

Efficacy of Epidural Steroid for Chronic Low Back Ache

B.Mrudhula¹ K.Veeranjaneya.Naik² M.Spoorthi³ G.Sailendra Kumar⁴

¹Assistant Professor. Department of Orthopaedics, Guntur Medical College, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India

²Assistant Professor. Department of Orthopaedics, Guntur Medical College, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India

³ Junior resident Department of Orthopaedics, Guntur Medical College, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India

⁴ Junior resident Department of Orthopaedics, Guntur Medical College, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India

Corresponding Author: B.Mrudhula

Abstract: Introduction: Low back ache constitutes majority of the cases of orthopaedics out patient department. Low back ache causes more global disability than any other condition. Historically Epidural steroid injection is widely being used as nonspecific treatment for LBA with radiculopathy. The efficacy of epidural steroid is still controversial. Studies supporting the use and opposing the use are available. The rationale behind using epidural steroid is its anti-inflammatory action of steroid. Our study aims at observing the efficacy of epidural steroid injection for chronic lowback ache with radiculopathy and observing related complications during the procedure

Methodology It is a prospective study. Patients who attended our out patient department between October 2017 and October 2018 who presented with chronic low back ache with radiculopathy were identified. All patients who met the inclusion criteria were included in the study. Patients were followed up for period of 6 months following the epidural steroid injection. Pre and post procedure pain relief was measured using visual analogue scale. The results were analysed

Results 92% of the cases their VAS score came down to 0-1. patients had significant relief from low back ache as well as radicular pain. 4 patients (8% of case) all are female patients had no significant relief with epidural steroid injection. All the 4 patients needed surgery for symptom relief.

Conclusion Epidural steroid injection for low back ache with radiculopathy gave satisfactory results in 92% of cases at 6 months followup. The results are favourable for both low back ache and radiculopathy

Keywords: Epidural steroid injection. Radiculopathy. lowback ache

Date of Submission: 03-08-2019

Date of acceptance: 19-08-2019

I. Introduction

Low back pain is the leading cause of activity limitation and work absence throughout much of the world, and places a large economic burden on individuals, families, communities, industry and governments.¹ Epidural steroid injection is being widely used and its use is being increasing inspite of it being empirical and based on observation of response clinically. Most of the recent studies showed that the results are controversial and has no long term efficacy. Neurological complications are also being reported related to epidural steroid injection due to its neurotoxic effect. Both mechanical and biochemical factors are involved in the pathophysiology of nerve root compression². Chronic compression produces severe demyelination and fibrosis of the nerve root. Similar lesions can result from exposure to irritant substances released from the nucleus pulposus. Pain sensations arise from the peripheral nervous system as a result of structural radicular damage and sensitization. The aim of our study is to assess the efficacy of epidural steroid injection in lowback ache with radiculopathy

II. Materials & Methods

50 patients who attended our opd between October 2017 and October 2018 who had low back ache with radiculopathy were included in the study. All the patients were evaluated clinically and radiologically. Lumbar disc prolapse was confirmed by MRI All the patients matching the inclusion criteria were included in the study. Inclusion criteria being: (1) All patients with low back ache and findings characteristic of a herniated lumbar disc that did not obtained pain relief with bed rest and administration of analgesic and anti-inflammatory drug therapy for at least six weeks (2) presence of a lumbar disc prolapsed (single level) confirmed by magnetic resonance imaging (3) All patients willing for follow-up for at least six months (4) No previous history of spine surgeries. Exclusion criteria (1) patients who have local infection, (2) patients with sequestered disc, (3) patients with motor deficits, (4) patients with instability of spine, (5) patients with coagulation disorders, (6) patients not willing for the procedure. All The patients were admitted to the hospital thorough clinical examination, xray and

