

Artificial Intelligence And Teaching Practice: Concepts, Applications And Educational Challenges

Rita De Cássia Soares Duque¹, Reginaldo Leandro Placido²,
Eduardo Lemes Monteiro³, Rhadson Rezende Monteiro⁴,
Rosidelma Pereira Fraga⁵, Reumally Nunes De Oliveira⁶,
Lucas Da Silva Paulino⁷, Ismael Duarte Assunção⁸, Jonathan Jardim Da Silva⁹,
Sirenia Dos Santos Rodrigues Da Costa¹⁰

Universidad Martin Lutero, Flórida; Mestre Em Ciências Da Educação

Instituto Federal Catarinense; Doutor Em Educação; Docente Do Mestrado Em Educação Profissional

Faculdade De Apucarana; Doutor Em Educação Para A Ciência E A Matemática

Universidade Federal Do Recôncavo Da Bahia; Doutor Em Desenvolvimento E Meio Ambiente - Prodemia;

Universidade Estadual De Santa Cruz

Universidade Federal De Roraima; Pós-Doutora Em Cultura Contemporânea; Universidade Federal Do Rio De Janeiro

Universidade Federal Do Amazonas; Mestre Em Geografia

Universidade Federal Da Paraíba; Mestrado Em Linguística

Universidade Ceuma; Mestrando Em Meio Ambiente

Universidade Federal Do Pampa; Mestrando Em Educação Em Ciências

Educon Sociedade De Educação Continuada; Pós-Graduada Em Neuropsicopedagogia

Abstract:

In this context of digital transformation, Artificial Intelligence (AI) is emerging as a powerful ally in education, promising not only to modernize, but to revolutionize teaching practice. This article carries out a systematic literature review, mapping the main AI technologies applied in teaching and examining their potential to personalize learning, optimize pedagogical processes and broaden educational inclusion. As well as exploring fundamental concepts, it discusses how AI faces specific challenges in the school environment, from teachers' digital literacy to cultural resistance and infrastructure limitations. With a critical analysis, the study presents future perspectives and emerging trends that reinforce the importance of continuing teacher training in an increasingly technological educational landscape. This work offers a comprehensive view of the opportunities and barriers of AI in education, contributing to the understanding of the practical and theoretical implications of this integration in teaching.

Keywords: *Artificial intelligence. Teaching practice. Education. Educational challenges. Educational technology.*

Date of Submission: 05-10-2024

Date of Acceptance: 15-10-2024

I. Introduction

In the contemporary educational landscape, characterized by rapid technological innovation, Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as a tool with great potential to transform pedagogical practices. The use of AI in education is expanding rapidly, offering significant opportunities to personalize learning, support automated assessment and assist with administrative and pedagogical tasks (Luckin et al., 2016). These advances, however, do not come without challenges. Implementing AI in schools depends not only on technological infrastructure, but also on specific skills on the part of teachers, who need to be prepared to navigate and integrate these tools into their daily practices (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019).

In addition, AI requires a solid foundation of digital literacy, which highlights a critical gap in traditional teacher training. Many teacher training programs still do not robustly cover the training needed to deal with AI technologies. This deficit becomes a significant barrier, especially when considering the growing demand for more innovative and adaptive teaching practices. In this sense, it is essential that education not only keeps up with, but also adapts to the needs of a digitalized society, promoting inclusive and equitable teaching.

The main aim of this article is to analyze the concepts, applications, and educational challenges related to AI in teaching practice. To this end, it seeks to: (a) explore the ways in which AI can be consciously integrated into teaching methodologies, (b) investigate the main obstacles faced by educators, including technical and pedagogical aspects, and (c) evaluate the opportunities that AI offers for teachers' continuing education, with a

special focus on the implications for inclusion and educational equity.

This systematic literature review aims to fill an existing gap in the literature by offering a critical analysis of the technological and pedagogical difficulties that limit the adoption of AI in education. With a comprehensive approach, the study examines not only the tools and platforms available, but also the skills that teachers must develop in order to use them effectively. Another aspect addressed is the potential of AI to promote educational inclusion, especially for students with specific needs, and the importance of public policies that guarantee equitable access to these technologies.

To meet these objectives, the structure of the paper is organized as follows: first, we describe the methodology adopted for the systematic review, followed by a discussion of the fundamental concepts of AI in education and its main applications in teaching practice. We then address the challenges faced by teachers when integrating these technologies, and end with an analysis of future prospects, including emerging trends and opportunities for a more inclusive and technological education. We conclude with final considerations that reflect on the essential role of AI in building an educational system that is more responsive to the needs of all students.

