A Study of Stress Induced Biochemical Changes In Pregnancy

Dr.Mohana Lakshmi Jonnadula¹, Dr.Sireesha Maraju²,

^{1,2}Assistant Professor, Department of Biochemistry, Guntur Medical College, Guntur.

Abstract:-

Aim:- To assess the risk of stress induced biochemical changes in pregnancy in view of their significant impact in developing diabetes and coronary heart disease through metabolic syndrome.

Materials and Methods: - 15 cases of Primis in first trimester.

15 cases of Primis in third trimester with normal blood pressure.

15 cases of Primis in third trimester with PIH.

Fasting blood glucose, Serum total proteins, Serum total triglycerides, Serum total cholesterol, Serum HDL-Cholesterol and Serum Ceruloplasmin were estimated.

Results:- There was increase in levels of fasting glucose, total triglycerides, total cholesterol and ceruloplasmin and decrease in levels of HDL and total proteins as the pregnancy is progressing with further exaggeration in PIH.The change in normal pregnancy is serum hypertriglyceridaemia which may be as high as two to three folds in third trimester over the levels in non pregnant women.A significant decrease in HDL levels were observed in pre-eclamptic pregnant women.Plasma ceruloplasmin (CP) activity increased significantly (p<0.05) as pregnancy progressed.There was 3.60 fold increase in risk of pre-eclampsia among women with total cholesterol > 205mg / dl and 4.15 fold increase in risk of pre-eclampsia among women with triglycerides > 133mg /dl.

Conclusion:- The present study concludes that pregnancy is a stressful condition resulting in varied biochemical changes. These changes are exaggerated when pregnant women have associated hypertension which is a risk factor of metabolic syndrome and they are possibly prone to diseases like diabetes mellitus, coronary artery diseases and stroke.

Keywords:- Metabolic syndrome, PIH, Preeclampsia, coronary artery diseases, stroke, Hypertriglyceridaemia, Ceruloplasmin.

I. Introduction

Stress is inevitable in everyday life and it affects mental and physical well-being both beneficially and detrimentally. 'Stress' was defined by Hans Selye, a physician who investigated stress as "the non-specific response of the body to any demand"¹ and the cause of stress as "stressor". These stressors may be physiological or psychological. Physiological stressors like trauma, infection affect human organ systems directly through catabolic changes. Psychological stressors affect human organ systems directly via the psycho-neuro-endocrino-immune network² and also by modulation of human behavior. One individual's perception of a particular stressor will differ from another's. Factors affecting stress perception may be related to environment and related to physical interaction of environment with the individual (objective) or related to individual feelings (subjective). Among these three factors, the individual related psychological factors (subjective) are those most directly affect the individual health.

Brain-to-immune pathway -Two major axes have been proposed in the stress response: The Sympathetic Adreno-Medulla pathway (SAM) and The Hypothalamus-Pituitary-Adrenal(HPA) axis. The fight or flight response and emotions are associated with increased activity in sympathetic nervous system. There are two pathways by which these sympathetic signals are conveyed to target organs. One through direct neural connections where norepinephrine acts as a neurotransmitter and the other through SAM pathway where epinephrine is released from adrenal glands and travel through blood stream. The sympathetic neural fibers descend from brain into a neural terminal both in primary and secondary lymphoid tissues. Immune cells also express catecholamine receptors. So catecholamines, norepinephrine and epinephrine activate immune response including an increase of NK cells and cytotoxic T-cells³.Cortisol the main secreted hormone in HPA axis is the major stress related hormone⁴. Major immune organs like spleen and thymus are rich in cortisol receptors. There is consistent order of response in change levels of mediators between SAM and HPA axes, i.e. an increase of cortisol levels was observed after an increase of catecholamine levels. This suggests that catecholamines may promote cortisol secretion so that the two main axes of brain-to-immune pathway communicate with each other. Pro-inflammatory cytokines like IL-1, IL-6 and TNF- α can activate SAM and HPA axes⁵. IL-1 particularly was shown to be capable of increasing permeability of blood brain barrier. Cytokines released are affecting peripheral nerves and brain as well as peripheral immune cells. These pro-inflammatory cytokines are also demonstrated to initiate sickness behavior like fatigue, sleepiness and anorexia. This suggests that there is direct interaction between immune cells and brain.So it is proposed that intercellular interactions and intracellular mechanisms in each level of psycho-neuro-endocrino-immune network enable the immune system to maintain homeostasis in health.

