A Comparative Study of Chlorhexidine Alcohol versus Povidone-Iodine for Pre-operative Skin Preparation
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Abstract: Surgical site infections are defined as infections of organs, tissues or organ spaces which are handled by operating surgeons at the time of surgical procedure. Since patient skin is an important source of pathogens that cause SSIs, optimization of skin prior to surgery with skin antisepsis may decrease the postoperative infection in clean and clean contaminated surgeries. There are many varieties of antiseptic materials available for preoperative skin preparation, however Povidone iodine and chlorhexidine alcohol are widely used in clinical practice. We conducted a prospective study to compare the efficacy of chlorhexidine and povidone iodine in preventing surgical site infection after clean and clean contaminated elective surgery in patients admitted to general surgical ward in Coimbatore Medical College Hospital between August 2015 and August 2016. The study found that preoperative cleansing the wound with chlorhexidine alcohol is superior to povidone iodine in preventing surgical site infection.
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I. Introduction

Surgical site infections (Fig: 1) are defined as infections of organs, tissues or organ spaces which are handled by operating surgeons at the time of surgical procedure. Surgical site infections [SSIs] is till now sustained as a major problem for operating surgeons even with significant improvements in antibiotics, anaesthesia techniques, good quality instruments. Since patient skin is an important source of pathogens that cause SSIs, optimization of skin prior to surgery with skin antisepsis may decrease the postoperative infection in clean and clean contaminated surgeries. There are many varieties of antiseptic materials available for preoperative skin preparation however Povidone iodine and chlorhexidine alcohol are widely used in clinical practice.

II. Aims And Objectives

To know whether povidone iodine or chlorhexidine alcohol as preferred agent for cleansing of skin prior to clean and clean contaminated elective surgeries in a Tertiary care center in Coimbatore.

III. Materials And Methods

A comparative study on surgical site antisepsis was done over a 12 month period. Among the patients admitted to general surgical ward in Coimbatore Medical College Hospital, those who were posted for clean and clean contaminated elective surgeries over 12 months were included in this study. Sample size was 120. Type of sampling was purposive sampling and randomization was simple. The patients were grouped into two categories that is Group A (Chlorhexidine alcohol group), Group B (Povidone iodine group). The antiseptic agents were applied to the skin by sterile gauze under aseptic precautions (Fig: 2). The antiseptics were left in the skin for 3-4 minutes. The surgical wound were examined on postoperative day 3, 5 & 7 for any infections present to check for surgical site infections as per the Joint Commission guidelines. Subject were followed up weekly for a period of 30 days. Data was analysed using SPSS Software Version17. Descriptive statistics are reported using mean, median and SD for continuous variables, number and percentages for categorical variables. Logistic regression was used to find the predictors for mortality. Probability value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

IV. Results

During the study period from August 2015 to August 2016, we had 120 patients, 60 of them preoperatively prepared with Chlorhexidine Alcohol and 60 with Povidone iodine. Mean age in group using chlorhexidine alcohol is 44.11±15.3 and mean age in group using povidone iodine is 42.37±15.6. In group using chlorhexidine alcohol male constitutes 41.7% and female constitutes 58.3%. In group using povidone iodine male constitutes 51.7% and female constitutes 48.3%. In group using chlorhexidine alcohol clean
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surgeries constitutes 76.7% and clean contaminated surgeries constitutes 23.3%. In group using povidone iodine clean surgeries constitutes 80% and clean contaminated surgeries constitute 20%. In group using chlorhexidine alcohol General anesthesia constitutes 60% and Regional anesthesia constitutes 40%. In group using povidone iodine clean surgeries general anesthesia constitutes 56.7% and regional anesthesia constitutes 43.3%. Surgical site infections (SSI) were found to be positive in 19.2% cases in the study. Type of organisms found in the study are E.Coli (2.5%), Klebsiella(3.3%), Pseudomonas(0.8%), Staphylococcus (11.7%) and Streptococcus constitutes (0.8%). Type of organisms among gender in the study are E.Coli is present in 2 males and 1 female, Klebsiella in 4 females, Pseudomonas 1 male, Staphylococcus is present in 7 males and 7 females, Streptococcus in 1 male in the study. Type of organisms with nature of surgery are, E.Coli in 3 clean contaminated surgeries, Klebsiella in 1 clean surgeries and 3 in clean contaminated surgeries, Pseudomonas in 3 clean contaminated surgeries, Staphylococcus in 4 clean surgeries and 10 clean contaminated surgeries, Streptococcus in clean contaminated surgeries in the study. Association of using chlorhexidine alcohol and povidine iodine agents with the presence of surgical site infections (SSI) was found using Chi square test. The presence of surgical site infections (SSI) in the study using chlorhexidine alcohol was only 8.3% and using Povidone Iodine was 30% and the difference was found to be statistically significant(p value 0.003) (Fig:3, TABLE:1). The presence of surgical site infections (SSI) in clean contaminated surgeries was 34.6% and that in clean surgery was 14.9% and the difference was found to be statistically significant(p value 0.024)(Fig:4,TABLE:2). Association of gender, type of anesthesia with surgical site infections were found to be not statistically significant.

