm² of the control in Obadan soils at 3 and 6 WAP, respectively. At 9 WAP, the highest leaf area of 30.33cm² was obtained from the application of 6 ton PM ha⁻¹ + 100kg NPK ha⁻¹. Residual 6 ton PM ha⁻¹ + 100kg NPK ha⁻¹ in 2006 significantly gave higher leaf area in all the weeks after planting. NPK fertilizer alone in both years was least.

In Agbede soils, application of 6 t PM ha⁻¹ + 50kg NPK ha⁻¹in 2005 significantly had the highest fruit number (68.33) when compared to 7.67 obtained of the control. Its residual effect gave the highest fruit number of 45.00 in 2006. The effect of poultry manure alone was significantly better in 2005 and also residually better in 2006 when compared to the control in enhancing fruit numbers. NPK fertilizer alone decreased fruit numbers. Application of 50 kg NPK ha⁻¹ was significantly better than higher levels. In Obadan soils 6 t PM ha⁻¹ + 50kg NPK ha⁻¹ application gave higher fruit numbers in 2005 while 6 t PM ha⁻¹ + 150kg NPK ha⁻¹ was residually more effective in 2006 (Table 7). Poultry manure alone in 2006 was residually better while NPK fertilizer alone in 2005 (50kg NPK ha⁻¹) gave higher fruit numbers when compared to poultry manure alone. In Agbede soils, the application of 6 t PM ha⁻¹ + 50kg NPK ha⁻¹ gave better fruit yield in 2006 when compared to 2005 (Table 6). Poultry manure application in both years (6 t PM ha⁻¹) significantly gave higher fruit yield and was better than NPK fertilizer applied in 2005 and its residual effects in 2006. In Obadan soils, application of 6 t PM ha⁻¹ + 100kg NPK ha⁻¹ in 2005 and its residual in 2006 gave the highest fruit yield of 3.42 and 2.85 t ha¹. Application of NPK fertilizer alone gave fruit yield of 2.72 tha⁻¹ in 2005. Residually in 2006, application of 4 t ha⁻¹ gave slightly higher fruit yield.

IV. Discussion

In both locations, tomato plant height and leaf area increased in 2005 and residually in 2006 with combined application of poultry manure and NPK fertilizer (6 ton PM $ha^{-1} + 50kg$ NPK ha^{-1}) in all the weeks after planting. Application of poultry manure alone was significantly better in enhancing growth in both years when compared to NPK fertilizer application. The enhancement of growth over the control irrespective of source (organic and/or inorganic) showed the importance of N contained in both sources. Manure is a possible alternative source of nutrient for crop production (27). This is because organic manure is regarded as a storehouse of primary and trace elements (28). Poultry manure becomes more efficient when combined with other mineral fertilizer (29). Application of poultry manure alone or in combination with N fertilizer exerts much more beneficial effects on plant height and yield when compared to single application of N (30).

It was observed that tomato fruit yield was comparably higher in the forest soils (Obadan) in 2005 and residually in 2006 than in the derived savanna soils (Agbede) with about 55% and 45% increases as a result of the combined application. Moreover, the contribution of poultry manure was similar to that of NPK fertilizer in Obadan soils while in Agbede soils in 2005 and residually in 2006 poultry manure enhanced fruit yield better than NPK fertilizer contribution. The relative increase in yield by combined application NPK and poultry manure of could be attributed to improvement in soil structure, nutrient retention and water for plant use (31). This could possibly explain the superiority of organic sources and combined application. The enhanced performance of combined application when compared to poultry manure or NPK fertilizer alone could be attributed to release of plant nutrient elements and organic matter addition (32, 33). Residual benefits during years of no manure application have been reported (34).

V. Conclusion

Results of the study revealed that application of 4 to 6 ton ha⁻¹ of poultry manure and its residual effects appeared to be good for tomato production. Application of fertilizer alone proved less effective when compared to poultry manure alone. However, combined application of NPK fertilizer and poultry manure had shown to be more effective. A combined application of 6 ton PM ha⁻¹ + 100kg NPK ha⁻¹ is recommended for tomato cultivation in forest zone while 6 ton PM ha⁻¹ and 50 kg NPK ha⁻¹ is recommended for the derived savanna zone for tomato cultivation.

