Mean Platelet Volume- Correlation with HbA1c and Its Association with Microvascular Complications In Type Ii Diabetes Mellitus

T B Umadevi¹, C S Gauthaman², A Mohamed Ibrahim³, K Muralidharan⁴, A Ahamed Shafeeq⁵

¹Associate Professor, Department of Medicine, Government Stanley Hospital, Chennai. ²Senior Assistant Professor, Department of Medicine, Government Stanley Hospital, Chennai. ³JuniorResident, Department of Medicine, Government Stanley Hospital, Chennai. ⁴Junior Resident, Department of Medicine, Government Stanley Hospital, Chennai. ⁵Junior Resident, Department of Medicine, Government Stanley Hospital, Chennai. Corresponding Author: C S Gauthaman

Abstract BACKGROUND:

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a global pandemic. Increased platelet activation has been suggested to be involved in the pathogenesis of vascular complications. Platelet volume, a marker of the platelet function and activation, is proposed to be involved as a causative factor with respect to altered platelet morphology and function. Mean platelet volume (MPV), an important, simple, effortless, and cost-effective tool and thus has potential to be used as an indicator of presence of vascular complications.

AIM: The aim of the study is to compare MPV in type 2 Diabetes Mellitus patients with good glycemic control with that of poor glycemic control and to investigate the association between MPV and microvascular complications of diabetes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: This is a cross sectional study carried out on 100 cases of Type 2 Diabetes mellitus patients. Their BMI was calculated and SBP,DBP measured. Venous samples were collected after 12 hours of overnight fasting at 8:30 am for Mean Platelet Volume, HbA1C, FBS, PPBS, Hb, triglyceride (TG) and serum creatinine levels. Complications were assessed based on spot ACR and direct ophthalmoscopic examination. The patients were divided into 2 groups based on HbA1C levels and all the parameters and complications were compared between both the groups.

RESULTS: Mean platelet volume is higher in diabetics with poor glycemic control (10.01-12.00 fL) than those with good glycemic control (≤ 8.00 fL). It is still higher in those with diabetic complications (10.01-12.00 fL). MPV shows a strong correlation with FBS, PPBS, HbA1c, and presence of hypertension and duration of diabetes.

CONCLUSION: Our results showed significantly higher MPV in poorly controlled diabetics, and still higher in those with microalbuminuria and retinopathy. Hence, MPV can be used as an indicator of presence of microvascular complications.

Key Words: Diabetes Mellitus, Mean Platelet Volume, microvascular complications.

Date of Submission: 20-02-2019	Date of acceptance: 06-03-2019

I. Introduction

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a global pandemic. Estimates by the International Diabetes Federation (IDF) states that 387 million people have diabetes worldwide in 2014 and by 2035 this number is expected to rise to 592 million. China (98.4 million) and India (65.1 million) were the countries topping the list with the largest number of individuals with diabetes in 2013. T2DM accounts for 90% of cases of diabetes globally.

Diabetes Mellitus is a state of metabolic dysregulation and can lead to secondary pathophysiologic changes in various organ systems leading to microvascular and macrovascular complications². This will impose a remarkable burden on the diabetics as well as on the health care system. Therefore it is of utmost importance to detect the complications early in a cost effective way to control and treat them. DM will likely be a leading cause of morbidity and mortality in the future.

Increased platelet activation has been suggested to be involved in the pathogenesis of vascular complications. It is being found that MPV values are high in patients with diabetes mellitus, more so in uncontrolled diabetes. Platelet volume, a marker of the platelet function and activation, is proposed to be

involved as a causative factor with respect to altered platelet morphology and function. The higher the MPV, the larger and younger the platelets are, and more is the risk for thrombosis and is associated with increased risk for microvascular complications.

Mean platelet volume (MPV), an important, simple, effortless, and cost-effective tool measured by hematology analyzer assess the volume and function of platelets and thus has potential to be used as indicator of presence of vascular complications.

