

Complications and Management Strategies In Implant

Dr. Alok Sharma

(Bds, Mds) (Author)

Professor & Head Of The Department
Department Of Prosthodontics & Crown And Bridge
Nims Dental Collage And Hospital Jaipur Rajasthan

Dr. Pratik Bhutada

Running Title – Postgraduate Resident,
Department Of Prosthodontics & Crown And Bridge
Niims Dental College Jaipur

Dr. Aishwarya Bisen

Running Title – Final Year Postgraduate Resident,
Department Of Prosthodontics & Crown And Bridge
Peoples College Of Dental Sciences And
Research Centre, Bhopal.
Address – Flat No. 316, Regal Treasure, Ayodhya Bypass, Bhopal, 462041

Dr. Minal Bhende

Running Title – Postgraduate Resident,
Department Of Prosthodontics & Crown And Bridge
Niims Dental College Jaipur

Dr. Pratik Pravin Ingle

Running Title – Postgraduate Resident,
Department Of Prosthodontics & Crown And Bridge
Niims Dental College Jaipur

Abstract:

Background: Implant prosthetic treatment is a widely used approach to restore missing teeth and enhance oral function. However, complications related to implant prostheses can significantly affect treatment outcomes and patient satisfaction.

Objective: This study aimed to investigate the prevalence, type, and management of complications associated with implant prosthetic treatment among clinicians.

Methods: A mixed-methods approach, involving both quantitative and qualitative analyses, was employed. A structured questionnaire was developed to collect data on demographic information, types of complications encountered, management strategies employed, and challenges faced by the clinicians. A questionnaire was distributed to 168 participants recruited from professional dental associations, academic institutions, and dental clinics. Descriptive statistics, such as frequencies and percentages, were used to analyze the quantitative data. Additionally, inferential statistics, including chi-square and t-tests, were employed to explore the associations among the variables. Qualitative data derived from the open-ended questions were subjected to thematic analysis.

Results: Peri-implantitis was the most prevalent complication, affecting 15.2% of the patients, followed by implant failure (12.5%). Prosthesis fractures were also significant, affecting 8.3% of the patients. Clinicians perceived prosthesis fracture as the most severe complication with a mean severity rating of 4.1. Although variations in complication prevalence were observed among different specialties, no significant differences in perceived complication severity were found. Qualitative analysis highlighted the challenges in early detection and emphasized the importance of multidisciplinary collaboration and patient compliance in complication management.

Conclusion: This study provides valuable insights into the prevalence and management of complications associated with prosthetic implant treatments. By elucidating the challenges faced by clinicians and exploring

the current management strategies, this study contributes to the development of evidence-based guidelines and protocols for improving patient care in implant dentistry.

Keywords: *Implant prosthetics, complications, prevalence, management strategies, peri-implantitis, implant failure, prosthesis fracture, malocclusion.*

Date of Submission: 01-05-2024

Date of Acceptance: 10-05-2024

I. Introduction

Implant prosthetics have revolutionized the field of dentistry by offering a durable and aesthetically pleasing solution to replace missing teeth and restore oral function. Over the past few decades, implant dentistry has witnessed significant advancements in materials, techniques, and treatment modalities, leading to improved success rates and improved patient outcomes.¹ However, despite these advancements, complications associated with implant prosthetic treatment remain a significant concern for clinicians and patients.²

Implant prosthetic complications encompass a wide range of adverse events that can occur during various stages of treatment including surgical placement, prosthetic restoration, and long-term maintenance.³ These complications may arise because of biological, mechanical, or technical factors, and their occurrence can significantly impact treatment success and patient satisfaction.⁴

One of the most common complications encountered in implant prosthetic treatment is peri-implantitis, an inflammatory condition characterized by soft tissue inflammation and progressive bone loss around dental implants. Peri-implantitis poses a significant challenge for clinicians because its management often requires complex therapeutic interventions and meticulous maintenance protocols to prevent disease progression and preserve implant longevity.⁵

In addition to peri-implantitis, other complications, such as implant failure, prosthesis fracture, and malocclusion, can also occur, leading to compromised treatment outcomes and patient dissatisfaction. Implant failure, defined as the loss of osseointegration and stability of dental implants, may result from factors such as inadequate bone quality and quantity, biomechanical overload, or peri-implant infection.⁶ On the other hand, prosthesis fracture can occur due to material fatigue, occlusal discrepancies, or improper fabrication techniques, necessitating prosthetic repairs or replacements.⁷

Managing the complications associated with implant prosthetic treatment requires a comprehensive understanding of the etiology, risk factors, and treatment modalities. Clinicians must employ evidence-based approaches to effectively diagnose and manage complications, considering the unique needs and circumstances of each patient. Moreover, preventive measures and patient education play crucial roles in minimizing the occurrence of complications and optimizing treatment outcomes in implant dentistry.⁸

Despite the growing body of literature on implant prosthetic complications, there remains a need for comprehensive studies that systematically investigate the prevalence, type, and management of these complications in clinical practice. By elucidating the challenges faced by clinicians and exploring current management strategies, such studies can inform evidence-based guidelines and protocols to improve patient care and treatment outcomes in implant dentistry.

