"Saline Nasal Irrigation Adjunct Therapy in Allergic Rhinitis"

Dr. Vinay V. Rao¹, Dr. Mangala G. Naikar²,

¹Associate Professor, Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Father Muller Medical College Hospital, Mangalore, ²Post Graduate Resident, Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Father Muller Medical College Hospital, Mangalore, Corresponding Author: Dr. Vinay V. Rao

Abstract

Objective:This study was done to determine whether nasalsalineirrigationimproved the symptoms and signs of allergicrhinitis(AR) and whether nasalsalineirrigation could be used as a complementary management of AR. Method: 30 participants with AR were divided into two groups, Controlgroup and group and Test group. Symptoms and signs of AR were evaluated, at the beginning of the study and after 2 weeks. **Results:** In AR participants treated with nasal irrigation(Testgroup) as ignificant improvement in symptoms and signs of significant decrease in the mean rhinitis score. **Conclusion:** Nasal saline irrigation can be viewed as a adjunctive therapy for AR **Key Words :** Saline nasal irrigation, Allergic rhinitis.

Date of Submission: 25-11-2019 Date of Acceptance: 10-12-2019

I. Introduction

Allergic Rhinitis and its Impact on Asthma (ARIA) 2008, allergic rhinitis is defined clinically by nasal hypersensitivity symptoms induced by immunologically mediatedinflammation of nasal mucous membrane after exposure to offending allergen.¹

Common allergens which causes the allergic rhinitis are house dust mites, pollens, animal dander and fungi, vegetable proteins, enzymes and chemicals.²

Symptoms of allergic rhinitis are nasal obstruction, sneezing, rhinorrhoea which may be anterior as nasal discharge and posterior as postnasal drip, nasal itching. The primary non-pharmacological treatment for allergic rhinitis includes avoidance of the offending allergens in the patients which plays a vital role along with pharmacotherapy and immunotherapy.1

Pharmacotherapy for allergic rhinitis includes antihistamines, intranasal corticosteroid sprays and antileukotrienes. Intranasal corticosteroids are effective medications for the management of allergic rhinitis and are able to deliver high concentrations of drugs to the target organ.

Saline nasal irrigation can be used for variety of conditions. They have been used in the management of acute & chronic rhinosinusitis, allergic and non-allergic rhinitis, septal perforations, nonspecific nasal symptoms (including postnasal drip) and in postoperative care of nasal surgical patients. The use of a simple and inexpensive nonpharmacologic form of therapy, saline nasal irrigation in the management not only reduces the symptomology but also reduces the frequency of using medications.

They are, however, associated with side effects such as crusting and bleeding, and cannot target multiple organs in patients with comorbid diseases such as asthma or the ocular symptoms that may accompany allergic rhinitis.

Additionally, firstgeneration oral antihistamines are associated with significant sedative side effects and have not been shown to be as cost-effective as second-generation antihistamines. Lastly, leukotriene receptor antagonists are also commonly used; they have efficacy equal to that of oral antihistamines but are more expensive and remain inferior to intranasal glucocorticoids.

In light of this, nonpharmacologic therapy approaches are of great importance. One such approach is nasal irrigation using saline solutions, which in international guidelines and reviews is recommended as complementary treatment of AR without its efficacy ever having been established conclusively3.

Nasal saline irrigation is a natural remedy and recent Cochrane reviews evaluated its efficacy as a potential treatment or adjunct to pharmacological treatment.4

Hence the aim of thisstudy was to evaluate the response of nasal irrigation with saline (0.9%) in patients with allergic rhinitis and determine efficacy of saline nasal irrigation as adjunct therapy in allergic rhinitis.

II. Methods

In this interventional analytical longitudinal study, patients of age > /=18 years </=50 years of either sex diagnosed with allergic rhinitis in ENT opd willing to participate in the study were included and they were assigned in to Test group and Control group by concealment method of randomization, after sample collection, i.e15 participants in Test group and 15 participants in Control group, and participants are allowed to choose single envelope at the begining of the study. Test group were be asked to do 0.9% normal saline nasal douching twice daily in addition to steroid nasal spray (Fluticasone) and antihistamine (Bilastine 20mg) tablet for a duration of 2 weeks while the control group will recieve only steroid nasal spray and anti histamine tablet for duration of 2 weeks. At the beginning of the study period rhinitis score was assessed in all participants of the study of both groups and again rhinitis score was re assessed at the end of 2 weeks of study.

