Satisfaction of Mothers Attending Immunisation Clinic in a Slum Area of North Kolkata: A Cross-Sectional Study

Dr.Debjit Sarkar¹, Dr.Sreetama Banerjee², Dr. Baisakhi Maji³, Dr. Sudhanshu Saharoy⁴

(1, 2, 3, 4 – post graduate trainee, MD Community Medicine, R.G.Kar Medical College, Kolkata)

I. Introduction

Childhood immunisation is considered to be among the most effective preventive services, and is therefore critical to monitor and evaluate. Uptake of vaccination services is dependent on different factors including knowledge and attitude of mothers. Research on parental health beliefs and attitudes often assumes that parents decline immunisation or are simply less knowledgeable without examining their access and utilisation of well-child care. The other side of the system, that is, the deficiencies on the provider side largely remain unaccounted for. Parental satisfaction with pediatric care is an indicator of provider quality that has been relatively unexplored in relation to childhood immunisation. A satisfied parent is more likely to develop a deeper and long-lasting relationship with the healthcare provider, leading to improved compliance, continuity of care, and ultimately better health care outcomes. However satisfaction, being mostly in the domain of heart is difficult to measure, rather quantify. In this regard the Client Satisfaction Questionnaire-8 item (CSQ-8) which is an easily scored and administered measurement designed to measure client satisfaction with health and human services can be helpful. The CSQ-8seems to operate about the same across all ethnic groups. Psychometric properties, operating characteristics, and coefficient alpha are very strong for this measure. With this background, the present study was conducted with the following objectives:

- 1. To describe the socio-demographic pattern of the study participants
- 2. To assess their level of satisfaction (using CSQ-8) towards the service they are receiving
- 3. To identify the factors influencing satisfaction

II. Methodology

- **1. Study Period:** The study was conducted in the months of June to September 2014 i.e. for an approximate period of 4 months.
- 2. Place of study: Immunisation clinic of Urban Health and Training Center under the Department of Community Medicine of RG Kar Medical College and hospital. The clinic is situated in the field practice area in Bagbazar slum under ward no. 7 of Kolkata Municipal Corporation.
- 3. Study Design: Cross sectional.
- **4. Study Population:** All the mothers who attended the clinic for the purpose of immunisation of their children during the study period.
- **5. Sample size:** Study by Bhola Nath et. al⁹ done in Lucknow showed that overall satisfaction regarding immunisation was more than 90% among mothers. Using the formula $n=z^2pq/l^2$ for sample size determination with alpha 0.05 and absolute precision 5, the sample size is about 140.
- **6. Sampling technique:** Every third mother was chosen to allow time for interview as was estimated during pre-testing.
- 7. **Study tool:** Pre-designed and pretested schedule. Based on Client Satisfaction Questionnaire-8 item (CSQ-8) and literature review schedule was prepared. Scale Format 8 items, 4-point Likert. Scores range from 8 to 32 with higher score indicating higher level of satisfaction. Face validity was judged by few subject matter experts. Pre-tested on 14 mothers and necessary adjustments were made.
- **8. Study Technique:** After obtaining requisite permissions and informed consent, selected mothers were interviewed at the time of exit in the waiting area after their children settled down following vaccination.
- **9.** Exclusion criteria: Mothers who did not give consent.
- **10. Analysis:** Data analysed using SPSS v.16 and represented with tables. Unpaired t test, ANOVA, Chi square test and logistic regression analysis have been utilized.

III. Results And Discussion

All the selected mothers gave consent for participation in study.

Attribu	Number	Percentage	
Age category	21-30	95	67.90 %
(yrs.)	31-40	45	32.10 %
Education	illiterate	16	11.40 %
	primary	41	29.30 %
	upto secondary	63	45.00 %
	secondary and above	20	14.30 %
Occupation	housewife	100	71.40 %
	others	40	28.60 %
Number of children	1	90	64.30 %
	≥2	50	35.70 %
Socio-economic status	I	20	14.30 %
(modified BG Prasad Scale	II	34	24.30 %
2014)	III	44	31.40 %

Table 1. Distribution of mothers according to some demographic characteristics (n=140)

N.B - As regards to occupation others include mothers employed as domestic help and temporary workers in nearby establishments.

