The Efficacy of Nt- Pro Bnp with Reference To LVEF As A Marker of Ventricular Dysfunction in Cardiac Failure.

Dr. Anuva Mishra*, Dr. U.K.Das**, Sachin Singhi***, Prof (Dr) S. Mahapatra****,

*Assoc. Prof, Dept of Biochemistry, VIMSAR, Burla, ** Assoc.Prof, Dept of Biochemistry, SCB Medical College, Cuttack ***PG student, MPC Autonomous College, Baripada **** Dean and Principal, PRM Medical College, Baripada.

Abstract: Heart failure comprises the constellation of clinical symptoms and signs leading to frequent hospitalisation, poor quality of life due to failing heart muscle that cannot pump blood around the body effectively. The early and reliable diagnosis of left ventricular systolic function is done by ECHO to measure Left Ventricular Ejection fraction (LVEF). Due to the cost and limited availability, cost effective tools needs to be found out.

The aim of the study is to determine the efficacy of neuro-hormonal markers like NT pro BNP in predicting ventricular systolic dysfunction and its interrelationship with LVEF in heart failure cases.

The current case-control study includes 50 numbers of cardiac failure patients and an equal number of age and sex matched controls.

The biochemical parameters like fasting plasma glucose, cholesterol, creatine kinase (CK) and CK-MB were increased significantly (p < 0.001) while HDL was decreased significantly (p < 0.05) in cases in comparison to control. There was a significant increase of NT-pro BNP in cases compared to controls (p < 0.001). There was an inverse correlation betweenLVEF and NT- pro BNP (r = -0.64752). A cut off value of 97.9pg/ml gives a specificity of75%, sensitivity of 76%, positive predictive value of 28% and negative predictive value of 97% NT- pro BNP could be helpful in diagnosis of ventricular systolic dysfunction and immediate intervention. **Keywords:-**Heart failure, Brain Natriuretic peptides, LVEF.

I. Introduction

Heart failure (HF) is a clinical syndrome in which there is an abnormality of cardiac structure or function responsible for the inability of the heart to eject or fill with blood at a rate commensurate with the requirement of the metabolised tissue. HF results in constellation of clinical manifestations including in various combinations circulating congestion, dyspnoea, fatigue and weakness affecting up to 6-10% of people above 65 years. In HF cardiac output and stroke volume may be depressed. A more sensitive index is a decrease in ejection fraction (Stroke volume/ End diastolic volume) the normal value of which is 67 ± 8 %, although in approximately half of patients EF tends to remain normal.⁽²⁾

HF begins with the initial decline in the pumping capacity followed by varieties of compensatory mechanism. As time elapses the activity of compensatory mechanism leads to end organ damage within the ventricle, worsening left ventricular remodelling and subsequent decompensation. An early and reliable diagnosis of left ventricular systolic dysfunction is important for the patients. Because of costs and limited availability, ECHO (echocardiography) and radionucleotide ventriculography are not suitable as primary diagnosing screening tools, so new diagnostic tools are needed. LVEF has also certain limitations as a true measure of contractility as it is influenced by alteration in preload and or after load. In this respect, neurohormonal markers could be helpful in the diagnosis of left ventricular dysfunction.^(4,5,6)

Natriuretic peptides are of three types known as ANP (atrial natriuetic peptide), BNP (B- type natriuetic peptide / brain natriuetic peptide) and CNP (C- type natriuetic peptide). ANP is secreted primarily from atrium, BNP from cardiac ventricles and CNP is localised in endothelium. In case of acute loading BNP is shown to be produced quickly. Thus in this regard BNP is superior than ANP.BNP was first isolated from porcine brain (32 amino acids protein) and also found in the human brain. It is predominantly secreted from the heart ventricle.⁽⁸⁾ Pro BNP (108 amino acid) is stored in secretary granules in myocyte, is then broken down by protease to 32 amino acid BNP and N-terminal pro BNP (NT- pro BNP) as a result of increased wall tension in ventricles.⁽⁹⁾ NT- pro BNP has longer half life than BNP and is not affected by the administration of exogenous BNP.⁽¹⁰⁾ NT- pro BNP is more dependent on renal clearance and the half life is 2 hrs as compared to BNP (t¹/₂ = 22 min.).Both serum pro BNP and NT- pro BNP levels are consistently increased with the severity of the disease according to the heart failure classification of NewYork heart Association(NYHA).⁽¹²⁾