CRITERIA FOR THE DIAGNOSIS OF DIABETES MELLITUS³

- Symptoms of diabetes with random blood glucose concentration \geq 200 mg/dL (or)
- Fasting plasma glucose $\geq 126 \text{ mg} / \text{dL}$ (or)
- Haemoglobin A1c \geq 6.5% (or)
- 2-h plasma glucose \geq 200 mg/dL during an oral glucose tolerance test

AIM

1.To compare Mean Platelet Volume in type 2 Diabetes Mellitus patients with good glycemic control with that of poor glycemic control.

2. To investigate the association between mean platelet volume and microvascular complications of diabetes. (retinopathy and nephropathy)

3. To assess the relation between mean platelet volume, glycemic control, sex, BMI, duration of diabetes, hypertension, hypertriglyceridemia and abdominal circumference.

OBJECTIVE:

To investigate the association between mean platelet volume and microvascular complications of diabetes.

II. Materials And Methods

STUDY AREA

This study was carried out in the General medicine OPD and General medicine wards of Government Stanley Medical college and Hospital, Chennai, Tamilnadu.

STUDY POPULATION

Type 2 DM patients attending medicine OPD and admitted in medicine wards of Government Stanley Medical college and Hospital

STUDY PERIOD

April 2017 to September 2017

SAMPLE SIZE - 100

INCLUSION CRITERIA

- Known type II diabetes mellitus patients on treatment with OHA/Insulin.
- Male and female patients of age >30 years.
- Newly detected type II diabetes mellitus patients.

EXCLUSION CRITERIA

- Type I diabetes mellitus
- Gestational Diabetes Mellitus
- Male patients with Hb<12mg% and female with Hb<11mg%
- Patients on antiplatelet and antithrombotic drugs.
- Patients with diagnosed malignancy.
- Patients with known chronic kidney disease.
- Patients with UTI, cardiac failure.

STUDY DESIGN - Cross sectional study STUDY TOOLS

All the patients underwent a detailed clinical evaluation. Body weight and height were measured in all subjects and BMI was calculated as weight(kg) divided by height² (metres²).

Systolic and diastolic blood pressures (SBP and DBP) were measured after a 5 min rest in a semisitting position.BP was determined at least 3 times from the right upper arm for analysis, the mean of the 3 was used. Patients with mean blood pressure levels >/= 140/90 mm of Hg or patients already on antihypertensive medications were diagnosed as having hypertension.

Venous samples were collected after 12 hours of overnight fasting at 8:30 am for Mean Platelet Volume, HbA1C, FBS, PPBS, Hb, triglyceride (TG) and serum creatinine levels.HbA1c was measured by High Performance Liquid Chromatography. Measurement of MPV was done using an automatic blood counter

(Beckman Coulter Act5Diff). Plasma glucose estimation (FBS and PPBS) was carried out by the glucose oxidase method in the autoanalyzer. Hypertriglyceridemia was defined as having triglyceride levels>150 mg/ dl.

Microalbuminuria was examined using spot urine albumin creatinine ratio (ACR). Patients with ACR of <20 mg/g for men and <30 mg/g for women were categorized as microalbuminuria negative and those with >20 mg/g and >30 mg/g respectively as microalbuminuria positive.

Diabetic Retinopathy was defined by direct ophthalmoscopic examination. Patients with at least 2 microaneurysms and/or retinal hemorrhage, and/or other signs of retinal damage were diagnosed as having retinopathy.

Creatinine clearance was calculated with the cockroft-Gault formulae as (140-age) * weight / 72 * serum creatinine. Multiplication of the result by 0.85 was done for female patients.

After baseline evaluation, the patients were divided into 2 groups based on HbA1C levels. Diabetics with good glycemic control (patients with HbA1c<7%) and those with poor glycemic control (patients with HbA1c >7%). All the parameters were compared between both the groups. These groups were further sub grouped based on the presence or absence of complications. The MPV in each group were compared.

