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Abstract
Background: Endotracheal Intubation involving conventional laryngoscopy elicits a hemodynamic response associated with increased heart rate and blood pressure. This is dangerous in patients with compromised cardiac disease which are coming for open heart surgeries. Up till now many drugs have been studied for attenuation of intubation response that too in Ischemic heart disease patients but very few studies are there in literature regarding valvular heart disease surgical patients. Hence we aimed to study comparative effect of intravenous fentanyl Vs Dexmedetomidine for attenuation of cardiovascular response to intubation in adult cardiac surgical patients.

Methods: After ethical committee clearance sixty adult patients undergoing open heart surgeries were divided into two groups D and F.

Group F: Patient receiving Injection Fentanyl 2 mcg/kg intravenous bolus.
Group D: Patient receiving Injection. Dexmedetomidine 0.5mcg /kg for 10 min as infusion.

All hemodynamic parameters like Heart rate, Systolic, diastolic and mean blood pressure, SPO2 were measured at intervals.

Results: After drug administration HR, SBP, DBP was more in fentanyl group and was statistically significant as compared to Dexmedetomidine group.

Conclusion: It is observed that Dexmedetomidine is superior drug even at 0.5µg/kg intravenous as compared to 2 µg/kg fentanyl intravenous
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I. Introduction

Laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation in adults are accompanied by increase in heart rate and blood pressure¹. The magnitude of the hemodynamic changes observed are taken prior to airway manipulation, the anesthetic agent used, the duration of laryngoscopy and intubation. To date various drugs have been used to attenuate intubation response. However there are very few studies in cardiac surgical patients in whom balance of adequate depth of anaesthesia with stable hemodynamics have discussed with use of Dexmedetomidine.

The principle mechanism in hypertension and tachycardia is the sympathetic response which may be the result of increase in catecholamine activity. The increase in the pulse rate and blood pressure are usually transitory, variable and unpredictable. Transitory hypertension and tachycardia are probably of no consequence in healthy individuals but either or both may be hazardous to those with hypertension, myocardial insufficiency or cerebrovascular diseases.² This reaction to laryngoscopy in such individuals may predispose to development of pulmonary edema, myocardial insufficiency and cerebrovascular accident.³,⁴ Pressor response is exaggerated in hypertensive patients even though rendered normotensive pre-operatively by antihypertensive medication and can result into intra-operative myocardial infarction, acute left ventricular failure, dysrhythmias and intracranial bleed in individuals with end organ decompensation.⁵,⁶ Intravenous anesthetic induction agents do not adequately or predictably suppress the circulatory responses evolved by endotracheal intubation.⁷ So prior to initiating laryngoscopy, additional pharmacological measures like use of volatile anesthetics topical and intravenous lidocaine, opioids, vasodilators – Sodium nitroprusside, Nitroglycerine, Calcium channel blockers and ß-blockers have been tried by various authors. None of the drugs mentioned above have been found to be effective to attenuate the sympathetic response to intubation and also not able to meet all the required criteria. Hence there is a need of finding out the drugs which can meet both the requirements.⁸-²⁴

Alpha-2 agonists have been used for attenuating the sympathetic response and among α-2 agonists both clonidine and dexmedetomidine appear to fulfill all the above criteria.

The present study is aimed at comparison of attenuation of hemodynamic response to laryngoscopy and intubation in adult patients posted for cardiac surgeries under general anesthesia using single intravenous dose
of 0.5μg/kg body weight dexmedetomidine over 10 minutes versus intravenous bolus dose of fentanyl 2mcg/kg given prior to induction.

II. Aims And Objectives

**Aim:** To compare the effect of intravenous dexmedetomidine and intravenous fentanyl for attenuation of cardiovascular hemodynamic response during laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation in adult cardiac surgery.

**Objective:** To compare the mean and percentage in heart rate and mean arterial blood pressure between the dexmedetomidine and fentanyl group of patients.