Acute stress is defined as stress that has its effects within one day. In the nervous system, acute stress activates the secretary neurons through aminergic and GABA-ergic innervations in the autonomic nervous system⁶. In the immune system acute stress increases the number of NK-cells in the blood stream and NK cytotoxic activity. Acute stress acting through the SAM and HPA axes 1) Promotes inflammation as an alarm response which in turn stimulates SAM axis to produce catecholamines which in turn promotes the secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines.

2) Increases the levels of cortisol which can counteract the alarm signal and suppress activation of the immune system. Cortisol has been considered as main stress hormone which can suppress the immune response by affecting targets mainly through its glucocorticoid receptors.

Sustained stress is defined as stress that has its effects for more than one day, i.e. over more than one circadian rhythm. Sustained stress induces various changes in psycho-neuro-endocrino immune network. It increases blood brain barrier permeability and inhibitory GABA-ergic nervous activity was attenuated under sustained stress⁷. Sustained stress has been demonstrated to alter tissue specific distribution of lymphocyte sub-population and specifically peripheral NK-cell levels are decreased⁸. Mechanisms which contribute to suppress cellular immune responses are 1) Due to sustained exposure to high levels of cortisol is associated with multiple positive feedback loops in HPA axes and it exacerbate the imbalance of interactions within psycho-neuro-endocrino immune network. 2) Accumulated negative feedbacks from repetitive responses in both SAM and HPA axes result in impaired reactions in their hormone secretions. Any type of stress either physiological or psychological triggers a characteristic hypermetabolic state in which resting energy expenditure is increased along with body temperature. The extent and pattern of metabolic changes vary with the type as well as severity of stress experienced. The stress syndrome shows certain common features like 1) Marked increase in release of amino acids from tissue proteins particularly from skeletal muscle.2) Increase in hepatic gluconeogenesis resulting in hyperglycemia. 3) Increase in synthesis of specific proteins for example those proteins involved in acute phase of inflammatory response and those concerned with repair of injured tissue.

Carbohydrate Metabolism:-Tissue injury prompts increased levels of cytokines, glucagon, cortisol, catecholamines and insulin. In presence of stress insulin does not display its usual spectrum of actions. Insulin resistance in stress is promoted by high concentrations of counter regulatory hormones. They minimize glucose utilization by tissues resulting in hyperglycemia.

Hyperglycemia $\uparrow ATP, \uparrow NADH \rightarrow \downarrow Glucose$ utilisation $\rightarrow \downarrow Glycolysis$

The other effects of stress on carbohydrate metabolism are Gluconeogenesis and increased Glycogenolysis.

Lipid Metabolism:-Increase in oxaloaceticacid and acetyl CoA $\rightarrow \uparrow$ Cholesterol synthesis

Protein Metabolism:- Cortisol, Catecholamines $\rightarrow \uparrow$ protein degradation $\rightarrow \uparrow$ Proteolysis $\rightarrow \uparrow\uparrow$ amino acids. Amino acids released enter the liver and these are responsible for increased Apo-protein synthesis, increased triglyceride synthesis and increase in cholesterol esterification. \uparrow Apo-protein synthesis $\rightarrow \uparrow\uparrow$ Lipoprotein synthesis. This increased lipoprotein synthesis in stress is responsible for hyper lipoproteinemia. Stress when managed properly provides us the drive to meet new challenges. However when physical or emotional stress builds up to uncomfortable levels it can be harmful for pregnant woman. In short, a high level of stress can cause fatigue, sleeplessness, anxiety, poor appetite or overeating, headaches and backaches. When a high level of stress continues for a long period it may contribute to potentially serious health problems such as lowered resistance to infectious diseases, high blood pressure and heart diseases. Studies also suggest that high levels of stress may pose special risks during pregnancy. Pregnancy related discomforts such as nausea, fatigue, frequent urination, backache can be stressful in pregnant women. Hormonal changes are also responsible for mood swings experienced during pregnancy.

