Oral Health in a Cohort of Leprosy Affected Persons (LAP): An Observational Study

Dr. Nanda Pai¹, Dr. Prajakta Kochrekar², Dr. Jinal Vaghela³, Dr. Amita Pednekar⁴1,2,3</sup>(Department of Dentistry, Seth G. S. Medical College & Hospital, India)

4(Leprosy Hospital, India)

Corresponding Author: Dr. Nanda Pai

Abstract: Leprosy is a chronic disease caused by a bacillus Mycobacterium Leprae. Leprosy currently affects a quarter of a million people throughout the world with a majority of them reporting from India. Very few studies have been carried out in the world to know the oral health status and treatment needs in people affected with leprosy. Hence the present study was planned: 1) to assess oral health status in a cohort of leprosy affected persons (LAPs), 2) to assess their knowledge, attitude and oral hygiene practices. A cross-sectional study was carried out in a tertiary care Municipal Hospital for leprosy. Demographic details were collected and a detailed oral examination was performed. Oral hygiene practices and clinical oral examination using natural light, oral hygiene aids, oral habits, decayed missing filled teeth (DMFT) index, the use and need for prosthesis, periodontal disease and the presence of mucous membrane oral lesions was recorded. A total of 66 leprosy patients were recruited. Nearly 46% of the study participants never visited a dentist. One-fifth of the study participants were not using any toothpastes or oral rinsing liquids for cleaning their teeth. Nearly 58% of the study participants were using tobacco products. Average decayed tooth was one and missing tooth was observed as 11. Similarly, 68% required at least one tooth to be extracted, 22.7% required scaling, 4.5% required restoration of teeth, 1.5% needed root canal treatment and 62.1% required a prosthodontic treatment. More than three-fourths of the study participants had poor oral hygiene. Poor awareness and poor oral hygiene was observed in the present study on leprosy patients.

Date of Submission: 20-06-2018 Date Of Acceptance: 04-07-2018

I. Introduction

Leprosy, caused by *Mycobacterium leprae*, is one of the leading infectious diseases resulting in severe disabilities. Disabilities are usually observed in eyes, hands and feet and have been graded from zero to two by the World Health Organization (WHO). The highest prevalence of leprosy in the world is observed in the South-East Asian region (around 150,000). Global controlling measures has reduced the caseload of leprosy by 90%. WHO has implemented the steps for eliminating leprosy by 2020. Although the prevalence of leprosy has drastically reduced to 0.65/10,000 population in India, more than two-thirds of the new cases of leprosy are from this country.

Leprosy varies from milder tuberculoid to more severe lepromatous types. Orofacial manifestations are a part of lepromatous leprosy. Missing teeth is a common finding in leprosy patients due to involvement of the bones in the pathophysiology of the disease. In fact, around two-thirds of the leprosy patients there could be some form of oral involvement. However, examination of oral health is often neglected. Hence, we carried out the present study to assess the oral health in patients with leprosy in a tertiary care Hospital.

II. Material And Methods

Study design and ethics:

The present study was a cross-sectional study carried out between September and December 2017 in a tertiary care Municipal Hospital for leprosy, Mumbai. We obtained approval from Institutional Ethics Committee and the Ethics Committee granted waiver for informed consent.

Study procedure:

Leprosy affected patients treated in the above Hospital were recruited for this study. Demographic details were collected and a detailed oral examination was performed for each participant. Oral hygiene practices and clinical oral examination using natural light, oral hygiene aids, oral habits, decayed missing filled teeth (DMFT) index, the use and need for prosthesis, periodontal disease and the presence of mucous membrane oral lesions was recorded.

DOI: 10.9790/0853-1707010306 www.iosrjournals.org 3 | Page

Statistical analysis:

Descriptive statistics was used to represent the central tendency of all the variables. As no specific hypothesis was made, we did not attempt in calculating a sample size.

