Prevalence of Congenital Anomalies Among New Born Babies
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Abstract:
This hospital based prospective study highlights the point prevalence of congenital malformations over a period of 6 months. Over all incidence calculated is 2.2%. Malformations more in females (F: M=1.2:1), and in neonates of younger mothers 18-21 years. The pattern included CNS 28.5%, CVS 24.6%, GIT 14.2%, musculoskeletal 11.6%, multiple congenital anomalies 9.8%, chromosomal anomaly 7.7%, and Genitourinary 2.4%, RS 1.2%. In CNS, meningomyelocele was the most common malformation. Frequency is more in term than in preterm (1.7% vs. 0.5%), vaginal delivery than LSCS (1.35 VS 0.9 %.) The present study stresses upon the importance of thorough clinical examination of neonate at birth and encourage routine neonatal screening for malformations during NB period.
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I. Introduction
Congenital anomaly is an abnormality of structure of any body part that can be present at birth or become clinically manifest anytime later in life. There is a wide variety of fetal problems which range from relatively minor abnormalities to major structural defects (1). A prenatal diagnosis is possible by second trimester maternal ultrasonography (2, 3). Hence, either fetal surgical procedures in utero or neonatal surgical interventions soon after birth can be taken. These early corrective procedures are done not only to restore the structure and also the function. Early recognition of malformations are important for planning care and in some conditions like TEF, Diaphragmatic hernia, intestinal atresia/obstructions and anorectal malformations, immediate surgery is essential. The birth of an infant with major malformation, whether diagnosed antenatally or not, evokes an emotional parental response (4).! The world wide incidence of congenital disorder is estimated as 3-7%. But actual numbers vary widely between countries (5). Although different studies have been undertaken in different parts of the world as well in India, but no study has been undertaken in this part of the country. Thus a study was done to document the pattern of congenital malformations in new born.

II. Materials And Methods
This prospective observational study was undertaken in Coimbatore Medical College Hospital, Coimbatore, India. All neonates born in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology from June 2016 to Jan 2017 were included in the study. Both mother and baby were examined as a unit within 24 hours of birth and were further followed up to 72 hours. A detailed history was taken including all familial and gestational factors and meticulous examination of baby was done. Particular importance was given to assess the facial profile for dysmorphism and dermatoglyphics for making a provisional diagnosis of chromosomal disorders. Neonates with at least one malformation or suspected to have chromosomal disorders were screened for other malformations and they subjected to Karyotyping, USG abdomen, Echo cardiogram, Neuro imaging for internal anomalies.

III. Results
During the six month study, there were 3352 deliveries conducted in our hospital. Out of these 77 had congenital malformations accounting to an incidence of 2.2%. Out of these, 70 had a single congenital anomaly and rest 7 had multiple congenital anomalies.

Pattern of congenital anomalies; Total no = 77.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No</th>
<th>Type of anomaly</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CNS = [Meningomyelocele-10; Microcephaly – 7; Hydrocephalus – 5]</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>28.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CVS</th>
<th>GIT</th>
<th>Tricuspid</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>[VSD-12; TGA-4; Atresia-1]</td>
<td>[cleft lip &amp; palate – 4; cleft palate -3; TEF -4]</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Musculoskeletal = [CTEV – 5; Congenital absence of depressor anguli oris – 2; Congenital genu recurvatum – 2]</td>
<td>Multiple anomalies</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Chromosomal anomalies = [Down syndrome – 5; Turner syndrome – 1;]</td>
<td>RS = [congenital diaphragmatic hernia - 1]</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Genito urinary = [Anorectal anomaly – 1; Polycystic kidney disease - 1;]</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Congenital malformations were seen more in neonates born to younger mothers 18-21 years (60%). Malformations more in females (F:M=1.2:1). Frequency is more in term than in preterm 1.7% vs. 0.5%, vaginal delivery than LSCS 1.35 VS 0.9% and also more in Primigravida (1.8%) than multi (0.4%). Consanguinity either 2* or 3* noted in 30 parents among 77 cases of malformations. Exposure to drug history was positive in one multiple congenital anomaly. Carbamazepine for epilepsy was the offending drug. None of the mother who delivered malformed babies gave history of exposure to radiation, smoking, alcohol, substance abuse during pregnancy. No undiagnosed IDM identified. All mothers diagnosed to have diabetic during pregnancy received proper care and none of the IDM had malformations.

IV. Discussion

In the present study, the over all incidence of congenital malformation was 2.2%, which is comparable with other studies also(6-10). The incidence varies from 2.2-5.5% in these studies. With regard to pattern of congenital anomalies in the study, the most common system involved was central nervous system (28.5%), followed by CVS, GIT, Musculoskeletal, Multiple congenital anomaly, Chromosomal, Genitourinary, Respiratory system in that order. This is comparable to studies conducted by Datta V et al (11) and Khatemi F et al (12). However some studies recorded higher incidence of musculo skeletal system (6-10). Here malformations were more in primigravida comparable to Chaturvedi P et al (13) study.

V. Conclusion

Periconceptional folic acid, avoidance of consanguineous marriage, meticulous antenatal USG screening would prevent most of the congenital anomalies. This study will help to know the pattern of malformations and its relation to familial gestational factors and to plan future strategies for prevention, early diagnosis, fetal therapy, early treatment from neonate.
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