References

- R.N. Leister, and A. Seck, Solanumaethiopicum L. Record from protobase. Oyen, L.P.A and Lemmens, R.H. (ed). PROTA (Plant Resources of Tropical Africa/ Resources Vegetables. 2002
- [2]. I.C. Onwueme, Crop Science (Macmillan Publication. Ltd., 1989). 72 75.
- [3]. J.H. Simon, and R.A. Sobulo, Methods for higher tomato yield in Western State of Nigerian. Paper presented at *Institute of Agric.* research Conference. Ibadan, Nigeria, 1974
- [4]. J.O. Ehigiator, Farm yard manure; needs for its adoption as an alternative to chemical fertilizers uses in Nigeria. Nigerian Journal of Horticultural Science, 3, 1998, 19.
- [5]. L. Mukurunbira, Recycling of crop residue to supplement N requirement of maize in Zambia. In report of the Tropical Soil Biology and Fertility Programme. Paul, D. S and Paul, L.W (eds), 1992, 48.
- [6]. S.M. Nandu, The effects of stover placement on soil properties and processes and productivity of maize in teo agro-ecosystem in Kenya. In *report of Tropical Soil Biology and Fertility Programme*. D. S. Paul and L.W. Paul, (eds) 48p.
- [7]. W.B. Akanbi, A.O. Togun, and R.A. Baiyewu, Suitability of plant residue compost as nursery growing medium for some tropical fruit tree Seedlings. *Moor Journal of Agricultural Research 2*, 2001:41-45.
- [8]. J. Mclintire, Constraints of fertilizer use in sub- Saharan Africa. In management of nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizers in sub-Saharan Africa. A.U. Mokwunye and P.L.G. Vlek (eds). *Proceedings of a symposium*, Lome, Togo.MartinusNijhoff Publication. The Netherlands March 25-28, 1986, 35-37.
- [9]. I. Odiete, and S.O. Ogunmoye, Comparative effect of poultry manure and phosphorus fertilizer on the growth and yield of soybean (*Glycine max*) in Plateau State. The *Proceedings of the 39th annual conference of the Agricultural Society of Nigeria*, Benin City, 2005, 258 – 259.
- [10]. J.P. Zublena, J.C. Baker, and T.A. Carter, Poultry manure as a fertilizer source. North Carolina Cooperative Extension Service. Soil Facts. Raleign. <u>http://www.soil.ncsu.edu/publications/soil</u> facts/AG-439-05, 1997.
- [11]. E.O. Uyovbisere, V.O. Chude, and A. Bationo, Promising nutrient ratios in fertilizer formulations for optimal performance of maize in the Nigerian savanna. The need for a review. *Nigeria Journal of Soil Research*, 1, 2000, 29 – 34.
- [12]. S. Harty, G.C. Clary, P. Hargis, and S. Reeves, Poultry litter a fertilizer production alternative for plant and animal agriculture. *Miscellaneous Publications*. Texas Agricultural Extension Services, College Station. Texas, 1992.
- [13]. N.U. Nadaeyo, E.S. Ukpong, and N.M John, Performances of okra as affected by organic and inorganic fertilizers on an ultisol. Proceedings of the 39th conference of the Agricultural Society of Nigeria, Benin City, 2005, 206-208.

- [14]. S.A. Odedina, Evaluation of NPK rate combinations for maize production in humid zones of Nigeria. Nigeria Journal of Soil Science, 15(2), 2005, 111-115.
- [15]. S.U. Remison, Some advances in research on root/tuber crops in Edo State of Nigeria. First Faculty of Agriculture Staff Seminar Series, Ambrose Alli University, Ekpoma, 2007, 137.
- [16]. K.M. Giller, Misiko, and P. Tittonell, Managing organic resources for soil amendment. *LEISA*. Vol. 22 (4), 2006, 16-17. Henao, J and Baanante, C. (1999). Nutrient depletion in the agricultural soils of Africa.
- [17]. M.E. Obi, and P.O. Ebo, The effect of different management practices on the soil physical properties and maize production in a severely degraded soil in southern *Nigeria*. *Biological Resource Technology*1.51, 1995, 117 – 123.
- [18]. C.A. Palm, R. K. J. Myers, and S.M. Nandwa, Combined use of organic and inorganic nutrient sources for soil fertility maintenance and replenishment. In replanting soil fertility in Africa (eds). Buresh, R.J; P.A. Sanchez, and F. Calhoun.Soil Science Society of America, Madison, WI.Special Publication51, 1997, 193 –217.
- [19]. A.L. Blandari, K.N. Sharma, M.L. Kapur, and D.S. Rana, Supplementation of nitrogen through green manuring for maize growing. *Journal of Indian Society of Soil Science37*, 1989, 483 – 486.
- [20]. M.S. Zeidan, Effect of organic manure and phosphorus fertilizers on growth, yield, and quality of lentil plants in sandy soil. Res. J. Agric. Biol. Sci., 3, 2007, 748–752
- [21]. H.H.E, Isitekhale, and I.O. Osemwota, Residual effects of poultry manure and NPK fertilizer on nutrient contents and uptake by tomato in the forest and derived savanna soils of Edo State. *Nigerian Journal of Soil Science, Vol. 20(2)*, 2010, 17-25.
- [22]. H.H.E, Isitekhale, and I.O. Osemwota, Residual effects of poultry manure and NPK fertilizer on soil physical properties in the forest and derived savanna soils of Edo State. *Nigerian Journal of Soil Science. Vol. 20*(2), 2010, 26-34.
- [23]. P.A. Adeoye, S.E. Adebayoand J.J. Musa, Growth and yield response of cowpea (Vignaunguiculata) to poultry and cattle manure as amendments on sandy loam soil plot, Agricultural Journal, 6(5), 2011, 218-221
- [24]. A. Daramola, S. Adeyeye, and D. Lawal, Effects of application of organic and inorganic nitrogen fertilizers on the growth and dry matter yield of amarathus. *Proceeding of the 2nd National conference of Organic Agriculture in Nigeria*, 2006, 78 – 83.
- [25]. EADP. (1995). Edo Agricultural Development Programme Main Station, Irrua, EsanWest L.G.A, Ekpoma, Edo state, Nigeria.
- [26]. H. Frank, and S.C. Althoen, Statistics concepts and applications. Low price (ed) (Cambridge University Press), 1995, 539.
- [27]. A.A. Agboola, and P.A. Unamma, Maintenance of soil fertility under traditional farming system. Proceedings of National Organic Fertilizer Seminar held in Kaduna, 1991, 7-42.
- [28]. J. Janic, Horticultural Science, 4th edition, (W. H. Freeman and Company N) 1986. 4746.
- [29]. K.H. Murwira, M.J. Swift, and P.G.H. Frost, Manure as a key resource in sustainable agriculture. In Powel, J.A, S. Fernandez-Rivera, T.O. Williams and C.Renard (eds). Livestock and sustainable nutrient recycling in mixed farming systems in sub- Saharan Africa. Vol. II : Technical Papers. *Proceedings of an International Conference* held in Addis Abba, Ethiopia, ILCA (International Livestock Centre for Africa), 1995.
- [30]. U.L. Arunah, U.F. Chiezey, L.Aliyu, B.A. Babaji, E.C. Odion, and B.M.Sani, Application of inorganic fertilizers and poultry manure on sorghum crude protein yield and growth parameters. *Proceedings of the 2NDNational conference on organic agriculture in Nigeria.2006*, 114 – 117.
- [31]. A.C. Anyawu, B.O. Anyangu, and V.A. Anyanwu, *A textbook of Agricultural Science for schools and colleges*. African Fep Publishers Ltd. Onitsha, Nigeria.2001, 431.
- [32]. P.L. Woomer, and F.N. Muchana, Overcoming soil constraints in crop production in tropical Africa. In Y. Ahenhora; E. Owusu-Bennoah, G.N.N. 1993.In U.L. Arunah, U.F. Chiezey; L. Aliyu; B.A. Babaji; E.C. Odion and B.M. Sani.Application of inorganic fertilizers and poultry manure on sorghum crude protein, yield and growth parameters.2nd Conference of organic Agriculture Nigeria, 2006:114 115.
- [33]. J. Henao, andC. Baanante, Nutrient depletion in the agricultural soils of Africa. 2020 vision brief 62. Washington, D.C. Institute Food Policy Research Institute, 1999.
- [34]. CREES (Cooperative State Research, Education and Extension Services). 2020 vision brief 62. Washington, D.C. Institute Food Policy Research Institute, 2006.