30.00 %

Majority of respondents (67.90%) are in the age group of 21-30 years and have education upto secondary (45%). Most of them are housewives (71.40%) with 1 living child (64.30%). Similar profile of mothers in West Bengal attending immunisation clinic was reported by Maulik S. and Dasgupta A. ¹⁰

Table 2. shows that mean satisfaction score does not differ significantly across different categories of demographics. Study conducted by Luman ET et al ¹¹reported that parental satisfactions with pediatric care and up-to-date immunization at 24 months are independent of maternal age, race, and education. Though it might not influence satisfaction but education of mothers was identified as a major factor for increased immunization of Nigerian children in a rural area.²

Table 2. Comparison of satisfaction score of study subjects across a few demographic attributes (n=140)

Attributes		Satisfaction score	
		30000	Statistical significance
		Mean(SD)	
Age category (in years)	21 -30	24.07(3.15)	
(all years)	31-40	22.88(4.95)	t=1.716,p=0.088
	illiterate	23.68(1.62)	
	primary	22.62(4.88)	F(ANOVA)=3.126
Education	upto secondary	24.72(3.14)	p>0.05(post hoc between group
	secondary and above	22.75(3.98)	comparison by Bonferroni's test)
Occupation	housewife	23.97(3.13)	t=1.354,p=0.178
Occupation	others	23.00(5.19)	t=1:334,p=0:178
Number of children	1	24.01(3.19)	t=1.318,p=0.190
Number of children	≥2	23.12(4.78)	t=1:518,p=0:190
	I	25.00(5.20)	F(ANOVA)=3.952
Socio-economic status	П	22.58(5.01)	
(modified BG Prasad Scale 2014)	III	22.84(2.77)	p>0.05(post hoc between group comparison by Bonferroni's test)
2011)	IV	24.86(2.28)	

Minimum score of respondents is 17 and maximum is 31. Based on median score of 24, respondents are thus divided into two categories- "More satisfied" with score>24 and "Less satisfied" with score<24. These 2 categories are compared against a few dichotomous variables which may likely influence satisfaction as reported by mothers. Table 3. shows that being an inhabitant of the slum, proper location of clinic, convenient working hours, short waiting period, adequate time allotment and being able to disclose complaints properly are significantly associated(p<0.01) with "More satisfied" category i.e. higher satisfaction scores.

Satisfaction category	More satisfied	Less satisfied	Statistica1
Attributes	Number (%)	Number (%)	significance
Client type Inhabitant : outsider	80(57.1):6(4.3)	16(11.4): 38(27.1)	$\chi^2 = 61.857, p < 0.01$
Location of clinic Proper: improper	84(60.0):2(1.4)	28(20.0): 26(18.6)	$\chi^2 = 43.531, p < 0.01$
Working days Adequate : inadequate	76(54.3): 10(7.1)	14(10.0): 40(28.6)	$\chi^2 = 56.340, p < 0.01$
Working hours Convenient: inconvenient	70(50.0): 16(11.4)	28(20.0): 26(18.6)	$\chi^2 = 13.787, p < 0.01$
Waiting period Short: long	80(57.1):6(4.3)	14(10.0): 40(28.6)	$\chi^2 = 67.693, p < 0.01$
Time allotted Adequate : inadequate	84(60.0): 2(1.4)	42(30.0): 12(8.6)	$\chi^2 = 14.591, p < 0.01$
Ability to disclose complaints Yes: no	86(61.4):0(0)	14(10.0): 40(28.6)	$\chi^2 = 24.774, p < 0.01$

Table 3. Comparison of category of satisfaction across a few attributes (n=140)

In contrast to the present study, in West Bengal, according to a study, 40.7% mothers complained of long waiting time before availing the services. ¹² The inconvenience of clinic hours, dates of immunization clinics and locations of clinics have been reported by 75% of the parents ¹. Whereas in our study the respective percentages are 30, 35.7 and 20. However studies conducted by Ghosh et al, Ughade et al and Yadav et al who found that increase in the distance of the immunization centre led to unfavorable effect on the immunization status of the child, did not comment on the satisfaction regarding the same. ⁹ The contrasting results may be due to the fact that the clinic is located in an urban area and the beneficiaries are mostly local residents. Also the attitude and behaviour of doctors in the clinic which has been mentioned as proper and cordial by all respondents (hence regarded as a constant and not included in analysis) may be a contributing factor.