This study aimed to address this gap in the literature by conducting a comprehensive investigation into the prevalence and management of complications associated with implant prosthetic treatment. By employing a mixed-methods approach encompassing quantitative and qualitative analyses, this study sought to provide valuable insights into the multifaceted nature of implant prosthetic complications and the challenges faced by clinicians in managing them effectively.

II. Materials And Methods:

The methodology employed in This study aimed to investigate the prevalence and management of complications associated with prosthetic implant treatment. The 168 participants consisted of prosthodontists, oral surgeons, periodontists, and general dentists with a minimum of two years of experience in implant dentistry.

Ethical Considerations:

This study adhered to ethical guidelines for research involving human subjects. Informed consent was obtained from all participants, and confidentiality was ensured throughout the study. The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the institutional review board (IRB) or ethics committee.

Questionnaire Development:

A meticulous approach was undertaken to develop a comprehensive questionnaire aimed at gathering detailed insights into prosthetic implant complications. The questionnaire was meticulously structured to

encompass the multifaceted aspects of complications associated with implant prosthetic treatment, ensuring a thorough exploration of pertinent topics.

The questionnaire comprised several sections that were meticulously crafted to capture the diverse dimensions of the subject matter. These sections include the following sections:

1. **Demographic Information:** Participants were asked to provide essential demographic details such as their professional background, years of experience in implant dentistry, and practice settings.
2. **Types of Complications Encountered:** This section discusses the various types of complications encountered by clinicians during their practice. Participants were prompted to elucidate the specific complications they encountered, such as implant failure, prosthesis fracture, peri-implantitis, and any other complications that they deemed relevant.
3. **Management Strategies Employed:** Clinicians were invited to delineate the management strategies employed in response to the encountered complications. This encompasses a broad spectrum of interventions ranging from conservative approaches to surgical interventions, prosthetic modifications, and adjunctive therapies.
4. **Challenges Faced in Managing Complications:** Participants were encouraged to express the challenges they encountered while managing the complications associated with implant prosthetic treatment. This section aimed to identify common hurdles and obstacles faced by clinicians in their clinical practice.

Prior to dissemination, the questionnaire underwent a rigorous review to ensure content validity and clarity. Experts in the field of implant dentistry scrutinized the questionnaire to ascertain its comprehensiveness and appropriateness for capturing targeted data effectively. Their invaluable insights and feedback were instrumental in refining the questionnaire and ensuring that it accurately reflected the complexities and nuances inherent in implant prosthetic complication management.

Participant Recruitment:

A meticulous approach was adopted to recruit participants, leveraging various avenues to ensure a diverse and representative sample. The recruitment efforts were multifaceted, encompassing outreach through professional dental associations, academic institutions, and dental clinics.

Professional Dental Associations:

Invitations to participate in the study were disseminated through professional dental associations at local, national, and international levels. Collaborative partnerships were established with relevant associations to facilitate the distribution of study invitations to members. These invitations were tailored to resonate with the professional interests and affiliations of dental practitioners, thus encouraging their active involvement in the study.

Academic Institutions:

Strategic collaborations were forged with academic institutions offering dental education and training programmes. Faculty members and administrators were approached to assist in disseminating study invitations to students, residents, and alumni networks. Information sessions or presentations were conducted to raise awareness of the study and its significance, thereby stimulating interest and engagement among potential participants within academic circles.

Dental Clinics:

Dental clinics that serve diverse patient populations have been identified as key recruitment sites. Collaborative arrangements were made with the participating clinics to introduce the study to their dentists, prosthodontists, and specialists involved in implant dentistry. Study posters, flyers, or informational brochures were prominently displayed within clinic premises to capture the attention of dental professionals and encourage their participation.

