A New (Natural) Way of Enhancing Labour Process: While Lying Down Supine/Left Lateral Position Meanwhile Sometimes in Between Attempt of Passing Urine in Pan/Pot By Patient Herself.
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Abstract.

Background: Since from many culture and long ago maternal position during labour is debated and criticized. In present era the suitable maternal position during labour and childbirth, still a matter of debate and to be standardised because, in today’s standards laboring women are confined to supine/lithotomy position, but it results in the narrowest pelvic opening and places pressure on coccyx, while patients in case study group were resting in bed in lying-down position as per daily practice and simultaneously instructed to pass urine in the pot near by patient’s bed irrespective of ability to pass urine or not, but attempt of passing urine was required in cases. Results were compared between case and control group.

Method: This observational comparative study has been conducted at the Department of OB/GY, RIMS Imphal. A total number of 140 patients were enrolled, out of which, 70 patients for the case study group and another 70 patients for control group. In control group pt were lying down on bed (supine/lateral/lithotomy position), in study group pt were lying down on bed (supine/lateral/lithotomy position) and in between attempts of passing urine were given total 4 to 6 times and for each attempt of passing urine 4 to 6 minutes times was given. Fetomaternal outcome compared in two groups.

Result: In our study we found significant decrease in 1st and 2nd stage of labour in study group. There were not increase chances of instrumental delivery, caesarean section, perineal injury, PPH, manual removal of placenta or abnormal fetal distress. Rotation from Occipito post. Presentation at onset to favorable presentation may be supportive significant.

Conclusion: Variable positions adopted by patient during labour and in between attempting to pass urine is much convenient for mothers’ and more fruitful in terms of less duration of 1st and 2nd stage of labour, as attempting to pass urine in the pan/pot (irrespective to pass urine or not) will lead to increase gravity effect and changes in inclination of pelvis. In study we found that there were not increase chances of instrumental delivery, caesarean section, perineal injury, PPH, manual removal of placenta or abnormal fetal distress. Rotation from Occipito post, presentation at onset to favorable presentation may be supportive significant. No significant variation is found in incidences of maternal and fetal complications.
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I. Introduction.

Art from many cultures long ago throughout history and anthropological studies have proved that women have preferred to give birth with their bodies vertical in sitting or squatting positions by grasping a ropes or and they sat, hung, kneeled squatted stood. Modern obstetric practice is mainly directed towards trying to make labour safe, shorter and smooth. In present era the suitable maternal position during labour and childbirth, still a matter of debate and to be standardised because, in today’s standards laboring women are confined to supine-lithotomy position, for the convenience of the health personnel, but lithotomy/supine position is criticised. Modern obstetric practice is mainly directed towards trying to make labour shorter and smooth. First time the French obstetrician Mauriceau conducted delivery in bed and horizontal position was advised, for control of the childbirth process. Then it took trend in practice and the horizontal position became known as the "French Position". The lithotomy side-lying or left-lateral position are the most common birth
positions, especially in hospitals as it is one of the easiest positions to assume. Side-lying or left-lateral position it is a better option. It won't interfere with the catheter, IV line, epidural catheter or internal monitor, but it results in the narrowest pelvic opening and places pressure on coccyx. It will lead to risk of forceps or vacuum delivery and chances of episiotomy\(^2\). Lithotomy/supine positions have been criticised by many authors earlier also as ,it places pressure on blood vessels leading to the uterus and can limit blood flow to the baby. \(^3\) In present era we are in need of to make safe ,affordable delivery care accessible to all women irrespective to their socioeconomic, demographical and cultural level. Recent decades have seen a rapid expansion in the development and adopted many of positions designed to accelerate ,start, augment, regulate process of labour, with the aim of improving outcomes for baby and patient. In our study during first and second stage of labour patients in control group were resting in bed in lying-down (recumbent position, supine or lateral) position as per modern obstetrics, while patients in case-study group were resting in bed in lying-down position as per daily practice and simultaneously instructed to pass urine in the pot/pan near by patient,s bed irrespective of ability to pass urine or not ,but attempt of passing urine was required .For passing urine patients acquired squatting, kneeling with support or standing position.