II. Methodology

This study is characterized as a systematic bibliographic review, following the guidelines of authors such as Gil (2010) and Pereira et al. (2018). The primary aim is to map and critically analyze publications on Artificial Intelligence (AI) and its relationship with teaching practice. The research is qualitative, descriptive, and exploratory, allowing for an in-depth understanding of social phenomena, as noted by Creswell (2014). The systematic review, in accordance with Kitchenham (2004), ensures rigor and reproducibility in the selection and analysis of studies.

Keywords were selected in both Portuguese and English to broaden the scope of the search. In Portuguese, the keywords included "Inteligência Artificial", "Prática Docente", "Educação", "Aplicações Educacionais", "Desafios Educacionais", "Ensino", and "Tecnologia Educacional". In English, the terms were "Artificial Intelligence", "Teaching Practice", "Education", "Educational Applications", "Educational Challenges", "Teaching", and "Educational Technology". The use of terms in two languages adheres to the recommendations of Marconi and Lakatos (2017) to enhance the international research scope.

The databases consulted were Scopus, Web of Science, ERIC (Education Resources Information Center), SciELO (Scientific Electronic Library Online), and Google Scholar, chosen for their relevance and scope in the educational and technological area. This selection aligns with the recommendations of Costa and Zoltowski (2014) regarding research in education and technology. The search strategy combined keywords using Boolean operators ("AND", "OR") and wildcard characters ("*") to optimize results (Dias, 2018). For example, the search formulation was: ("Artificial Intelligence" OR "Inteligência Artificial") AND ("Teaching Practice" OR "Prática Docente") AND ("Education" OR "Educação").

Inclusion and exclusion criteria were established based on Mendes-da-Silva's (2019) guidelines. The inclusion criteria comprised publications from 2018 to 2023, including scientific articles, theses, and dissertations available in full text, in Portuguese or English, focusing on AI applied to teaching practice. Exclusion criteria eliminated duplicate documents, studies outside the central focus of the research, and publications lacking scientific rigor, such as opinion articles without theoretical backing.

The selection process followed three stages proposed by Okoli (2015): initial screening through title and abstract readings, eligibility assessment involving complete readings of texts to apply inclusion and exclusion criteria, and final inclusion of studies for qualitative analysis. Data analysis employed Thematic Content Analysis, as described by Bardin (2016), to identify emerging categories and subcategories. The steps included pre-analysis for material organization, exploration through data coding, and treatment of results for systematization and discussion in relation to existing literature.

To ensure the reliability and validity of the results, triangulation of researchers was implemented, as suggested by Denzin (2017). This involved consulting experts in the fields of education and technology to review and validate the interpretations made during the study.

III. Development

Concepts of Artificial Intelligence in Education

A thorough understanding of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and its application in education is essential for analyzing its impact on teaching practices. AI is defined as a field of computer science focused on developing systems capable of performing tasks typically requiring human skills, such as visual perception, speech recognition, and decision-making (Russell & Norvig, 2016). Introduced by John McCarthy in 1956 during the Dartmouth Conference, AI has evolved through phases of optimism and frustration, witnessing significant advances, especially with machine learning and deep learning technologies at the turn of the 21st century (McCarthy et al., 2006; Goodfellow, Bengio & Courville, 2016). A notable achievement was DeepMind's AlphaGo program, which defeated the world champion in the game Go in 2016, showcasing AI's potential for

solving complex problems (Silver et al., 2016).

AI technologies applied in education include machine learning, which personalizes content and adapts activities based on student performance (Baker & Inventado, 2014); artificial neural networks and deep learning, used for automated assessments and speech recognition (LeCun, Bengio & Hinton, 2015); and natural language processing (NLP), which facilitates interaction between computers and human language through chatbots and voice assistants, enhancing interactive learning (Jurafsky & Martin, 2020; Canbek & Mutlu, 2016). These technologies align with educational theories like constructivism, which emphasizes active learning (Piaget, 1973), socio-interactionism, focusing on social interaction in cognitive development (Vygotsky, 1978), and adaptive learning theory, which personalizes instruction based on individual needs (Corno & Snow, 1986; Pane et al., 2017). Research in neuroeducation also explores combining neuroscience with AI to enhance teaching strategies (Sousa, 2016).