routine surgical profile was done. Other co morbidities were ruled out. MRI was done to confirm the lumbar disc prolapsed. Patient was posted on elective basis and received epidural steroid injection. All the patients were preoperatively evaluated about the pain on visual analogue scale. The epidural injection was done by using loss of resistance technique without fluoroscopic guidance. Each patient received 80 mg(2ml) of methylprednisolone in 4 mL xylocaine 2%. The procedure was performed by single consultant. Interlaminar approach was used. Patients were followed up up to 6 months after epidural steroid injection. Subjective pain level or intensity of pain was assessed on horizontal 10-cm visual analogue scale (VAS) rated by the patients, ranging from 0 (no pain) to 10 (most pain possible). The patients were then classified into five groups: no pain (0); mild pain; moderate pain (3-5); severe pain (6-9) and very severe pain (10). The results were analysed.

III. Results

The age group of the patients included in the study range between 25-55 years (mean 34.7 years). Most of the patients were between 30 and 40 years age group. Of the 50 patients 37 (74%) were female and rest 13 (26%) were male. All of the 50 patients received epidural steroid injection by interlaminar route. The patients preoperative pain severity was assessed by visual analogue scale. 62% of patients had VAS score between 6-10 (severe to very severe pain) before the procedure. Rest of 38% of patients had VAS score between 3-5 (moderate pain). All the patients were assessed 1 week after the procedure for the VAS score. 92% of the cases their VAS score came down to 0-1. patients had significant relief from low back ache as well as radicular pain. 4 patients (8% of case) all are female patients had no significant relief with epidural steroid injection. All the 4 patients needed surgery for symptom relief.

IV. Discussion

Low back pain is a leading cause of disability. It occurs in similar proportions in all cultures and interferes with quality of life and work performance. It is the most common reason for medical consultations. Back pain is both a major cause of temporary disability and a challenge to medical and surgical treatment decisions.¹⁰ All kind of conservative and surgical treatments have been used with varying success. Non-surgical treatment of chronic low back pain covers a wide range of alternatives including conventional physiotherapy, manipulations and other manual methods of traction¹¹. Epidural steroid injection is being widely used and its use is being increasing in spite of it being empirical and based on observation of response clinically. Most of the recent studies showed that the results are controversial and has no long term efficacy. The rationale behind using steroid injection is Steroids may reduce pain by having an anti-inflammatory effect, decreasing nerve root edema, and increasing blood flow to the nerve roots and neural elements to decrease ischemia.⁴ where as addition of Local anesthetics reduce pain by blocking conduction in nociceptive nerve fibers³. Studies have shown favourable short-term results with epidural steroid injection for radicular back pain.⁵⁻⁷ Outcomes include decreased back or leg pain, increased mobility, and an increased ability to perform continuous activities.⁸⁻⁹ If pain relief is achieved, it lasts from 1 week to several months. The degree of pain relief varies from partial to complete relief. In clinical practice, Epidural Steroid Injections are essentially used in the treatment of radiculopathies caused by disc herniation or by lumbar canal stenosis. In the case of disc herniation, cells from the degenerated disc fragments produce numerous inflammatory mediators including TNF and various other inflammatory cytokines¹². High levels of phospholipase A2, precursor of prostaglandins E2, have also been found in herniated discs¹³. All these neurotoxic substances may penetrate within the intraneural capillaries causing axonal ischemia, which in turn is responsible for nerve root pain. Moreover, the abundant inflammatory cells present in the granulation tissue surrounding the disc fragment are a strong marker of inflammation^{14,15}. . In the case of lumbar canal stenosis, inflammation of the nerve root is induced by chronic, slowly progressive mechanical compression. Thus, an inflammatory process is observed in the main Causes of common sciatica. In our study we have found that epidural steroid has significant relief of low back ache as well as radicular pain. The most common adverse reaction experienced after epidural injections mentioned in literature are vasovagal reaction leading to hypotension, nausea, and vomiting. Other complications include intravascular injection, headachedural puncture, infection, or hematoma. Some patients experience increased back pain after the injection until the medications take effect. We have not encountered any complications during the procedure. Out of the 50 patients only 4 patients had no significant relief of symptoms. They came back for surgery

V. Conclusion

Epidural steroid injection for low back ache with radiculopathy gave satisfactory results in 92% of cases at 6 months follow-up. The results are favourable for both low back ache and radiculopathy. The study has limitations being short term study, single dose of epidural steroid injection and long term efficacy could not be analysed and the results being analysed basing on subjective response.