The scores for evaluating symptoms and signs of AR (adopted from Mion et al.7)

Symptoms	Signs		
Sneezing/itching	Color of nasal turbinates		
0 = Absent 0	0 = Normal, pink		
1 = 1-4 per day/occasional pruritus	1 = Hyperemic or pale		
2 = 5-10 per day/sporadic pruritus over 30 min	2 = Red		
3 = 11 + /interferes with sleep and/or	3 = Blue		
concentration			
Rhinorrhea	Nasal secretions		
0 = Absent	0 = Absent		
1 = Cleaning the nose 1–4 times a day	1 = Humid mucosae		
2 = Cleaning the nose 5–10 times a day	2 = Visible secretion on the turbinates or nasal		
3 = Constant cleaning	floor		
	3 = Large amount of secretion		
Nasal congestion	Swelling of turbinates		
0 = Absent	0 = Absent		
1 = Little, does not cause disturbance	1 = Turbinate hypertrophy with little nasal		
2 = Oral breathing most of the day $3 = $ Does not	blockage		
breathe through the nose/interferes with sleep,	2 = Nasal congestion with nasal blockage		
olfaction, or voice 3	3 = Nasal congestion with total nasal blockage,		
	limiting nasal breathing		
Postnasal drip	Retropharyngeal inflammation		
0 = Absent	0 = Absent 0		
1 = Sensation	1 = Small hyperemy of pharyngeal mucosa		
2 = Frequent clearing of the throat	2 = Visible granules in oropharynx $3 =$ Visible		
3 = Cough and impairing talk	secretion in oropharynx posterior wall		

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Data was analyzed with t-test and chi square test.

III. Results

Age (Years)	Frequency
21 - 30	10
31-40	3
41-50	2

Table 1: Age distribution (Test Group)







Figure :2

Table3: Sex distribution (Test Group)				
SEX	FREQUENCY			
FEMALES	10			
MALES	5			





SEX	FREQUENCY			
FEMALES	11			
MALES	4			



Nasal irrigation with saline was well accepted in all patients and no adverse effect was reported. A significant improvement of symptoms and signs was reported in all patients after 2 weeks, Compared with Control group the Test group showed, significant differences in total scores of symptoms and signs.

The mean rhinitis score in the control group and Test group at the beginning of the study was 14 and 17 repectively.

After 2 weeks of treatment mean rhinitis score in Control group and Test group was 8 and 5 respectively. Standard mean deviation in control group and Test group at the beginning of study was 2.12 and 31.30 respectively and after 2 weeks standard deviation was 1.58 (Control group) and 1.64 (Test Group). 't'test value for Test group was 3.897 and for Control group was 0.944.

Table 5 : Study Results					
VARIABLE	MEAN RHINITIS	MEAN RHINITIS	t test value	STANDARD MEAN	
	SCORE (AT THE	SCORE (AFTER 2		DEVIATION	
	BEIGINNING OF	WEEKS)			
	STUDY)				
CONTROL GROUP	14	8	0.944	1.58	
TEST GROUP	17	5	3.897	1.64	



IV. Discussion

Our study has provided evidence that nasal saline irrigation is effective in controlling AR in combination with steroid nasal spray and antihistamine.

Some authors have previously reported that nasal washing is effective in the treatment of seasonal AR in adults and in children^{5,7}. The results of ourstudy are consistent with these previous reports. The exact mechanism by which nasal irrigations work remains controversial. It is likely associated with the removal of nasal secretions, or improvement of MCC, or a combination of the two effects⁸. Furthermore, nasal irrigation may work by removing the inflammatory mediators such as histamine, prostaglandins, and leukotrienes contained in nasal mucus⁹.