Figures And Tables

Figure:1 Surgical Site Infection

Figure:2 Painting with Antiseptic Agent
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Figure: 3

Table: 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STUDY GROUP</th>
<th>SURGICAL SITE INFECTIONS (SSI)</th>
<th>SURGICAL SITE INFECTIONS (SSI) POSITIVE</th>
<th>P VALUE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CHLORHEXIDINE ALCOHOL (N=60)</td>
<td>5(8.3%)</td>
<td>55(91.7%)</td>
<td>.003*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Povidone Iodine (N=60)</td>
<td>18(30%)</td>
<td>42(70%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>23(19.2%)</td>
<td>97(80.8%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table: 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SURGICAL SITE INFECTIONS (SSI) POSITIVE</th>
<th>SURGICAL SITE INFECTIONS (SSI) NEGATIVE</th>
<th>P VALUE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14(14.9%)</td>
<td>80(85.1%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9(34.6%)</td>
<td>17(65.4%)</td>
<td>.024*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23(19.2%)</td>
<td>97(80.8%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. Discussion
The prevalence of surgical site infection in this study was 19.2%. In those patients who received chlorhexidine alcohol, only 8.3% were developed SSI, where as those who received povidone iodine 30% of the patients were developed SSI. The Culture of surgical site in 23 infected patient yielded growth of organism. The organisms associated with SSI were staphylococcus which constitute 11.7%, streptococcus 0.8%, e.coli 2.5%, klebsiella 3.3%, and pseudomonas constitute 0.8%. No patients in our study group both group A and group B showed any adverse reactions to study agents. The nature of SSI in these 23 patients were superficial SSI, no one in both these group developed deep incisional infection/ organs space infection In these randomised study the SSIs using chlorhexidine alcohol was only 8.3% and found to be statistically significant. Although both the
antiseptic preparations we studied possess broad-spectrum antimicrobial activity,[1] the superior clinical protection provided by chlorhexidine–alcohol is probably related to its more rapid action, persistent activity despite exposure to bodily fluids, and residual effect.[2] The superior clinical efficacy of chlorhexidine–alcohol in our study correlates well with previous microbiologic studies showing that chlorhexidine-based antiseptic preparations are more effective than iodine-containing solutions in reducing the bacterial concentration in the operative field for vaginal hysterectomy[3] and foot-and-ankle surgery.[4,5] Although the use of flammable alcohol-based products in the operating room poses the risk, though small, of fire or chemical skin burn, no such adverse events occurred in this study or the other studies.[3,5]

Chlorhexidine is a cationic biguanide that binds to the negatively charged surface of bacterial cell wall leading to alteration in permeability, which leads to leakage of cytoplasmic contents and finally cell death.[6] The residual effect; defined as the long-term antimicrobial suppressive activity; of chlorhexidine gluconate, is prolonged (at least 6 h) while that of povidone-iodine is minimal. This prolonged residual effect of chlorhexidine is due to its adherence to the stratum corneum, which extends its duration of action for several hours after first application.[7] This ability of antiseptic solutions to bind to and penetrate the stratum corneum is important for effective clearance of the micro-organisms living by the side of the hair follicles or in the sebaceous glands. Up to 20% micro-organisms live deep within dermis and these may be an important source causing contamination of invasive devices and subsequent colonization.[8]

VI. Conclusion

Pre-operative cleansing of the patients skin with chlorhexidine alcohol is superior to cleansing with povidone iodine for preventing surgical site infection after clean and clean contaminated elective surgery.
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