Effects of stress on pregnancy:--1) Preterm labour:- Corticotrophin Releasing Hormone (CRH) is the first hormone our brain secretes when we are under stress. Pregnant women who experience high levels of stress have high levels of CRH in their blood⁹. CRH released prompts the body to release chemicals called prostaglandins which trigger uterine contractions. This is the reason for preterm labour.2) Low birth weight:- Stress related hormones such as norepinephrine constrict blood flow to placenta¹⁰. So the baby may not receive the nutrients and oxygen it needs for optimal growth. This results in low birth weight.3) Alterations in brain development:- Exposure to uncontrollable stress during pregnancy results in a heightened elevation of plasma corticosterone¹¹. This was accompanied by a significant decrease in maternal levels of corticosterone may be highly effective in producing alterations in brain development of offspring. Chronic stress causes decreased weight gain, higher blood pressure, lower endothelial-derived relaxing factor and lower fetal weight.

Another important stress induced effect in pregnancy is PIH (pregnancy induced hypertension). Preeclampsia is a more serious form of PIH. It is diagnosed when a mother's blood pressure is higher than 140/90 in the last 20wks of pregnancy. An abnormal lipid profile is known to be strongly associated with atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease and has a direct effect on endothelial dysfunction. Most important feature in toxemia of pregnancy is hypertension which is supposed to be due to vasospastic phenomenon in kidney, uterus, placenta and brain. Altered lipid synthesis leading to decrease in PGI₂/TxA₂ ratio is also supposed to be an important way of pathogenesis in PIH¹². Thus abnormal lipid metabolism seems important in pathogenesis of PIH. The hormonal imbalance is a prime factor for etiopathogenesis of PIH and this endocrinal imbalance is well reflected in alteration of serum lipid profile. Women who develop hypertension during pregnancy face a higher risk for stroke, coronary heart disease, hypertension and microalbuminuria later in life¹³. Women in whom PIH eventually develops are more likely to enter pregnancy overweight and some of the risk factors characterizing atherosclerosis such as dyslipidaemia (hypertriglyceridemia, low levels of HDL, and raised small LDL cholesterol)¹⁴, insulin resistance¹⁵ and endothelial dysfunction¹⁶ are demonstrated. These metabolic aberrations like increased adiposity, dyslipidaemia, hyperglycemia and elevated blood pressure are reminiscent of metabolic syndrome. This syndrome is considered as an independent risk factor for cardiovascular disease.¹ Based on documented evidence the women who suffer from PIH present a syndrome similar to metabolic syndrome and these women seem at increased risk of developing cardiovascular disease later in life.

II. Materials and methods

Controls- For the present study women who were selected were primis of first trimester without hypertension, proteinuria and edema who were taken as Group A(15 cases).

Cases- In this study women who were primis in third trimester were divided into two groups (B & C). In Group B (15 cases) women selected were with gestational age between 28 weeks and 39 weeks without hypertension, proteinuria and edema. In Group C (15 cases) women selected were with gestational age between 28weeks and 39weeks with hypertension, proteinuria and with or without edema. All cases and controls that were pregnant were asked to come to biochemistry laboratory on overnight fasting at 9 A.M. After brief clinical examination i.e. taking relevant history, recording blood pressure, measuring weight; blood sample was taken for the measurements of following parameters-- Estimation of glucose, Serum total proteins, Lipid profile(Total triglycerides,Total cholesterol,HDL), Ceruloplasmin levels.

