Comparison of clinical efficacy of Talwalkar fibrelite stylet (TFS) versus direct laryngoscopic technique for orotracheal intubation in adult patients undergoing general anaesthesia
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Abstract: Direct laryngoscopy is well proven and most commonly used technique of orotracheal intubation. Lighted stylet device is also an established technique of orotracheal intubation. Talwalkar’s fibrelite stylet is a type of lighted stylet device for orotracheal intubation. This study compares the clinical efficacy of TFS versus direct laryngoscopic technique for orotracheal intubation.

Materials & Methods: Hundred patients were randomized to undergo tracheal intubation with either the Talwalkar fibrelite stylet (TFS group, n = 50) or the direct laryngoscope (LS group, n=50). Intubation was attempted with the assigned airway device. The data collected included the rate of successful endotracheal intubation, the number of attempts required, the duration of the intubation, as well as adverse effects.

Statistical Analysis: The statistical analysis of the study was carried out by using ANOVA application, Chi square test, (Pearson Chai square test) wherever applicable.

Results: In laryngoscopy group 45(95%) intubations were successful in first attempt, while 5(10%) required second attempt. In TFS group 30(60%) intubations were successful in first attempt and 20 (40%) required second attempt. The duration of successful intubation for the first tracheal intubation attempt was comparable in both groups. All intubations were completed successfully within two intubation attempt.

Conclusions: As compared to conventional direct laryngoscopy, TFS is associated with fewer complications and correct positioning of endotracheal tube, hence it can be safe & effective device of intubation.
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I. Introduction

Modern methods of tracheal intubation (TI) emerged in the 20th Century. Direct laryngoscopy has become the standard method of TI. Despite more than 80 years of direct vision laryngoscopy, accurate and prompt placement of the endotracheal tube (ETT) still remains a challenge even in experienced hands. This is especially true in unprepared patients or in patients requiring emergency tracheal intubation. Over the years, alternative intubation techniques have been developed to successfully intubate the trachea and thereby provide useful alternatives to the standard technique of intubation using the LS. One of these devices is the lightwandel. Light-guided intubation using the principle of transillumination has proven to be an effective and simple technique. When the tip of the lightwand is placed inside the glottis, a bright light can be seen easily in the soft tissue of the anterior neck. In contrast, if the lightwand is placed in the esophagus, no transillumination can be observed. Previous studies have proven the efficacy of the lightwand as a safe and effective device to intubate the trachea of elective surgical patients with difficult airway. Since the lightwand technique of intubation does not involve lifting of the epiglottis it was expected to reduce the pressor response to endotracheal intubation as compared to direct laryngoscopy. Also, since it is a gentle technique not involving much manipulation of the soft tissues the incidence of sore throat and mucosal injuries are also reported to be lesser than that following laryngoscopic intubation. In our study, we compared the clinical efficacy of TFS versus direct laryngoscopic technique for orotracheal intubation.

II. Materials And Methods

After obtaining approval from our hospital research & ethics committee and written informed consent from each patient, 100 adult patients undergoing general anesthesia for elective operation were selected on the basis of inclusion criteria.
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Inclusion criteria:
1. Age – 18-60yrs.
2. ASA – I, II.
3. MPC – I, II.
4. Mouth opening > 3.5 cm
6. Thyromental distance ≥ 6.5 cm
Detailed preanesthetic evaluation was done prior to surgery.

Exclusion criteria:
Cervical spine abnormality or disease.
Mouth opening < 3.5cm.
Oral cavity tumors, abscess, space occupying lesions distorting pharyngeal anatomy.
ASA grade more than III, IV
Pregnant patients
They were randomly divided into 2 groups by means of sealed envelopes

Group I- orotracheal intubation was achieved with Macintosh laryngoscope with the patient’s head, neck in the conventional sniffing position.

Group II- Ootracheal intubation was performed with the TFS with the patient’s head in sniffing position.

All 100 patients were premedicated with Inj. Glycopyrrolate 4 mcg/kg i. m. 30 min prior to operation.
The anesthetic technique was standardized. All baseline parameters including heart rate, blood pressure and oxygen saturation were recorded. The patients were administered i.v midazolam 0.03mg/kg along with i.v fentanyl 2µg/kg 5 minutes prior to induction of anesthesia. Patients were then preoxygenated for 3 minutes followed by induction with inj. Propofol 1.5 to 2.5 mg/ kg. inj. Vecuronium was used as muscle relaxant in dose 0.1mg/kg. After adequate muscle relaxation and adequate depth of anesthesia achieved, patient was intubated according to the group allocated. The Macintosh laryngoscope blades used were number-3 for females and number-4 for males. Tracheal tubes (portex) with an internal diameter of 7mm were used for female patients and 8mm for male patients.

Technique:

Group A: Macintosh laryngoscope was introduced through mouth opening. Tongue was taken to the left side with help of laryngoscope blade. Tip of blade was advanced under vision to the base of epiglottis which was lifted up with help of handle movement of laryngoscope. Vocal cords were visualized and endotracheal portex tube of appropriate size was passed through the cords in the trachea.