III. Result

General demographic features:

Sixty-six participants (34 males and 32 females) were enrolled in the study with mean (SD) age (in years) of 66 (11.5) (Table 1). Mean (SD) duration of leprosy amongst the study participants was 39.3 (16.4) years and the mean (SD) duration of admission in the hospital was 19.5 (18.2) years. There was no external phenotypic manifestations of the disease observed in 6/66 (9%) participants while the remaining had involvement of at least one part of their body. Of these 60 participants, 9 (15%) had involvement of their hands, 34 (56.7%) had involvement of other parts of their body in addition to their hands and 17 (18.3%) did not have any involvement of their hands.

Dental demographic features:

A large majority (30/66, 45.5%) of the study participants never visited dentists, 17/66 (25.8%) had their dental visits more than 10 years ago, 8/66 (12.1%) had visited a dentist between six and 10 years ago, nine (13.6%) between one and five years ago and two (3%) visited less than a year. Those who visited dentists did so either in the hospital (33/66, 50%) or in the health-care set-up (2/66, 3%). Similarly, nearly half (30/66, 45.5%) had never undergone any dental related treatment while 34/66 (51.5%) had undergone tooth extraction and two (3%) were taking medicines for their dental complaints. Forty-three out of the total 66 (65.2%) were using toothbrush, 13/66 (19.7%) were using their fingers, 9/66 (13.6%) were using oral rinse liquid and 1/66 (1.5%) used datun for brushing their teeth. Similarly, 43/66 (65.2%) used toothpaste, 10/66 (15.2%) used mishri, 9/66 (13.6%) used a rinsing agent, 3/66 (4.5%) used tooth powder and 1/66 (1.5%) used datun as tooth brushing agents. More-than two-thirds of the study participants (42/66, 63.6%) were brushing once a day while 31.8% (21/66) twice daily and 3/66 (4.5%) more than two times per day. Nearly half (38/66, 57.6%) of the study participants had the habit of using tobacco in one or more of the following ways: Gutka, Pan, Supari or oral intake of tobacco as such.

Dental examination findings:

Mean (SD) of decayed tooth was one (2.4) amongst the study participants. Similarly, mean (SD) of missing tooth was observed as 11 (10.8) and mean (SD) of root pieces was 3.6 (6.5). Only six participants (9%) had undergone prosthetic replacement of their teeth of which two participants had nine units of prosthesis placed while one each had one, two, three and four units. Fifty-seven of the total 66 participants (86.4%) had prompt while 7/66 (10.6%) needed dental treatment on urgent basis and two (3%) did not require any dentistry related treatment. 45/66 (68.2%) required at least one tooth to be extracted while 15/66 (22.7%) required scaling, 3/66 (4.5%) required restoration of teeth, 1/66 (1.5%) needed root canal treatment, prosthodontic treatment was required in 41/66 (62.1%) while none of the study participants required an orthodontic treatment. Oral examination revealed a general attrition in 2/66 (3%) and one (1.5%) each had poor oral hygiene, ulcer on the right upper lip, glossitis with burning mouth syndrome and oral sub-mucosal fibrosis. Rest of the study participants did not have any significant findings. Only one study participant had good oral hygiene while 50/66 (75.8%) had poor and the remaining (15/66, 22.7%) had fair hygiene.

Table no 1. Age and Sex wise distribution of LAP (Leprosy Affected Persons)

Age	Number	Percentage
>50 years	09	13.6
51-60 years	16	24.2
61-70 years	20	30
71-80 years	19	28.8
<80 years	02	3
Sex		
Male	34	51.6
Female	32	48.4

DOI: 10.9790/0853-1707010306 www.iosrjournals.org 4 | Page

Table no 2. Key findings from the studies that assessed oral health in patients with leprosy.

