Assessment of Hearing Impairment Using Brainstem Evoked Response Audiometry (BERA) In Neonates with Various Otonoxious Risk Factors
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Abstract

Objectives: The aim of this study was to assess hearing impairment in newborn having otonoxious risk factors.

Methods: 270 newborns with risk factors for hearing impairment were subjected to BERA initially with 90 dB and subsequently stimuli at decreasing frequencies i.e. 75, 60, 45 dB will be presented to each ear at an intensity of 90dB hearing level. An infant will be considered as passed the test if wave V was present at 30 dB in both ears or in one ear at 30 dB and in the other at 45dB.

Results: Out of the 270 newborns, BERA was found to be impaired in 48 cases with increased hearing threshold, remaining 222 neonates had normal hearing threshold of 30dB bilaterally and 45dB in one ear and 30 dB in the other ear. Very low birth weight babies with impaired hearing was 25%, hyperbilirubinaemia in exchange range having hearing impairment were 45%, newborns with sepsis and hearing impairment were 32.5%, however after multiple logistic regression analysis sepsis was found to have strong relationship with hearing impairment p value <0.001 and OR 10.991. Elevated auditory threshold was found more frequently in neonates with multiple clinical adverse factors than in those having single risk factor (36/133 Vs 12/137, p <0.001, OR 3.87).

Conclusion: Proportion of newborn with impaired BERA was high in high risk newborn when compared to general population. Sepsis , very low birth weight and hyperbilirubinaemia in exchange range were found to have significant hearing impairment.
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I. Introduction

As per WHO report, there are about 250 million deaf people in the world and is the second most common cause of disability. According to Centre for Disease Control (CDC), Hearing screening and follow up survey 2009, 1.4 per 1000 babies screened (Range 0 – 4.6 per 1000 babies screened) have hearing impairment. Prevalence and incidence rate of hearing loss in India is quiet alarming. Studies show varying prevalence rates from 1% to as high as 40% [2].

One in 1000 children is born with a hearing impairment significant enough to impede the learning of speech & language [3]. Joint Committee on Infant Hearing (JCIH) [4] promulgated a list of specific risk factors to identify infants at risk for hearing impairment for careful follow – up and assessment. Several studies have shown that when children are identified with hearing loss at birth and received intervention before the age of 6 months, they catch up with their normal peers and demonstrate essentially normal language development. Conversely, children who are identified with hearing loss later in life and receive intervention after age of 6 months, especially those with severe to profound hearing loss and with multiple handicaps, struggle to catch up with their normal peers. Moreover, children identified later than 6 months of age may lag in their speech, language, and auditory development well into early and later elementary years.

Brainstem Evoked Response Audiometry (BERA) has expanded the objective testing of hearing functions. This is an effective and simple method that requires less co-operation of the patient and measures the specific part of auditory pathway.

Review Of Literature - Retrospective studies of large universal newborn hearing screening programs have shown that permanent hearing loss is one of the most common abnormalities present at birth. In 1999, the American Academy of Pediatrics Task Force on Newborn and Infant Hearing stated, "significant bilateral hearing loss has been shown to be present in approximately 1 to 3 per 1000 newborns in the well-baby nursery population, and in approximately 2 to 4 per 1000 infants in the intensive care unit population” [6]. In the at-risk population, which includes neonates who spend time in the newborn intensive care unit (NICU), the occurrence of hearing loss is even higher. Risk factors for hearing loss specifically found in this population include, LBW babies, PT babies, babies on ventilation, hyperbilirubinemia, and exposure to ototoxic medications.
Since hearing loss is not a visible disability, it will go unnoticed for up to 18 months, especially in children who have no medical conditions and/or other disabilities. Professional leadership in the sub-specialty of infant hearing and early detection has been largely provided by the Joint Committee of Infant Hearing (JCIH). Initially, the JCIH did not recommend universal hearing screening for all newborns (JCIH, 1972), instead the endorsed High Risk Register (HRR) for screening newborns who should receive hearing evaluation. They revised and expanded the high risk criteria for hearing screening in 1982, 1990 and 1994. Unfortunately only about 50% of infants with sensorineural hearing losses were identified by using the HRR. Since the goal should be 100%, consensus has been reached that the universal detection of newborn hearing loss requires screening of all newborns. In 1994, the JCIH issued their statement that endorsed that “the goal of universal detection of infants with hearing loss as early as possible.” All infants with hearing loss should be identified by three months of age and receive intervention by six months of age.