In a Study done by Garavello et al.⁵ found that the mean daily rhinitis score was reduced and a decreased consumption of oral antihistamines was observed in children with seasonal AR after being treated with hypersaline nasal irrigation.

In a prospective, randomized study published in 2009, Li et al.⁶ treated 26 children (aged 8–15 years) with AR in three groups over a period of 12 weeks either only with SNI in group 1, or a combination of SNI and steroids in group 2 or only with steroids in group 3. A solution of 500 mL of normal saline (0.9% sodium chloride) was used twice a day for nasal irrigation. The symptom score fell by 19% in group 1 and 30% in group 2. MCT was accelerated by 37% in group 1 and 53% in group 2.

In the previous studies various concentration of saline were used but no clear evidence exists as to which saline solution is best. Although it seems to be controversial, both hypertonic and isotonic nasal irrigations have been shown to improve sinonasal symptoms effectively. In this regard, we speculate that nasal irrigations work predominantly by clearing mucus to decrease both mucosal edema and inflammatory mediator concentration. In our study, we have used 500 ml of 0.9% normal saline for nasal irrigation for its convenience and simplicity and in our experience it was found to be effective for AR patients.

V. Conclusion

Nasal saline irrigation in combination with steroid nasal spray and antihistamine tablet thanSteroid nasal spray and anti-histamine tablet iseffective in controlling AR. Based onour findings, we suggest that nasal saline irrigation in combination withsteroid nasal spray and antihistamine tablet should be viewed as a good adjunctive treatment option for the relief of AR, since it is effective, inexpensive, can be performed at home, has fewer side effects, and causes less economic burden.

Abbrevations: AR – Allergic rhinitis.

List of References:

- [1]. Bousquet J, Khaltaev N, Cruz AA, Denburg J, Fokkens WJ, Togias A, Zuberbier T, Baena-Cagnani CE, Canonica GW, Van Weel C, Agache I. Allergic rhinitis and its impact on asthma (ARIA) 2008. Allergy. 2008 Apr;63:8-160.
- [2]. Scadding GK, Durham SR, Mirakian R, Jones NS, Leech SC, Farooque S, Ryan D, Walker SM, Clark AT, Dixon TA, Jolles SR. BSACI guidelines for the management of allergic and non-allergic rhinitis. Clinical & Experimental
- [3]. Allergy. 2008 Jan;38(1):19-42.
- [4]. Bauchau V, Durham SR. Prevalence and rate of diagnosis of allergic rhinitis in Europe. European Respiratory Journal. 2004 Nov 1;24(5):758-64.
- [5]. Chong LY, Head K, Hopkins C, Philpott C, Glew S, Scadding G, Burton MJ, Schilder AG. Saline irrigation for chronic rhinosinusitis. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2016(4).
- [6]. Garavello W, Romagnoli M, Sordo L, Gaini RM, Berardino CD, Angrisano A. Hypersaline nasal irrigation in children with symptomatic seasonal allergic rhinitis: a randomized study. Pediatric allergy and immunology. 2003 Apr;14(2):140-3.
- [7]. Li H, Sha Q, Zuo K, Jiang H, Cheng L, Shi J, Xu G. Nasal saline irrigation facilitates control of allergic rhinitis by topical steroid in children. Orl. 2009;71(1):50-5.
- [8]. 7.Yilmaz T, Kurtaran H, Karadag A, Uras N. Nasal saline for allergic rhinitis: an alternative treatment method. Acta otolaryngologica. 2004 Dec 1;124(10):1240.
- [9]. 8.Brown CL, Graham SM. Nasal irrigations: good or bad?. Current opinion in otolaryngology & head and neck surgery. 2004 Feb 1;12(1):9-13.
- [10]. 9. Georgitis JW. Nasal hyperthermia and simple irrigation for perennial rhinitis: changes in inflammatory mediators. Chest. 1994 Nov 1;106(5):1487-92.

Dr. Vinay V. Rao. "Saline Nasal Irrigation Adjunct Therapy in Allergic Rhinitis"." IOSR Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences (IOSR-JDMS), vol. 18, no. 12, 2019, pp 14-18.