Invitation Process:

Invitations to participate in the study were predominantly delivered via email, utilizing professional mail lists obtained through dental associations, academic institutions, and clinic partnerships. The e-mail invitations were crafted to provide a succinct overview of the study objectives, eligibility criteria, and participation requirements. Clear instructions were provided to express interest and access the study questionnaire or the registration portal.

Voluntary Participation:

Participation in the study was voluntary, and potential participants were assured of the confidentiality and anonymity of their responses. Informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to their inclusion in the study, emphasizing their right to withdraw from the study at any time without any repercussions. The

consent process was designed to uphold ethical standards and ensure that participants made informed decisions regarding their involvement in the research.

Data Collection:

Upon obtaining consent, participants were provided with the questionnaire electronically. They were instructed to complete the questionnaire and provide information on their experience with prosthetic implant complications. Completed questionnaires were returned via email or an online survey platform.

Data Analysis:

Upon completion of the data collection phase, all the responses gathered from the completed questionnaires were meticulously recorded and entered into a secure electronic database to ensure data integrity and confidentiality.

Descriptive Statistics:

Descriptive statistical analysis was performed to systematically examine the prevalence and types of complications encountered by clinicians during prosthetic implant treatment. Frequency distributions, percentages, means, and standard deviations were computed to summarize the key findings pertaining to the incidence rates and characteristics of various complications including implant failure, prosthesis fracture, and peri-implantitis.

Inferential Statistics:

To explore potential associations and differences among variables, inferential statistical tests were conducted using the SPSS software (version 24.0, IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Chi-square tests or Fisher's exact tests were used for categorical variables, while independent sample t-tests or ANOVA were employed for continuous variables, as appropriate. The level of statistical significance was set at $P < 0.05$.

Qualitative Analysis:

In addition to the quantitative analysis, a qualitative approach was adopted to delve deeper into the experiences, perspectives, and insights shared by clinicians regarding the management of prosthetic implant complications. Responses to open-ended questions and qualitative data derived from structured interviews or focus groups were subjected to a thematic analysis. Through an iterative process of coding and categorization, common themes, patterns, and emergent issues related to management strategies and challenges were identified and synthesized. The qualitative findings provide valuable contextual understanding and enrich the interpretation of quantitative results, offering nuanced insights into the complexities of managing complications in implant prosthetic treatment.

III. Results:

Descriptive Statistics:

Table 1 shows the prevalence of complications encountered during the prosthetic implant treatment. Peri-implantitis is the most prevalent complication, affecting approximately 15.2% of the patients, followed by implant failure (12.5%). Prosthesis fracture and other complications were also notable, affecting 8.3% and 5.9% of patients, respectively.

Table 1: Prevalence of Complications Encountered During Implant Prosthetic Treatment

Complication	Prevalence (%)
Implant Failure	12.5
Prosthesis Fracture	8.3
Peri-implantitis	15.2
Other Complications	5.9

Table 2 presents the mean severity ratings of various complications, indicating the perceived severity of each complication. Prosthesis fractures appear to have the highest mean severity rating of 4.1, suggesting that clinicians perceive it as a significant complication with potentially serious consequences.

Table 2: Mean and Standard Deviation of Complication Severity Ratings

Complication	Mean Severity Rating	Standard Deviation
Implant Failure	3.6	1.2
Prosthesis Fracture	4.1	1.5
Peri-implantitis	3.8	1.3
Other Complications	3.2	1.0

Inferential Statistics:

Table 3 examines the associations between complication types and clinician specialization. Interestingly, there are variations in the prevalence of complications among different specialties. For instance, peri-implantitis appears to be more prevalent among prosthodontists than among other specialists, while implant failure is slightly higher among oral surgeons.

Table 3: Associations Between Complication Types and Clinician Specialization

Complication	Prosthodontist (%)	Oral Surgeon (%)	Periodontist (%)	General Dentist (%)
Implant Failure	15.0	10.5	8.2	12.3
Prosthesis Fracture	9.8	7.0	6.5	8.9
Peri-implantitis	17.2	13.5	14.8	16.0
Other Complications	6.5	4.9	5.2	6.1

Table 4 shows the differences in complication severity ratings by clinician specialization. The analysis suggested that there were no significant differences in the perceived severity of complications among different specialties, as indicated by the non-significant p-values for all complication types.

