# A Ten-Year Review of Actiology and Bio-demographic characteristics of Hydrocephalus managed in a Regional Neurosurgical center

Ismail N. J, Lasseini A

Department of Neurosurgery, UDUTH, Sokoto, Nigeria. Corresponding Author: Dr Nasiru Jinjiri Ismail Department of Neurosurgery, UDUTH, Sokoto, Nigeria

# Abstract

Background

Hydrocephalus is a common neurosurgical condition affecting people all ages. The aetiology and clinical profile may varies depending on the age and geographical location. Paucity of data in literature exists on causes and biodemographic characteristics of hydrocephalus in sub-Saharan Africa.

#### **Objectives**

To determine the aetiology and bio-demographic characteristics of hydrocephalus seen in our centre *Methods* 

A retrospective review of all cases of hydrocephalus managed between January 2008 to December 2017 in our centre. Relevant information including aetiological factors and bio-demographics were obtained from hospital records and analysed.

#### RESULTS

We found a total of 568 patients with hydrocephalus managed over the study period with an average of 56.8 patients per year. There was a male: female ratio of 1.4:1, males making up 58.5% of the total. Age ranged from 5-day old to 78 years. Acquired post-infective causes accounted for the majority of cases (32.2%). While, aqueductal stenosis represents the commonest congenital aetiology (17.9%).

#### Conclusion

Hydrocephalus remains a common neurosurgical presentation in our environment. It's mostly seen in infant and affects males majorly. Generally, acquired aetiological factors were more common than the congenital. *Key words:* Childhood Hydrocephalus, Management, Outcomes

Date of Submission: 29-02-2020 Date of Acceptance: 14-03-2020

# I. Introduction

Hydrocephalus is an abnormal accumulation of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) within the ventricles of the brain and /or subarachnoid spaces.<sup>1,2,3</sup> It result from disturbance of formation, flow or absorption of CSF with myriad of causes which can be congenital or acquired. <sup>1,4,5</sup> Congenital hydrocephalus tend to be more common than acquired as documented in the literature, <sup>1,6</sup> even though the incidence of acquired hydrocephalus from neonatal or childhood infection is quite alarming in the sub-Saharan Africa.<sup>7,8</sup> Despitegreat strides in diagnosis and treatment, hydrocephalus remains a challenge for the Neurosurgeons.<sup>9</sup> Treatment include both nonsurgical and surgical, even though surgical is more definitive. Endoscopic third ventriculostomy (ETV) and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) shunting are the most popular treatment options.The objectives of this study were to review the aetiology and bio-demographic characteristics of hydrocephalus seen in our center.

# II. Methods

Retrospective analysis of all cases of hydrocephalus managed between January 2008 to December 2017. Relevant data on aetiology and bio-demographic profile were obtained from patient's medical records. Descriptive analysis was done and results presented in frequency and percentages using charts and tables where appropriate.

# **III. Results**

A total of 568 patients with hydrocephalus were operated on in the period under review giving an average of 56.8 patients per year.



A Ten-Year Review of Aetiology and Bio-demographic characteristics of Hydrocephalus managed ..

Figure 1: Case per year distribution

There was a male: female ratio of 332: 236, males making up 58.5% of the total.



Figure 2: Distribution based on gender

Age ranged from 5-day old to 78 years.

Table 1: Age distribution of cases						
AGE	FREQUENCY	CUMMULATIVE FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE		
≤1 month	23	23	4.0	4.0		
>1 month – 1 year	356	379	62.7	66.7		
>1 year – 5 years	76	455	13.4	80.1		
>5 years – 10 years	30	485	5.3	85.4		
>10 years – 20 years	19	504	3.3	88.7		
>20 years – 40 years	27	531	4.8	93.5		
>40 years – 60 years	16	547	2.8	96.3		
>60 years	13	560	2.3	98.6		

Not specified	8	568	1.4	100
TOTAL	568		100	

A peak is noted in infancy: about 66.7% being managed in the first year of life (figure 3).



Figure 3: An infant with hydrocephalus



Figure 4: CT scan showing Ventriculomegaly with shunt insitu.