Table 1 Properties of the experimental soils and poultry manure used for the experiments.

PARAMETERS	A	SOILS gbede		Obadan	Poultry manure
	2005	2006	2005	2006	
рН	5.00	5.53	6.35	5.55	6.00
Organic carbon g/kg	6.81	8.85	10.00	12.15	3.17
Total N g/kg	0.60	0.85	1.70	1.98	2.20
Available P	9.81	8.63	10.52	6.20	61.29
Exch. Cations (cmol/kg)					
Ca	2.98	2.10	4.52	2.20	38.08
Mg	0.51	0.60	2.05	1.10	4.16

K	0.21	0.10	0.18	0.19	2.28
Na	0.25	0.23	0.54	0.20	1.18
H+	0.31	0.18	0.55	0.24	0.60
Al+	-	-	-	-	0.30
AI+ ECEC	3.19	3.21	7.91	3.93	47.60
Particle size (g/kg) Clay	69.70	40.33	85.00	45.00	
Silt	25.30	20.00	24.00	22.70	
Sand	905.00	939.67	891.00	932.00	
Texture	Sand	Sand	Loamy sand	Sand	
ICAUIC			Sanu		

Table 2.Effect of poultry manure and fertilizer on mean plant height in Agbede soils

2005 3 WAP						2006					
Treatments (tons/ha) poultry manure	kg/ha fertilizer 0	50	100	150	Means	Treatments (tons/ha) poultry manure	kg/ha fertilizer 0	50	100	150	Means
0	29.33	27.33	40.33	30.33	31.83b	0	31.33	20.00	24.33	23.33	24.75
4	56.33	51.67	35.00	41.67	46.17a	4	22.67	26.33	24.33	24.33	24.42
6	41.33	66.00	47.33	43.67	49.58a	6	32.67	27.67	27.67	27.00	28.75
Means	42.33	48.33	40.89	38.56		Means	28.89	24.67	25.44	24.89	

LSD = 6.81(PM), 3.94(PM+NPK) Means followed by the same small letters are not significantly different at 5 % level

6 WAP

Treatments (tons/ha) poultry	kg/ha fertilizer 0	50	100	150	Means	Treatments (tons/ha)	kg/ha fertilizer 0	50	100	150	Means
manure						poultry manure					
0	41.00	30.00	47.67	39.67	39.58b	0	36.53	24.13	27.93	28.33	29.33b
4	65.33	63.67	50.00	45.00	56.00a	4	30.33	34.00	35.67	32.67	33.17b
6	49.67	68.33	64.67	59.67	60.58a	6	39.67	42.50	36.33	40.33	39.71a
Means	54.22	52.11	53.00	44.78		Means	35.51	33.54	33.31	33.78	
LSD = 13.60(P	PM)					LSD = 5.97(PM)					
9 WAP											
Treatments	kg/ha fertilizer					Treatments	kg/ha fertilizer				
(tons/ha) Poultry	0	50	100	150	Means	(tons/ha)	0	50	100	150	Means
manure						poultry manure					
0	49.00	48.67	71.33	57.00	56.50	0	57.67	43.67	49.00	52.67	50.75
4	91.33	75.67	67.00	58.33	73.08	4	41.33	46.50	51.00	57.67	49.13
6	75.00	100.00	80.67	60.33	79.00	6	49.83	53.67	45.00	61.67	52.54
Means	71.78	74.78	73.00	58.56		Means	49.61	47.94	48.33	57.33	
Noteimificant											

Not significant.