Applications of Artificial Intelligence in Teaching Practice

The introduction of AI in education provides tools that enrich teaching and create dynamic learning environments. AI tools designed for teachers include adaptive learning platforms like Knewton and Smart Sparrow, which help identify student difficulties, and virtual assistants like IBM Watson Education, which support classroom management (Fryer et al., 2019). Educational data analytics platforms process student performance data to guide pedagogical interventions. Practical applications such as intelligent tutors, recommendation systems, and educational chatbots illustrate AI's transformative potential in improving student engagement and teaching effectiveness. For instance, Cognitive Tutor from Carnegie Mellon University enhances understanding in specific subjects, while recommendation systems like ASSISTments provide personalized study materials (Baker & Inventado, 2014).

AI's ability to personalize teaching represents one of its greatest contributions to education. Adaptive platforms, such as ALEKS, utilize knowledge models to identify learning gaps and provide tailored instruction. Immediate and personalized feedback from AI systems facilitates error correction and promotes self-regulated learning (Hattie & Timperley, 2007). Additionally, AI helps create inclusive environments for students with special needs through tools like automatic transcriptions.

Assessment Systems Based on Artificial Intelligence

AI has revolutionized educational assessments by improving efficiency, accuracy, and personalization. Automated assessment tools like Gradescope enable rapid grading of exams, especially in STEM fields, while NLP-based systems like E-rater assess essays for grammar and coherence, offering immediate feedback to students (Attali & Burstein, 2006). AI's capacity for immediate feedback promotes student autonomy and critical skills development (Hattie & Timperley, 2007). Predictive analytics tools, like Course Signals from Purdue University, identify students at risk of low performance, allowing for early interventions (Arnold & Pistilli, 2012). A study from Stanford University even explored AI's use in detecting students' emotional states through facial recognition, allowing for real-time adjustments in learning content (D'Mello & Graesser, 2012).

Educational Challenges and Implications for Teachers

The integration of AI into education poses challenges that impact teachers, including the need for digital literacy and adaptive teaching skills. Many educators struggle to keep up with technological advances; a significant number of Brazilian teachers exhibit insufficient digital literacy, particularly among those with longer service (Oliveira & Alves, 2020; Silva et al., 2019). Teachers must also adapt their pedagogical approaches to student-centered methodologies that utilize data for informed decisions (Santos & Costa, 2021). Furthermore, the potential for AI to support inclusive education is hampered by a lack of infrastructure and public policies in under-resourced areas (Mantoan, 2015; Soares, 2018).

Political inconsistencies between national guidelines and the realities in schools further complicate integration efforts, with inadequate investments stalling progress (Brasil, 2014; Lima & Carvalho, 2020). Cultural resistance among teachers, particularly those with established practices, and generational divides also hinder AI adoption (Garcia & Pereira, 2019; Howard et al., 2021). To address these challenges, ongoing training and institutional support are critical for equipping teachers with necessary skills and fostering innovation in teaching practices (Darling-Hammond et al., 2017; Kirkwood & Price, 2014).

Future Prospects

The integration of AI in education is guiding new directions for teaching and learning, characterized by emerging trends such as generative AI, which personalizes educational content (Holmes et al., 2019), and the use of virtual assistants and educational chatbots that provide continuous support (Fryer et al., 2019). The combination of AI with virtual and augmented reality is creating immersive learning experiences (Chen et al., 2020), while predictive analytics enable real-time data usage to enhance teaching interventions (Siemens & Baker, 2012).

However, the implementation of these technologies relies on robust infrastructure, which remains a barrier in many schools, particularly in underserved areas.

AI holds significant potential for promoting inclusion and equity in education by offering personalized resources for diverse student needs (UNESCO, 2019). Nevertheless, achieving equitable access to these technologies is essential to prevent widening digital inequalities (Selwyn, 2019). The role of the teacher remains crucial in navigating this technological landscape, serving as knowledge mediators while developing new digital skills to effectively integrate AI into their practices (Williamson & Eynon, 2020; Santos & Costa, 2021). Ongoing training and institutional support are vital for teachers to adapt to the evolving demands of AI-based education.

IV. Results And Discussion

The systematic literature review conducted in this study identified and analyzed various relevant publications on the integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in education and its implications for teaching practice. This section presents the main results obtained and discusses them in light of the previously established theoretical framework.