III. RESULTS

S.No	Age	G age in wks	Total Protei n	FG mg/dl	TCH mg/dl	HDL mg/ dl	TTG mg/dl	Cerulo Plasmin (Ravin	Systolic (mmHg)	Diastolic (mm Hg)	Wt Kgs
			(gm/dl)					Units)			
1	21	12	7.3	60	126	50	88	190	110	80	41
2	17	12	7.6	72	152	62	104	150	116	70	41
3	21	10	7.1	67	131	60	103	370	100	80	42
4	23	11	7.6	64	127	51	119	290	110	70	40
5	24	10	7.0	71	202	58	152	300	110	80	76
6	18	11	7.0	60	178	58	163	230	120	70	45
7	19	12	6.5	68	159	58	112	170	110	70	45
8	17	10	6.7	60	158	52	91	140	110	80	47
9	24	10	7.0	64	184	55	114	210	110	80	70
10	20	11	7.7	70	208	51	162	240	110	80	55
11	19	10	7.6	67	144	50	108	120	118	80	50
12	19	10	8.4	69	148	58	102	140	110	80	36
13	31	10	7.7	86	166	55	172	190	110	70	70
14	21	11	7.3	64	210	56	189	380	120	70	68
15	18	11	7.1	65	137	52	108	120	110	80	35
Mean	20.8	10.79	7.306	67.13 3	162	55.06	125.8	216	111.6	76	50.73
S.D.±		0.798	0.474	6.512 2	28.695	3.881	32.426	85.255	5.0709	5.07	13.68 7

 Table 1: GROUP A: Primis in first trimester

S.No	Age	G age	Total	FG	ТСН	HD	TTG	Cerulo	Systolic	Diastolic	Wt
		in wks	Protein	mg/dl	mg/dl	L	mg/dl	Plasmin	(mmHg)	(mm Hg)	Kg
			(gm/dl)			mg/		(Ravin			s
						dl		Units)			
1	20	38	6.5	64	242	56	204	390	110	70	57
2	22	36	5.2	71	214	50	168	480	110	70	70
3	28	36	7.3	77	250	48	134	400	110	70	65
4	20	36	5.0	72	180	48	175	420	100	70	50
5	25	34	7.0	68	184	45	162	360	110	80	55
6	20	39	6.4	80	192	50	220	400	120	80	56
7	18	39	6.2	78	130	47	218	470	120	80	45
8	20	38	6.3	82	177	50	123	540	120	70	39
9	20	39	6.0	81	183	46	140	380	120	80	50
10	19	39	6.5	75	185	45	150	230	120	70	51
11	18	37	7.0	76	193	55	216	500	120	70	54
12	20	37	6.2	82	176	50	182	250	100	60	55
13	20	38	6.6	71	198	57	219	410	110	80	56
14	19	33	5.8	74	150	48	212	420	110	80	50
15	17	36	5.6	73	209	45	217	330	120	80	65
Mean	20.4	37	6.24	74.93	190.8	49.3	182.66	406	113.33	74	54.5
					6	3					
S.D.±		1.85	0.6467	5.2707	30.56	3.92	34.933	84.588	7.2374	6.3245	7.90
					8	1					9

Table 2: GROUP B: Primis in third trimester with normal blood pressure

S.No	Age	G age	Total	FG	ТСН	HDL	TTG	Cerulo	Systolic	Diastolic	Wt
		in wks	Protei	mg/dl	mg/dl	mg/	mg/dl	Plasmin	(mmHg)	(mm Hg)	Kgs
			n			dl		(Ravin			
			(gm/dl)					Units)			
1	21	38	4.2	90	210	45	216	550	140	90	50
2	19	39	5.7	85	158	42	194	640	130	90	56
3	22	34	6.8	70	228	42	171	480	140	90	50
4	20	36	4.5	88	205	45	174	600	130	100	66
5	19	37	4.2	98	255	46	189	490	140	100	70
6	22	32	3.5	80	225	45	234	550	150	100	54
7	19	36	6.5	78	161	42	209	390	140	100	57
8	22	37	6.0	100	248	45	220	390	150	110	52
9	19	37	6.8	74	243	44	202	460	130	100	50
10	21	36	5.6	84	233	42	248	360	150	110	50
11	20	38	6.0	76	241	46	217	500	170	100	65
12	22	36	4.6	102	210	43	214	620	130	110	55
13	21	37	5.2	82	215	46	190	540	140	100	48
14	20	36	3.8	68	240	45	236	580	150	100	60
15	20	38	4.6	106	190	43	210	620	130	90	52
Mean	20.4	36.46	5.2	85.4	217.46	44.06	208.26	518	141.33	99.33	55.6
S.D.±		1.725	1.0875	11.81	29.539	1.579	22.089	89.567	11.254	7.0373	6.74