Table 4. Logistic regression analysis to elicit factors influencing "less satisfied" category

Model Summary			
	-2 Log	Cox & Snell	Nagelkerke
Step	likelihood	R Square	R Square
1	84.968	.516	.701

Hosmer and Lemeshow Test			
Step	Chi-square	df	Sig.
1	8.508	6	.203

Criteria	Exp(B)	Significance
Inadequate Sitting provisions	18.132	<0.01
Improper drinking water and toilet facilities ^a	3.574	0.043
Indifferent behaviour of other health personnel a	27.082	<0.01
Inability to understand health talk properly ^a	4.117	0.019

a. As compared against adequate sitting provisions, proper drinking water and toilet facilities, cordial behaviour of other health personnel and proper understanding of health talk

DOI: 10.9790/0853-14624851 www.iosrjournals.org 50 | Page

Factors like "inadequate Sitting provisions", "improper drinking water and toilet facilities", "indifferent behaviour of other health personnel" and "inability to understand health talk properly" were entered into final model after univariate testing. These were significantly increasing the probability of lesser satisfaction. A study on utilization of health care facilities by at risk children in India found that in 12.03 % cases unpleasant behavior of hospital staff was a reason for not availing health services. ¹³

IV. Conclusion

The results of this study reveal that the immunisation clinic is managing to keep its clients mostly satisfied due to factors both structural and interpersonal, inherent to the clinic itself. However, there is scope for improvement of service as few predictors have been identified for which inter-sectoral collaboration will be pivotal in bringing about necessary changes.

Acknowledgement

- 1. Dr. Dipika Haldar, MO UHTC
- 2. All subject matter experts in the Dept. of Community Medicine of RGKMC&H(2013-15)
- 3. My heartfelt thanks to all the mothers who co-operated.

References

- [1]. Maciosek MV, Coffield AB, Edwards NM, Flottemesch TJ,Goodman MJ, Solberg LI. Priorities among effective clinical preventive services: results of a systematic review and
- [2]. analysis. Am J Prev Med 2006; 31: 52-61. Available from http://www.prevent.org/data/files/initiatives/satchercommentaryonpriorities.pdf last accessed on 24/1/15.
- [3]. Prislin R, Dyer JA, Blakely CH, Johnson CD. Immunization status and sociodemographic characteristics: the mediating role of beliefs, attitudes, and perceived control. Am J Public Health. 1998;88:1821-26. Available from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/ PMC1509037/ last accessed on 24/1/15.
- [4]. Gust DA, Strine TW, Maurice E et al. Under immunization among children: effects of vaccine safety concerns on immunization status. Pediatrics 2004; 114: e16-e22. Available from http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/114/1/e16.long last accessed on 23/1/15
- [5]. Bennett P, Smith C. Parents attitudinal and social influences on childhood vaccination. Health Educ Res 1992; 7: 341-48. Available from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/ PMC4236845/ last accessed on 25/1/15.
- [6]. doi: 10.7287/peerj.preprints.171v1
- [7]. Barnett B. Women's views influence choice. Network. 1995; 16:14-18 Available from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12290465?report=abstract&format=text last accessed on 27/1/15.
- [8]. Available from https://uvagicases.files.wordpress.com/2013/10/client_satisfaction_questionnaire_csq-82.pdf accessed on 17/6/14.
- [9]. Available from http://www.csqscales.com/csq-8.html accessed on 17/6/14.
- [10]. Nath B, Singh JV, Awasthi S, Bhushan V, Singh SK, Kumar V.Client Satisfaction with Immunization Services in Urban Slums of Lucknow District. Indian Journal of Pediatrics.2009;76:479-83. Available from http://medind.nic.in/icb/t09/i5/icbt09i5p479.pdf accessed on 17/6/14.
- [11]. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.7713/ijms.2012.0084
- [12]. Luman ET, McCauley MM, Shefer A, Chu SY. Maternal characteristics associated with vaccination of young children. Pediatrics. 2003;111:1215-18. Available from http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/111/Supplement_1/1215.full.pdf last accessed on 20/1/15.
- [13]. Programme Evaluation Organisation Planning Commission .Government of India. Evaluation Study of National Rural Health Mission (NRHM) In 7 States.2011 February; p 1- 147. Available from http://planningcommission.nic.in/ reports/peoreport/peoevalu/peo_2807.pdf last accessed on 13/12/14.
- [14]. Kapil U. Utilisation Of Health Care facilities by "At Risk" Children.Indian J Community Med 1989;14:83-84. Available from http://www.ijcm.org.in/article.asp?issn=0970-0218;year=1989;volume=14;issue=2;spage=83;epage=84;aulast=Kapil;type=0 accessed on 27/1/15.