The American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) released a statement in 1999 that recommended newborn hearing screening and intervention. Next year 2000, citing advances in screening technology, the JCIH endorsed the universal screening of all infants through an integrated, inter-disciplinary system of Early Hearing Detection and Intervention (EHDI). Identification and intervention before age 6 months can have a significant impact on the development of expressive and receptive language. The finding that language scores were not significantly different between the children identified later truly establishes the critical period of early identification and intervention to be within the first 6 months of life.

A large NIH-sponsored multi-centre study conducted between 1994 and 1996 evaluated the performance of newborns on OAE and BERA hearing screening and also reported the incidence of risk factors for neonatal hearing loss. A total of 4,478 graduates from NICUs, 353 well babies with one or more of the risk factors for hearing loss established by the JCIH Committee on Infant Hearing in 1994 (which included VLBW), and 2,348 well babies with no risk factors were assessed. One risk factor was found in 33.2% of NICU infants, and two or more in 26.2%. Within the NICU population, the most common risk factors were aminoglycoside use (44.4%), VLBW (17.8%), mechanical ventilation for more than 5 days (16.4%), and low Apgar scores (13.9%).

**Bera – BERA** is not a direct test of hearing sensitivity, but it has earned a strong clinical reputation as a tool to evaluate the integrity of the auditory pathway from external ear to the lower brainstem. BERA is an objective way of eliciting brainstem potentials in response to audiological click stimuli. These waves are recorded by electrodes placed over the scalp. BERA are potentials recorded from the ear and vertex in response to a brief audiology stimulation to assess the conduction through the auditory pathway up to midbrain. It consists of 5-7 vertex positive peaks that normally occur within 10 milliseconds after the presentation of a stimulus. Responses are usually displayed with positive peaks, reflecting activity toward vertex positive and these peaks are labeled with Roman Numerals I through VII.

**Wave I**: It is the representation of the compound auditory nerve action potential in the distal portion of cranial nerve VIII. The response is believed to originate from afferent activity of the CN VIII fibers (first-order neurons) as they leave the cochlea and enter the internal auditory canal.

**Wave II**: It is generated by the proximal VIII nerve as it enters the brainstem.

**Wave III**: It arises from second-order neuron activity (beyond CN VIII) in or near the cochlear nucleus.

**Wave IV**: Arise from pontine third-order neurons. Mostly located in the superior olivary complex, but additional contributions may come from the cochlear nucleus and nuclei of the lateral lemniscus, often shares the same peak with wave V.

**Wave V**: Generation of wave V likely reflects activity of multiple anatomic auditory structures. It believed to originate from the vicinity of the inferior colliculus. Sharp positive peak of wave V arises mainly from the lateral lemniscus following slow negative wave representing dendritic potential in the inferior colliculus.

**Pathophysiology of hearing impairment in High risk infants:**

Although much has been written about the epidemiology of childhood hearing impairment, the relative incidence of different causes of hearing impairment in children still remains confusing. Many epidemiologic studies in the United States and Europe suggest that at least all cases of hearing impairment are due to genetic factors. Of the remainder, about 20-25% are typically assigned to prenatal, perinatal or postnatal environmental causes and 25% - 30% comprises sporadic cases of unknown cause.

**Ototoxic Drugs**

The two preferentially vestibulotoxic agents are gentamicin (the most widely used) and tobramycin. Aminoglycosides that are more selective to the cochlea are neomycin, kanamycin and amikacin. These agents produce irreversible hearing loss by causing hair cell death. They block ionic currents through the mechanoelectrical transduction channels in the stereocilia and are taken up into the hair cells through apical endocytosis.
It is estimated that 6%-16% of patients who receive aminoglycosides suffer sensorineural hearing loss[27]. In the cochlea, polyphosphoinositides in hair cell membranes are affected by aminoglycosides (toxic metabolites) resulting in altered permeability and magnesium ion loss, leading to cell death.