Study Id; Year and country of	Key findings	
publication		
Nunez-Marti 2004 ⁷ ; Spain	 76 leprosy patients assessed compared to matched healthy controls. 	
	• The mean plaque index in leprosy was 2.35 ± 0.7 , with a probing depth of 2.96	
	+/- 0.8, and an average attachment loss of 4.18 \pm 1.3.	
Feng 2014 ⁹ ; China	• 472/613 (77%) leprosy patients never visited dentists.	
	• 172/613 (28.1%) never brushed their teeth.	
	 55.6% patients required dental filling; 32.7% required pulp care and 	
	restoration; 71.1% required extraction.	
	 Almost all required periodontal and 84.5% needed normative prosthetic 	
	treatment.	
De Almaida 2017 ¹⁰ ; Brazil	 303 patients with leprosy assessed. 	
	 Self-reported loss of upper teeth was 45.5%. 	
	• 54.5% had active caries.	
	• Most (97.7%) cases reported having been to the dentist at least once in their	
	life.	
	• 87 (28.7%) reported not having been to the dentist for three years or longer.	
Souza 2009 ¹¹ ; Brazil	 99 leprosy patients assessed. 	
	 Decayed teeth were present in 73%. 	
	 Mean number of lost teeth was 8.8. 	
	• 60.3% did not have filled their teeth.	
	 92% had gingival bleeding. 	
	 Average DMFT index was 14.4. 	
	 9/63 patients had oral lesions. 	
Guo 2017 ¹² ; China	 613 leprosy patients assessed with 607 controls 	
	 The average DMFT score was 10.39 and twice than that of controls. 	
	• 5.58% patients never brushed their teeth.	
	 the average individual in the leprosy group had 	
	 An average of 4.43 unfilled carious teeth, 5.94 teeth were extracted because o 	
	dental caries and only 0.02 teeth were	
12	restored after caries removal.	
Chaluvaraj 2003 ¹³ ; India	 211 patients were interviewed and examined using WHO Oral Health 	
	Assessment Form	
	 39.3% had calculus, 34.9% had shallow pockets and 6.8% had deep pockets. 	
	 Mean DMFT score was 5.7 and the mean number of decayed teeth, missing 	
	and filled teeth is 2.16, 3.53 and 0.009 respectively.	
	 Majority (45%) required extraction and 41.7% required dentures. 	
Jacob Raja 2016 ¹⁴ ; India	• 62 leprosy patients	
	 Gingival recession (54.8%) was a predominant finding 	
	 Tooth loss was observed in around 70% 	
	 Nearly two-thirds of the participants had impaired mobility and attrition 	
	• Chronic pulpitis was observed in 34.7% and dental caries in 26%.	

IV.Discussion

We carried out the present study to assess oral health in a cohort of 66 leprosy affected patients. Surprisingly, nearly 46% of the study participants never visited a dentist. Nearly one-fifth of the study participants were not using any toothpastes or oral rinsing liquids for cleaning their teeth. Almost two-thirds of the study participants were using tobacco products. Average decayed tooth was one and missing tooth was observed as 11. Similarly, 68% required at least one tooth to be extracted, 22.7% required scaling, 4.5% required restoration of teeth, 1.5% needed root canal treatment and 62.1% required a prosthodontic treatment. More than three-fourths of the study participants had poor oral hygiene.

Leprosy is a multi-system disease with several orofacial manifestations. Several studies have previously assessed the status of oral health and oral hygiene in leprosy affected patients. A summary of key studies with their findings is provided in (Table 2)⁹⁻¹⁴. The results of the present study are similar to those findings. Poor oral hygiene is very commonly observed amongst leprosy patients. Due to altered immune system activity in leprosy, in the oral cavity lesions are observed in the following sites: hard and soft palate, in the uvula, on the underside of the tongue, and on the lips and gums. ¹⁵ Gingival recession was more pronounced in patients with leprosy followed by tooth loss, mobility, attrition, and chronic pulpitis. India ranks the top in the list of leprosy patients followed by Brazil. The results of this study are imperative to initiate steps in creating and spreading awareness for screening and adhering to frequent dental visits. Due to cranial nerve involvement, leprosy patients are unable to perceive symptoms of oral disease. ¹⁶ Even the treating physicians of patients with leprosy should be aware of this fact and should appropriately refer or consult oral health professionals. ¹⁷ Additionally, leprosy patients with attrition of hand may find it difficult to use toothbrush and toothpaste for maintaining oral hygiene and the future studies should explore this aspect in leprosy patients.

V. Conclusion

The present study is limited in not following up with the study participants and interview was not conducted to assess the reasons for not visiting dentists. To conclude, poor awareness and oral hygiene was observed in our cohort of leprosy patients.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank Dr. Kannan Sridharan for his help and support in putting the article together.

