Role of Spirometry in the Diagnosis of COPD
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Abstract:
Introduction: Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is an airflow obstruction disease that is not completely reversible. It includes chronic obstructive bronchiolitis with fibrosis and obstruction of small airways. Spirometry is an important tool used for assessing conditions such as asthma, pulmonary fibrosis, cystic fibrosis and COPD.

Aim: To assess the pulmonary functions in COPD subjects and in normal individuals

Methods and materials: This prospective study was carried out in 30 COPD patients attending the department of Pulmonology, SRM medical college hospital and research centre. Thirty (30) age and sex matched healthy individuals were taken for control group. This study was performed between July 2012 and December 2012.

Results: The statistical analysis was done using SPSS17.0 software. The data were expressed as mean± standard deviation. The pulmonary function test parameters between the COPD and control, FVC (62.14±8.86 and 92.73±9.70, p value 0.0001), FEV1(50.17± 9.67 and 89.87 ± 7.58, p value 0.0001), FEV1/FVC ratio(68.41±6.99 and 96.93±6.91,p value 0.0001) shows highly significant ‘p’ values.

Conclusion: The gold standard for diagnosing COPD is Spirometry. Pulmonary function testing can also be useful in evaluating the severity of disease and in treatment of the disease once diagnosed.
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I. Introduction

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is an airflow obstruction disease that is not completely reversible. It includes chronic obstructive bronchiolitis with fibrosis and obstruction of small airways, and emphysema with enlargement of airspaces and destruction of lung parenchyma, loss of lung elasticity and closure of small airways [1]. It is progressive, associated with severe & declining lung functions. Risk factors of COPD are both genetic and environmental and the most common etiological agent is cigarette smoking. The estimated prevalence of COPD (Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) Stage II and higher) is 5.3% - 14.9% in the population [8].

The diagnosis and classification of disease severity is based on pulmonary function; a forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV1.0) relative to forced vital capacity (FVC) of less than 70% post bronchodilator is used to diagnose COPD.

In 1990, COPD was positioned sixth as a cause of death by the Global Burden of Disease Study and predicted to be the third leading cause of death by 2020. It is also estimated to be the fourth leading cause of death in 2030 [2]. In Indian patients 30 years and above, it is shown to have a prevalence of around 1.49 crores. The prevalence rate in males range from 2.12% to 9.4% in studies conducted in north India to 1.4% to 4.08% in studies conducted in south India[3].

Spirometry is the most common of the pulmonary function tests, measuring lung function, specifically the measurement of the amount (volume) or speed(flow) of air that can be inhaled and exhaled. Spirometry is an important tool used for assessing conditions such as asthma, pulmonary fibrosis, cystic fibrosis, and COPD. Results are visualised in time-volume and flow-volume curve and the most relevant results for COPD are the forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1) and the forced vital capacity (FVC).

II. Aim & Objective

To assess the Pulmonary function test in COPD subjects and in normal individuals

III. Materials & Methods

This prospective study was carried out in 30 COPD patients attending the Department of Pulmonology, SRM Medical College hospital and Research Centre. Thirty (30) age and sex matched healthy individuals were taken for control group. This study was performed between July 2012 and December 2012.
The study was approved by the institutional ethical committee and a written consent from the subjects was obtained for carrying out the study after explaining to them the procedure. A detailed history from the subjects, weight, height etc were obtained and PFT parameters such as FVC(L) Forced Vital Capacity, FEV1(L), Forced Expiratory Volume in one second FEV1/FVC were estimated using Easy One Pro computerized spirometry instrument. 30 normal individuals and 30 COPD patients in the age group 25-50 years were included in the study. Subjects not fitting the age range of 25-50 years and having any systemic illness were excluded.

IV. Statistical Analysis

The statistical analysis was done using SPSS17.0 software. The data were expressed as mean± standard deviation. Student t-test was applied to compare the PFT parameters between COPD (GROUP I) and control (GROUP II). ‘p’ value of <0.05 was considered to be significant.

V. Results

The pulmonary function test parameters between the COPD and control, the mean and standard deviation of COPD and control group for, FVC (62.14±8.86 and 92.73±9.70, p value 0.0001), FEV1(50.17±9.67 and 89.87±7.58, p value 0.0001), FEV1/FVC Ratio(68.41±16.99 and 96.93±6.91, p value 0.0001) Shows highly significant ‘p’ values

Table 1: Comparison of FVC, FEV1, FEV1/FVC between COPD and Control Group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Group I COPD (n=30) Mean±SD</th>
<th>Group II Control (n=30) Mean±SD</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FVC (L)</td>
<td>62.14±8.86</td>
<td>92.73±9.70</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1 (L)</td>
<td>50.17±9.67</td>
<td>89.87±7.58</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1/FVC</td>
<td>68.41±16.99</td>
<td>96.93±6.91</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S –Significant

Chart 1: Comparison of FVC, FEV1 and FEV1/FVC

VI. Discussion

Spirometry was done for all the 60 subjects to assess the lung function. It shows decreased forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV1) with concomitant reduction in (FEV1)/ forced vital capacity, (FEV1/FVC) ratio with poor/absent bronchodilator reversibility and reduced FVC for the group I (COPD) subjects.

In our study we had 26.66% subjects with mild COPD, 56.66% subjects with moderate COPD, 16.66% were severe COPD cases and no very severe COPD category cases were observed in study (classified based on
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Canadian Thoracic Society COPD). Mechanisms that have been proposed to influence sympathetic activity in patients with COPD include systemic inflammation, hypoxia, oxidative stress, physical inactivity, and large intrathoracic pressure changes.

According to Heindl, Set al., and Hardy et al., patients with COPD often develop hypoxia which may be present intermittently (e.g. during exacerbations or related to desaturations during sleep) or sustained in more severe cases [4]. Buch P Set al., suggested that the etiology of arrhythmia in patients with COPD is multifactorial and includes a number of risk factors such as hypoxemia, acidosis, and reduced FEV1 [5].

According to Anthonisen NR et al., mortality increases with decreasing FEV1 [6]. Few studies suggest that FEV1 alone cannot diagnose COPD. Other combinations of criteria, which include the FEV1 are being studied such as the BODE index [7].

Shah sanket et al., found that subjects with higher pack year of smoking and lower BMI had more deficiency to Vitamin D [8]. O’Donnell has suggested that bronchodilator therapy might benefit patients with chronic airflow limitation, and many authors have demonstrated that breathlessness can be relieved with various bronchodilators in the presence of only small improvements in FEV1 [9].

Tweeddale and colleagues found that the increase in FEV1 and FVC that excluding natural variability with 95% confidence in patients with obstructive ventilatory defects was 160 ml and 330 ml respectively [10]. It was not specified whether these values were applicable for both COPD and asthma that study. In the assessment of obstructive lung disease spirometry remains an analytical tool to differentiate between COPD and asthma.

VII. Conclusion

The gold standard for diagnosing COPD is spirometry. Other pulmonary functions such as lung volumes can give you insight into physiological consequences of COPD such as hyperinflation. Pulmonary function testing can also be useful in evaluating the severity of disease and in treatment of the disease once diagnosed.
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