Table 3: GROUP C: Primis in third trimester with PIH

Table: 4

GROUP A :	Primis in first trimester
GROUP B :	Primis in third trimester with normal blood pressure

	GRO	UP A	GRO	UP B	Р	Significance
	Average	S.D.±	Average	S.D.±	value	
FG	67.133	6.5122	74.93	5.2707	< 0.01	Significant
Total	162	28.695	190.86	30.568	< 0.05	Significant
Cholesterol						
Total	125.8	32.426	182.66	34.933	< 0.001	Highly
Triglycerides						Significant
HDL	55.06	3.881	49.33	3.921	< 0.01	Significant
Total proteins	7.3	0.474	6.24	0.6467	< 0.001	Highly
						Significant
Ceruloplasmin	216	85.255	406	84.588	< 0.001	Highly
						Significant

	GRO	UP B	GRO	UP C	Р	Significance
	Average	S.D.±	Average	S.D.±	value	-
FG	74.93	5.2707	85.4	11.818	< 0.01	Significant
Total	190.86	30.568	217.46	29.539	< 0.05	Significant
Cholesterol						
Total	182.66	34.933	208.26	22.089	< 0.05	Significant
Triglycerides						
HDL	49.33	3.921	44.06	1.579	< 0.001	Highly
						Significant
Total proteins	6.24	0.6467	5.2	1.0875	< 0.01	Significant
Systolic BP	113.33	7.2374	141.33	11.254	< 0.001	Highly
						Significant
Diastolic BP	74	6.3245	99.33	7.0373	< 0.001	Highly
						Significant
Ceruloplasmin	406	84.588	518	89.567	< 0.01	Significant

Table:5 GROUP B : Primis in third trimester with normal blood pressure GROUP C: Primis in third trimester with PIH

Table:6

	GRC	UP A	GR	OUP C	Р	Significance
	Average	S.D.±	Average	S.D.±	value	
FG	67.133	6.5122	85.4	11.818	< 0.001	Highly
						Significant
Total	162	28.695	217.46	29.539	< 0.001	Highly
Cholesterol						Significant
Total	125.8	32.426	208.26	22.089	< 0.001	Highly
Triglycerides						Significant
HDL	55.06	3.881	44.06	1.579	< 0.001	Highly
						Significant
Total proteins	7.3	0.474	5.2	1.0875	< 0.001	Highly
						Significant
Systolic BP	111.6	5.0821	141.33	11.254	< 0.001	Highly
						Significant
Diastolic BP	76	5.07	99.33	7.0373	< 0.001	Highly
						Significant
Ceruloplasmin	216	85.255	518	89.567	< 0.001	Highly
-						Significant

GROUP A : Primis in first trimester GROUP C: Primis in third trimester with PIH

IV. Discussion

In the current study it is seen that there was increase in levels of fasting glucose, total triglycerides, total cholesterol and ceruloplasmin and decrease in levels of HDL and total proteins as the pregnancy is progressing with further exaggeration in PIH. Catalano et al¹⁸ in their studies stated that there was a significant increase in fasting hepatic glucose production in late gestation when compared to early gestation. The increase in fasting hepatic glucose production is evidence for decreased hepatic insulin sensitivity in late gestation. The increase in fasting hepatic glucose production is believed to be necessary to provide for the increase in fasting fetal and placental glucose requirements in late gestation. Jayanta De et al in their studies showed that there is serum hypertriglyceridaemia which may be as high as two to three folds in third trimester over the levels in non pregnant women. The principle modulator of this hypertriglyceridaemia is estrogen as pregnancy is associated with hyperestrogenaemia. Estrogen induces hepatic biosynthesis of endogenous triglycerides which is carried by VLDL. Serum triglycerides concentrations also rose in PIH pregnant women ¹⁹. In their studies a significant decrease in HDL levels were observed in pre-eclamptic pregnant women.