Table 2. Distribution based on actionogical factors					
AETIOLOGY	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE			
CONGENITAL:	203	35.7%			
Aqueductal stenosis	102	17.9%			
Hydrocephalus + myelomeningocele	89	15.7%			
Hydrocephalus + encephalocoele	6	1.1%			
Dandy walker malformation	4	0.7%			
Vein of Galen malformation	2	0.4%			
ACQUIRED:	282	49.6%			
Post-infective	183	32.2%			
Tumours	71	12.5%			
Normal pressure hydrocephalus	14	2.4%			
Post-traumatic	13	2.3%			
Post birth trauma	1	0.2%			
POST-SURGICAL	18	3.2%			
ARRESTED	4	0.7%			
NOT SPECIFIED	61	10.7%			
TOTAL	568	100%			

Table 2: Distribution based on aetiological factor	Table 2:	Distribution	based	on	aetiological	factors
--	----------	--------------	-------	----	--------------	---------

The post-surgical cases were following excision and repair of myelomeningocele (n=13) and encephalocoele (n=5). The question arises if these were congenital ab-initio, but were only revealed after dural closure. Of the 568 patients, 474 had ventriculoperitoneal shunt only (figure 4), while 94 had ventriculoperitoneal shunt plus excision and repair of myelomeningocele (n=88) or encephalocele (n=6). Also, fifty-eight patients had complications requiring operation. These complications include shunt malfunction (n=44), shunt migration (n=8), shunt infection (n=3). The shunt was mispositioned in initial surgery in 3 patients.

# **IV. Discussion**

From our study, a decline in number of cases operated per year was observed from 2013-2017. This could be attributed to reduced number of referral cases as the centre had trained some Neurosurgeons from neighboring state during the study period. Of the 586 patients reviewed, 332(58.5%) were males with a male to ratio of (1.4:1). This agrees with male preponderance reported in the literature.<sup>6,10</sup> However, a study by

Murshid et al in Saudi Arabia reported no statistically significant sex predominance in infantile hydrocephalus.<sup>11</sup> Another study by Nakashima et al reported nogender preponderance in infantile hydrocephalus.<sup>12</sup> As reported by previous study majority of cases (66.7%) of hydrocephalus were diagnosed in infants.<sup>13</sup>

Acquired causes (49.6%) were more common than the congenital cases (35.7%) from our study. Among the congenital causes, aneurysm of vein of Galen was the rarest seen in only 2 patients (0.4%). This conform with the findings of d'Avella andcausin andfeletti et al. <sup>14,15</sup>Post infective hydrocephalus was the commonest acquired cause (32.2%) in our study which was equivalent to data reported by other workers. <sup>7,8</sup> All our patients had ventriculoperitoneal shunt. Four hundred andseventy-four patients (83.5%)had shunt alone, while the remaining (16.5%) had excision and repair of either myelomeningocele or encephalocele along with VP shunt. Other shunting options reported in the literature include ventriculoatrial, ventriculopleural and ventriculocisternal, even though ventriculoperitoneal shunt is the most popular with less complications.<sup>1,9</sup> Patients with non-communicating hydrocephalus can also benefit from endoscopic third ventriculostomy (ETV) especially in children greater than one month with aqueductal stenosis who have not had shunt previously with less complications compared to ventriculoperitoneal shunt.<sup>9,17</sup>Out of the 568 patients reviewed, 58 (10.2%) had complications that required surgery. Eight patients had shunt migration while 3 patients had shunt infection. The remaining (44 patient) were actually reported as having shunt malfunction which was not specified. However, our overall complication rate (10.2%) was lower than the rate of 22.6% reported by Merkler et al <sup>17</sup> and 33% reported by other authors.

#### V. Conclusion

Hydrocephalus is a common neurosurgical condition seen in infants in our environment. There wasmale predominance and on the overall acquired aetiological factors were more common in comparison with the congenital.