III. Statistical Analysis

Descriptive statistics was done for all data and suitable statistical tests of comparison were done. Continuous variables were analysed with the Unpaired t test/single factor ANOVA and categorical variables were analysed with chi square test/ Fisher Exact Test. Regression analysis done and odds ratio with confidence interval calculated. Statistical significance was taken as P < 0.05. The data was analysed using SPSS Version 16. Microsoft Excel 2010 was used to generate charts.

		n Demography and Dasem	iic uctans
	Good glycemic control	Poor glycemic control	P value
	23		Unpaired t test
	AG	E DISTRIBUTION	
Mean	50.84	52.73	0.3806
SD	10.79	10.12	
	G	ENDER STATUS	
Male	50%	45.16%	0.6382
Female	50%	54.84%	
	BM	II DISTRIBUTION	
Mean	27.30	28.11	0.3507
SD	4.77	3.83	
	FB	S DISTRIBUTION	
Mean	121.63	161.21	< 0.0001
SD	23.32	30.54	
	PPE	3S DISTRIBUTION	
Mean	170.47	239.58	< 0.0001
SD	45.00	53.76	
	DURATION O	F DIABETES DISTRIBUTION	
Mean	6.53	7.35	0.2632
SD	3.71	3.49	
	HYPERTRI	GLYCERIDEMIA STATUS	
Yes	34.21%	20.97%	0.1437
No	65.79%	79.03%	
	ABNORMAL AB	DOMINAL DIAMETER STATUS	
Yes	36.84%	38.71%	0.8522
No	63.16%	61.29%	
	HYPE	RTENSION STATUS	
Yes	36.84%	37.10%	0.9801
No	63.16%	62.90%	

IV. Results Table 1: Distribution of Demography and baseline details

It was observed that majority in good glycemic control group belonged to 51-60 years age class interval (31.58%) with a mean age of 50.84 years and majority in poor glycemic control group belonged to same age class interval (35.48%) with a mean age of 52.73 years

With respect to gender, good glycemic control group males and females were equally distributed (50.00%) and majority in poor glycemic control group were females (54.84%).

While analyzing BMI distribution, it was observed that, majority in good glycemic control group belonged to overweight BMI class interval (42.11%) with a mean BMI of 27.30 and majority in poor glycemic control group belonged to same BMI class interval (54.84%) with a mean BMI of 28.11.

Good glycemic control group had a mean FBS of 121.63 mg/dl and PPBS of 170.47 mg/dl and poor glycemic control group had a mean FBS of 161.21 mg/dl and PPBS of 239.58 mg/dl .Good glycemic control

group had a mean duration of diabetes of 6.53 years. Poor glycemic control group had a mean duration of diabetes of 7.35 years.

While analyzing hypertriglyceridemia status, it was observed that, 34.21% of patients in good glycemic control group and 20.97% of patients in poor glycemic control group had hypertriglyceridemia. It was observed that, 36.84 % of patients in good glycemic control group and 38.71% of patients in poor glycemic control group had abnormal abdominal circumference. With regard to hypertension status, it was observed that, 36.84% of patients in good glycemic control group and 37.10% of patients in poor glycemic control group had hypertension.

Tuble 2. Distribution of microvascular complications			
	Good glycemic cont	rol Poor glycemic cont	rol P value
	PI	ROTEINURIA STATUS	
Yes	26.32%	51.61%	0.0132
No	73.68%	48.39%	
	RI	ETINOPATHY STATUS	
Yes	13.16%	59.68%	< 0.0001
No	86.84%	40.32%	

 Table 2: Distribution of microvascular complications

The data subjected to statistical chi squared test reveals the existence of statistically significant association between proteinuria status and glycemic control and also between retinopathy status and glycemic control.

