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Abstract: Using PAR index / Peer Assessment Rating Index / effectiveness of orthodontic treatment was assessed in the group of patients treated with fixed and removable appliances. The group consisted of 83 patients after the end of the active phase of treatment. 34 patients were treated with removable appliances and 49 patients were treated with fixed appliances. Patients came from three orthodontic workplaces in Slovakia. In individual patients, treatment was initiated between 1st January 2010 to 31 December 2012. Measurement was carried out in dental casts made before and after the active phase of treatment using PAR meter. Results of measurement were recorded in the charts. It follows from the results of measurement that effectiveness and quality of treatment with fixed appliances was higher than in patients treated with removable appliances. In some cases of treatment with removable appliances resulting in minimal improvement, it was necessary to continue treatment with fixed appliance.
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I. Introduction

Due to an increasing number of patients per orthodontist, the issue of effectiveness of the treatment is coming forth. Effectiveness of orthodontic treatment depends on correct indication of treatment procedure, on the type of orthodontic appliance and the willingness of the patient to cooperate during orthodontic treatment. Chosen method of treatment and orthodontic appliance must take into account the duration of treatment, aesthetics and stability of outcome, patient mental maturity, economic indicators and effectiveness of treatment.

Treatment effectiveness can be measured using occlusal indices which assess that anomaly with numerical value, reduce the subjective view of the evaluator and standardize evaluation criteria[4,5,6,7,8,15]. The index must follow strict requirements. Index is to be reliable, clinically proven, sensible to the needs of a patient, acceptable for laymen as well as professionals, easy to be processed, is to have a sufficiently sensitive scale to register severity of the anomaly, is to be suitable for statistical processing, should require minimum skills of an evaluator, should be able to respond to the needs populations [14].

To state the improvement of orthodontic anomaly, the PAR occlusal index / Peer Assessment Rating / can be used. The PAR index is used to record changes of teeth alignment after orthodontic treatment, it is possible to compare the effectiveness of various treatment methods and orthodontic appliances. In the group of patients, it is possible to give quantitative evaluation of changes in index values at the beginning and the end of treatment [1,2,9,10,12].

In our work, we have used the PAR / Peer Assessment Rating/ index to compare the effectiveness of treatment in patients of different ages, with different malocclusions treated with fixed and removable appliances.

II. Material And Methods

The sample included 83 patients, 49 patients were treated with fixed and 34 patients with removable orthodontic appliances. Patients were from three orthodontic workplaces in Slovakia. Their treatment started during the period from 01.12.2010 to 31.12.2012. The measurements were carried out in dental casts, made before and after the active phase of treatment with PAR index, where the individual selected occlusal traits were scored (Fig. 1). The result which we get by summing up is the final score. The difference between the final score that is obtained by measuring the dental cast before and after treatment refers to the degree of improvement and success of treatment procedure [10]. The higher the score, the more irregular teeth. In order to balance the effect of the individual components on the overall result, components are statistically weighted, depending on different level of severity [11,13]. The Department of Orthodontics, Clinic of Dental Medicine, Medical Faculty of Palacký University in Olomouc has developed the formula for calculation of the weighted PAR score as a computer routine for common assessment of PAR index [16]. Numerical value is automatically transferred in the PAR nomogram [16]. Obtained numerical values were recorded in charts. PAR index includes five components:

1. The upper and lower anterior segment
2. Left and right buccal occlusion
3. Overjet
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4. Overbite
5. Centraline

There are three ways to state the improvement of orthodontic treatment with the PAR index:
A: absolute reduction in the weighted PAR score
B: Using nomogram
C: Percentage reduction in the weighted PAR score

The percentage reduction gives the most sensitive assessment. In the nomogram, the pre-treatment weighted PAR score is on the horizontal axis, the post-treatment weighted PAR score on the vertical axis. Scores of individual cases are represented on the relevant axes, then perpendiculars are drawn, their intersection indicates the degree of improvement (Fig. 2).

The degree of improvement is divided into three categories:
1. "Worse - no different"
2. "Improved"
3. "Greatly improved“ [12,13]

In order to transfer the treated cases from the "Worse-no different” into the category of "Improved” weighted PAR score must reduce by 30%. In order to transfer the case from “Improved” into the category of "greatly improved” weighted PAR score must reduce by at least 22 PAR pts. The high standard of treatment is achieved when the individual deterioration is negligible and average improvement in weighted PAR score is higher than 70% [11,12].