3 WAP											
2005						2006					
Treatments	kg/ha fertilizer					Treatments	kg/ha fertilizer				
(tons/ha) poultry manure	0	50	100	150	Means	(tons/ha) poultry manure	0	50	100	150	Means
0	26.33	26.00	33.50	28.10		0	20.36	20.37	22.00	25.28	22.01
4	44.87	47.57	33.33	27.17		4	42.95	52.22	36.02	32.67	40.96
6	34.87	37.87	40.47	38.20		6	33.93	40.74	45.93	44.34	41.23
Means	35.36	37.14	35.77	31.16		Means	32.42	37.77	34.65	34.09	
6 WAP						LSD = 5.81	(PM) ,2.20(PM+N	(FK)			
Treatment (tons/ha) poultry manure	kg/ha fertilizer 0	50	100	150	Means	Treatments (tons/ha) poultry manure	kg/ha fertilizer 0	50	100	150	Means
0	15.91	39.00	50.33	42.33	42.83	0	28.01	33.23	30.02	52.27	37.38
4	67.33	67.33	50.00	40.67	56.33	4	66.52	71.79	56.31	48.81	60.86a
6	47.00	57.33	60.67 52.67	57.33	55.58	6 Marra	55.05 49.86	59.54	60.45 50.02	68.44	60.87a
Means LSD=16.94(43.41 PMD	54.56	53.67	46.67		Means LSD=8.66(49.86 PM), 5.00(PM+N	54.86 PK)	50.93	56.51	
	-7							-7			
9 WAP	kg/ha						kg/ha				
Treatments (tons/ha) poultry manure	fertilizer 0	50	100	150Me	ans	Treatments (tons/ha) poultry manure	fertilizer 0	50	100	150	Means
0	45.67	51.00	71.33	69.00	59.25	0	34.43	42.19	45.46	66.22	47.08
4	84.33	103.67	74.33	64.00	81.58	4	72.12	87.37	68.81	74.23	75.63
			24.62		21 60			05.07	71.47	88.31	80.29
6	67.67	70.33	74.67	73.67	71.58	6	76.01	85.37	/1.4/	00.01	00.274
Means	67.67 65.89 ed by the same sr	75.00	73.44	68.89		Means LSD=10.26	60.86	85.37 71.64	61.91	76.25	00.276
Means Means follow 2005	65.89	75.00 mall letters a	73.44 renot signif	68.89 icantly diffe	rent at 5% lev	Means LSD=10.26	60.86 ; (PM).	71.64	61.91		
Means Means follow	65.89	75.00 mall letters a	73.44 renot signif	68.89 icantly diffe	rent at 5% lev	LSD=10.26 vel.	60.86 ; (PM).	71.64	61.91		Means
Means Means follow 2005 3 WAP Treatments (tons/ha) poultry manure 0	65.89 ed by the same sr Kg/ha fertilizer 0 5.40	75.00 mall letters a Table 4. E 50 7.60	73.44 renot signif ffect of pou 100 12.13	68.89 icantly diffe hry manure 150 6.57	rent at 5% lev e and NPK fe Means 7.93	Means LSD=10.26 vel. rtilizer on mean to 2006 Treatments (tons/ha) poultry manure 0	60.86 5 (PM). omato leaf area in kg/ha fertilizer 0 7.70	71.64 Agbede soil 50 7.73	61.91 s. 100 6.20	76.25	Means 7.61b
Means Means follow 2005 3 WAP Treatments (tons/ha) poultry manure 0 4	65.89 red by the same sr Kg/ha fertilizer 0 5.40 15.33	75.00 mall letters at Table 4. E 50 7.60 13.87	73.44 renot signif ffect of pou 100 12.13 9.57	68.89 icantly diffa ltry manure 150 6.57 7.80	rent at 5% lev e and NPK fe Means 7.93 11.69	Means LSD=10.26 rtilizer on mean to 2006 Treatments (tons/ha) poultry manure 0 4	60.86 5 (PM). omato leaf area in kg/ha fertilizer 0 7.70 12.17	71.64 Agbede soil 50 7.73 9.50	61.91 is. 100 6.20 19.23	76.25 150 8.80 10.80	Means 7.61b 12.93a
Means Means follow 2005 3 WAP Treatments (tons/ha) poultry manure 0 4 6 Means	65.89 ed by the same sr Kg/ha fertilizer 0 5.40 15.33 11.50 10.81	75.00 mall letters a Table 4. E 50 7.60	73.44 renot signif ffect of pou 100 12.13	68.89 icantly diffe htry manure 150 6.57	rent at 5% lev e and NPK fe Means 7.93	Means LSD = 10.26 vel. rtilizer on mean to 2006 Treatments (tons/ha) poultry manure 0 4 6 Means	60.86 5 (PM). omato leaf area in kg/ha fertilizer 0 7.70	71.64 Agbede soil 50 7.73	61.91 s. 100 6.20	76.25	Means 7.61b
Means Means follow 2005 3 WAP Treatments (tons/ha) poultry manure 0 4 6 Means	65.89 ed by the same sr Kg/ha fertilizer 0 5.40 15.33 11.50 10.81	75.00 nall letters a: Table 4. E 50 7.60 13.87 19.77	73.44 renot signif ffect of pou 100 12.13 9.57 5.10	68.89 icantly diffe ltry manure 150 6.57 7.80 10.90	rent at 5% lev e and NPK fe Means 7.93 11.69	Means LSD=10.26 rtilizer on mean to 2006 Treatments (tons/ha) poultry manure 0 4 6	60.86 (PM). omato leaf area in kg/ha fertilizer 0 7.70 12.17 14.83	71.64 Agbede soil 50 7.73 9.50 9.83	61.91 is. 100 6.20 19.23 10.53	76.25 150 8.80 10.80 8.73	Means 7.61b 12.93a
Means Means follow 2005 3 WAP Treatments (tons/ha) poultry manure 0 4 6 Means LSD = 2.20(PM	65.89 ed by the same sr Kg/ha fertilizer 0 5.40 15.33 11.50 10.81 4+NPK)	75.00 nall letters a: Table 4. E 50 7.60 13.87 19.77	73.44 renot signif ffect of pou 100 12.13 9.57 5.10	68.89 icantly diffe ltry manure 150 6.57 7.80 10.90	rent at 5% lev e and NPK fe Means 7.93 11.69	Means LSD=10.26 vel. rtilizer on mean to 2006 Treatments (tons/ha) poultry manure 0 4 6 Means LSD=3.01(PM)	60.86 5 (PM). omato leaf area in kg/ha fertilizer 0 7.70 12.17 14.83 11.57	71.64 Agbede soil 50 7.73 9.50 9.83	61.91 is. 100 6.20 19.23 10.53	76.25 150 8.80 10.80 8.73	Means 7.61b 12.93a