There is an increased incidence of proteinuria in poor glycemic control group compared to good glycemic control group (25.30 percentage points increase, 34% higher) and an increased incidence of retinopathy in poor glycemic control group compared to good glycemic control group (46.52 percentage points increase, 78% higher).

	Good glycemic control group	Poor glycemic control group	P value		
	MEAN PLATELE	T VOLUME DISTRIBUTION			
Mean	7.82	10.21	< 0.0001		
SD	0.48	0.85			
	MEAN PLATETET VOLUME Vs PROTEINURIA DISTRIBUTION				
	Proteinuria (+) group	Proteinuria (-) group	P value		
Mean	10.26	8.62	< 0.0001		
SD	1.08	1.14			
	MEAN PLATELET VOLUME Vs RETINOPATHY DISTRIBUTION				
	Retinopathy (+)	Retinopathy (-) group	P value		
	group				
Mean	10.50	8.79	< 0.0001		
SD	0.95	1.20			
MEAN PLATELET VOLUME Vs GENDER DISTRIBUTION					
	Male	Female	P value		
Mean	9.23	9.37	0.6146		
SD	1.41	1.36			
	MEAN PLATELET VO	OLUME Vs BMI DISTRIBUTION			
	Normal BMI	Overweight/obese	P value		
Mean	8.90	9.45	0.0752		
SD	1.37	1.36			
MEAN PLATELET VOLUME Vs HYPERTENSION STATUS					
	Hypertensive	Non hypertensive	P value		
Mean	9.77	8.06	< 0.0001		
SD	1.25	0.81			

Table 3: Distribution of Mean platelet volume Vs Other parameters

Majority in good glycemic control group had a mean MPV of 7.82 fL and majority in poor glycemic control group had a mean MPV of 10.21 fL $\,$.

The data subjected to statistical unpaired t test reveals the existence of statistically significant association between MPV distribution and proteinuria and also between MPV and retinopathy status.

Male group had a mean MPV of 9.23 fl, female group had a mean MPV of 9.37 fl. Mean MPV was higher in overweight/ obese group than in normal BMI group. It is also shown that there is increased mean MPV levels in hypertension +ve group compared to hypertension -ve group (1.71 fL increase, 18% higher).

CORRELATION:

HbA1C Vs MPV		
Pearson's R	0.75	
R square	0.56	
P value ANOVA	< 0.0001	

There is a strong positive correlation between Hba1c levels and MPV levels. This is indicated by the Pearson's R Correlation value of 0.75 with a p-value of <0.0001.

V. Discussion

Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is a chronic disease which is posing as one of the major public health problems facing mankind. Increased platelet activation has been suggested to be involved in the pathogenesis of vascular complications. It is being found that MPV values are high in patients with diabetes mellitus, more so in uncontrolled diabetes. Platelet volume, a marker of the platelet function and activation, is proposed as to be involved as a causative agent with respect to altered platelet morphology and function. The higher the MPV, the larger and younger the platelets are and more is the risk for thrombosis and are associated with increased risk for hyperglycemic complications.

Age, gender, BMI, duration of diabetes, hypertriglyceridemia, abdominal circumference and hypertension status had no statistically significant role to play on mean platelet volume while correlating it with HbA1c and studying its association with microvascular complications in type 2 diabetes mellitus.

On internal comparisons between good and poor glycemic control patient groups, there is higher fasting blood sugar levels and post prandial blood sugar levels, higher incidence of proteinuria and retinopathy and high mean platelet volume levels in poor glycemic control patients

Higher mean platelet volume levels are found in patients with proteinuria, retinopathy and with hypertension

Correlation analysis results revealed that

- For every 1% increase in Hba1c level there is a 5.1 fl increase in MPV
- For every 1 year increase in duration of diabetes there is a 9.0 fl increase in MPV
- For every 100mg/dl increase in FBS there is a 7.96 fl increase in MPV
- For every 100mg/dl increase in FBS there is a 7.69 fl increase in MPV

VI. Conclusion

Our results showed significantly higher MPV in poorly controlled diabetics, and still higher in those with micro albuminuria and retinopathy. Hence, from this study ,we can safely conclude that Mean platelet volume (MPV) is an important, simple, effortless, and cost-effective tool measured by hematology analyzer to assess the volume and function of platelets and thus has potential to be used as indicator of presence of vascular complications .