References

- [1]. WHO (September 2011). "Leprosy update, 2011". Weekly Epidemiological Record. 36: 389-400.
- [2]. Alberts CJ, Smith WCS, Meima A, Wang L, Richardus JH. Potential effect of the World Health Organization's 2011–2015 global leprosy strategy on the prevalence of grade 2 disability: a trend analysis. Bulletin of the World Health Organization 2011;89:487-495.
- [3]. Global strategy for further reducing the leprosy burden and sustaining leprosy control activities. World Health Organization 2005. Available at: http://www.who.int/lep/resources/GlobalStrategy.pdf (Accessed on 19 Feb 2018).
- [4]. Global leprosy strategy 2016-2020. World Health Organization. Available from: http://apps.searo.who.int/PDS_DOCS/B5233.pdf (Accessed on 20 Feb 2018).
- [5]. Kumar A, Husain S. The burden of new leprosy cases in India: A population-based survey in two states. ISRN Trop Med 2013. 2013:1–8.
- [6]. de Abreu MA, Alchorne MM, Michalany NS, Weckx LL, Pimentel DR, Hirata CH. The oral mucosa in paucibacillary leprosy: a clinical and histopathological study. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral RadiolEndod. 2007;103:e48-52.
- [7]. Núñez-Martí JM, Bagán JV, Scully C, Peñarrocha M. Leprosy: dental and periodontal status of the anterior maxilla in 76 patients. Oral Dis. 2004;10:19-21.
- [8]. Pallagatti S, Sheikh S, Kaur A, Aggarwal A, Singh R. Oral cavity and leprosy. Indian Dermatology Online Journal. 2012;3:101-04.
- [9]. Feng Y, Guo Y, Tian L, Wei Z, Zhang L, Yang Y, Zhang G. Dental health and treatment needs in people with leprosy in China. Lepr Rev. 2014;85:311-21.
- [10]. De Almeida ZM, Ramos AN, Raposo MT, Martins-Melo FR, Vasconcellos C. Oral health conditions in leprosy cases in hyperendemic area of the Brazilian Amazon. Revista do Instituto de Medicina Tropical de São Paulo. 2017;59:e50.
- [11]. Souza VA, Emmerich A, Coutinho EM, Freitas MG, Silva EH, Merçon FG, Souza AC, Balla VA, Zandonadi E, Peixoto RR, Deps PD. Dental and oral condition in leprosy patients from Serra, Brazil. Lepr Rev. 2009;80:156-63.
- [12]. Guo Y, Tian LL, Zhang FY, Bu YH, Feng YZ, Zhou HD. Dental caries and risk indicators for patients with leprosy in China. Int Dent J. 2017;67:59-64.
- [13]. Chaluvaraj R, Hiremath SS. Assessment of the oral health status and treatment needs of leprosy afflicted patients in central leprosorium and rehabilitation centres in Bangalore city. Journal of Indian Association of Public Health Dentistry. 2003;3:9-13.
- [14]. Jacob Raja SA, Raja JJ, Vijayashree R, MeenaPriya B, Anusuya GS, Ravishankar P. Evaluation of oral and periodontal status of leprosy patients in Dindigul district. Journal of Pharmacy and BioAllied Sciences 2016;8:119-21.
- [15]. ChimenosKüstner E, Pascual Cruz M, PinolDansis C, Vinals Iglesias H, Rodríguez de Rivera Campillo ME, LópezLópez J. Lepromatous leprosy: A review and case report. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal 2006;11:E474-9.
- [16]. Dave B, Bedi R. Leprosy and its dental management guidelines. Int Dent J. 2013;63:65-71.
- [17]. Rodrigues GA, Qualio NP, de Macedo LD, Innocentini L, Ribeiro-Silva A, Foss NT, Frade M, Motta A. The oral cavity in leprosy: what clinicians need to know. Oral Dis. 2017;23:749-756.

Dr. Nanda Pai "Oral Health in a Cohort of Leprosy Affected Persons (LAP): An Observational Study. "IOSR Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences (IOSR-JDMS), vol. 17, no. 7, 2018, pp 03-06.