Table 4: Differences in Complication Severity Ratings by Clinician Specialization

Complication	Prosthodontist (Mean)	Oral Surgeon (Mean)	Periodontist (Mean)	General Dentist (Mean)	p-value
Implant Failure	3.8	3.5	3.7	3.6	0.312
Prosthesis Fracture	4.2	4.0	4.1	3.9	0.425
Peri-implantitis	4.0	3.9	3.8	3.7	0.198
Other Complications	3.4	3.2	3.3	3.1	0.511

Qualitative Analysis:

Qualitative analysis revealed several important themes in the management of prosthetic implant complications. Clinicians have highlighted challenges in early detection, emphasizing the importance of regular monitoring and surveillance to prevent complications from worsening. Additionally, the complexity of treatment and need for multidisciplinary collaboration were underscored, indicating that managing complications often requires a comprehensive and holistic approach.

Patient compliance has emerged as another key issue, with clinicians expressing concerns about patients' adherence to postoperative care instructions. Finally, the need for ongoing professional development and education was emphasized, suggesting that clinicians recognize the importance of continuously updating their knowledge and skills to effectively manage complications in implant prosthetic treatment.

IV. Discussion

Implant prosthetic treatment has become increasingly prevalent in modern dentistry, offering a viable solution for edentulous patients and those with missing teeth. However, complications associated with implant prostheses can significantly affect treatment outcomes and patient satisfaction.⁹ This study aimed to explore the prevalence, types, and management of complications encountered by clinicians during implant prosthetic treatment. By employing a mixed-methods approach encompassing quantitative and qualitative analyses, this study provides valuable insights into the multifaceted nature of implant prosthetic complications and the challenges faced by clinicians in their management.

Prevalence and Types of Complications:

The findings of this study revealed a diverse array of complications associated with implant prosthetic treatment, ranging from implant failure and prosthesis fracture to peri-implantitis and other complications.¹⁰ Peri-implantitis emerged as the most prevalent complication, affecting approximately 15.2% of patients, followed by implant failure (12.5%), and prosthesis fracture (8.3%). These findings corroborate previous research indicating that peri-implantitis is a common complication in implant dentistry, often attributed to microbial biofilm accumulation and inflammatory responses around the implant surfaces.¹¹

The high prevalence of peri-implantitis underscores the importance of vigilant maintenance and preventive measures to mitigate its incidence.¹² Regular monitoring of peri-implant tissues, effective plaque control, and timely intervention are crucial for preventing the progression of peri-implantitis and preserving implant longevity.¹³ Moreover, risk factors such as smoking, diabetes, and poor oral hygiene should be addressed to minimize the likelihood of peri-implant complications.¹⁴

Implant failure and prosthesis fracture were also notable complications identified in this study, highlighting the multifactorial nature of prosthetic implant treatment. Implant failure may result from various factors including inadequate osseointegration, biomechanical overload, and peri-implant bone loss.³ Similarly, prosthesis fractures can occur due to material fatigue, occlusal discrepancies, or improper fabrication techniques. Clinicians must consider these factors when planning and executing implant prosthetic treatments to minimize the risk of complications and optimize treatment outcomes.⁷

Management Strategies and Challenges:

Management of prosthetic implant complications poses significant challenges for clinicians, necessitating a comprehensive and multidisciplinary approach. Qualitative analysis revealed several themes related to management strategies and challenges encountered by clinicians in addressing complications.³

One key theme that emerged from the qualitative analysis was the importance of early detection and timely intervention.¹⁵ Clinicians emphasize the need for regular monitoring of peri-implant tissues and radiographic assessments to detect complications at an early stage. Early intervention allows clinicians to implement conservative treatment measures and prevent escalating complications, ultimately improving treatment outcomes and patient satisfaction.¹⁶

Multidisciplinary collaboration is another prominent theme highlighted by clinicians in the management of prosthetic implant complications.¹⁷ Complex cases often require input from various dental specialties including prosthodontics, periodontics, oral surgery, and implantology. Collaborative decision-making facilitates comprehensive treatment planning and ensures that patients receive optimal care tailored to their individual needs.¹⁸

Patient compliance is a significant challenge in the management of prosthetic implant complications. Clinicians expressed concerns about patients' adherence to postoperative care instructions, such as maintaining oral hygiene, attending follow-up appointments, and avoiding behaviors that may compromise implant stability.¹⁹ Patient education and communication are essential for fostering adherence to treatment protocols and empowering patients to take an active role in oral health management.²⁰

Furthermore, qualitative analysis underscored the importance of ongoing professional development and education in navigating the complexities of implant prosthetic treatment. Rapid advancements in implant dentistry necessitate continuous learning and skill development to stay abreast of emerging techniques, technologies, and best practices.²¹ Continuing educational programs, professional conferences, and peer collaboration forums provide valuable opportunities for clinicians to enhance their knowledge and expertise in managing prosthetic complications.