References

- [1]. Kasper, Dennis L. Harrison's manual of medicine. New York, NY, USA: McGraw-Hill, 2005.Platelet indices in diabetes mellitus: indicators of diabetic microvascular complications.
- [2]. Mitchell RN. Haemodynamic Disorders, Thrombo-embolic Disease and Shock.In :Kumar, Vinay, et al. Robbins and Cotran pathologic basis of disease. Elsevier Health Sciences, 2014.
- [3]. Schneider DJ. Factors Contributing to Increased Platelet Reactivity in People with Diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2009;32(4):525-527. doi:10.2337/dc08-1865.
- [4]. Demirtunc, Refik, et al. "The relationship between glycemic control and platelet activity in type 2 diabetes mellitus." Journal of Diabetes and its Complications 23.2 (2009): 89-94.
- [5]. Kodiatte TA, Manikyam UK, Rao SB, et al. Mean Platelet Volume in Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. Journal of Laboratory Physicians. 2012;4(1):5-9. doi:10.4103/0974-2727.98662.
- [6]. Zuberi, B. F., N. Akhtar, and S. Afsar. "Comparison of mean platelet volume in patients with diabetes mellitus, impaired fasting glucose and non-diabetic subjects." Singapore medical journal 49.2 (2008): 114.
- [7]. Jindal, Sonali, et al. "Platelet indices in diabetes mellitus: indicators of diabetic microvascular complications." Hematology 16.2 (2011): 86-89.
- [8]. Papanas N, Symonidis G, Maltezos E, Mavridis G, Karavageli E, Vosnakidis T, Lakasas G. Mean platelet volume in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Platelets 2004; 15: 475–478.
- [9]. Li, S., et al. "Variance of mean platelet volume in subjects with normal glucose tolerance, impaired glucose regulation and type 2 diabetes mellitus and its relationship with diabetic peripheral artery disease." Zhonghua yi xue za zhi 92.4 (2012): 232-235.
- [10]. Angiolillo DJ, Fernandez-Ortiz A, Bernardo E, Ramírez C, Sabaté M, Jimenez-Quevedo P (2005). Platelet function profiles in patients with type 2 diabetes and coronary artery disease on combined aspirin and clopidogrel treatment. Diabetes 54:2430-435.