**Prematurity**

Less than 37 completed weeks (259 days). Several studies have looked at BERA specifically in the preterm infant. These studies have reported the behavior of wave V as a function of age and signal intensity with reliability and confidence. Estimates of hearing loss in the full-term child ranges from 0.26% to 0.5%, in the preterm infant, hearing loss occurs more frequently with estimates ranging from 0 to 15%

**Low Birth Weight Babies** - an association between birth weight <1500 g (very low birth weight (VLBW)) and hearing loss has been long recognised. The prevalence of failed hearing screening in neonates with VLBW is significantly higher than in neonates with normal birth weight because they experience higher rates of transient middle ear fluid accumulation and conductive hearing loss. However, these patients are commonly exposed to other risk factors for hearing loss such as ototoxic drugs, hypoxia and hyperbilirubinaemia, which may lead to early or delayed-onset sensorineural hearing loss as well as progression of a mild pre-existing sensorineural hearing loss years after hospital discharge. One study compared results of newborn hearing screening tests of 1714 infants 36 weeks or older in an NICU and 25 288 infants from the well-baby nursery. Patients were considered to have failed their OAE screening test when either one or both ears had hearing loss. Seven percent of infants from the NICU failed the test, whereas only 1.9% of the infants from the well-baby nursery failed. Among the infants from the NICU, those with VLBW had a failure rate of 31.6%.

**Hyperbilirubinemia**

Hyperbilirubinemia during the neonatal period with associated kernicterus or bilirubin encephalopathy has been etiologically tied to SNHL. Kernicterus is a neurological syndrome resulting from the deposition unconjugated bilirubin in the basal ganglia and brainstem nuclei. Factors that influence bilirubin toxicity to the brain cells of newborn are complex and incompletely understood. Bilirubin levels that are toxic to one infant may not be toxic to another, or even to the same infant in different clinical circumstances.

It is estimated that 8.5 mg of bilirubin will bind tightly to 1 g of albumin, although this binding capacity is less in small and sick premature. FFAs and certain drugs interfere with bilirubin binding to albumin, although acidosis affects bilirubin solubility and its deposition into brain tissue. Anoxia, hypercarbia and hyperosmolarity increase the permeability of BBB and increase deposition of bilirubin in the brain. Respiratory acidosis also increase bilirubin brain deposition

**Bilirubin toxicity and the low-birth-weight infant.**

Initial early studies of babies of 1,250 to 2,500 g and 28 to 36 weeks' gestational age showed no relation between neurologic damage and bilirubin levels > 18 to 20 mg/dL. Later studies, however, began to report “kernicterus” at autopsy or neurodevelopmental abnormalities at follow-up in premature infants <1,250 g who had bilirubin levels previously thought to be safe (e.g., <10 to 20 mg/dL). Because kernicterus in preterm infants is now considered uncommon, hindsight suggests that this so-called “low bilirubin kernicterus” was largely due to factors other than bilirubin alone.

Despite the progress made in clinical management, there is no agreement as to what constitutes a “safe” level of bilirubin. Early detection of bilirubin neurotoxicity may be possible by use of BERA. BERA can be also useful for screening hyperbilirubinemic full-term and premature infants for SNHL and incorporated into the assessment of need for exchange transfusions.

**Hypoxic Ischemic Encephalopathy**

Increased risk for SNHL has been described among infants who experienced hypoxia or anoxia during prenatal period, resulting from factors such as placental insufficiency, mechanical compression of the umbilical cord, or neonatal seizures[29,32]. When an infant has low APGAR scores (0-3) that permit longer than 5 minutes, severe acidosis (P< 7.0), neonatal encephalopathy and some degree of systemic organ injury, the infant can be diagnosed as having had perinatal asphyxia significant enough possibly to cause neurologic sequelae. The brainstem is affected frequently in newborns both in term and in preterm infant. Hearing loss is secondary to hypoxic injury to brain stem dorsal cochlear nuclei

**Aim**

1. To estimate the proportion of neonates having hearing impairment among those with onxious risk factors, using Brainstem Evoked Response Audiometry.
2. To find out factors associated with hearing impairment.
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Materials And Methods- Study Design : Descriptive study.
Study Setting: Babies admitted in In Born Nursery or Out Born Nursery of the Department of the department of pediatrics, T.D. Medical College, Alappuzha
Period of study : January 2014-December 2014

Sample size: According to a study done by A.K. Gupta, N.K. Anand and Hans Raj prevalence of hearing impairment among neonates with otonoxious risk factors was 27%. Using this information sample size has been estimated to be 270 using the formula \( Z_{\alpha}^2 PQ/d^2 \)
\( Z_{\alpha} =1.96; P=27; Q=100-27=73; d \) the precision is 20% of P.