Dental casts were measured twice by an orthodontist using the PAR index in the interval of two weeks. Individual scores of PAR index were summed and overall score expressed the degree of malocclusion. The difference between the total score before treatment and after the active phase of treatment reflected the degree of improvement and success of orthodontic appliance. Weighted scores were also calculated. Index has an excellent reliability and validity among different evaluators [3,11,12].

III. Results

The study group consisted of 83 patients after the active phase of treatment. Of these, 49 patients were treated with fixed and 34 with removable appliances. Measurement was performed on dental casts made before treatment and after the active phase of treatment, using PAR index. The average age of patients treated with removable appliance was 10.69 years. The youngest was 8 years and the oldest was 14.75 years. The average age of patients treated with fixed appliance was 14.29 years. The youngest was 9.58 and the oldest was 27.33 years (Table 1). In all patients, post-treatment casts were available. In patients treated with removable appliances, the change in PAR was 12.44 points. The least change was minus 3 PAR points / worse /, the greatest improvement was 29 PAR points.

In patients treated with fixed appliances, the change in PAR score was 19.08 points. The least change was 0 PAR points / no change/ and the greatest change was 45 PAR points (Table 2).

In PAR nomogram (Chart 1), there is a comparison of improvement in patients treated with fixed and removable appliances. Cases treated with fixed appliances are marked with red colour and cases treated with removable appliances are marked with blue colour. Of 34 patients treated with removable appliances, there are 5 patients in the category "Worse - no different”, representing 15% of the total number. There are 25 patients in the category "Improved”, representing 73% of the total number and 4 patients in the category "Greatly improved " representing 12% of the total 34 patients. Of 49 patients treated with fixed appliances, 3 patients are in the category "Worse - no different” representing 6% of the total. In the category "Improved” there are 25 patients which is 51% and "Greatly improved” 21 patients, which is 43%.

Change in PAR scores in absolute values in patients treated with removable appliances is given in Chart 2. The bottom of Chart 2 shows PAR score in patients treated with removable appliances before treatment. It ranges from 1 to 33 PAR points. At the top of the chart, there is PAR score after treatment. It is slightly moved to the left. It follows that there was only moderate improvement of the anomaly. It ranges between 0 to 18 PAR points.

Chart 3 shows the change of PAR score in absolute values in patients treated with fixed appliances. The bottom of Chart 3 on a scale from 0 to 50 shows PAR score before treatment in patients treated with fixed appliances. It ranges between 6 to 47 PAR points. It follows that the cases are more complicated with regard to orthodontic treatment. The top of Chart 3 shows a significant shift to the left in the majority of cases, and therefore, a significant improvement of malocclusion. PAR scores ranges between 0 to 27 PAR points.
Comparison of percentage change in patients treated with fixed and removable appliances is given in Chart 4. In Graph 4, the measured results of patients treated with fixed appliances are significantly better in comparison with the results of patients treated with removable appliances. Fixed appliances are in red and removable appliances are in blue.

Out of 34 patients treated with removable appliances there is “less than 30% improvement” in 5 patients, which represents 15%. “Improvement of 30 to 70%” in 14 patients which is 41% and “improvement over 70%” in 15 cases, which is 44%.

Three cases out of 49 patients treated with fixed appliances belong to the group of “less than 30% improvement”, which represents 6%. “Improvement of 30% -70%” was achieved in 6 cases out of 49 and “improvement over 70%” was in 40 patients, which is 82%.

The degree of improvement in PAR score achieved by orthodontic treatment in patients treated with fixed and removable appliances in absolute values are given in Chart 5.

Vertical axis represents the number of patients. Horizontal axis represents patients treated with fixed appliances (in red) and patients treated with removable appliances (in blue). “Worse-no different” are placed on the left, “Improved” in the middle and “Greatly improved” on the right of the chart. In patients treated with fixed appliances, 3 cases remained without change, 25 improved and 21 “significantly improved”. Inpatients treated with removable appliances, 5 remained without change. 25 improved and 4 greatly improved (Table 3).

Bar chart 6 represents the proportion between the category “Worse-no different,” “Improved” and “greatly improved” in patients treated with fixed appliances. In the group of patients treated with removable appliances, there are 5 cases where improvement is less than 30%, improvement of 30% to 70% in 14 and in 15 patients improvement over 70%. In the group treated the fixed appliances, less than 30% improvement in 3 patients, where improvement represents less than 30%, improvement of 30% to 70% in 6 cases and improvement over 70% is in 40 patients (Table 4). Vertical axis represents number of patients. Horizontal axis represents fixed appliances in red and removable in blue. Improvement up to 30% is indicated on the left part of the chart, improvement of 30% to 70% is indicated in the middle part of the chart, and improvement over 70% is on the right.