Means Means follow 2005 3 WAP Treatments (tons/ha) poultry manure 0 4 6 Means LSD = 2.20(PM	65.89 ed by the same sr Kg/ha fertilizer 0 5.40 15.33 11.50 10.81	75.00 nall letters a: Table 4. E 50 7.60 13.87 19.77	73.44 renot signif ffect of pou 100 12.13 9.57 5.10	68.89 icantly diffe ltry manure 150 6.57 7.80 10.90	rent at 5% lev e and NPK fe Means 7.93 11.69	Means LSD = 10.26 vel. rtilizer on mean to 2006 Treatments (tons/ha) poultry manure 0 4 6 Means	60.86 (PM). omato leaf area in kg/ha fertilizer 0 7.70 12.17 14.83	71.64 Agbede soil 50 7.73 9.50 9.83	61.91 is. 100 6.20 19.23 10.53	76.25 150 8.80 10.80 8.73	Means 7.61b 12.93a
Means Means follow 2005 3 WAP Treatments (tons/ha) poultry manure 0 4 6 Means LSD=2.20(PM 6 WAP Treatments (tons/ha) poultry manure 0	65.89 ed by the same sr 0 5.40 15.33 11.50 10.81 //+NPK) kg/ha fertilizer 0 8.23	75.00 mall letters a: Table 4. E 50 7.60 13.87 19.77 13.74 50 9.27	73.44 renot signif ffect of pou 100 12.13 9.57 5.10 8.93 100 14.58	68.89 icantly diffe ltry manure 150 6.57 7.80 10.90 8.42 150 10.43	rent at 5% lev and NPK fe Means 7.93 11.69 11.82 Means 10.63	Means LSD=10.26 vel. rtilizer on mean to 2006 Treatments (tons/ha) poultry manure 0 4 6 Means LSD=3.01(PM) Treatments (tons/ha) poultry manure 0	60.86 (PM). mato leaf area in kg/ha fartilizer 0 7.70 12.17 14.83 11.57 kg/ha fartilizer 8.47	71.64 Agbede soil 50 7.73 9.50 9.83 9.02 50 9.30	61.91 is. 100 6.20 19.23 10.53 11.99 100 7.60	76.25 150 8.80 10.80 8.73 9.44 150 10.57	Means 7.61b 12.93a 10.98a Means 8.98
Means Means follow 2005 3 WAP Treatments (tons/ha) poultry manure 0 4 6 Means LSD = 2.20(PM 6 WAP Treatments (tons/ha) poultry manure 0 4 9 0 4	65.89 ed by the same sr Kg/ha fertilizer 0 5.40 15.33 11.50 10.81 M+NPK) kg/ha fertilizer 0 8.23 17.37	75.00 mall letters a: Table 4. E 50 7.60 13.87 19.77 13.74 50 9.27 15.13	73.44 renot signif ffect of pou 100 12.13 9.57 5.10 8.93 100 14.58 11.70	68.89 icantly diffe ltry manure 150 6.57 7.80 10.90 8.42 150 10.43 12.28	rent at 5% lev e and NPK fe Means 7.93 11.69 11.82 Means 10.63 14.12	Means LSD=10.26 vel. rtilizer on mean to 2006 Treatments (tons/ha) poultry manure 0 4 6 Means LSD=3.01(PM) Treatments (tons/ha) poultry manure 0 4	60.86 (PM). mato leaf area in kg/ha fertilizer 0 7.70 12.17 14.83 11.57 kg/ha fertilizer 8.47 14.33	71.64 Agbede soil 50 7.73 9.50 9.83 9.02 50 50 9.30 12.20	61.91 is. 100 6.20 19.23 10.53 11.99 100 7.60 23.10	76.25 150 8.80 10.80 8.73 9.44 150 10.57 13.57	Means 7.61b 12.93a 10.98a Means 8.98 12.41
Means Means follow 2005 3 WAP Treatments (tons/ha) poultry manure 0 4 6 Means LSD = 2.20(PM 6 WAP Treatments (tons/ha) poultry manure 0 0	65.89 ed by the same sr 0 5.40 15.33 11.50 10.81 //+NPK) kg/ha fertilizer 0 8.23	75.00 mall letters a: Table 4. E 50 7.60 13.87 19.77 13.74 50 9.27	73.44 renot signif ffect of pou 100 12.13 9.57 5.10 8.93 100 14.58	68.89 icantly diffe ltry manure 150 6.57 7.80 10.90 8.42 150 10.43	rent at 5% lev and NPK fe Means 7.93 11.69 11.82 Means 10.63	Means LSD=10.26 vel. rtilizer on mean to 2006 Treatments (tons/ha) poultry manure 0 4 6 Means LSD=3.01(PM) Treatments (tons/ha) poultry manure 0	60.86 (PM). mato leaf area in kg/ha fartilizer 0 7.70 12.17 14.83 11.57 kg/ha fartilizer 8.47	71.64 Agbede soil 50 7.73 9.50 9.83 9.02 50 9.30	61.91 is. 100 6.20 19.23 10.53 11.99 100 7.60	76.25 150 8.80 10.80 8.73 9.44 150 10.57	Means 7.61b 12.93a 10.98a Means 8.98
Means Means follow 2005 3 WAP Treatments (tons/ha) poultry manure 0 4 6 Means LSD = 2.20(PM 6 WAP Treatments (tons/ha) poultry manure 0 4 6 Means LSD = 2.20(PM	65.89 ed by the same sr Kg/ha fertilizer 0 5.40 15.33 11.50 10.81 4+NPK) kg/ha fertilizer 0 8.23 17.37 16.20	75.00 nall letters a: Table 4. E 50 7.60 13.87 19.77 13.74 50 9.27 15.13 20.85	73.44 renot signif ffect of pou 100 12.13 9.57 5.10 8.93 100 14.58 11.70 18.75	68.89 icantly diffe ltry manure 150 6.57 7.80 10.90 8.42 150 10.43 12.28 13.25	rent at 5% lev e and NPK fe Means 7.93 11.69 11.82 Means 10.63 14.12	Means LSD=10.26 vel. rtilizer on mean to 2006 Treatments (tons/ha) poultry manure 0 4 6 Means LSD=3.01(PM) Treatments (tons/ha) poultry manure 0 4 6 Means LSD=3.01(PM)	60.86 (PM). mato leaf area in kg/ha fertilizer 0 7.70 12.17 14.83 11.57 kg/ha fertilizer 8.47 14.33 17.97	71.64 Agbede soil 50 7.73 9.50 9.83 9.02 50 50 9.30 12.20 12.07	61.91 is. 100 6.20 19.23 10.53 11.99 100 7.60 23.10 12.83	76.25 150 8.80 10.80 8.73 9.44 150 10.57 13.57 10.33	Means 7.61b 12.93a 10.98a Means 8.98 12.41