II. Materials And Methods

This case control study was undertaken in the department of Biochemistry in collaboration with the department of Cardiology, SCB Medical College, Cuttack. 50 numbers of patients with diagnosed cardiac failure were included as cases. The inclusion criteria were sign, symptoms of cardiac failure (respiratory rate $\geq 40/$ min, pulmonary oedema, generalised oedema, evidence of ischemia and LVEF $\leq 50\%$ of normal). The patients having h/o major surgery or trauma within 6 months, malignancy, pregnancy, acute coronary syndrome, and serum creatinine > 2.5 mg% were excluded from the study. 50 numbers of healthy control (age & sex matched) taken for the study.

The routine biochemical parameters (FPG, urea, creatinine, lipid profile) were estimated using standard kits with TOSHIBA 120 FR auto analyzer. Serum electrolytes were estimated by using ion selective electrode. CK and CK-MB were estimated by dry chemistry analyser. NT pro BNP was estimated by ELISA kit with LISASCAN EM. All values were expressed in mean \pm SD.

III. Observation

Table – 1	-Incidence of diff	erent causes	leading to	cardiac failure.
Diseas	e present	No. of	cases	Incidence in %

Disease present	NO. 01	cases	Incidence in %
Coronary arterial disease	1	9	3 8
Chronic volume overload	0	6	1 2
Rheumatic heart disease	0	5	1 0
Valvular disease	0	5	1 0
Cardiomyopathy	0	3	0 6
Conduction defect	0	2	0 4
Others(includingcorpulmonale)	1	0	2 0

Table -2 – Biochemical	parameters among case & control groups
	parameters among case & control groups

Parameters	Control (50 no)	Cases (50 no)	'P' value
	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD	
F P G (mg%)	90.94 ± 14.25	$1\ 2\ 5\ \pm\ 6\ 3\ .\ 1\ 8$	< 0 . 0 0 1 *
S. Urea (mg%)	23.61 ± 6.09	34.36 ± 11.19	> 0.05
S. Creatinine(mg%)	0.89 ± 0.17	1.02 ± 0.52	< 0 . 0 0 1 *
Cholesterol (mg%)	153.71 ± 35.41	184.12 ± 48.77	< 0 . 0 0 1 *
TG (mg%)	123.13 ± 33.11	199.6 ± 73.29	> 0 . 0 5
LDL (mg%)	86.19 ± 23.28	106.64 ± 39.96	< 0 . 0 5 *
HDL (mg%)	38.40 ± 6.05	35.28 ± 8.34	< 0 . 0 5 *
V L D L (mg%)	25.53 ± 8.51	40.14 ± 14.73	> 0 . 0 5
$Na^{+}(mmo1/1t)$	137.61 ± 2.2	131.0 ± 14.45	< 0 . 0 5 *
K ⁺ (mmol/lt)	3.67 ± 0.29	4.52 ± 1.29	< 0 . 0 0 1 *
CK (IU/Lt)	35.8 ± 11.2	77.3 ± 28.9	< 0 . 0 0 1 *
CK-MB (IU/Lt)	3.3 ± 1.23	21.4 ± 9.5	< 0 . 0 0 1 *

The biochemical parameters assigned with '*' shows p- value significantly raised in cases in comparison to control group.

