Root Resorption Associated with Rapid Molar Intruder (RMI)

Omar Y. Mostafa, Amr R. El-Beilya, Mohamed A. Bushnak,
Omar Y. Mostafa: Master Degree (Orthodontics) – Cairo University
Amr R. El-Beilya: Lecturer Of Orthodontics – Cairo University
Mohamed A. Bushnak: Professor Of Orthodontics – Cairo University

Abstract

Introduction: Treatment of anterior open bite is based upon either intrusion of posterior teeth or extrusion of anterior teeth. Intrusion of posterior teeth might carry the risk of External root resorption (ERR). ERR is one of the worst and least predictable side effects of the orthodontic tooth movement. Currently, cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) is considered the most accurate tool to evaluate the extent of ERR without extraction of the teeth.

Aim of the study: is to evaluate the effect of molar intrusion on the extent of external root resorption using CBCT.

Material and methods: Fifteen growing patients suffering from anterior open bite were treated by molar intrusion using rapid molar intruder (RMI) attached to a trans-palatal and lingual arches. CBCT was taken before treatment and after 6 months of active treatment. The length of the roots were compared prior to and after intrusion and statistically analyzed using descriptive tests and samples t test to evaluate the extent of ERR.

Results: The mean amount of root resorption was less than 1 mm and was considered clinically insignificant.

Conclusion: The root resorption following molar intrusion using RMI in growing patients was not significant statistically and clinically.
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I. Introduction

The treatment philosophy of anterior open bite is based upon either intrusion of posterior teeth or extrusion of anterior teeth. The extrusion of anterior teeth should be avoided or minimized in cases with gummy smile, excessive incisal show. Intrusion of molars would be the most suitable and stable treatment for anterior open bite especially in cases with adequate incisal show, increased lower facial height and incompetent lips.

Molar intrusion can be done using different techniques and a multitude of appliances. High-pull headgear, functional appliances, bite blocks, vertical-pull chin cups, spring loaded bite planes, repelling magnets and rapid molar intruder (RMI) were advocated for treatment of anterior open bite by molar intrusion. Miniplates and miniscrews have been reported to be effective for molar intrusion and to limit any increases in the vertical dimension. External root resorption (ERR) is one of the undesirable and unpredictable side effects of orthodontic tooth movement. Among the various orthodontic tooth movements, intrusion is the most blamed for causing external root resorption. The difficulty to assess the ERR has been simplified by the current cone beam computed tomography (CBCT). It is considered the most accurate tool to evaluate the extent of ERR without extraction of the teeth. The aim of this study is to evaluate the extent of ERR using the RMI method in a growing patient.

II. Material And Methods

Trial Design: A prospective clinical trial
Study setting and location: The study was carried out in the out-patient clinic of the Department of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, Faculty of Dentistry, Cairo University.

Eligibility criteria
1. Mild to moderate anterior open bite (1 – 5 mm)
2. Need molar intrusion
3. No Previous orthodontic treatment
4. No syndromes or congenital defects

Informed consent:
Each patient’s parent or guardian has to sign an informed consent contain the title, the aim, the steps, and the expected side effects of the study.
The aim of this study is to evaluate the extent of ex- tense root resorption associated with Rapid Molar Intruder (RMI). The change in root length was calculated and statistically analyzed

Statistical methods:
Measure data were collected, analyzed and compared. Descriptive tests and comparing pre and post results were done using the paired sample t tests. Confidence level (95%) and p-value (0.5). The inter and intra-observer reliability were tested and analyzed
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III. Results
The average intrusion of the upper molars was 1 mm and the lower molars was .82 mm. The mean amount of change in the root length was less than 1 mm and the difference at the length of the roots was not significant except for the upper right molar mesiobuccal root and upper left molar distobuccal root. But still it was less than 1 mm (table 1,2)

Generalizability
The results of the inter-observer and intra-observer reliability tests show acceptable reliability for all the measures.

IV. Discussion
Treatment of anterior open bite is based upon either intrusion of posterior teeth or extrusion of anterior teeth. In cases with adequate incisal show, increased lower facial height and incompetent lips, Molar intrusion would be the suitable treatment. Intrusion is the most orthodontic tooth movement blamed for causing external root resorption. CBCT is considered the most accurate tool to evaluate the extent of ERR without extraction of the teeth. Therefor The aim of this study is to evaluate the extent of external root resorption associated with molar intrusion using CBCT.