Means Means follow 2005 3 WAP Treatments (tons/ha) poultry manure 0 4 6 Means LSD = 2.20(PM 6 WAP Treatments (tons/ha) poultry manure 0 4 6 Means	65.89 ed by the same sr Kg/ha fertilizer 0 5.40 15.33 11.50 10.81 4+NPK) kg/ha fertilizer 0 8.23 17.37 16.20	75.00 nall letters a: Table 4. E 50 7.60 13.87 19.77 13.74 50 9.27 15.13 20.85	73.44 renot signif ffect of pou 100 12.13 9.57 5.10 8.93 100 14.58 11.70 18.75	68.89 icantly diffe ltry manure 150 6.57 7.80 10.90 8.42 150 10.43 12.28 13.25	rent at 5% lev e and NPK fe Means 7.93 11.69 11.82 Means 10.63 14.12	Means LSD=10.26 vel. rtilizer on mean to 2006 Treatments (tons/ha) poultry manure 0 4 6 Means LSD=3.01(PM) Treatments (tons/ha) poultry manure 0 4 6 Means LSD=3.01(PM)	60.86 (PM). mato leaf area in kg/ha fertilizer 0 7.70 12.17 14.83 11.57 kg/ha fertilizer 8.47 14.33 17.97	71.64 Agbede soil 50 7.73 9.50 9.83 9.02 50 50 9.30 12.20 12.07	61.91 is. 100 6.20 19.23 10.53 11.99 100 7.60 23.10 12.83	76.25 150 8.80 10.80 8.73 9.44 150 10.57 13.57 10.33	Means 7.61b 12.93a 10.98a Means 8.98 12.41
Means Means follow 2005 3 WAP Treatments (tons/ha) poultry manure 0 4 6 Means LSD=2.20(PM 6 WAP Treatments (tons/ha) poultry manure 0 4 6 Means 9 WAP Treatments (tons/ha) poultry manure 0 4 6 Means 9 WAP	65.89 ed by the same sr 0 5.40 15.33 11.50 10.81 M+NPK) kg/ha fertilizer 0 8.23 17.37 16.20 13.94 kg/ha fertilizer 0 7.89	75.00 mall letters a Table 4. E 50 7.60 13.87 19.77 13.74 50 9.27 15.13 20.85 15.08 50 50 13.03	73.44 renot signif ffect of pou 100 12.13 9.57 5.10 8.93 100 14.58 11.70 18.75 15.01 100 13.65	68.89 icantly diffe ltry manure 150 6.57 7.80 10.90 8.42 150 10.43 12.28 13.25 11.99 150 12.89	rent at 5% lev and NPK fe Means 7.93 11.69 11.82 Means 10.63 14.12 17.26 Means 11.87b	Means LSD = 10.26 vel. rtilizer on mean to 2006 Treatments (tons/ha) poultry manure 0 4 6 Means LSD = 3.01(PM) Treatments (tons/ha) poultry manure 0 4 6 Means Treatments (tons/ha) poultry manure 0 4 6 Means	60.86 (PM). mato leaf area in kg/ha fertilizer 0 7.70 12.17 14.83 11.57 kg/ha fertilizer 8.47 14.33 17.97 13.59 kg/ha fertilizer 0 10.40	71.64 Agbede soil 50 7.73 9.50 9.83 9.02 50 50 12.20 12.07 27.86 50 11.33	61.91 6.191 100 6.20 19.23 10.53 10.53 11.99 100 7.60 23.10 12.83 14.51 100 12.13	76.25 150 8.80 10.80 8.73 9.44 150 10.57 13.57 10.33 11.49 150 13.17	Means 7.61b 12.93a 10.98a Means 8.98 12.41 13.30 Means 11.76b
Means Means follow 2005 3 WAP Treatments (tons/ha) poultry manure 0 4 6 Means LSD = 2.20(PM 6 6 MAP Treatments (tons/ha) poultry manure 0 4 6 Means 9 WAP Treatments (tons/ha) poultry manure 0 4 6 Means 9 WAP	65.89 ed by the same sr 0 5.40 15.33 11.50 10.81 //+NPK) kg/ha fertilizer 0 8.23 17.37 16.20 13.94 kg/ha fertilizer 0 7.89 12.77	75.00 mall letters a Table 4. E 50 7.60 13.87 19.77 13.74 50 9.27 15.13 20.85 15.08 50 50 50	73.44 renot signif ffect of pour 100 12.13 9.57 5.10 8.93 100 14.58 11.70 18.75 15.01 100 13.65 13.70	68.89 icantly diffe ltry manure 150 6.57 7.80 10.90 8.42 150 10.43 12.28 13.25 11.99 150 150	rent at 5% lev and NPK fe Means 7.93 11.69 11.82 Means 10.63 14.12 17.26 Means 11.87b 15.92ab	Means LSD = 10.26 vel. rtilizer on mean to 2006 Treatments (tons/ha) poultry manure 0 4 6 Means LSD = 3.01(PM) Treatments (tons/ha) poultry manure 0 4 6 Means Treatments (tons/ha) poultry manure 0 4 6 Means	60.86 (PM). mato leaf area in kg/ha fartilizer 0 7.70 12.17 14.83 11.57 kg/ha fartilizer 8.47 14.33 17.97 13.59 kg/ha fartilizer 0 10.40 17.66	71.64 Agbede soil 50 7.73 9.50 9.83 9.02 50 50 12.20 12.07 27.86 50 50 11.33 15.07	61.91 6.20 100 6.20 19.23 10.53 11.99 100 7.60 23.10 12.83 14.51 100 12.83 14.51	76.25 150 8.80 10.80 8.73 9.44 150 10.57 13.57 10.33 11.49 150 13.17 16.97	Means 7.61b 12.93a 10.98a Means 8.98 12.41 13.30 Means 11.76b 19.46a
Means Means follow 2005 3 WAP Treatments (tons/ha) poultry manure 0 4 6 Means LSD=2.20(PM 6 WAP Treatments (tons/ha) poultry manure 0 4 6 Means 9 WAP Treatments (tons/ha) poultry manure 0 4 6 Means 9 WAP	65.89 ed by the same sr 0 5.40 15.33 11.50 10.81 //+NPK) kg/ha fertilizer 0 8.23 17.37 16.20 13.94 kg/ha fertilizer 0 7.89 12.77 17.20 12.62	75.00 mall letters a Table 4. E 50 7.60 13.87 19.77 13.74 50 9.27 15.13 20.85 15.08 50 50 13.03	73.44 renot signif ffect of pou 100 12.13 9.57 5.10 8.93 100 14.58 11.70 18.75 15.01 100 13.65	68.89 icantly diffe ltry manure 150 6.57 7.80 10.90 8.42 150 10.43 12.28 13.25 11.99 150 12.89	rent at 5% lev and NPK fe Means 7.93 11.69 11.82 Means 10.63 14.12 17.26 Means 11.87b	Means LSD = 10.26 vel. rtilizer on mean to 2006 Treatments (tons/ha) poultry manure 0 4 6 Means LSD = 3.01(PM) Treatments (tons/ha) poultry manure 0 4 6 Means Treatments (tons/ha) poultry manure 0 4 6 Means	60.86 (PM). mato leaf area in kg/ha fertilizer 0 7.70 12.17 14.83 11.57 kg/ha fertilizer 8.47 14.33 17.97 13.59 kg/ha fertilizer 0 10.40	71.64 Agbede soil 50 7.73 9.50 9.83 9.02 50 50 12.20 12.07 27.86 50 11.33	61.91 6.191 100 6.20 19.23 10.53 10.53 11.99 100 7.60 23.10 12.83 14.51 100 12.13	76.25 150 8.80 10.80 8.73 9.44 150 10.57 13.57 10.33 11.49 150 13.17	Means 7.61b 12.93a 10.98a Means 8.98 12.41 13.30 Means 11.76b