IV. Discussion

The aim of our study was to compare the effectiveness of orthodontic treatment in Slovak patients treated with fixed and removable appliances. To assess success of treatment, occlusal PAR index [10,12] was used to express the degree of improvement in patients before and after active phase of treatment.

The PAR index is an occlusal index used to evaluate the results of orthodontic treatment. Using the index, it is possible to convert orthodontic anomalies into numerical values and categorization format, and thus standardize evaluation criteria of malocclusion. At the same time, it helps to reduce the subjective evaluation of anomaly in mixed and permanent dentition. It has five components that are statistically weighted. The PAR index is simple, easy and convenient for statistical processing. It is sufficiently reliable even when repeatedly used as well as among evaluators [10,11,12].

The difference in score between the pre- and post-treatment cases reflects the degree of improvement of orthodontic deviation, which is a result of used treatment method and chosen orthodontic appliance. It is important to realize that weighted PAR score is required to determine the PAR index. The degree of improvement of orthodontic treatment can be recorded by:

A: absolute reduction in the weighted PAR score
B: Using nomogram
C: Use the percentage reduction in the weighted PAR score.

In the nomogram of patients treated with fixed appliances there were 3 cases evaluated "Worse -no different", representing 6% of 49 patients, 25 cases were evaluated as "Improved", representing 51% of 49 and 21 cases fell into the category of "Greatly improved", i.e. 43% of 49 patients. The percentage of the cases treated with fixed appliances is less than 30% improvement in 3 patients, that is 6% of 49 patients. Improvement from 30 to 70% in 6 cases, that is 12% of 49 patients and over 70% improvement in 40 cases, that is 82%.

In the nomogram of patients treated with removable appliances, there were 5 cases as "Worse-no different", which was 15% of number 34. In 25 cases were "Improved", which was 73% of 34 patients and 4 cases were “Greatly improved “, which is 12% of 34 patients. Percentage of cases treated with removable appliances is less than 30% improvement in 5 cases, that is 15% of 34, 30 to 70% improvement in 14 cases, that is 41% and over 70% improvement in 15 cases, that is 44%.

Percentage improvement is more sensitive than a nomogram, because in the nomogram the cases may be divided into three categories only. In order to classify the case in the nomogram after treatment from the “Worse-no difference” into the category of “Greatly improved” there must be 70% improvement and at the same time by 22 points. A high standard of treatment is achieved when there is minimum number of cases in the
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category of "Worse-no difference", if there is an improvement in the weighted PAR score by at least 70% and if the category a “Significant improvement” includes over 40% of patients.

It follows from the results of the survey that in patients treated with fixed appliances, significant improvement reached 81.71% and the change was 19.08 PAR points. Compared with patients treated with removable appliances, improvement was 61.61% and by 12.44 PAR points.

V. Educational Conclusions

In cases treated with fixed appliances, anomalies improved more significantly than in cases treated with removable appliances. It is possible to achieve a higher standard of treatment with fixed appliances than in cases treated with removable appliances. Removable appliances have limited indications. In some cases of treatment with removable appliances resulting in minimal improvement, it was necessary to continue in treatment with fixed appliance.

The high standard of treatment is achieved when we respect the specifics of the individual case with regard to the severity of anomaly, the patient’s age, his/her ability to cooperate, the type of facial growth, morphological picture, and anomaly, etc. Last but not least, it is up to the professionalism of dental specialist what method of treatment and orthodontic appliance he/she will choose.
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Table 2: Descriptive statistics of the PAR index. Patients treated with fixed and removable appliances.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Fixed appliances</th>
<th>Removable appliances</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean figure</td>
<td>19.08</td>
<td>12.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard deviation</td>
<td>10.841</td>
<td>7.575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Degree of improvement in patients treated with fixed and removable appliances

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No Difference/worse</th>
<th>Improved</th>
<th>Greatly improved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fixed appliances</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removable appliances</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Degree of improvement of orthodontic treatment in %

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No Difference/worse</th>
<th>Improved</th>
<th>Greatly improved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fixed appliances</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removable appliances</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Fig. 2: Nomogram
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**PAR Nomogram**
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**Chart 2**: Change in PAR score in absolute value (removable appliances)
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Chart 3: Change in PAR score in absolute values (fixed appliances)

Chart 4: Comparison of treatment results in the group of fixed appliances and in the group of removable appliances

Chart 5: Degree of improvement in PAR score in absolute values

Chart 6: A bar chart, expresses a degree of improvement by orthodontic treatment in %
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