Molar intrusion was done using RMI to achieve a continuous light force for both upper and lower molars with no fixed appliance on the other teeth to avoid the effect of co-factors. RMI appliance joined to trans-palatal and lingual arches to avoid and buccal tipping of the molars. A cone beam computed tomography was performed on the maxilla and mandible, under the following parameters: Resolution (Voxel size): 0.3 mm, exposure time: 7 sec, anode voltage: 120 kV, Anode current: 5 mA and Sensor: CCD-detector. The DICOM files obtained from the radiology center were opened using software Invivo 5 upon which the landmarks were identified, references were done and measurements were detected.

Two separate observers (O.Y. and M.A.) did the measures, also the first observer done the measurements twice at different situations. The results of the inter-observer and intra-observer reliability tests show acceptable reliability for all the measures. Thus confirm the reliability of the CBCT and the software used. Total of 150 roots (90 maxillary and 60 mandibular) were measured before and after the intrusion. The change in root length was calculated and statistically analyzed. Mean amount of change in the root length was less than 1 mm and the
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difference at the length of the roots was not significant except for the upper right molar mesiobuccal root and upper left molar distobuccal root. But still it was less than 1 mm Heravi etal.\(^4\) used the miniscrews for the upper molar intrusion and also measure the length of the roots prior and after the intrusion, they found that Mean root resorption of 0.3 ± 0.2 mm for palatal root and 0.4 mm for mesiobuccal and distobuccal root was measured. And they considered these root resorption minor and clinically insignificant. These results were similar to the results of our study, the root resorption was more in the buccal roots than the palatal and was less than 1 mm for all the roots which is clinically insignificant.

V. Conclusion

The root resorption following molar intrusion using rapid molar intruder (RMI) in growing patients was not statistically nor clinically significant.
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Figure 1 RMI appliance attached to TPA and lingual arch
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Figure 2: The landmarks located at the In-vivo Anatomage soft-ware using 3D volume and refined on the 3 dimensions slice locator.

Results: Results of descriptive tests for the treatment group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean Pre</th>
<th>Mean Post</th>
<th>Mean Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UR6 MB</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18.35</td>
<td>17.94</td>
<td>-0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UR6 DB</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18.20</td>
<td>17.63</td>
<td>-0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UR6 P</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>19.89</td>
<td>19.58</td>
<td>-0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UL6 MB</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18.58</td>
<td>18.05</td>
<td>-0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UL6 DB</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18.33</td>
<td>18.21</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UL6 P</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>19.85</td>
<td>19.98</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LR6 M</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>19.48</td>
<td>19.44</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LR6 D</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>19.14</td>
<td>19.19</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL6 M</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>19.48</td>
<td>19.16</td>
<td>-0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL 6 D</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18.90</td>
<td>18.74</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results of Paired samples t Test for comparing PRE - POST of each variable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
<th>Std. Error Mean</th>
<th>Mean Difference</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
<th>Std. Error Mean</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval of the Difference</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>Df</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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| UR 6 MB | PRE | POS T | n | n | 1.46 | 0.38 | 0.28 | 0.19 | 1.10 | 0.0166 | P > 0.05 Non-Significant |
| UR 6 DR | PRE | POS T | n | n | 1.57 | 0.41 | 0.25 | 0.12 | 1.12 | 0.041 | P < 0.05 Significant |
| UR 6 F | PRE | POS T | n | n | 1.25 | 0.32 | 0.76 | 0.10 | 0.74 | 0.128 | P > 0.05 Non-Significant |
| UL 6 MB | PRE | POS T | n | n | 1.39 | 0.36 | 1.11 | 0.08 | 1.15 | 0.083 | P = 0.05 Almost Significant |
| UL 6 DR | PRE | POS T | n | n | 1.31 | 0.34 | 1.05 | 0.46 | 0.70 | 0.659 | P > 0.05 Non-Significant |
| UL 6 F | PRE | POS T | n | n | 1.37 | 0.35 | 0.82 | 0.58 | 0.33 | 0.553 | P > 0.05 Non-Significant |
| LR 6 M | PRE | POS T | n | n | 1.51 | 0.39 | 0.96 | 0.49 | 0.57 | 0.886 | P > 0.05 Non-Significant |
| LR 6 D | PRE | POS T | n | n | 1.28 | 0.33 | 0.79 | 0.48 | 0.39 | 0.837 | P > 0.05 Non-Significant |
| LL 6 M | PRE | POS T | n | n | 1.55 | 0.40 | 0.97 | 0.21 | 0.86 | 0.218 | P > 0.05 Non-Significant |
| LL 6 D | PRE | POS T | n | n | 1.22 | 0.32 | 1.20 | 0.51 | 0.81 | 0.631 | P > 0.05 Non-Significant |