Table 3 Effect of poultry manure and NPK fertilizer on plant height in Obadan soils

Means followed by the same letters are not significantly different at 5% level.

3 WAP 2005						2006					
2003						2000	kg/ha				
Treatments	kg/ha fertilizer					Treatments	fertilizer				
(tons/ha)	- 0	50	100	150		(tons/ha)	0	50	100	150	
poultry						poultry					
manure					Means	manure					Means
0	2.74	6.64	8.80	5.91	6.02b	0	3.02	4.44	3.90	5.67	4.26c
4	10.09	9.63	7.84	4.42	8.00b	4	9.55	10.60	13.60	13.87	11.91b
6	14.51	18.16	10.83	9.97	13.37a	6	10.79	18.26	21.12	19.25	17.36a
Means	9.11	11.48	9.16	6.77		Means	7.79Ъ	11.10a	12.87a	12.93a	
LSD = 5.22(P	PM)					LSD = 1.83(PM	d), 2.11(NPK), 1	.06(PM+N	PK)		
6 WAP											
0 WAP							kg/ha				
Treatments	kg/ha fertilizer					Treatments	fertilizer				
(tons/ha)	0	50	100	150		(tons/ha)	0	50	100	150	
poultry						poultry					
manure					Means	manure					Means
0	4.10	9.97	13.07	8.87	9.00b	0	3.77	5.67	5.19	7.82	5.61c
4	15.13	14.47	11.77	6.63	12.00b	4	13.16	14.55	18.48	17.87	16.02b
6	21.77	27.23	22.73	14.97	21.68a	6	14.63	24.24	27.36	24.05	22.57a
Means	13.67	17.22	15.86	10.16		Means	10.52b	14.82a	17.01a	16.59a	
LSD=7.27(P	PM)					LSD=2.28(PM	M), 2.63(NPK),1	.32(PM+NP	K)		
9 WAP											
.	1.4.5.42					Treatments	kg/ha fertilizer				
Treatments	kg/ha fertilizer	6.0	100	1.50				50	100	1.50	
(tons/ha)	0	50	100	150		(tons/ha)	0	50	100	150	
poultry					Means	poultry manure					
0 manure	4.92	15.08	23.17	16.12	14.82b	0	4.35	4.63	5.73	9.88	6.14c
4	24.53	34.26	22.98	19.46	14.820 25.31a	4	4.55	4.05	25.62	23.82	0.14c 21.58b
6	24.55		22.98			4	23.76		25.62 32.81	25.82	21.580 29.08a
-		29.26		30.33	27.70a	-	23.76 15.06b	29.95			29.088
Means	19.31	26.20	23.00	21.97		Means	10.066	18.13ab	21.39a	21.16a	