- [11]. Bavbek N, Kargılı A, Kaftan O, Karakurt F, Koşar A, Akçay A. Elevated concentrations of soluble adhesion molecules and large platelets in diabetic patients: are they markers of vascular disease and diabetic nephropathy? Clin Appl Thromb Hemost 2007; 13: 391–397.
- [12]. Demirin H, Ozhan H, Ucgun T, Celer A, Bulur S, Cil H. Normal range of mean platelet volume in healthy subjects: insight from a large epidemiologic study. Thromb Res 2011; 128: 358–360.
- [13]. Hekimsoy Z, Payzin B, Örnek T, Kandoğan G. Mean platelet volume in type 2 diabetic patients. J Diabetes Complications 2004; 18: 173–176.
- [14]. Vinocour PD. Platelet abnormalities in diabetes mellitus. Diabetes 1992; 41: 26–31.
- [15]. Ünübol M, Ayhan M, Güney E. The relationship between mean platelet volume with microalbuminuria and glycemic control in patients with type II diabetes mellitus. Platelets 2012; 23: 475–480.
- [16]. Turgutalp K, Özhan O, Akbay E, Tombak A, Tiftik N, Özcan T, Yılmaz S, Helvacı İ, Kiykim A. Mean platelet volume and related factors in patients at different stages of diabetic nephropathy: a preliminary study. Appl Thromb Hemost 2014; 20: 190–195.
- [17]. Shah B, Sha D, Xie D, Mohler ER, Berger JS. The relationship between diabetes, metabolic syndrome and platelet activity as measured by mean platelet volume. Diabetes Care 2012; 35: 1074–1078.
- [18]. Park Y, Schoene N, Haris W. Mean platelet volume as an indicator of platelet activation: methodological issues. Platelets 2002; 13: 301–306.
- [19]. Dindar S, Cinemre H, Sengül E, Annakaya AN. Mean platelet volume is associated with glycemic control and retinopathy in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. West Indian Med L 2013; 62: 519–523.
- [20]. Saigo K, Yasunaga M, Ryo R, Yamaguchi N. Mean platelet volume in diabetics. Rinsho Byori 1992; 40: 215-217.
- [21]. Sharpe PC, Thrink T. Mean platelet volume in diabetes mellitus. Q J Med 1993; 86: 739–742.
- [22]. Betteridge D, Zahavi J. Jones NAG, Shine B, Kakar VV, Galton DJ. Platelet function in diabetes mellitus in relationship to complications, glycosylated hemoglobin and serum lipoproteins. Eur J Clin Invest 1981; 11: 273–277.
- [23]. Tschoepe D, Roesen P, Esser J, Schwippert B, Nieuwenhuis HK, Kehrel B. Large platelets circulate in an activated state in diabetes mellitus. Semin Thromb Haemost 1991; 17: 433–438.
- [24]. Verdoia M,Schaffer A, Barbieri L, Cassetti E, Nardin M, Bellomo G, Marino P, Sinigaglia F, De Luca G; Novara Atherosclerosis Study (NAS) Group. Diabetes, glucose control and mean platelet volume: a single-centre cohort study. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2014; 104: 288–294.
- [25]. Vernekar PV, Vaidya KL. Comparison of mean platelet volume in type 2 diabetics on insulin therapy and on oral hypoglycaemic agents. J Clin Diagn Res 2013; 7: 2839–2840.
- [26]. Muscari A, De Pascalis S, Cenni A, Ludovico C, Castaldini N, Antonelli S, Bianchi G, Magalotti D, Zolli M. Determinants of mean platelet volume in an elderly population: relevance of body fat, blood glucose and ischemic electrocardiographic changes. Thromb Haemost 2008; 99: 1079–1084.
- [27]. Zhong ZL, Han M, Chen S. Risk factors associated with retinal neovascularisation of diabetic retinopathy in type 2 diabetes mellitus. Int J Ophthalmol 2011; 4: 182–185.
- [28]. Tuzcu AE, Arica S, ilhan N, Dağlıoğlu M, Coşkun M, Ilhan O, Üstün I. Relationship between mean platelet volume and retinopathy in patients with type 2 diabetes. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol 2014; 252: 237–240.
- [29]. Jonathan M (2001). Blood platelets. John Bernard Henry editors. Clinical Diagnosis & Management by Laboratory Methods 20st edition. New Delhi. Elseviers publications. Part 4. pp. 624-641.