Acknowledgments

My sincere thanks to Dr.V.V.Narayana Rao sir for insightful comments, encouragement, and sharing his vast knowledge and experience. My sincere thanks to Dr. V. N.Krishna Prasad sir for his constant support and encouragement

References

- [1]. Hoy D, March L, Brooks P, et al. The global burden of low back pain: estimates from the Global Burden of Disease 2010 study. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2014; 73: 968–974
- [2]. Recent data on the pathophysiology of nerve root compression and pain. *Boulu P¹, Benoist M. Rev Rhum Engl Ed.* 1996 May;63(5):358-63.
- [3]. Lehne RA. Local anesthetics. In: Lehne RA, ed. *Pharmacology for Nursing Care*. 7th ed. St. Louis, MO:Saunders Elsevier; 2010.
- [4]. Parr AT, Diwan S, Abdi S. Lumbar interlaminar epidural injections in managing chronic low backand lower extremity pain: a systematic review. *Pain Physician*. 2009;12(1):163-188.
- [5]. Botwin KP, Gruber RD. Lumbar epidural steroid injections in the patient with lumbar spinal stenosis. *Phys Med Rehabil Clin N Am*. 2003;14(1):121-141.
- [6]. Manchikanti L, Singh V, Falco FJ, Cash KA, Pampati V. Evaluation of the effectiveness of lumbarinterlaminar epidural injections in managing chronic pain of lumbar disc herniation or radiculitis: arandomized, double-blind, controlled trial. *Pain Physician*. 2010;13(4):345-355.
- [7]. Tomkins-Lane CC, Conway J, Hepler C, Haig AJ. Changes in objectively measured physical activity (performance) after epidural steroid injection for lumbar spinal stenosis. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*.2012;93(11):2008-2014.
- [8]. Buenaventura RM, Datta S, Abdi S, Smith HS. Systematic review of therapeutic lumbar transforaminalepidural steroid injections. *Pain Physician*.2009;12(1):233-251.
- [9]. Koc Z, Ozcakilir S, Sivrioglu K, Gurbet A, Kucukoglu S. Effectiveness of physical therapy and epiduralsteroid injections in lumbar spinal stenosis. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2009;34(10):985-989.
- [10]. Ehrlich GE. Low back pain. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*. 2003; 81(9):6716
- [11]. Efficacy of Epidural Steroid Injection in Management of Lumbar Prolapsed Intervertebral Disc: AComparison of Caudal, Transforaminal and Interlaminar Routes ,*Journal of Clinical and DiagnosticResearch*. 2016 Jul, Vol-10(7): RC05-RC11
- [12]. Olmarker K, Rydevik B (2002) Disc herniation and sciatica: the basic science platform. In: Gunzburg R,Szpalski M (eds) *Lumbar disc herniation*. Lippincott Williams and Wilkins, Philadelphia
- [13]. Saal JS, Franson RC, Dobrow R (1990) High levels of inflammatory phospholipase A2 activity in lumbar disc herniations. *Spine* 15:674–678
- [14]. Grondblad M, Virri J, Tolonen J et al (1994) A controlled immunohistochemical study of inflammatory cells in disc herniation tissue. *Spine* 19:2744–2751
- [15]. Yamashita K, Hiroshima K, Kurat A (1994) Gadolinium DTPA enhanced magnetic resonance imaging of a sequestrated lumbar intervertebral disc and its correlation with pathologic findings.*Spine* 19:479–482

B.Mrudhula" Efficacy of Epidural Steriod for Chronic Low Back Ache" *IOSR Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences (IOSR-JDMS)*, vol. 18, no. 8, 2019, pp 30-32.