Daniel et al studied the relationship between early pregnancy plasma lipid concentrations and preeclampsia. Women who subsequently developed pre-eclampsia had 13.6% and 15.5% higher concentrations of triglycerides and LDL/HDL ratios than did control subjects with p<0.05. The HDL cholesterol concentrations were 7.0% lower in women with pre-eclampsia than did controls. There was 3.60 fold increase in risk of preeclampsia among women with total cholesterol > 205 mg / dl and 4.15 fold increase in risk of pre-eclampsia among women with triglycerides > 133 mg /dl. In his studies he concluded that early pregnancy dyslipidemia is associated with increased risk of pre-eclampsia. Smith et al studied that plasma Cu and plasma ceruloplasmin (CP) activity increased significantly (p<0.05) as pregnancy progressed. Plasma ceruloplasmin concentration increases about 10 fold during development and further rises up to threefold during pregnancy . Elevated serum ceruloplasmin has been found to be a risk factor for coronary heart disease²⁰ and a strong correlation exists between serum ceruloplasmin and serum lipid oxidation. Isomaa et al²¹ established the link between the metabolic syndrome and cardiovascular disease in their studies. It has been shown that clustering of the risk factors associated with this syndrome acts synergistically resulting in a higher risk of cardiovascular disease. Prevalence of the metabolic syndrome was 3.4- fold and 4.9- fold higher in women who had PIH in their first pregnancy compared with those with normotensive pregnancy. zetabolic syndrome may play a role in the pathophysiology linking PIH to long-term cardiovascular disease²². From these studies it is suggested that the metabolic syndrome could represent the biologic link explaining the increased morbidity and mortality from later cardiovascular disease observed among women who have had PIH. These findings emphasize the importance of introducing measures to prevent, screen for, and treat the metabolic syndrome shortly after the index pregnancy among women who have had PIH.

V. Conclusion

From the present study it is concluded that pregnancy is a stressful condition as evidenced by changes in biochemical parameters like increase in fasting glucose, abnormal lipid profile and decrease in total protein levels as the pregnancy is progressing. These changes are exaggerated when these pregnant women have associated hypertension which is one of the risk factors of metabolic syndrome. Thus these pregnant women are possibly prone to diseases like diabetes mellitus, coronary artery diseases and stroke.

So in this study it is seen that pregnancy with hypertension is possibly associated with metabolic syndrome. By properly managing the stress in pregnancy, complications like PIH due to stress can be avoided and by properly managing the hypertension the risk of CAD and stroke in future can be reduced. So, every one especially pregnant women needs to identify subjectively the personal and work related sources of stress in their life and know effective ways to deal to minimize them thus one can lead a healthy life.