 Table-3 – Comparison of NT-pro BNP level between cases and controls

	NT-pro BNP (pg / ml)	ʻp' value
ontrols (50 nos)	$4 \ 1 \ . \ 0 \ 6 \ \pm \ 1 \ 7 \ . \ 6 \ 7$	
ases (50 nos)	$1 9 4 . 7 \pm 6 8 . 9$	< 0 . 0 0 1

Table-4 – Co-relation between NT-pro BNP value and left ventricular Ejection fraction (LVEF)

	in heart failure cases.																		
NT-pro BNP pg /ml)						Average LVEF in %					•	r	,	v	a	1	u	e	
1	0	0	-	2	0	0	4	6		9	9								
2	0	0	-	3	0	0	4	4		1	9	I		0	6	4	7	5	2
3	0	0	-	4	0	0	3	9		7	4								
>		4		0		0	3	5		2	5								

Table -5 – Diagnostic value of NT-pro BNP for detection of a LVEF of \leq 40 % (cut off value of 97.9 pg/ml)

_	(cut off value of yris pg/m)											
S	ensiti	ivity	Sp	pecif	icity	Posi	tive predi	ictive value	Negative predictive value			
7	5	%	7	6	%	4	8	%	9	7	%	

C C

IV. Discussion

There is no agreed upon first line test for the diagnosis of heart failure and no simple method for measuring the adequacy of cardiac output in relation to normal levels of activity. To confirm clinically suspected heart failure usually LVEF is estimated which is seldom affected in diastolic heart failure with preserved systolic function. Natriuretic peptides like BNP secreted by heart have following functions (1) down regulation of the sympathetic nervous system and rennin-angiotensin system (2) facilitates natriuresis (3) decreases peripheral vascular resistance (4) increases smooth muscle relaxation. Natriuretic peptides also inhibit cardiac growth and hypertrophy, counteracting the mitogenesis that causes ventricular remodelling.^(13,14,15)BNP Is secreted in response to left ventricular stretching , wall tension or overload and thus can also diagnose diastolic heart failure with preserved LVEF which is common in women and at old age which is evaluated in the present study.⁽¹⁶⁾

Table-1 shows the incidence of various aetiologies leading to cardiac failure. The most common cause is coronary vascular disease (38 %) followed by hypertension. The biochemical parameters in table-2 shows significant increase in FPG among cases (P<0.001) due increased incidence of heart failure in of diabetic patients. Serum creatinine shows significantly increased vales in cases without a concomitant rise in urea values. This could be due to associated hypertrophy or degeneration of cardiac myocytes. There is also significant increase in cholesterol (P<0.001) and decrease in HDL values (p<0.05) respectively. The decrease in Na⁺ & increase in K⁺ provide additional evidence of cardiac failure and may also be due to use of diuretics and antihypertensive agents.

The CK and CK-MB isozymes are increased significantly in cases (p<0.001) as a marker of acute coronary syndrome which is the major cause of heart failure in our study. The specific biomarker estimated is NT-pro BNP (Table 3) increased significantly in cases in comparison to control group (p<0.001). Here we tried to eliminate the effect of age by taking age matched controls. The results of this study are in accordance with other studies. Table 4 shows the correlation between NT Pro BNP and LVEF in cardiac failure cases. We found a concomitant increase in NT Pro BNP values with a fall in LVEF values. Thus a significant negative correlation exists between these two values (r = -0.64752) which is in accordance other studies.

When the value of NT Pro BNP was evaluated as a diagnostic biomarker for cardiac failure, we found a good sensitivity of 75%, specificity of 76%, positive predictive value of 48% and a strong negative predictive value of 97% using a cut off value of 97.9 pg/ml.