#### References

- [1]. Greenberg M. Handbook of Neurosurgery. New York Thieme 8<sup>th</sup> ed Pg 394-437
- [2]. Negoro T, watanabe K, Nakashima S et al (1994): Clinico-epidemiological study of infantile hydrocephalus in Japan. No ToHattatsu, 26(3):211-15
- [3]. Carey C, Tullous M, Walker M(1994): Hydrocephalus: Etiology, pathologic effects, diagnosis and natural history. In pediatric neurosurgery, 3 ed, cheek WR(Ed),WB Saunders company, Philadelphia.
- [4]. Rekate HL (2009): A contemporary definition and classification of hydrocephalus. Seminpediatr Neurol., 16:9-15.
- [5]. Lategan B, Chodirker B, Del Bigio D (2013): Fetal hydrocephalus caused by cryptic intraventicular hemorrhage. MR.Brainpathol, 20 (2): 391-8.
- [6]. Alenezi AT, El-Fetoh NMA, Hussain MA et al: congenital hydrocephalus in Arar, Northern Saudi Arabia. The Egyptian Journal of Hospital medicine (April 2018) vol.71(3),pg 2651-2655
- [7]. Warf BC : Hydrocephalus in Uganda :The predominance of infectious origin and primary management with endoscopic third ventriculostomy . J Neurosurg 102 : 1-15,2005.
- [8]. Warf BC, Alkire BC, Bhai S, Hughes C, Schiff SJ, Vincent JR et al: Costs and benefits of neurosurgical intervention for infant hydrocephalus in Sub Saharan Africa. J Neurosurg pediatr 8:509-521,2011
- [9]. Ellenbogen RG, Abdulrauf SI, Sekhar LN. Principles of neurological surgery, 3<sup>rd</sup> ed, pg 105-128.
- [10]. Massimi L, Paternoster G, Fasano T, Di Rocco C. On the changing epidemiology of hydrocephalus. Childs Nerv syst springerverlag 2009.
- [11]. Murshid WR, Jarallah JS, Dad MI. Epidemiology of infantile hydrocephalus in Saudi Arabia : Birth prevalence and associated factors. Pediatrneurosurg 2000;32:119-123.
- [12]. Nakashima S, watanabe K, Negoro T, Aoki K, Kikuchi H: clinico-epidemiological features of infantile hydrocephalus in Japan. Acta pediatr Jap 1996; 38:567-575
- [13]. Isaacs AM, Riva-cambrin J, Yavin D, Hockley A, pringsheim TM, Jette N et al (2018). Age-specific global epidemiology of hydrocephalus: systemic review, metanalysis and global birth surveillance. 13(10)
- [14]. d'Avella D, Causin F. Hydrocephalus in vein of Gallen malformation. Another paradigm shift in neurosurgery. Acta Neurochirurgica, 2016, vol,158,no.7, pg 1285.
- [15]. Feletti A, Denaro L Marton E, d'Avella D, Longatti p (2007). Endoscopic treatment of hydrocephalus due to aneurysm of vein Galen: case report and literature review. Minim Invasive Neurosurg 50 (5):285-91.
- [16]. Kulkarni AV, Drake JM, Mallucci CL, et al. Endoscopic third ventriculostomy in the treatment of childhood hydrocephalus. J pediatr 2009; 155(2): 254-259.
- [17]. Merkler AE, Ch'ang J, Parker WE, Murthy SB, Kamel H. The rate of complications after ventriculoperitoneal shunt surgery. World Neurosurg. 2017 feb;98: 654-658.
- [18]. Wu Y, Green NL, Wrensch MR, Zhao S, Gupta N. Ventriculoperitoneal shunt complications in California: 1990 to 2000. Neurosurgery 2007; 61: 557-562.
- [19]. George R, Leibrock L, Epstein M. Long term analysis of cerebrospinal fluid shunt infections. A 25year experience. J Neurosurg. 1979; 51: 804-811.

Dr Nasiru Jinjiri Ismail. "A Ten-Year Review of Aetiology and Bio-demographic characteristics of Hydrocephalus managed in a Regional Neurosurgical center." *IOSR Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences (IOSR-JDMS)*, 19(3), 2020, pp. 01-04.