Besides resting in bed in lying-down position as per daily practice simultaneously attempts of passing urine were given total 4 to 6 times and for each attempt of passing urine 4 to 6 minutes times was given. A partogram charting and heart rate monitoring were done during the progress of labour.Oxytocin drip was administered according to uterine contractions and dose of oxytocin given was decided. Duration of first and second stage of labour was recorded. While try to pass urine in the pan during labour patient used Semisitting,sitting on pan,standing, supported squat, Walking, Leaning , squatting. Leaning against a window, wall or bed etc. These variable positions are comfortable. Gives good advantage of gravity to mother and baby. These positions Lengthens mother trunk and helps baby line up with the angle of patient pelvis. Movement causes changes in mother,s pelvic joints, helping baby through the birth canal it may increase patient,s urge to push in the second stage of labor. In our study patient while passing urine used leaning posture, that is a nice position to use if patient want to rest but still remain upright. This position is good as engages the use of gravity. The coccyx can still move back if patient is sitting fully upright. The various positions adopted by them facilitated the process of labor. Movement helps the baby move through the pelvis, and some positions enlarge pelvic diameters. Except for being hanged by the feet, the supine position is the worst conceivable position for labor and delivery.' Movement and positioning in labor work magic as 'Except for being hanged by the feet, the supine position is the worst conceivable position for labor and delivery.’ Dr. Roberto Caldeyro-Barcia. Past president of the International Federation of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists\(^5\). During squatting there is abduction of hip which separates the pubic bone which widens the pelvic outlet. Squatting 'straightens' the birth canal as it helps the pelvic bones to line up, rather than emphasizing the u-turn caused by the lithotomy position or the semi-sitting position. Squatting opens the pelvis by 30% more as compared to lying down position\(^6\). In. Urge to bear down is better in squatting position and pushing down efforts and duration is shortened. The dangling squat also helps to lengthen the body which can give the baby space to get into a better position. Inferior vena caval compression is minimal in squatting position hence foeto-placental circulation is better and chances of foetal distress is less.squatting position, gravity also plays great role in descent of the baby’s head\(^7\).

**II. Method**

This observational comparative study has been conducted at the Department of OBGY, RIMS Imphal. We conducted an observational cohort study on pregnant women admitted to the labour room of RIMS, imphal, in the Department of OBG, in the interval time between January 2015 and March 2016. All the enrolled patients have been properly informed about the process and purpose the study. Patients of both the groups were comparable as regards to age, parity, demographic and other parameters. All the enrolled patients have been properly informed about the aim of the study.

We considered primi parous and parity one women with uncomplicated pregnancies and single fetus in cephalic presentation at the onset of labour. According to the defined criteria, labour onset was defined by regular uterine contractions and cervical dilatation of atleast 3 cm;the second labour stage was defined when a full dilatation of the cervix is attained\(^8\). Patients were kept under observation throughout the procedure and monitored for any discomfort and fetal heart rate. With the help of modified partograph subjects were monitored for fetal heart rate, vital signs, per vaginal examination and type, duration, and intensity of uterine contractions. A total number of 140 patients were enrolled, out of which, 70 patients for the case study group and another 70 patients for control group; were selected randomly and depending on the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Patients of both the groups were antenataly registered full term pregnancy (37 weeks to 40 weeks). Informed and written consent was taken from patients for including in either of the groups. They were selected randomly and depending on the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Excluding pregnancy like..
1) Rh negative pregnancy, pre-term delivery, preclampsia
2) meconium stained liquor,
3) analgesia/epidural, PROM
4) post-term pregnancy, multiple gestation, heart disease, malposition.

Patients of both the groups were comparable as regards to age, parity, demographic and other parameters. During first and second stage of labour patients in control group were resting in bed in lying-down (recumbent position, supine or lateral) position as per modern obstetrics, while patients in case-study group were resting in bed in lying-down position as per daily practice and simultaneously instructed to pass urine in the pot near by patient’s bed irrespective of ability to pass urine or not, but attempt of passing urine was required in cases (study group). (In our study focus was on attempt of passing urine. It was not necessary that pt. became able to become pass urine or not, because in both cases and control group we evacuated bladder by intermittent catheterisation, if bladder was full). For passing urine patients acquired squatting, kneeling with support or standing position. Attempts of passing urine were given total 4 to 6 times in study group.

Statistical Methods: Descriptive and inferential statistical analysis has been carried out in the present study. Results on continuous measurements are presented on Mean ± SD (Min-Max) and results on categorical measurements are presented in Number (%). Significance is assessed at 5 % level of significance.