III. Materials And Methods

A prospective, randomized controlled study of 60 adult patients undergoing open heart surgery was conducted after approval from the ethical committee and written informed consent from the patients. Patients on beta blockers, Co existing respiratory, cerebrovascular diseases, Pregnant or Lactating women, Past history of allergy to Fentanyl or Dexmedetomidine, Contraindication to individual drug like bradycardia, AV nodal block, hypotension for Dexmedetomiedine and respiratory depression for Fentanyl. Sample size was calculated based on estimated risk difference of 25% between the two groups and 5% of alpha error, with power of study 80%.

**Group F:** Patient receiving Intravenous Injection. Fentanyl 2 mcg/kg bolus.

**Group D:** Patient receiving Intravenous Injection Dexmedetomidine 0.5mcg /kg for 10 min as infusion.

After attaching standard monitors the insertion of right internal jugular vein and radial artery catheter canulation under local infiltration anaesthesia was performed. Both the groups received 0.5–7 mL/kg of Ringer’s lactate solution as premedication. The baseline heart rate, systolic, diastolic and mean arterial blood pressure, peripheral oxygen saturation, electrocardiogram measurements were obtained for all patients using standard monitoring equipment. IJV with triple lumen central line and arterial line secured under local anaesthesia. Preoxygenation was performed for 3 minutes with 5 L/minutes of 100% oxygen. After preoxygenation, Group D received 0.5 μg/kg Dexmedetomidine intravenous slowly for 10 minutes using a calibrated electronic infusion pump and Group F received 2 μg/kg fentanyl intravenous bolus. Induction was done with injection Etomidate 0.3mg/kg intravenously followed by inj. Rocuronium 1mg/kg intravenous to facilitate tracheal intubation. Mask ventilation maintained for 90 secs until endotracheal intubation. Intubation was performed by Mcintosh laryngoscope blade with cuffed endotracheal tube no 8.5 for males and no 7.5 for females.

Heart rate and mean arterial pressure (MAP) were recorded for all the patients for the following times:

- **T1:** Baseline reading
- **T2:** after fentanyl (F) / dexmedetomidine (D) drug administration
- **T3:** 3 min after induction
- **T4:** 1min after intubation
- **T5:** 3mins after intubation
- **T6:** 5 mins after intubation

During this study no surgical stimulus was given to the patient. The heart rate and mean arterial pressure required for case under study will were recorded as mentioned above and after five minutes of intubation. Also Electrocardiographic changes if at all recorded at the same timings mentioned above. Then balanced anaesthesia was maintained with Oxygen and air (50%-50%) and sevoflurane with inspired concentration (0.6%-1%) using Respiratory Gas Monitor, muscle relaxation with injection Pancuronium top ups and analgesia by fentanyl in both the groups. They were ventilated with IPPV to maintain ETCO2 value of 35mm - 40 mm Hg.

IV. Statistacal Analysis

Data analysis was done with the help of SPSS Software 15 and Sigma plot Version 11. Quantitative data is presented with the help of Mean, Standard Deviation (SD) Median and IQR, comparison between study groups was done with the help of Unpaired T test or Mann-Whitney test as per results of Normality test. Qualitative data is presented with the help of Frequency and Percentage table. Association among study group was assessed with the help of Chi-Square test. P value less than 0.05 is taken as significant level.

V. Results
were no difference in the cardiovascular parameters at baseline in our study. After drug administration heart rate (HR) was more in fentanyl group and was statistically significant as compared to Dexmedetomidine group. The Systolic blood pressure (SBP), Diastolic blood Pressure (DBP) and Mean arterial pressure (MAP) were more and statistically significant in Fentanyl group as compared to Dexmedetomidine group. In time of 1 minute after intubation HR, SBP, DBP and MAP increased after drug administration heart rate, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, and mean arterial pressure were more and statistically significant as compared to Dexmedetomidine group. Similarly in time of 3 and 5 minute after intubation HR, SBP, DBP and MAP were more and statistically significant in Fentanyl group as compared to Dexmedetomidine group. Thus the HR, SBP, DBP and MAP increased after drug administration and were more in Fentanyl group as compared to Dexmedetomidine group after induction and persisted up to 5 mins after intubation. Fentanyl and Dexmedetomidine were comparable with respect to the consistent maintenance of CV parameters.

