Comparative Study of Endometrial Lavage, Dilatation And Curettage with Hysterectomy in Women with Perimenopausal/Postmenopausal Bleeding
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Abstract: Endometrial carcinoma is third common genital malignancy in India. Endometrial tissue sampling is most common diagnostic procedure in the assessment of women with abnormal uterine bleeding. Over the years many methods were used to obtain endometrial samples. The safety and simplicity of the procedures influence the extent to which they are employed. Endometrial lavage is less invasive and safe outpatient procedure to obtain endometrial samples for cytological diagnosis. The aim of our study is to evaluate endometrial lavage as an alternative to dilatation and curettage to obtain adequate endometrial sample, this study is done over a period of 3 years in 50 perimenopausal/postmenopausal women who attended the outpatient department with complaints of abnormal uterine bleeding. Endometrial lavage is done using manual vacuum aspirator and normal saline as an outpatient procedure. The material obtained is centrifuged, smears prepared from the sediment for cytological study and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Later dilatation and curettage and hysterectomy is done and the results compared. The correlation between endometrial lavage and hysterectomy is 74%, dilatation and curettage with hysterectomy is 82%.
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1. Introduction

Endometrial carcinoma is the most common malignancy of female genital tract in developed countries.¹ The incidence of endometrial carcinoma is third amongst genital malignancy next to cervix and ovary in India. Histopathological examination of endometrial tissue remains standard diagnostic procedure for uterine abnormalities, against which the performances of all new diagnostic tools for evaluating the endometrium are compared. Endometrial tissue sampling is one of the most common diagnostic procedure in the assessment of women with abnormal uterine bleeding, accurate diagnosis helps the implementation of optimal treatment strategies. Until recent times usual methods of evaluating abnormal uterine bleeding was dilatation and curettage, but this detects the cause in less than 50% of cases. The procedure requires general anesthesia and has complications, such as uterine perforation, hemorrhage and infection, Papanicolaou and Traut reported that carcinoma of corpus uteri can be detected by means of cytological examination of the vaginal and cervical secretions.² In order to obtain well preserved cells from the uterine cavity it is necessary to use intra uterine aspiration and it represents the most efficient procedure for cytological diagnosis of adenocarcinoma of endometrium.³ One of the earlier devices was a simple endometrial aspiration cannula. The cannula was used as an office instrument on high risk patients and led to the discovery of a number of occult endometrial hyperplasias and carcinomas.⁴ The main aim of our study is to evaluate simple endometrial sampling method endometrial lavage as an alternative to dilatation and curettage to secure an adequate sample of endometrium, without causing much discomfort to the patients.

II. Materials and methods

This study has been undertaken in 50 perimenopausal/post-menopausal women aged ≥40 years, who attended the outpatient department in our hospital with abnormal uterine bleeding, over the period of 3 years. All patients signed informed consent prior to the procedures and the study protocol confirmed to the guidelines of the Institutional Ethical Committee. Patients with acute vaginal or cervical infection, pelvic inflammatory disease or clotting disorders are not selected for the study. Endometrial sampling is done by endometrial lavage using manual vacuum aspirator and 2ml normal saline as a day care procedure⁵ and patients admitted for dilatation and curettage. The specimen obtained is centrifuged for 10 min at 2500rpm, sediment spread on the slides, fixed in 95%ethanol and stained with hematoxylin and eosin.⁶ The cytological smears are studied on the basis of number of epithelial cell clusters, celluarmakeup, cohesiveness of cells and nuclear characteristics.⁷,⁸ They are categorized into normal (proliferative phase/secretory phase) and abnormal (hyperplasia/endometrial carcinoma). The histopathological diagnosis is based on systematic examination of gland to stroma ratio, glandular features, stromal features, appearance of vessels
and pattern of uniformity\cite{9}. They are classified as normal (proliferative phase/ secretory phase/atrophy) and abnormal (disordered proliferative phase/ hyperplasia/ endometrial carcinoma). When the material is insufficient for examination, they are categorized as inadequate. Both the diagnoses are compared with histopathological findings of hysterectomy as gold standard. The results are statistically analyzed using sensitivity, specificity, predictive value of positive test, predictive value of negative test and diagnostic accuracy.

III. Results

The study is done in 50 cases, of which 40 are perimenopausal 10 are post menopausal, the age ranged from 40-80years with mean age of 45years, the mean duration of abnormal uterine bleeding is 4months, the mean parity is 3. In cytological diagnosis of endometrial lavege the normal findings are 80%, endometrial carcinoma 6% and inadequate samples 14%. The findings in histopathological examination of D&C specimens are normal 70%, disordered proliferative phase 6%, hyperplasia 2%, endometrial carcinoma 8% and inadequate samples 14%. Histopathological findings in hysterectomy are normal 80%, disordered proliferative phase 8%, hyperplasia 4% and endometrial carcinoma 8%.