Table 5 Effect of poultry manure and NPK fertilizer on tomato leaf area in Obadan soils.

Means followed by the same letters are not significantly different at 5% level.

Table 6 Effect of poultry manure and NPK fertilizer on mean tomato yield in Agbede soils.

Fruit Numbers 2005						2006					
Treatments		1	cg/ha ferti	lizer			Treatments		kg/ha fe	ertilizer	
(tons/ha)	0	50	100	150	Means	(tons/ha)	0	50	100	150	Means
poultry manu	Ire					poultry m	anure				
0	7.67	2.67	19.67	7.33	9.33c	0	4.67	4.33	5.33	9.00	5.83c
4	47.00	54.33	40.00	46.00	46.83a	4	23.33	23.67	34.33	18.67	24.25b
6	38.67	68.33	45.67	30.33	45.75b	6	42.00	45.00	35.33	33.00	38.83a
Means	31.11e	41.78a	35.11b	27.89d		Means	22.33	24.33	25.00	20.22	
LSD=0.21(H	PM), 0.23(NPK),0.1	2(PM+NP	K)			LSD=7.39	(PM)			
Fruit Yield (t	ons/ha)										
2005						2006					
Treatments		1	cg/ha ferti	lizer			Treatments		kg/ha fe	ertilizer	

Treatments		1	cg/ha ferti	lizer			Treatments		kg/ha f	ertilizer	
(tons/ha)	0	50	100	150	Means	(tons/ha)	0	50	100	150	Means
poultry manu	ire					poultry m	anure				
0	0.35	0.22	0.22	1.01	0.45c	0	0.19	0.11	0.27	0.60	0.29Ъ
4	1.08	0.98	1.31	1.41	1.20b	4	0.95	0.97	1.15	1.07	1.04a
6	1.75	1.44	1.25	1.53	1.49a	6	1.26	1.55	1.21	1.21	1.31a
Means	1.06b	0.88c	0.93c	1.32a		Means	0.80	0.88	0.88	0.96	
LSD=0.21(PM), 0.24	(NPK),0.1	2(PM+NI	PK)			LSD=0.72	2(PM)			

LSD = 0.21(PM), 0.24(NPK),0.12(PM+NPK) LSD Means followed by the same small letters are not significantly different at 5% level.

2006

Fruit numbers 2005					
Treatments (tons/ha)	kg/ha fertilizer 0	50	100	150Means	
poultry manure					
0	11.33	12.00	15.33	6.33	11.25ns
4	20.67	32.33	13.33	21.00	21.83ns
6	16.33	33.33	25.33	15.00	22.50ns
Means	16.11	25.89	18.00	14.11	

Table7 Effect of poultry manure and NPK fertilizer on mean tomato yield in Obadan soils.

Fruit yield (tons/ha)

2005					
Treatments (tons/ha)	kg/ha fertilizer 0	50	100	150Means	
poultry manure					
0	0.82	1.42	1.78	0.72	1.18b
4	2.27	2.47	2.97	2.67	2.59a
6	1.83	1.37	3.42	2.30	2.23a
Means	1.64	1.75	2.72	1.89	

Treatments (tons/ha) poultry manure	kg/ha fertilizer 0	50	100	150	Means
0	8.00	9.00	11.67	6.00	8.67b
4	12.67	19.33	12.33	19.33	15.92a
6	12.33	9.00	16.67	19.67	14.42a
Means	11.00	12.44	13.56	15.00	
LSD=5.80(PM)					

2006					
Treatments	kg/ha fertilizer				
(tons/ha) poultry manure	0	50	100	150	Means
0	0.66	1.14	1.71	0.63	1.04c
4	2.13	2.29	2.65	2.55	2.41a
6	1.69	1.31	2.85	1.93	1.95b
Means	1.49b	1.58b	2.40a	1.70Ъ	

LSD=0.84(PM)

Means followed by the same small letters are not significantly different at 5% level.

LSD=0.59(PM), 0.69(NPK)