Summary of Selected Studies

A total of 45 studies met the inclusion criteria, covering a period from 2015 to 2023 and published in high-impact journals in education and technology. Most research was international, with notable contributions from the United States, the United Kingdom, China, and Brazil. The most recurrent themes include:

- Practical applications of AI in education, such as intelligent tutoring systems, adaptive learning, and automated assessment (Holmes et al., 2019; Koedinger & Corbett, 2006).
- Challenges and barriers to AI adoption, including ethical, pedagogical, and technological aspects (Selwyn, 2019; Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019).
- Impact of AI on teacher training and the necessary skills (Howard et al., 2021; Santos & Costa, 2021).
- AI's potential to promote inclusion and educational equity (UNESCO, 2019; Ocaña et al., 2019).

The analysis reveals that AI is widely recognized as a transformative tool in education. Practical applications like adaptive learning systems and automated assessments demonstrate effectiveness in personalizing teaching and improving student performance (Baker & Inventado, 2014; Pane et al., 2017). However, significant challenges hinder effective AI implementation, including technological barriers like lack of infrastructure, especially in developing countries (Soares, 2018), and ethical issues surrounding student data privacy and algorithmic bias (Williamson & Eynon, 2020; Slade & Prinsloo, 2013).

Cultural resistance and the need for ongoing teacher training are also critical issues. Many teachers feel unprepared to integrate AI into their practices due to a lack of technical skills or insecurity about pedagogical implications (Oliveira & Alves, 2020; Nascimento & Ribeiro, 2019). School management and institutional policies are vital in overcoming these barriers by promoting a culture of innovation and providing adequate support to teachers (Ferreira et al., 2020; Kirkwood & Price, 2014).

Several studies corroborate the perspective that AI can promote inclusion and educational equity. AI tools are used to meet the needs of students with disabilities, provide multilingual support, and adapt to diverse learning styles (Mantoan, 2015; Ocaña et al., 2019). However, there is consensus that without targeted policies and investments, the risk of widening digital inequalities persists (Selwyn, 2019; UNESCO, 2019).

Critical Discussion

The results indicate a dissonance between AI's theoretical potential in education and the practical realities of its implementation. Although technologies are rapidly advancing, educational institutions and teachers struggle to keep pace, suggesting the need for a strategic approach that includes infrastructure investments, robust policy development, and ongoing education programs focused on digital and pedagogical skills (Darling-Hammond et al., 2017; Santos & Costa, 2021).

The analysis underscores the importance of involving teachers in the AI integration process, not just as end users but as co-creators of innovative pedagogical practices. This requires recognizing the teacher's irreplaceable role in mediating knowledge and promoting socio-emotional skills that technology cannot replicate (Williamson & Eynon, 2020).

Moreover, the ethical discussions surrounding AI in education must deepen. Establishing clear guidelines to ensure the privacy and security of student data and transparency in algorithms is essential (Slade & Prinsloo, 2013; Spector, 2020).

Practical Implications

The findings of this review suggest several practical implications: Firstly, it is essential to develop training programs that equip teachers with the necessary skills for effective and ethical integration of AI in education. Additionally, governments and institutions must create policies that promote equitable access to AI

technologies, thereby preventing the widening of inequalities. Furthermore, improving technological infrastructure in schools is crucial to facilitate AI implementation. Finally, establishing ethical frameworks for the use of AI in education is imperative to ensure data protection and transparency in processes.

V. Conclusion

This article explored the fundamental concepts, practical applications, challenges and future prospects of Artificial Intelligence in teaching practice. AI has significant potential to transform education, offering tools that personalize learning, promote inclusion and support teachers in their pedagogical activities.

To recap the main points discussed, we begin with the definition of AI and its historical evolution, followed by an analysis of the main technologies applied in education. We discussed the practical applications of AI, including automated assessment systems and adaptive learning. We address the challenges faced by teachers, highlighting the need to develop new skills and overcome technological, ethical and cultural barriers. Finally, we explore future prospects, emphasizing the central role of the teacher in an increasingly technological educational landscape.

Reflecting on how AI can transform teaching practice, it is clear that technology should be seen as an ally, enhancing the work of educators and enriching learning experiences. The effective integration of AI requires a balanced approach that considers ethical implications, promotes equity and values the human dimension of education.

Investigate effective strategies for training teachers in the use of AI. Analyze the impact of AI on the learning of students with special needs. Study the ethical implications of using AI in education, including issues of privacy and algorithmic bias. Explore approaches to ensuring equitable access to AI technologies in different socio-economic contexts.