Group B: In light wand guided intubations, lighted stylet was used. It is malleable stylet with fibreoptic light, creating a glow at tip. Light in theatre was made dim. The principle used was transillumination. Endotracheal tube was threaded over it. Light wand was also introduced orally over tongue in the midline. Then it was taken to either right or left sided pyriform fossa, glow was seen on respective lateral side of neck at the level of hyoid cartilage. Then the TFS was withdrawn and glow was centralized. It was advanced further with help of glow seen in midline, in the anterior neck. When the tip enters the glottic opening, a well circumscribed glow can be seen in the anterior neck slightly below the thyroid prominence. The tube was advanced until the glow appears at the sternal notch, then the stylet was withdrawn and tube position confirmed. Patient was ventilated with 100% oxygen for 1 min. in between two attempts. Tube position was confirmed with ETCO₂. Anesthesia was then maintained as per routine hospital protocol. Duration of each attempt was recorded with a stopwatch as the time taken from insertion of the device (LS or TFS) into the oral cavity till its removal from the mouth. Failure to intubate was defined as inability to intubate the trachea successfully even in 3 attempts. Hemodynamic parameters like heart rate, BP were recorded throughout perioperative period. In the postoperative period, these patients were monitored by an investigator blinded to the procedure used for achieving intubation for sore throat, hoarseness of voice, mucosal injuries etc at 0 min, 6hrs and 24 hrs.

Fig. no-1 Talwalkar’s fibrelite stylet
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**Statistical Analysis:**
The statistical analysis of the study was carried out by using Chi square test, (Pearson Chai square test) wherever applicable.

**III. Results**
Parameters like number of patients, age distribution (yrs), sex distribution were comparable in both groups. Airway parameters like mean thyromental distance, mean inter-incisor distance, MPC grading, ASA status was also comparable in both study groups. Mean time taken for intubation in LS group was 31.48±16.189 sec. Vs 47.06±27.965 sec in TFS group. Mean time for successful attempt in both groups are similar, in LS group 26.98 sec and 28.06sec in TFS group. In laryngoscopy group 45(95%) intubations were successful in first attempt, while 5(10%) required second attempt. One patient could not be intubated after three attempts in TFS group so was excluded from the study. In TFS group 30(60%) intubations were successful in first attempt and 20 (40%) required second attempt. In both the groups saturation maintained in range 99- 100%. It was found that the incidence of complications is more with laryngoscopy group as compared to TFS group. In laryngoscopy group out of 50 patients 5 patients had post of sore throat. Mucosal injury was found in 5 patients. In TFS group no patient developed sore throat or had mucosal injury. Intubation was endobronchial in 5 patients in laryngoscopy group. No patient in TFS group had endobronchial intubation.

**Graph No: 1 Mean time taken for intubation**

**Table:1-Demographic variables**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TFS Group</th>
<th>Laryngoscope Group</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ASA class I/II</td>
<td>34/16</td>
<td>33/17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr)</td>
<td>36.32+_10.530</td>
<td>31.66+_9.661</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (M/F)</td>
<td>20/50</td>
<td>22/28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPC grading I/II</td>
<td>19/31</td>
<td>17/33</td>
<td>0.853</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thyromental Distance(cm)</td>
<td>7.9+_0.73</td>
<td>7.85+_ 0.651</td>
<td>0.993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouth Opening(cm)</td>
<td>4.31+_0.472</td>
<td>4.52±0.384</td>
<td>0.051</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**IV. Discussion**
Most of the other comparative studies have used Trachlight as a lightwand device.\[^{10,11,12,13,14}\] Our study compared Talwalkar’s fibrelite stylet by Anaesthetics with direct laryngoscopy. Talwalkar’s fibrelite stylet has advantages of being cheap and easily available. As the bulb is fitted at base of stylet, there is no chance of loosening or falling down of bulb during intubation and less heat at the tip of stylet. It is simple to use and assemble. Numbers of intubation attempts in TFS group are significantly more than the number of intubation attempts in LS group. Mean duration of attempts in TFS group is significantly more than the mean duration of
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attempts in LS group. Tip of the TFS is not flexible and its movement cannot be controlled from outside. This causes increased need of maneuvering during intubation that explains the significantly more intubation time in TFS group. In TFS group for few dark-skinned and overweight patients light in operation theatre were made dim for visualization of the glow in the neck. It caused some inconvenience to the other staff working in the operation theatre. In LS group, 2 patients had endobronchial intubation while in TFS group no patient had endobronchial intubation. In TFS group, adjusting the glow in the sternal notch assures accurate and consistent positioning of endotracheal tube. The TFS group had a significantly lower incidence of traumatic complications like mucosal injury, lip trauma, tooth fall so lower incidence sore throat, hoarseness in the postoperative period. Overall success rate in both groups is comparable. Success rate at first attempt in TFS group are significantly less than the number of intubation attempts in LS group.

V. Conclusion

we conclude that lightwand(Talwalkar’s Fibrelite Stylet) is safe effective device of intubation. It is associated with fewer complications and correct positioning of endotracheal tube as compared to conventional direct laryngoscopy.
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