Study subjects- Inclusion criteria 1.Prematurity (<36 weeks), 2. Very Low birth weight (<1.5 kg) , 3. HIE 4. Hyperbilirubinaemia in exchange range, 5. ototoxic drugs use, 6. sepsis 7. mechanical ventilation intra-uterine infection, 8. meningitis
Exclusion criteria- 1. Craniofacial malformation 2. Middle ear infections. 3. Family history of deafness

Study Procedure
After obtained ethical committee clearances from Govt T D Medical College Alappuzha , the study was conducted in the department of pediatrics Govt T D Medical College Alappuzha . In this study Newborn & infants fulfilling the inclusion criteria will be enrolled and studied during discharge. A written informed consent will be obtained from one of the parents before enrolment. Those with impaired BERA will be sent to the audiologist for purpose of further evaluation and management.

Procedure of Brain Stem Evoked Response Audiometry
Newborns were sedated with syrup Trichlofos (pedichoryl) .5-1mg/kg body weight. The skin at the point of placement of electrodes were cleaned. Recording of BERA was carried out in a quiet room. Surface electrodes were placed at the vertex (Cz), both mastoids (A1 and A2) and forehead. The resistance was kept below 5K. Sweep velocity of 10 mm/sec and click acoustic stimuli at a rate of 10/sec will be presented to each ear at an intensity of 90dB hearing level. Subsequently stimuli at decreasing frequencies i.e. 75, 60, 45 dB will be presented to each ear and recordings taken. Masking sound of 40dB will be used for the non-stimulated ear. Electrical activity being filtered and averaged to 2000 responses. 2000 responses will be averaged and minimum of two tests performed for reproducibility. 30 dB taken as the normal threshold of wave V. An infant will be considered as passed the test if wave V was present at 30 dB in both ears or in one ear at 30 dB and in the other at 45dB.

II. Statistical Analysis
Data were analyzed using computer software, Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 16. Data are expressed in its frequency and percentage. To elucidate the associations and comparisons between different parameters, Chi square \( (\chi^2) \) test was used as nonparametric test. Multivariate logistic regression analysis was performed to assess the risk factors (Odds ratio) of different factors in the study. For all statistical evaluations, a two-tailed probability of value, < 0.05 was considered significant.

III. Observations And Results
The present study was conducted in Department of Pediatrics, T.D.Medical College, Alappuzha. 270 high risk newborn were analysed for the study. Among the 270 children 61.5% were males and 38.5% were females. The male to female ratio was 1.6:1. Out of 270 high risk infants, preterms constituted 52.6%; very low birth weight 53.7%, hyperbilirubinaemia in exchange 12.2%, ototoxic drug usage 28.9%, birth asphyxia 10.3%, intrauterine infection 1.5%, sepsis 46.7%, mechanical ventilation 20%, meningitis 27%. Of total 142 preterms 28 i.e.19.7% had hearing impairment and in term babies out of total 128, 20 babies i.e. 15.6% . p value 0.380 which is not statistically significant.
Very low birth weight neonates had more BERA positivity than with weight >1.5 kg, and the difference is statistically significant. $p<0.001$

Neonates with ototoxic drug usage with BERA positivity was 21.8% and negativity 78.2%, but the difference was not statistically significant. $p=0.271$

25% of neonates with intra-uterine infection had impaired BERA, but it was not statistically significant. $p=0.703$
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Table 1: proportion of neonates having mechanical ventilation and BERA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mechanical ventilation</th>
<th>BERA</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>12(22.2)</td>
<td>42(77.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>36(16.7)</td>
<td>180(83.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

p=0.340

Among 54 neonates who underwent mechanical ventilation 22.2% had impaired BERA, and it was not statistically significant.

Table 2: proportion of neonates with meningitis and BERA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meningitis</th>
<th>BERA</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>16(21.9)</td>
<td>57(78.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>32(16.2)</td>
<td>165(83.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

p=0.279

Among 73 cases of meningitis 21.9% cases had impaired BERA and it was not statistically significant.

Table 3: proportion of newborn with hyperbilirubinaemia in exchange range

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hyperbilirubinaemia in exchange range</th>
<th>BERA</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>15(45.5)</td>
<td>18(54.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>33(13.9)</td>
<td>204(86.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

p<0.001

33 neonates had hyperbilirubinaemia in the exchange range of which 45.5% had hearing impairment according to BERA, was statistically significant.