Fentanyl and Dexmedetomidine both had effect on cardiovascular parameters but Dexmedetomidine produced more attenuation of increase in systolic blood pressure during laryngoscopy and intubation as compared to Fentanyl.

In time of 3 min after induction after intubation HR, SBP, DBP and MAP were more and statistically significant in Fentanyl group as compared to Dexmedetomidine group.

VI. Discussion

Most of the cardiac open heart surgical procedures are carried out under general anaesthesia which requires secured airway by endotracheal intubation. Laryngoscopy and intubation are two of the most consistent maneuvers that lead to significant increases in blood pressure and heart rate. This has been attributed to a sympathetic response as evidenced by an increase in the circulating catecholamine levels.

These changes were reported to be greatest 60 seconds after intubation of the trachea that last for 5-10 minutes. Increase in the pulse rate and blood pressure which are usually transitory, variable and unpredictable. These are hazardous to those patients with hypertension, myocardial insufficiency or cerebrovascular diseases. Intravenous anesthetic induction agents do not adequately or predictably suppress the sympathetic responses evolved by endotracheal intubation. This is by far the most important indication for attenuation of hemodynamic response to laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation. Dexmedetomidine is safely and extensively used drug as a sedative and analgesic in general anaesthesia which provides hemodynamic stability. In our study we sought to compare fentanyl, one of the standard drug used for analgesia with Dexmedetomidine in regard to hemodynamic response during laryngoscopy and endotracheal intubation in adult patients undergoing cardiac surgery.

The mean age in our study was 35.73 yrs. + 8.24 SD for Dexmedetomidine and 33.76 yrs+ 6.82 SD for fentanyl group. There was no difference in the cardiovascular parameters at baseline in our study. After drug administration heart rate (HR) was more in fentanyl group and was statistically significant as compared to Dexmedetomidine group. The Systolic blood pressure (SBP), Diastolic blood Pressure (DBP) and Mean arterial pressure (MAP) were more and statistically significant as compared to Dexmedetomidine group. In time of 3 min after induction after intubation HR, SBP, DBP and MAP were more and statistically significant in Fentanyl group as compared to Dexmedetomidine group.

Table 1: Demographic data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>D (30)</th>
<th>F (30)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mean 35.7</td>
<td>Mean 33.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD 8.20</td>
<td>SD 6.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Male 14</td>
<td>Male 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female 16</td>
<td>Female 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>Mean 63.9</td>
<td>Mean 64.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Range 52-70kg</td>
<td>Range 52-72kg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Hemodynamic Parameters with Mean &S.D.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Timing</th>
<th>Heart Rate (per minute)</th>
<th>SBP (mmHg)</th>
<th>DBP (mmHg)</th>
<th>MAP (mmHg)</th>
<th>SPO2 (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean + SD</td>
<td>Mean + SD</td>
<td>Mean + SD</td>
<td>Mean + SD</td>
<td>Mean + SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>80.2±6.94</td>
<td>85.6±12.94</td>
<td>134.9±6.6</td>
<td>138.3±13.3</td>
<td>81.1±6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>99.4±6.8</td>
<td>95±11.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>99±6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>62.3±6.7±7 (11.9)</td>
<td>75.3±7.9</td>
<td>109.3±14.8</td>
<td>100±10.9</td>
<td>70.1±8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>81.4±7.3</td>
<td>73.3±6.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>62.0±3±5±8</td>
<td>76.5±10.4</td>
<td>104.7±6.6</td>
<td>115.2±3.3</td>
<td>60.9±6.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>73.6±6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>99±9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td>65.6±8.6±7 (11.9)</td>
<td>76.7±10.32</td>
<td>110.3±7.55</td>
<td>120.1±10.02</td>
<td>63.8±12.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>80.3±11.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>79±6±7.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T5</td>
<td>65.1±6.7±5</td>
<td>74.6±14.6</td>
<td>109±12.3</td>
<td>121.8±14.8</td>
<td>68.5±9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>80.9±8.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>92±3±8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6</td>
<td>66.0±3±8.9±7 (11.9)</td>
<td>73.6±15.7</td>
<td>113.1±8.4</td>
<td>121.2±7.8</td>
<td>70.9±10.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>84.7±18.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>93±2±7.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After drug administration HR, SBP, DBP was more in fentanyl group and was statistically significant as compared to Dexmedetomidine group. In time of 1 minute after intubation HR, SBP, DBP and MAP were more and statistically significant in Fentanyl group as compared to Dexmedetomidine group. Similarly in time of 3 and 5 minute after intubation HR, SBP, DBP and MABP were more and statistically significant in Fentanyl group as compared to Dexmedetomidine group. Thus the HR, SBP, DBP and MAP increased after drug administration and were more in Fentanyl group as compared to Dexmedetomidine group after induction and persisted up to 5 mins after intubation. Fentanyl and Dexmedetomidine were comparable with respect to the consistent maintenance of CV parameters.

