Role of Ultrasonography in Diagnosis of Acute Appendicitis and Its Management at GSL Medical College and Hospital, Rajahmundry.

V Veeranadha Reddy¹, Kodenge Raghavendrarao², malsur banoth³, ashrith pakalapati⁴

¹(Assistant professor, Department of general surgery GSL medical college, Indi)

Abstract: Acute appendicitis is one of the commonest conditions treated at emergency. Despite of advances in diagnostic medicine and therapeutics, the diagnosis of appendicitis remains essentially clinical, requiring clinical acumen and surgical knowledge. Maximum incidence noted in 2nd to 4th decade of life with male predominance. Recently USG is shown to be effective in the diagnosis. Management varies from conservative to emergency appendicectomy. In this hospital based study comprising 100 patients, admitted to surgical department with a preliminary diagnosis of acute appendicitis, patients of both sexes and all age groups except pregnancy, appendicular mass were included in the study. Out of 100 cases (68 males and 32 females), 78 patients are clinically positive and 86 are ultrasonographically positive, appendicectomy were performed in all cases. Final diagnosis by histopathological report was confirmed in 97 cases. The overall negative appendicectomy rate was 3%. Sensitivity and Specificity of USG found to be 95% and 66% respectively.

Keywords: Appendicitis, Appendicectomy, Ultrasonography, CT, MRI, Histopathological Examination.

Date of Submission: 20-03-2019 Date of acceptance: 06-04-2019

I. Introduction

Acute appendicitis is the most common cause of an acute abdomen in young adults and as such the associated symptoms and signs have become a paradigm for clinical teaching. Though extraordinary advances in modern diagnostic investigations have been achieved, the diagnosis of acute appendicitis remains essentially clinical, requiring a mixture of observation, clinical acumen and surgical knowledge¹. Sir Heneage Ogilivie said Acute appendicitis is one of the commonest conditions which the surgeon is called upon to treat as an emergency, which requires utmost skill and care of the attending surgeon, besides good clinical evaluation². The treatment of acute appendicitis primarily has remained surgery i.e. appendicectomy but depends on the mode of clinical presentation. Presentation of acute appendicitis, though remains the same, age incidence varies from relatively rare in infants to maximum peak incidence in early twenties, more severe form is noticed in extremes of age. Despite the advances in diagnostic medicine and therapeutics over the past forty years, the accurate diagnosis of appendicitis and pain in the right iliacfossa remains a clinical challenge. Even after years of tremendous progress, it is difficult to predict which patient will develop appendicitis. Till today, we don't have any means of preventing appendicitis, though one can definitely prevent dangerous complications by timely surgical intervention³. Copes approach, formulated fifty years ago, was based on clinical acumen and early surgery (Appendicectomy) to avoid the risk of peritonitis⁴, and is considered correct by most surgeons even today. A decision made by junior doctors, who often decide on surgery in the emergency room, may be wrong half the time's⁵. Negative appendectomy rates at 25-35% are not uncommon, and morbidity rates of a negative appendicectomy often parallel those of surgery for acute appendicitis⁶. On the other hand, a delay in diagnosis is associated with increased risk of perforation, which increases the morbidity, mortality and other late complications. To decide between the lesser of the two evils, that is, a negative appendicectomy or an appendicular perforation can often be a vexing problem. The need for a diagnostic aid in doubtful cases is recognized. Ultrasonography has been proposed as an ideal noninvasive adjunct to diagnosis in suspected appendicitis cases. Our study included clinical study and management of acute appendicitis and the use of ultrasonography, which has emerged as a useful investigation in improving the accuracy in diagnosis of acute appendicitis and thereby reducing negative appendectomies⁷.

DOI: 10.9790/0853-1804015557 www.iosrjournals.org 55 | Page

²(Professor, Department of general surgery GSL medical college, India)

^{3&4}(Post graduate, Department of general surgery GSL medical college, India)

II. Objectives Of The Study

- 1. To study the different modalities of presentation of acute appendicitis, the diagnosis and its management.
- 2. To study the role of ultrasonography and to evaluate the sensitivity and specificity of ultrasonography in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis.
- 3. To study various complications of acute appendicitis and their management.