Table 5: independent risk factors after multiple logistic regression analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95% C.I.for OR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sepsis</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>10.991</td>
<td>4.502 - 26.830</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very low birth weight</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>4.986</td>
<td>2.241 - 11.093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperbilirubinaemia in exchange range</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>3.547</td>
<td>1.436 - 8.758</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

While checking individual risk factors using multiple logistic regression analysis-sepsis, very low birth weight and bilirubin in the exchange range were found to have significant relation with impaired BERA. Single Vs Multiple risk factors associated with abnormal BERA.
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### Table 6: showing frequency in the group with multiple risk factors and single risk factor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factors</th>
<th>BERA Total</th>
<th>Negative</th>
<th>Positive</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>91.2</td>
<td>137</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>72.9</td>
<td>133</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( P<0.001 \) \( OR = 3.87 \)

### IV. Discussion

During study period of 1 year 460 NICU admissions were there. 300 neonates with risk fac 40 newborns were extremely sick hence were excluded from the study. 300 newborns had risk factors mentioned in my study. We excluded 26 neonates who died before conducting BERA. I had family history of hearing loss, 2 had craniofacial malformations and 1 had evidence of middle ear infection. Remaining 270 newborn were included in the study.

The incidence of hearing impairment in high risk infants according to different statistics\(^2,3\) varies from 1% to 40%. There are several risk factors which are important as precipitatory events, causing hearing impairment in newborn and young infants. The following are among these risk factors- prematurity, low birth weight, asphyxia, use of aminoglycosides, hyperbilirubinemia, prolonged mechanical ventilation, bacterial meningitis, intracranial infection and craniofacial anomalies\(^4\).

Most of the 9 clinical adverse factors examined in the present study (viz., prematurity <36 wks, LBW <1500 gm, hyperbilirubinemia in exchange range, ototoxic drugs, HIE, intra-uterine infection, sepsis, mechanical ventilation and meningitis) have already been recognized to be important for producing hearing impairment in the affected neonates. Certain other well known risk factors such as family history of deafness and babies with congenital intrauterine infections, however, do not appear in our list. Thus our list is not exhaustive with respect to factors that place an infant at risk for hearing loss.

In the present study, abnormal BERA threshold was observed in 48 out of 270 neonates i.e. 17.8%. Similar high percentage (5-25%) of abnormal BERA results have been observed in neonates graduating from NICU of other places. Very low birth weight babies had a significant hearing impairment 25.5% which was similar to the study done by Ira Bergman et al. In Gupta et al.’s study; birth weight <1500 g was significantly correlated with the hearing impairment Incidence of hearing impairment in hyperbilirubinemia in exchange transfusion range cases was 45.5% most common being mild hearing loss which is comparable to Agarwaland Hans Raj but higher than others. Proportion of newborn with sepsis had hearing impairment 32.5% was found to be statistically significant, after multiple logistic regression. Sepsis is a known risk factor for hearing loss as described by Jacobson 1985. Detailed analysis of the case records, suggested that abnormalities, however, were found with greater frequency in the group with multiple risk factors than in those with single clinical factors (36/133 vs 12/137), p value <0.001 (table 16). This has been substantiated by other studies also. Role of multiple risk factors in producing hearing impairment, however, is not highlighted in the high risk register provided by Joint Committee on infant hearing. On multiple logistic regression analysis, however, only 3 factors have been found to be significantly correlated to hearing impairment in the affected neonates (viz; hyperbilirubinemia at level exceeding indication for exchange transfusion, birth weight (<1500 gm) and sepsis. In order of importance, however, sepsis is the single most important adverse factor followed by VLBW and hyperbilirubinemia in exchange range. Rest of all other factors have been observed to have no significant bearing on the production of hearing impairment.

Rejection of preterm as a risk factor which varied from study done by Samani, Peschiluli and Fior (1990) which requires an explanation, this might be due to inclusion of neonates with advanced gestational age.

### V. Conclusion

Proportion of hearing impairment among high risk newborn was found to be 17.7% i.e. 177 in 1000 when compared to a prevalence of 1-4 in 1000 newborn in the general population. Out of the nine risk factors studied three risk factors viz. sepsis, very low birth weight and hyperbilirubinemia in exchange range were found to have strong association with hearing impairment. Newborns with multiple risk factors had more chance of hearing impairment when compared to those with single risk factor. Further studies with larger sample size and controls need to be done to confirm the findings of this study.

**Limitations Of The Study** - Larger sample size and controls from normal population need to be studied for more significant conclusions.
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