References

- [1]. Selye, H. (1936). "A syndrome produced by diverse nocuous agents." Nature138:32.
- Cohen, S. and Herbert, T.B. (1996). "Health psychology: psychological factors and physical [2]. disease
- from the perspective of human psychoneuroimmunology." Annu Rev Psychol 47: 113-42. Hennig, J., Netter, P., et al. (2000). "Mechanisms of changes in lymphocyte numbers after psychological stress." Z Rheumatol 59 [3]. Suppl 2: II/ 43-8.
- [4]. Hucklebridge, F., Sen, S., et al. (1998). "The relationship between circadian patterns of salivary cortisol and endogenous inhibitor of monoamine oxidase A. " Lif e Sci 62(25): 2321-8.
- Perlstein, R.S., Wht mall, M.H., et al. (1993). " Synergistic roles of Interleukin-6, Interleukin-1, [5]. Tumor Necrosis Factor in the adrenocorticotropin response to bacterial lipopolysaccharide invivo." Endocrinology 132: 946-952.
- Cole,R.L. and Sawchenko,P.E. (2002). "Neurotransmitter regulation of cellular activation and neuropeptide gene expression in the [6]. paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus." J Neurosci 22(3): 959-69. Verkuyl, J.M., Hemby, S.E., et al. (2004). "Chronic stress attenuates GABAergic inhibition and alters gene expression of
- [7]. parvocellular neurons in rat hypothalamus." European Journal of Neuroscience 20(6): 1665-1673.
- [8]. Maes, M., Stevens, W., et al. (1992). "A study on the blunted natural killer cell activity in severely depressed patients." Life Sci 50(7): 505-13.
- [9]. Hobel,C.J., et al. Maternal plasma corticotrophin -releasing hormone associated with stress at 20 weeks' gestation in pregnancies ending in preterm delivery. American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, volume 180, number 1, part 3, January 1999, pages S257-S263
- [10]. McCubbin, James A., et al. Prenatal maternal blood pressure response to stress predicts birthweight and gestational age: a preliminary study. American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, volume 175, number 3, September 1996, pages 706-712.
- American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology Volume 23, Issue 6, August 1998, pages 571-581. [11].
- [12]. Robson,S.C. (1999) Hypertension and renal disease in pregnancy, In:Dewhurst's Textbook of Obstetrics and Gynaecology for postgraduates, Ed. Edmonds, D.K., 6th edition, Blackwell Science Ltd., New York, p 1679.
- [13]. Garovic VD et al. Hypertension in pregnancy is associated with a higher incidence of cardiovascular events later in life. American Heart Association 2006 Scientific Sessions; November 15, 2006; Chicago, IL. Abstract 2025.
- [14]. Belo L, Caslake M, Gaffney D, Santos-Silva A, Pereira-Leite L, Quintanilha A, et al. Changes in LDL size and HDL concentration in normal and preeclamptic pregnancies. Atherosclerosis 2002;162:425- 32.
- Kaaja R, Laivuori H, Laakso M, Tikkanen MJ, Ylikorkala O. Evidence of a state of increased insulin resistance in preeclampsia. [15]. Metabolism 1999:48:892-6.
- Roberts JM. Endothelial dysfunction in preeclampsia. Semin Reprod Endocrinol 1998;16:5-15. [16].
- [17]. Isomaa B, Almgren P, Tuomi T, Forsen B, Lahti K, Nissen M, et al. Cardiovascular morbidity and mortality associated with the metabolic syndrome. Diabetes Care 2001:24:683-9.
- [18]. Catalano PM, Tyzbir ED, Wolfe RR, Roman NM, Amini SB, Sims EAH. Longitudinal changes in basal hepatic glucose production and suppression during insulin infusion in normal pregnant women. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 1992; 167:913-919.
- Cekmen, M.B., Erbagci, A.B., Balat, A., Duman, C., Maral, H., Ergen, K., Osden, M., Balat, O. and Kuskay, S. (2003). Plasma lipid [19]. and lipoprotein concentrations in pregnancy induced hypertension, Clin. Biochem. 36(7), 575-8.
- [20]. Reunanen, A., P. Knekt, and R.-K. Aaran (1992) Serum ceruloplasmin level and the risk of myocardial infarction and stroke. Am. J. Epidemiol. 136: 1082-1090
- [21]. Isomaa B, Almgren P, Tuomi T, Forsen B, Lahti K, Nissen M, et al. Cardiovascular morbidity and mortality associated with the metabolic syndrome. Diabetes Care 2001;24:683-9.
- [22]. Sattar N, Greer IA. Pregnancy complications and maternal cardiovascular risk: opportunities for intervention and screening? BMJ 2002;325:157-60.