V. Conclusion

The main finding of this study is significant rise in NT- pro BNP with decrease in LVEF. The strong negative predictive value makes it a valuable biomarker which can be used to exclude heart failure in patients presenting with similar signs and symptoms of heart failure. It can also be used as a biomarker in institutions lacking echocardiography or in heart failure cases with preserved LVEF

Bibliography

- [1]. Eugen Braunwald . Heart Failure & corpulmonale. Harrisons Principle of Internal Medicine. 16th Edn. 2005; vol-II : 1367
- [2]. Eugen Braunwald . Normal & abnormal myocardial Function. Harrisons Principle of Internal Medicine. 16th Edn. 2005; vol-II: 1361-62
- [3]. D. Mann. Mechanism & Models in Heart failure. Circulation 1999;100:999-1008
- [4]. Mc Donagh T A, Morrison C E, Lawrence a et.al. Symptomatic & asymptomatic left ventricular systolic dysfunction in an urban population. Lancet 1997 ;350:829-33
- [5]. Cowie MR, Struthers AD, Wood DA, et.al Value of Natriuretic peptides in assessment of patients with possible new heart failure in primary care. Lancet 1997;350: 1349-53
- [6]. Mc Donagh TA, Robb SD, Murdoch DR et.al Biochemical detection . Lancet 1989 ;351:9-13
- [7]. Lainsca KM, Vom HS, AnkerSD.Natriuretic peptides and other biochemical markers i chronic heart failure: fro BP, NT- pro BNP & MR – pro ANP to routine biochemical markers. Int J Cardiol 2009;132(3): 303-11
- [8]. Sadoh T, Kangawa K, Minamino W, Matsu H. A new natriureti peptide in porcine brain. Nature 1988; 332(6159) : 78-81
- [9]. Lianj F, O Rear J, Schellenberger U, Tai L, Lasecki M, Schreiner GF et. al Evidence for functional heterogenicity of circulatory Btype Natriuretic peptide. J Am Coll Cardiol 2007;49(10):17071-8
- [10]. De DS, Pharand C, Williamson DR. Brain Natriuretic peptide in the management of heart failure; the versatile eurohormone. Chest 2004;125(2):652-68
- [11]. Pencock WF. Congestive cardiac failure & acute pulmonary edema. In Tintinalli JE, Stapczynski JS, Ma OJ, Cline DM, Cydulka RK, Meckler GD, editors Tintinalli's Emergency Medicine. A comprehensive study guide 7th Edn NewYork McGrew Hill; 2011 pp405-15
- [12]. Groenning BA, Nilsson JC, Sondergaard L, Kjaer A, Larsson HB, Hildebrandt PR. Evaluation of impired left ventricular ejection fraction and increased dimension by multiple neuerohormonal plasma concentrations. Eur J Heart Fail 2001;3(6):699-708
- [13]. Holms SJ, Espiner EA, Richards AM, Yandle TG, Framton C, Renal, endocrine & hemodyanamic effects of human brain Natriuretic peptides in normal man. J Clin Endocrinol metab 1993;76:91-6
- [14]. Levin ER, Gardner DG, Samson WK. Natriuretic peptides. N Engl J Med 1998;339:321-8
- [15]. Yoshimura M, Yasue H, Morita E, Sakaino N, Jougasaki M, Kurose M, etal. Hemodynamic, renal & hormonal responses to brain Natriuretic infusion inpatients with congestive heart failure. Circulation 1991;84:1581-8

- [16]. Yasue H, oshimura M , Sumida H, Kikuta K, Kugiyama K, Jougasaki M etal Localisation and mechanism of secretion of B - type ntriuretic peptide in comparison with those of A - type Natriuretic peptide in normal subjects and patients with heart failure. Circulation 1994;90:195-203.
- Mair J, Friedl W, Thomas S, Puschendrof B. Natriuretic peptides in assessment of left ventricular dysfunction . Scand J Clin Lab invest Suppl 1999;230:132-42 [17].
- C T Gan, G P McCann, JT Marcus, Sa Vanwolferen, JW Twisk, A Boonstra, PE Pistmus & A Vonk- Noordegraaf, NT pro BNP [18]. reflects right ventricular structure and function in pulmonary hypertension, Eur Respir J 2006;28:1190-1194 Oh JK Echocardiography in heart failure : Beyond diagnosis Eur J Echocardiogr 2007;8(1) :4-14
- [19].