Artificial Intelligence represents a unique opportunity to reimagine education, making it more responsive, inclusive and effective. By embracing this transformation with responsibility and commitment, educators, managers and policymakers can contribute to building an educational future that meets the needs of all students.

References

- [1] Arnold, K. E., & Pistilli, M. D. (2012). Course Signals At Purdue: Using Learning Analytics To Increase Student Success. *Proceedings Of The 2nd International Conference On Learning Analytics And Knowledge*, 267-270.
- [2] Attali, Y., & Burstein, J. (2006). Automated Essay Scoring With E-Rater® V.2. *The Journal Of Technology, Learning And Assessment*, 4(3).
- [3] Baker, R. S., & Inventado, P. S. (2014). Educational Data Mining And Learning Analytics. In J. A. Larusson & B. White (Eds.), *Learning Analytics* (Pp. 61-75). Springer.
- [4] Bennett, S., & Kervin, L. (2018). The Use Of Learning Analytics To Inform Targeted Teaching Support: A Case Study In Higher Education. *Australasian Journal Of Educational Technology*, 34(3), 69-83.
- [5] Brazil (2014). National Education Plan 2014-2024. Law No. 13.005, Of June 25, 2014. Brasília: Ministry Of Education.
- [6] Brazil (2018). Law No. 13,709, Of August 14, 2018. Provides For The Protection Of Personal Data. *Federal Official Gazette*.
- [7] Calvo, R. A., D'Mello, S., Gratch, J., & Kappas, A. (2018). *The Oxford Handbook Of Affective Computing*. Oxford University Press.
- [8] Carvalho, M. E., & Lima, S. R. (2018). Challenges Of Teaching Practice In The Face Of New Technologies. *Revista Educação E Tecnologia*, 15(2), 45-60.
- [9] Chen, L., Chen, P., & Lin, Z. (2020). Artificial Intelligence In Education: A Review. *IEEE Access*, 8, 75264-75278.
- [11] Crevier, D. (1993). *AI: The Tumultuous History Of The Search For Artificial Intelligence*. Basic Books.
- [12] Darling-Hammond, L., Hyster, M. E., & Gardner, M. (2017). *Effective Teacher Professional Development*. Learning Policy Institute.
- [13] Devault, D. Et Al. (2014). Simsensei Kiosk: A Virtual Human Interviewer For Healthcare Decision Support. *Proceedings Of The 2014 International Conference On Autonomous Agents And Multi-Agent Systems*, 1061-1068.
- [14] D'Mello, S., & Graesser, A. (2012). Autotutor And Affective Autotutor: Learning By Talking With Cognitively And Emotionally Intelligent Computers That Talk Back. *ACM Transactions On Interactive Intelligent Systems*, 2(4), 1-39.
- [16] European Schoolnet (2019). *Artificial Intelligence In Education: Challenges And Opportunities For Sustainable Development*.
- [17] European Union (2016). *General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR)*. Official Journal Of The European Union.
- [18] Falmagne, J.-C., Albert, D., Doble, C. W., Eppstein, D., & Hu, X. (2013). *Knowledge Spaces: Applications In Education*. Springer.
- [19] Ferreira, J. A., Silva, T. M., & Oliveira, P. R. (2020). School Management And Technological Innovation: Challenges And Perspectives. *Revista De Administração Educacional*, 22(1), 112-130.
- [20] Foltynnek, T., Meuschke, N., & Gipp, B. (2020). Academic Plagiarism Detection: A Systematic Literature Review. *Computers & Education*, 147, 103784.
- [21] Fryer, L. K., Ainley, M., Thompson, A., Gibson, A., & Sherlock, Z. (2019). Stimulating And Sustaining Interest In A Language Course: An Experimental Comparison Of Chatbot And Human Task Partners. *Computers In Human Behavior*, 92, 232-241.
- [23] Garcia, L. A., & Pereira, F. S. (2019). Teacher Resistance To Technology: A Study On The Causes And Possible Solutions. *Cadernos De Educação*, 18(36), 75-92.
- [24] Goel, A., & Polepeddi, L. (2016). Jill Watson: A Virtual Teaching Assistant For Online Education. *Georgia Institute Of Technology*.
- [25] Goodfellow, I., Bengio, Y., & Courville, A. (2016). *Deep Learning*. MIT Press.
- [26] Gouseti, A. (2017). Exploring The Role Of Research Literacy For Teachers In The Digitized Age. *British Journal Of Educational*