- [30]. Mathur A, Robinson MS, Cotton J, Martin JF, Erusalimsky JD (2001). Platelet reactivity in acute coronary syndromes: evidence for differences in platelet behavior between unstable angina and myocardial infarction. Thromb. Haemost. 85(6):989-994.
- [31]. Carr ME. Diabetes mellitus: A hypercoagulable state. J Diabetes Complications 2001; 15:44-54.
- [32]. Mandal S, Sarode R, Dash S, Dash RJ. Hyperaggregation of platelets detected by whole blood platelet aggregometry in newly diagnosed non insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. Am J Clin Pathol 1993; 100:103–7.
- [33]. Watala C, Boncler M, Pietrucha T, Trojanowski Z. Possible mechanisms of the altered platelet volume distribution in type 2 diabetes: does increased platelet activation contribute to platelet size heterogeneity? Platelets 1999;10:52–60.
- [34]. Bridges JM, Dalby AM, Millar JHD, Weaver JA. An effect of D-glucose on platelet stickiness. Lancet 1965;1:75–7.
- [35]. Coppola L, Verrazzo G, La Marca C, Ziccardi GP, Grassia A, Tirelli A, et al. Effect of insulin on blood rheology in non-diabetic subjects and in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Diabet Med 1997; 14:959–63.
- [36]. Oskarsson HJ, Hofmeyer TG. Diabetic human platelets release a substance that inhibits platelet-mediated vasodilatation. Am J Physiol 1997; 273:371–9.
- [37]. Halushka PV, Mayfield R, Wohltmann HJ, Rogers RC, Goldberg AK, McCoy SA, et al. Increased platelet arachidonic acid metabolism in diabetes mellitus. Diabetes 1981;30:44–8.
- [38]. Davi G, Catalano I, Averna M, Notarbartolo A, Strano A, Ciabattoni G, et al. Thromboxane biosynthesis and platelet function in type II diabetes mellitus. N Engl J Med 1990;322:1769–74.
- [39]. Tomaselli L, Cerletti C, de Gaetano G, Notarbartolo A, Davi G, Pupillo M. Normal platelet function, but increased platelet activation in vivo in diabetic patients. Thromb Haemost 1990;64:604–60.
- [40]. Watala C, Boncler M, Golanski J, Koziolkiewcz W, Trojanowski Z, Walkowiak B. Platelet membrane lipid fluidity and intraplatelet calcium mobilisation in type 2 diabetes mellitus. Eur J Haematol 1998; 61:319–26.
- [41]. Takaya J, Iwamoto Y, Higashino H, Ishihara R, Kobayashi Y. Increased intracellular calcium and altered phorbol dibutyrate binding to intact platelets in young subjects with insulindependent and non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus.
- [42]. Gawaz M, Langer H, May AE. Platelets in inflammation and atherogenesis. J Clin Invest 2005;115(3):378-84.20.
- [43]. Martina V, Bruno GA, Trucco F, Zumpano E, Tagliabue M, Di Bisceglie, et al. Platelet cNOS activity is reduced in patients with IDDM and NIDDM. Thromb Haemost 1998;79:520–2
- [44]. Borsey DQ, Prowse CV, Gray RS, Dawes J, James K, Elton RA et al. Platelet and coagulation factors in proliferative diabetic retinopathy. J Clin Pathol 1984;37:659–64.
- [45]. Winocour PD, Bryszewska M, Watala C, Rand M, Epand RM, Kinlough-Rathbone RL, et al. Reduced membrane fluidity in platelets from diabetic patients. Diabetes 1990;39:241–4.

- [46]. Winocour PD. Platelet abnormalities in diabetes mellitus. Diabetes 1992;41:26–31.
- [47]. Yngen M,Ostenson CG, Hu H, Li N, Hjemdahl P, Wallen NH. Enhanced P-selectin expression and increased soluble CD40 ligand in patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus and microangiopathy: Evidence for platelet hyperactivity and chronic inflammation. Diabetologia 2004;47:537–40
- [48]. Li Y, Woo W, Bose R. Platelet hyperactivity and abnormal Ca (2⁺) homeostasis in diabetes mellitus. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 2001;280:H1480–9.
- [49]. Jones RL, Paradise C, Peterson CM. Platelet survival in patients with diabetes mellitus. Diabetes 1981;30:486-9.

C S Gauthaman. "Mean Platelet Volume- Correlation with HbA1c and Its Association with Microvascular Complications In Type Ii Diabetes Mellitus." IOSR Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences (IOSR-JDMS), vol. 18, no. 3, 2019, pp 14-20.