III. Materials And Methods

Material for this study was obtained from the patients admitted in the Department of General Surgery, GSL medical college and hospital from September 2018 to january 2019, who were diagnosed to be suffering from Acute Appendicitis. Simultaneously follow – up was done for a period of at 30 days. A total of 100 cases were taken for detailed study.

INCLUSION CRITERIA FOR THE STUDY 1. Patients admitted with acute abdomen irrespective of age and sex. 2. Patients diagnosed to be suffering from acute appendicitis provisionally and ultrasonographically.

EXCLUSION CRITERIA FOR THE STUDY1. Patients admitted with hollow viscus perforation with peritonitis. 2. Appendicular mass. 3. Patients not willing for admission or surgery. 4. Pregnancy

IV. Figures And Tables

TABLE 1- AGE DISTRIBUTION **TABLE 2-** SEX DISTRIBUTION

Age	No of	percentage
Group	patients	
21-30	17	17%
31-40	25	25%
41-50	33	33%
51-60	14	14%
61-70	11	11%
total	100	100%

SEX	No of patients	percentage
MALE	63	63%
FEMALE	37	37%

Table 3: Comparison of clinical results with USG

Tubic of Computation of Chimeur Legales Williams			
Measurement	Clinical	Ultrasonography	
Accuracy	78%	85%	
Sensitivity	79.3%	85.57%	
Specificity	33.3%	66.6%	
PPV	97.4%	97.65%	
NPV	4.76%	12.5%	

V. Conclusion

The results of our study are summarized as follows: The total number of cases operated were 100 cases Acute appendicitis suspected clinically in 79 cases and sonologically in 86 cases. The ratio of male to female patients was almost 1.63:1.Most cases of acute appendicitis were found in the age group of 11 - 29 years of age. Right iliacfossa pain was found in 91cases. The rest had atypical pain. Anorexia and Nausea/vomiting were found in 53% and 55% respectively, Fever was present in 46 cases. Total leucocyte count above 10,000 is seen in 55% of cases. The overall accuracy of clinical diagnosis was 78%, sensitivity was 79.3% and specificity was 33.3%. All cases sent for USG, revealed 86 out of 100, sonologically positive. Histopathological examination of the resected appendix proved acute appendicitis (80/100cases) acute suppurative (11/100 cases) and recurrent appendicitis(6/100 cases) and normal appendix (3/100 cases) 11 USG revealed accuracy of 85%, sensitivity of 85.57% and specificity of 66.6%.

References

- [1]. Russel. R.C.G., Williams. N.S. & Bulstrode Chistopher. J.K., Bailey & Love's Short Practice of Surgery, Arnold Publishers 24th edition, 2004 pp 1203-1218 and 22nd edition 1995, pp 828-841.
- [2]. Richard. A Williams and Paul myers MONOGRAPH PATHOLOGY OF THE APPENDIX, first edition, Chapman and Hall Inc. 1994.
- [3]. Townsend. C.M., Beauchamp R.D., Evers B.M., and Mattox K.L., in Sabiston Text book of –Surgery, Saunders International, 15th edition 1997:964-970 and 17th edition 2004: pp 1381-1397.
- [4]. De Dombal FF, Leaper DJ, Horrocks JC et al. Human and computer aideddiagnosis of abdominal pain; further report with emphasis on performance of clinicians. Br. Med J 1974: i; 376-380.
- [5]. Zinner Michael J, Schwartz Seymour I, Ashley SW, Ellis H, Mc Fadden DW. In Maingot's Abdominal Operations McGraw Hill, 10th Edition, 2001; pp 1191 1227