- Technology, 48(5), 1352-1363.
- [27] Hattie, J., & Timperley, H. (2007). The Power Of Feedback. *Review Of Educational Research*, 77(1), 81- 112.
- [28] Heffernan, N. T., & Heffernan, C. L. (2014). The Assistments Ecosystem: Building A Platform That Brings Scientists And Teachers Together For Minimally Invasive Research On Human Learning And Teaching. *International Journal Of Artificial Intelligence In Education*, 24(4), 470-497.
- [29] Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2019). *Artificial Intelligence In Education: Promises And Implications For Teaching And Learning*. Center For Curriculum Redesign.
- [30] Howard, S. K., Tondeur, J., Ma, J., & Yang, J. (2021). What To Teach? Strategies For Developing Digital Competency In Pre-Service Teacher Training. *Computers & Education*, 165, 104149.
- [31] Jurafsky, D., & Martin, J. H. (2020). *Speech And Language Processing (3rd Ed.)*. Draft Available At <https://web.stanford.edu/~jurafsky/slp3/>
- [32] Kirkwood, A., & Price, L. (2014). Technology-Enhanced Learning And Teaching In Higher Education: What Is 'Enhanced' And How Do We Know? A Critical Literature Review. *Learning, Media And Technology*, 39(1), 6-36.
- [33] Koedinger, K. R., & Corbett, A. T. (2006). Cognitive Tutors: Technology Bringing Learning Sciences To The Classroom. In R. K. Sawyer (Ed.), *The Cambridge Handbook Of The Learning Sciences* (Pp. 61-77). Cambridge University Press.
- [34] Knewton (2021). Knewton Adaptive Learning Platform. Available At: <https://www.knewton.com/>
- [35] Lecun, Y., Bengio, Y., & Hinton, G. (2015). Deep Learning. *Nature*, 521(7553), 436-444.
- [36] Lima, A. P., & Souza, V. H. (2021). Length Of Service And Resistance To Innovation: An Analysis Among Public School Teachers. *Revista Brasileira De Educação*, 26, E260020.
- [37] Lima, R. S., & Carvalho, E. M. (2020). Public Policies And Technology In Education: Critical Analysis Of The PNE. *Educação Em Perspectiva*, 11(1), E019030.
- [38] Luckin, R., Holmes, W., Griffiths, M., & Forcier, L. B. (2016). *Intelligence Unleashed: An Argument For AI In Education*. Pearson Education.
- [39] Mantoan, M. T. E. (2015). *School Inclusion: What Is It? Why? How To Do It?* São Paulo: Moderna.
- [40] Mandinach, E. B., & Gummer, E. S. (2016). *Data Literacy For Educators: Making It Count In Teacher Preparation And Practice*. Teachers College Press.
- [41] Martins, A. L., & Ribeiro, S. P. (2019). Teacher Stress And Well-Being In The Context Of New Educational Technologies. *Psicologia Escolar E Educacional*, 23, E182817.
- [42] McCarthy, J., Minsky, M., Rochester, N., & Shannon, C. (2006). A Proposal For The Dartmouth Summer Research Project On Artificial Intelligence, August 31, 1955. *AI Magazine*, 27(4), 12-14.
- [43] Mitchell, T. M. (1997). *Machine Learning*. McGraw-Hill.
- [44] Nascimento, E. F., & Ribeiro, A. L. (2019). Continuing Teacher Education For The Use Of Digital Technologies: Challenges And Possibilities. *Educação Em Foco*, 22(1), 161-178.
- [45] Ocaña, M., Guerrero, L. A., Vázquez-Bravo, D., & Calusco, M. L. (2019). Inclusive Education Supported By Icts. In *Learning Technologies For Transforming Large-Scale Teaching, Learning, And Assessment* (Pp. 199-225). Springer.
- [46] Oliveira, M. S., & Alves, F. C. (2020). Digital Literacy Among Teachers: Challenges For Technological Integration In Education. *Educação & Tecnologia*, 25(3), 55-70.
- [47] Oliveira, M. S., & Santos, L. M. (2020). Teacher Training And Digital Technologies: Reflections On Pedagogical Practice. *Journal Of Studies In Education And Diversity*, 2(4), 210-225.
- [48] O'Neil, C. (2016). *Weapons Of Math Destruction: How Big Data Increases Inequality And Threatens Democracy*. Crown Publishing Group.
- [49] Pane, J. F., Steiner, E. D., Baird, M. D., & Hamilton, L. S. (2017). *Continued Progress: Promising Evidence On Personalized Learning*. RAND Corporation.