Fentanyl and Dexmedetomidine both had effect on cardiovascular parameters but Dexmedetomidine produced more attenuation of increase in systolic blood pressure during laryngoscopy and intubation as compared to Fentanyl.
pressure (MAP) were more and statistically significant in Fentanyl group as compared to Dexmedetomidine group.

In time 3 minutes after induction HR, SBP, DBP and MAP were more and statistically significant in Fentanyl group as compared to Dexmedetomidine group. In time 1 minute after intubation HR, SBP, DBP and MAP were more and statistically significant in Fentanyl group as compared to Dexmedetomidine group.

In study by Gandhi et al it was observed that the heart rate increased after laryngoscopy and intubation in both groups and it started to return to near normal values at the end of 10 minutes post intubation. Dexmedetomidine produces more significant attenuation of increase in heart rate during laryngoscopy and intubation as compared to Fentanyl. There was significant increase in systolic blood pressure during laryngoscopy and intubation in Fentanyl group as compared to Dexmedetomidine group.\textsuperscript{26} Dexmedetomidine produces more significant attenuation of increase in systolic blood pressure during laryngoscopy and intubation as compared to Fentanyl. There was significant increase in diastolic blood pressure during laryngoscopy and endotracheal intubation in Fentanyl group as compared to the dexmedetomidine group. Dexmedetomidine produces more significant attenuation of increase in diastolic blood pressure during laryngoscopy and intubation as compared to Fentanyl and also there is transient increase in blood pressure followed by decrease in blood pressure and heart rate with Dexmedetomidine.\textsuperscript{28}

In 2006 study by Yildiz et al studied effect of a single preinduction intravenous dose of dexmedetomidine (1 mcg/kg) on the cardiovascular response from laryngoscopy and endotracheal intubation, need for supplemental anesthetic agent, and perioperative hemodynamic stability. Their results showed that preoperative administration of single dose of dexmedetomidine resulted in progressive increase in sedation, blunted the hemodynamic responses during laryngoscopy, and reduced opioid and anesthetic requirements. Furthermore, dexmedetomidine decreased blood pressure and heart rate as well as the recovery time after the operation.\textsuperscript{25}

In study by Suparto et al the SBP, DBP and heart rates in both groups all decreased after injection of the test drugs but only the change in heart rate in the Dexmedetomidine group was found to be statistically significant. Thirty seconds after successful laryngoscopy and intubation, the SBP, DBP and heart rates similarly increased with slightly higher measurements in the Fentanyl group. SBP and DBP increased by 40% in the Fentanyl group compared to 25%-28% in the Dexmedetomidine group. Conversely, the heart rate in the Dexmedetomidine group at 30 seconds post-intubation was 11% lower than baseline values. When compared to Fentanyl, the cardiac rate was 12% higher than baseline levels. This difference between the 2 groups was statistically significant. The major findings in this study show that patients given either Dexmedetomidine 1 mcg/Kg or Fentanyl 1 mcg/Kg produced comparable lowering of DBP before direct laryngoscopy and intubation with a more significant lowering in the SBP of patients given Fentanyl\textsuperscript{29}