### Study Design:

**Table 1: A Comparison of study variables in two groups studied**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Cases (n=70)</th>
<th>Controls (n=70)</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maternal age in years</td>
<td>24.83(3.55)</td>
<td>24.80(4.36)</td>
<td>n.s</td>
<td>n.s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age at birth</td>
<td>39.70(1.40)</td>
<td>39.02(1.01)</td>
<td>n.s</td>
<td>n.s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>24.24(3.50)</td>
<td>23.28(3.54)</td>
<td>n.s</td>
<td>n.s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Episiotomy</td>
<td>56(80%)</td>
<td>58(82.9%)</td>
<td>0.664</td>
<td>n.s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instrumental Delivery</td>
<td>8(11.4%)</td>
<td>10(14.3%)</td>
<td>0.614</td>
<td>n.s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd degree Perineal tear</td>
<td>0(0%)</td>
<td>0(0%)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>n.s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caesarian section</td>
<td>1(1.4%)</td>
<td>5(7.1%)</td>
<td>0.209</td>
<td>n.s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perineal tear</td>
<td>0(0%)</td>
<td>0(0%)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>n.s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal FHR</td>
<td>1(1.4%)</td>
<td>2(2.9%)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>n.s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NICU Admission</td>
<td>2(2.9%)</td>
<td>2(2.9%)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>n.s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manual removal of Placenta</td>
<td>1(1.4%)</td>
<td>1(1.4%)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>n.s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPROM</td>
<td>2(2.9%)</td>
<td>2(2.9%)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>n.s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occipito post. Onset</td>
<td>12(17.1%)</td>
<td>8(11.4%)</td>
<td>0.334</td>
<td>n.s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persistent Occipito post.</td>
<td>2(2.9%)</td>
<td>5(7.1%)</td>
<td>0.441</td>
<td>n.s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2: Comparison of 1st stage labour an 2nd stage labour in two groups were studied**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Cases (n=70)</th>
<th>Controls (n=70)</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st stage of labor (duration)</td>
<td>180.10±18.00</td>
<td>330.20±156.00</td>
<td>&lt;0.001**</td>
<td>significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd stage of labor (duration)</td>
<td>30.20±28.00</td>
<td>82.10±56.20</td>
<td>&lt;0.001**</td>
<td>significant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Statistical Methods:** Descriptive and inferential statistical analysis has been carried out in the present study. Results on continuous measurements are presented on Mean ± SD (Min-Max) and results on categorical measurements are presented in Number (%). Significance is assessed at 5 % level of significance.

The following assumptions on data is made:

1. Dependent variables should be normally distributed.
2. Samples drawn from the population should be random.
3. Cases of the samples should be independent.
4. Student t test (two tailed, independent) has been used to find the significance of study parameters on continuous scale between two groups (Inter group analysis) on metric parameters. Chi-square/Fisher Exact test has been used to find the significance of study parameters on categorical scale between two or more groups.

Significant figures:

- Suggestive significance (P value: 0.05 < P < 0.10)
The ideal maternal position during labour and childbirth continues to be debated. Currently the majority of women in all cultures adopts and gives birth to their babies in non-upright positions. More and more women in both through out world are giving birth in health-care facilities, usually in bed in recumbent positions. A woman’s position during labour has an important cultural imprint: in societies not influenced by Western culture, women progress through the first stage of labour in an upright position and change to other positions according to need. (10,11,12) Except for being hanged by the feet, the supine position is the worst conceivable position for labor and delivery. (5) In the first stage of labour vertical positions merits in association with decreased labour duration decreased pain and resulting in an increased patient’s comfort. 

The French obstetrician Mauriceau (*1637 - †1709) conducted delivery in bed and horizontal position was advised, for control of the childbirth process. Than it took trend in practice and the horizontal position became known as the "French Position". The "French Position" was considered convenient for health professionals; it facilitated examination and obstetric procedures for the obstetrician. 

The American national survey “Listening to Mothers” from 2002 advised that 74 % gave birth vaginally, lying on their backs during the second stage of labour. In our study we found significant decrease in first and second stage of duration by instructing to pass urine and by putting effort of pass urine in the pan by study group patients. As Ganapathy et. al. (17) reported significant decrease in the duration of second stage of labour among women in supported sitting posture as compared to supine lithotomy position. They also reported significant difference in duration for 2nd stage of labour. In our study we did not find any significant change in case study and control group in Episiotomy. Instrumental Delivery, 2nd degree Perineal tear, Caesarian spectrum, Abnormal FHR, NICU Admission, Manual removal of Placenta, PPH etc. As Nasir et.al. (20) reported no significant difference in APGAR score, abnormal FHR pattern or requirement of neo-natal resuscitation. There was no PPH in Squatting group while in Supine group 4% of cases had retained placenta & 1% had atomic PPH. They reported 2% Forceps delivery observed in Supine position. 0.1% in Squatting. P. R. deJong et.al (1997) reported Fewer episiotomy. No increase in third degree tears or vulval haematoma in upright group. Allahabadia G. N. et.al. (1993) reported that the incidence of maternal injuries was observed in 14 cases in control group and 38 cases in squatting group.

V. Conclusions

Instead of lot of practical research over many years the concept of position during labour is still debatable. Variable positions adopted by patient during labour and in between attempting to pass urine is much convenient for mothers’ and more fruitful in terms of less duration of 1st and 2nd stage of labour, as attempting to pass urine in the pan/pot will lead to increase gravity effect and changes in inclination of pelvis. (In our study focus was on attempt of passing urine. It was not necessary that pt. became able to pass urine or not, because in both cases and control group we evacuated bladder by intermittent catheterisation, if bladder was full). In study we found that there were not increase chances of instrumental delivery, caesarean section, perineal injury, PPH, manual removal of placenta or abnormal fetal distress. Rotation from Occipito post. Presentation at onset to favorable presentation may be supportive significant. No significant variation is found in incidences of maternal and fetal complications.
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