Conservative Management of Recurrent Pseudo Meningocele after Previous Surgical Re-Exploration.
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Abstract: Pseudo meningocele are common complications after posterior fossa cranial surgery. Management guidelines are lacking, and radically different suggested treatments varying from observation to immediate surgical intervention are encountered in literature. Its management can be challenging and treatment options vary in literature, and there is no consensus on the optimal management strategy. \(^1\), \(^3\), \(^4\), \(^5\) and even rarer articles and study are available about management of recurrent pseudo meningocele. This case report emphasizes the significance of conservative management of pseudo meningocele even after previous re-exploration there by abating unnecessary procedures. The conservative management includes pressure dressing, bed rest and CSF lumbar drainage.
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I. Background

Pseudo meningoceles are extradural collections of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) with no dural covering resulting from a dura-arachnoid deformity or improper closure during crania or spinal surgery. \(^1\) There are three groups of pseudo meningocele: congenital, traumatic, and iatrogenic. 

Pseudo meningocele is a common complication after posterior fossa cranial surgery. \(^5\), \(^9\) It may cause complications such as cosmetic deformities, positional headache, chronic menigitis, and/or impingement on vital structures resulting in neurological deficits. \(^10\), \(^11\) The incidence of clinically relevant pseudo meningocele formation after posterior fossa cranial surgery ranges from 4\(\%\) to 23\(\%\) in literature. \(^1\), \(^12\), \(^16\)

Management guidelines are lacking, and different suggested treatments varying from observation to immediate surgical intervention are encountered in literature. \(^3\), \(^4\), \(^5\) The usual treatment algorithm consists of initially starting with nonoperative measures including pressure dressing, bed rest, and lumbar spinal drainage. \(^10\), \(^18\), \(^19\) In case these conservative measures fail surgical intervention may be required. \(^3\), \(^19\), \(^20\) Postoperative ventriculomegaly, however, portends failure of temporary cerebrospinal fluid diversion, and early consideration of shunting might be appropriate in such cases. \(^3\), \(^4\) The purpose of our case report is to emphasize the significance of conservative management of pseudo meningocele there by abating unnecessary procedures. The conservative management includes pressure dressing, bed rest, cerebral decongestants and CSF lumbar drainage.

II. Case Presentation

45 year old female presented with swelling in the left occipital region. The patient underwent left paramedian suboccipital craniotomy and subtotal excision of posterior fossa under General Anaesthesia on 20.06.2019 for posterior fossa SOL(Meningioma) left cerebellar. Her intraoperative and Post operative periods were uneventful. During her course in the hospital, She developed pseudomeningocele and underwent re-exploration and repair under General Anaesthesia on 12.08.2019. Her intraoperative and post operative events were uneventful. The patient developed swelling in the left occipital region 1 week after the repair. She complained of heaviness in the left occipital region. She denied any fever, weakness, numbness, parasthesia, ataxia, cognitive decline, focal, cranial nerve deficit, meningeal signs. Her vitals were stable. The CT scan of the patient showed pseudomeningocele with left occipital craniotomy status noted with overlying graft, Gliotic scarring was seen, no ventricle enlargement as shown in figure 1a and 1b. The patient was admitted in the neurosurgery ward and observed for symptoms and signs of increased intracranial tension and menigitis. An elective decision of conservative management was taken and the patient was managed with acetazolaminte, bed
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rest, pressure dressing. Within a course of 10-14 days the swelling subsided. The repeat CT showed reduction in size of pseudomeningocele as shown below in figure 2a and 2b.

III. Conclusion

Pseudomeningocele is a common complication after posterior fossa surgery. Pseudomeningoceles may cause complications such as cosmetic deformities, debilitating symptoms such as positional headache, chronic meningitis, impingement on vital structures with neurological deficits, and rarely reported complications such as spontaneous intracerebellar migration of a pseudomeningocele and posterior fossa cyst formation with brain stem compression.

Its management can be challenging and treatment options vary in literature, and there is no consensus on the optimal management strategy. As management guidelines are lacking so an international survey was carried out and opinions from neurosurgeons throughout the world were sought on the topic of management of pseudomeningocele after posterior fossa surgery. It was found that pseudomeningoceles after posterior fossa tumor resection, in the absence of hydrocephalus, were typically managed nonoperatively for 7 to 14 days before re-exploration. Only 0.5% of the surgeons would offer upfront repair of the pseudomeningocele. In the presence of hydrocephalus, 48% of the neurosurgeons intervene initially with cerebrospinal fluid (CSF)
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diversion and would change therapy if the lesion did not resolve in 2 to 4 days. It was concluded in this international survey that operation is appropriate for cranial pseudomeningoceles. Operative revision should be reserved for failure of conservative treatment. This also is the usual treatment regimen followed and nonoperative measures like pressure dressing, bed rest and CSF lumbar drainage lead to the settlement of pseudomeningoceles in the majority of cases. In case of post operative ventriculomegaly CSF shunting may be required as ventriculomegaly portends failure of CSF lumbar drainage. 

There are very few articles and study available about management of recurrent pseudomeningocele. In a study by Sarah E.Birkoelho MBA, showed that treatment of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) leak with pseudomeningocele formation can be challenging; especially when attempts to repair it fail. In this paper the authors report four cases with pseudo meningocele that had failed prior attempt at repairing the leak, in whom drainage of extradural space with indwelling catheter resulted in resolution of the pathology. 

This case report emphasizes that despite the failure of previous repair of the dura the recurrence of pseudo meningocele can be managed by conservative management, thereby abating the need of invasive procedures. We encourage doctors to report more cases of conservative management of recurrent pseudo meningocele and that will lead to formation of better pseudo meningocele management guidelines.
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