In a study by Karwar et al they found dexmedetomidine 1μg/kg, i.v is more effective in attenuating hemodynamic pressure responses to laryngoscopy and intubation than inj. fentanyl 2μg/kg, i.v when given as premedication. In study by Gandhi et al Dexmedetomidine (0.6 mcg/kg diluted in 5ml saline IV over 1 min) and Fentanyl (2 mcg/kg diluted in 5ml saline IV over 1 minute were used.\textsuperscript{30} While as per Aksu et al suggest that Dexmedetomidine (0.5mcg/kg) was more effective than Fentanyl (1mcg/kg) in attenuating airway reflex responses to tracheal extubation and maintaining hemodynamic stability without prolonging recovery.\textsuperscript{30}

Till recently many studies like study by Shehabi et al and Feng et al claimed that Dexmedetomidine produced predictable falls in BP and cardiac rate in patients given Dexmedetomidine sedation in the ICU. Their results showed 16% (vs 13%) reduction in mean systolic blood pressure (SBP) and 21% (vs. 23%) reduction in heart rate. Although SBP, DBP and cardiac rates increased with laryngoscopy and intubation, the circulatory response was attenuated more in those patients given Dexmedetomidine with a mean increase of 25% and 29% in the SBP and DBP respectively. The increase in both SBP and DBP in the Fentanyl group was 40%, the same as the average reported increase in the blood pressures of patients as a manifestation of the sympathetic response.\textsuperscript{31,32} In 2010 a study by Suparto stated that Laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation are associated with a sympathetically mediated increase in blood pressure by 40-50% and heart rate by 20% that may be deleterious in patients with underlying cardiovascular and cerebrovascular disease.

To ameliorate this pressure response, various methods have been tried including adrenergic blockers, vasodilators, calcium channel blockers, alpha 2 agonists, narcotics and inhalation anesthetics. Fentanyl is a short acting synthetic opioids agonist\textsuperscript{33} 125 times more potent than morphine. It has a rapid onset but has a distinct time lag between the peak plasma fentanyl concentration.\textsuperscript{29}

A study by Lee et al demonstrated that in healthy normotensive patients, the use of dexmedetomidine during anesthetic induction suppressed an increase in blood pressure due to anesthetic induction and blunted the hemodynamic responses to endotracheal intubation. Similarly our study also found that dexmedetomidine attenuated sympatho-adrenal response to tracheal intubation. We demonstrated that Fentanyl also attenuates the sympatho-adrenal response but it is less as compared to dexmedetomidine. According to Uzumcuogul et al\textsuperscript{34} used fentanyl and dexmedetomidine for laryngeal mask insertion and reported that both drugs reduce heart rate. However, the decreased heart rate was not clinically significant. In our study
mean heart rate was lower in group Das compared to group F and this was and statistically significant. Other studies like Menda et al.\(^{26}\) used 1μg/kg body weight of dexmedetomidine for patients posted for CABG surgeries. They found that dexmedetomidine was effective in suppressing hemodynamic response to intubation. Dexmedetomidine has increasingly gained popularity among anesthesiologists and intensive care physicians abroad as adjuvant to general and regional anesthesia techniques, and as a sedative. Its administration potentiates the effect of other sedative and hypnotic agents while causing minimal respiratory depression. It also reduce the sympathetic response—thus minimizing changes in blood pressure and heart rate—during critical moments such as laryngoscopy and intubation. However, bradycardia and hypotension may develop.\(^{3,6}\)

**VII. Limitations**

The study should have been of longer duration to see the effect of Fentanyl and Dexmedetomidine for longer duration including the post extubation. Different dosages of Fentanyl be tried in search for the equipotent dose to Dexmedetomidine. The need for analgesics and their effect by Fentanyl and Dexmedetomidine on analgesia can also be studied.

**VIII. Conclusion**

In conclusion, our study has shown that in adult patients with normal airway Dexmedetomidine is an excellent drug even at 0.5μg/kg iv as compared to 2 μg/kg fentanyl iv before induction as an adjunct to general anesthesia for attenuation of hemodynamic cardiovascular response to laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation safely in high risk patients undergoing open cardiac surgery.
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