- [6]. Robbins Stanley. L., Angell. M. & Kumar. V., Basic Pathology. WB Saunders International, 5th edition, 1994, 519-520
- [7]. Fitz. R.H. Perforating inflammation of the vermiform appendix with special reference to its early diagnosis and treatment. Trans Assoc Am Physicians 1886; 1:107.
- [8]. Detmer DE, Nevere LE, Sikes Ed et al. Regional results of acute appendicitiscare. JAMA 1981; 246: 1318-20.
- [9]. Thomas HJ, Jones PF. Active observation in acute abdominal pain Am J Surg1986; 152:522-5. 81
- [10]. Deutsch A, Leopold GR. Ultrasonic Demonstration of the inflamed appendix case report. Radiology 1981; 140: 163-164.
- [11]. Puylaert JBCM et al. Acute appendicitis: Visualisation using graded compression. Radiology 1986; 158: 355-360.
- [12]. Jeffrey RB, Laing FC, Lewis FR. Acute appendicitis High resolution real timeUS findings. Radiology 1987; 163:11-14.
- [13]. Adams D, Calthrope Fine, Brooks David et al. High resolution Real Time ultrasonography, a new tool in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis. Am J Surg1988; 155: 93-97.
- [14]. Chesbrough RM, Burkhand TK, Babara ZN. Self localisation in US of appendicitis: an addition to graded compression. Rediology 1993; 187:349-351.
- [15]. Zaki AM, Mc Mohan KA. Acute Appendicitis in children. When does US help Aust NZ J Surg 1994; 64: 695-698.
- [16]. Heller and Scolnick. Ultrasound documentation of spontaneously resolving appendicitis. Am J Emer Med 1993; 11: 51-53.
- [17]. John G.R et al, Death from appendicitis and appendectomy, An epidemiological survey. Lancet, Dec 17, 1966.
- [18]. Inderbirsingh, G.P. Pal Human Embryology, Macmillan India Ltd. 7th edition, 2001, pp 172-173.
- [19]. Brunicardi F.C., Andersen D.K, Billiar T.R, Dunn D.L, Hunter J.G and PollockR.E in Schwartz's Principles of Surgery McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 8 th edition, 2005 pp 1119-1135.
- [20]. Wakeley C.P The position of the vermiform appendix as ascertained by ananalysis of 10,000 cases. J. Anat, 1933, 67, 277-283. 82
- [21]. Decker. G.A.G, Duplessis D.J, Appendix in Lee McGregor's, Synopsis of Surgical Anatomy, K.M.Varghese company, publishers, 12th edition 1986, 41-42.
- [22]. Burkitt Denis P, The aetiology of appendicitis, Br J Surg 58:695, 1971.
- [23]. Gilmore OJA, Browett JP, Griffin PH et al. Appendicitis and mimicking conditions; a prospective study. Lancet 1975; ii: 421-424.
- [24]. Pieper R, Kaer L, Nasman P. Acute appendicitis, a clinical study of 1018 cases of emergency appendectomy ActaChirScand 1982: 148:51-62.
- [25]. Irwin et al, A surgical audit of 1190 emergency admissions. Br. J Surg 1989. 76:112.
- [26]. Lewis FR, Holcroft JW, Boey et al. Appendicitis: a critical review of the diagnosis and treatment in 1000 cases. Arch Surg 1975; 110: 677-684.
- [27]. Buschard K and Kjaeldgaard A. Investigation and analysis of position, length, fixation, and embryology of the vermiform appendix. ActaChirScand 1973:139:293.
- [28]. J. Hoffman and O. Rasmussen. Aids in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis.Br JSurg 1989; 76:774-79.
- [29]. Paulman AA, Huebner DM. Sonography in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis. Am Family Physician 1991; 44:465-468.
- [30]. Shen GK, Wong, Dalla et al, does the retrocaecal position of the appendix alter the clinical course of acute appendicitis? A prospective analysis. Arch Surg 1991:161:261

V Veeranadha Reddy. "Role of Ultrasonography in Diagnosis of Acute Appendicitis and Its Management at GSL Medical College and Hospital, Rajahmundry." IOSR Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences (IOSR-JDMS), vol. 18, no. 4, 2019, pp 55-57.