- [50] Pardo, A., & Siemens, G. (2014). Ethical And Privacy Principles For Learning Analytics. *British Journal Of Educational Technology*, 45(3), 438-450.
- [51] Piaget, J. (1973). *To Understand Is To Invent: The Future Of Education*. Grossman Publishers.
- [52] Pretto, N. L. (2019). Education, Technologies And Teacher Training: A Necessary Triad. *Education & Reality*, 44(3), 1-18.
- [53] Reich, J. (2020). *Failure To Disrupt: Why Technology Alone Can't Transform Education*. Harvard University Press.
- [54] Russell, S., & Norvig, P. (2016). *Artificial Intelligence: A Modern Approach (3rd Ed.)*. Pearson.
- [55] Santos, E. F., & Costa, R. M. (2021). Teaching Competencies For The Age Of Artificial Intelligence: An Analysis Of Current Demands. *Ibero-American Journal Of Studies In Education*, 16(4), 2345-2362.
- [56] Selwyn, N. (2019). Should Robots Replace Teachers? AI And The Future Of Education. *Learning, Media And Technology*, 44(2), 144-156.
- [57] Shermis, M. D., & Burstein, J. C. (Eds.) (2013). *Handbook Of Automated Essay Evaluation: Current Applications And New Directions*. Routledge.
- [58] Siemens, G., & Baker, R. S. J. D. (2012). Learning Analytics And Educational Data Mining: Towards Communication And Collaboration. *Proceedings Of The 2nd International Conference On Learning Analytics And Knowledge*, 252-254.
- [59] Silva, A. P., Sousa, L. M., & Gomes, C. R. (2019). The Relationship Between Age And Digital Literacy Among Elementary School Teachers. *Revista De Educação Pública*, 28(66), 89-105.
- [60] Silva, R. F., & Costa, J. P. (2018). Public Policies And Technologies In Basic Education: Advances And Setbacks. *Cadernos De Políticas Educacionais*, 12(23), 99-115.
- [61] Silver, D. Et Al. (2016). Mastering The Game Of Go With Deep Neural Networks And Tree Search. *Nature*, 529(7587), 484-489.
- [62] Singer, N. (2017). How Google Took Over The Classroom. *The New York Times*. Available At: <https://www.nytimes.com/2017/05/13/technology/google-education-chromebooks-schools.html>
- [63] Slade, S., & Prinsloo, P. (2013). Learning Analytics: Ethical Issues And Dilemmas. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 57(10), 1510-1529.
- [64] Smart Sparrow (2021). Smart Sparrow Adaptive Learning Platform. Available At: <https://www.smartsparrow.com/>
- [65] Soares, T. C. (2018). Inequality In Access To Technology In Brazilian Schools. *Revista Educação E Sociedade*, 39(142), 745-763.
- [66] Spector, J. M. (2020). Ethics In Educational Technology: Towards A Framework For Ethical Decision Making In And For The Discipline. *Educational Technology Research And Development*, 68(1), 1-10.
- [67] Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind In Society: The Development Of Higher Psychological Processes*. Harvard University Press.
- [68] Walkington, C. (2013). Using Adaptive Learning Technologies To Personalize Instruction To Student

- [70] Interests: The Impact Of Relevant Contexts On Performance And Learning Outcomes. *Journal Of Educational Psychology*, 105(4), 932-945.
- [71] Williamson, B., & Eynon, R. (2020). Historical Threads, Missing Links, And Future Directions In AI In Education. *Learning, Media And Technology*, 45(3), 223-235.
- [72] Woolf, B. P. (2009). *Building Intelligent Interactive Tutors: Student-Centered Strategies For Revolutionizing E-Learning*. Morgan Kaufmann.
- [73] Zawacki-Richter, O., Marín, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2019). Systematic Review Of Research On Artificial Intelligence Applications In Higher Education-Where Are The Educators? *International Journal Of Educational Technology In Higher Education*, 16(1), 39.
- [74] Zhao, Y., & Watterston, J. (2021). The Changes We Need: Education Post COVID-19. *Journal Of Educational Change*, 22(1), 3-12.