IV. Discussion

Endometrial tissue sampling is one of the most common diagnostic procedures in gynecology and the primary indication is in the assessment of women with abnormal uterine bleeding. The main objective of endometrial sampling in perimenopausal and post menopausal patients with abnormal uterine bleeding is detecting premalignant or malignant endometrial disease. The sampled material can be examined by cytological or histological examination. The direct endometrial sample obtained for cytological examination by endometrial lavege provides cells from areas of uterine cavity where the cannula or catheter may not reach readily.

In cytology normal findings showed 85% correlation, endometrial carcinoma showed 75% correlation. The overall correlation in cytology is 74%. Disordered proliferative phase and hyperplasia are not reported in cytology due to inadequate diagnostic criteria. Out of the 40 cases diagnosed as normal by hysterectomy, 34 cases are the same in cytology, the remaining 6 cases are reported as inadequate. The 4 cases reported as disordered proliferative phase in hysterectomy, are not diagnosed in cytology, of the 4 cases 3 cases are reported as proliferative phase and one as inadequate, 2 cases of hyperplasia reported in hysterectomy are not diagnosed by cytology, and they are reported as proliferative phase. 4 cases of endometrial carcinoma are diagnosed by hysterectomy, in 3 cases the diagnosis is same in cytology, and the remaining one is reported as proliferative phase.

In D&C normal findings showed 85% correlation, disordered proliferative phase and hyperplasia correlated in 50% of cases, endometrial carcinoma correlated in all the 4 cases showing 100% correlation. The overall correlation in D&C is 82%. In histopathological examination of hysterectomy, 40 cases are reported as normal, in the HPE in D&C 34 cases are reported the same. In the remaining 6 cases, Disordered proliferative phase is reported in one case and 5 cases are reported inadequate. Out of the 4 cases reported as disordered proliferative phase in hysterectomy, 2 cases correlated with D&C one case is reported as proliferative phase and the other one is reported as inadequate. In hysterectomy hyperplasia is reported in 2 cases, one case is reported the same in D&C, the other one is reported as inadequate. Endometrial carcinoma is reported in 4 cases of hysterectomy specimens, all the 4 cases reported the same in D&C.

The cytological findings in endometrial lavage correlated with 3 cases of endometrial carcinoma. One case is not diagnosed by endometrial lavage, which is a focal lesion. In D&C all the four cases are diagnosed. There are no false positive reports.

In the present study cytology of endometrial lavage showed sensitivity of 75%, specificity of 100%, positive predictive value of 100%, negative predictive value of 98.87% and the diagnostic accuracy of 98% for the diagnosis of endometrial malignancy. D&C showed 100% sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value and diagnostic accuracy for endometrial carcinoma.

The incidence of endometrial carcinoma ranged from 7.5% to 9% in various studies,\cite{10, 11, 12} Antoni et al reported maximum number of cases about 9%\cite{13}, and in our study incidence is 8%. carcinoma.

In endometrial lavage Schei et al reported very low sensitivity of 25% and specificity of 99%\cite{14}. In other studies sensitivity ranged from 50% to 81%, specificity is 100%\cite{15, 16}, in our study sensitivity is 75% and specificity is 100%. D&C shows varied sensitivity and specificity in different studies. Ceci et al reported sensitivity of 46%, specificity of 100%\cite{17}. Yarandi et al in their study reported 30.2% sensitivity, 72.3% specificity.\cite{18} Our study shows 100% sensitivity and specificity.

V. Conclusion

Minimally invasive procedure endometrial lavage is simple, safe and acceptable technique in diagnosing endometrial cancer in women with premenopausal/post-menopausal bleeding. Accuracy is lower in detecting endometrial hyperplasia.
VI. Tables

### Table 1. Cytology and Histopathology in hysterectomy correlation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Findings</th>
<th>HPE in hysterectomy</th>
<th>Cytology (Endometrial lavage)</th>
<th>%Correlation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proliferative Phase/ Secretory Phase/ Atrophy</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disordered proliferative phase</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperplasia</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endometrial carcinoma</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>74%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Histopathology in D&C and Hysterectomy correlation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Findings</th>
<th>HPE in hysterectomy</th>
<th>HPE in D&amp;C</th>
<th>% Correlation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proliferative Phase/ Secretory Phase/ Atrophy</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disordered proliferative phase</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperplasia</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endometrial carcinoma</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VII. Figures

**Fig. 1.** Proliferative phase-Cytology, Endometrial glandular cells arranged in cohesive honey comb type sheets (H&E 400X)

**Fig. 2.** Secretory phase-Cytology, Endometrial cells with clear vacuolated cytoplasm (H&E 1000X)
**Fig. 3.** Endometrial carcinoma-cytology. Loosely cohesive clusters and singly scattered malignant cells with inflammatory cells (H&E 400X)
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