V. Limitations And Future Directions:

Despite the valuable insights provided by this study, several limitations of this study must be acknowledged. First, the study's reliance on self-reported data may have introduced response bias and inaccuracies, potentially influencing the validity and reliability of the findings. Additionally, the cross-sectional nature of this study limits its ability to establish causal relationships or longitudinal trends in prosthetic implant complications.

Furthermore, the sample size and demographic characteristics of the study may not fully represent the diversity of clinicians and practice settings involved in implant prosthetic treatment. Future research should aim to recruit larger and more diverse samples to enhance the generalizability and external validity of the findings.

Longitudinal studies are warranted to track the incidence and progression of prosthetic implant complications over time, allowing for a more comprehensive understanding of their etiology and trajectory. Moreover, randomized controlled trials evaluating the efficacy of preventive measures and treatment modalities for prosthetic implant complications are needed to inform evidence-based clinical practice guidelines and optimize patient care outcomes.

VI. Conclusion

In conclusion, this study sheds light on the prevalence, types, and management of complications associated with prosthetic implant treatment. By integrating quantitative and qualitative analyses, this study provides a holistic perspective on the challenges faced by clinicians in managing prosthetic implant complications and offers valuable insights for enhancing clinical practice and patient care in implant dentistry.

References:

- [1] Raikar S, Talukdar P, Kumari S, Panda Sk, Oommen Vm, Prasad A. Factors Affecting The Survival Rate Of Dental Implants: A Retrospective Study. *J Int Soc Prev Community Dent.* 2017 Nov-Dec;7(6):351-355. Doi: 10.4103/Jispcd.Jispcd_380_17. Epub 2017 Dec 29. Pmid: 29387619; Pmcid: Pmc5774056.
- [2] Kochar Sp, Reche A, Paul P. The Etiology And Management Of Dental Implant Failure: A Review. *Cureus.* 2022 Oct 19;14(10):E30455. Doi: 10.7759/Cureus.30455. Pmid: 36415394; Pmcid: Pmc9674049.
- [3] Sailer I, Karasan D, Todorovic A, Ligoutsikou M, Pjetursson Be. Prosthetic Failures In Dental Implant Therapy. *Periodontol* 2000. 2022 Feb;88(1):130-144. Doi: 10.1111/Prd.12416. Pmid: 35103329; Pmcid: Pmc9305548.
- [4] Ferreira Pw, Nogueira Pj, Nobre Maa, Guedes Cm, Salvado F. Impact Of Mechanical Complications On Success Of Dental Implant Treatments: A Case-Control Study. *Eur J Dent.* 2022 Feb;16(1):179-187. Doi: 10.1055/S-0041-1732802. Epub 2021 Sep 29. Pmid: 34587636; Pmcid: Pmc8890925.
- [5] Wada M, Mameno T, Otsuki M, Kani M, Tsujioka Y, Ikebe K. Prevalence And Risk Indicators For Peri-Implant Diseases: A Literature Review. *Jpn Dent Sci Rev.* 2021 Nov;57:78-84. Doi: 10.1016/J.Jdsr.2021.05.002. Epub 2021 Jun 8. Pmid: 34158874; Pmcid: Pmc8203834.
- [6] Albrektsson T, Tengvall P, Amengual-Peñafiel L, Coli P, Kotsakis G, Cochran Dl. Implications Of Considering Peri-Implant Bone Loss A Disease, A Narrative Review. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res.* 2022 Aug;24(4):532-543. Doi: 10.1111/Cid.13102. Epub 2022 May 31. Pmid: 35639515; Pmcid: Pmc9542069.
- [7] Gupta S, Gupta H, Tandan A. Technical Complications Of Implant-Causes And Management: A Comprehensive Review. *Natl J Maxillofac Surg.* 2015 Jan-Jun;6(1):3-8. Doi: 10.4103/0975-5950.168233. Pmid: 26668445; Pmcid: Pmc4668729.
- [8] Masic I, Miokovic M, Muhamedagic B. Evidence Based Medicine - New Approaches And Challenges. *Acta Inform Med.* 2008;16(4):219-25. Doi: 10.5455/Aim.2008.16.219-225. Pmid: 24109156; Pmcid: Pmc3789163.
- [9] Duong Hy, Rocuzzo A, Stähli A, Salvi Ge, Lang Np, Sculean A. Oral Health-Related Quality Of Life Of Patients Rehabilitated With Fixed And Removable Implant-Supported Dental Prosthesis. *Periodontol* 2000. 2022 Feb;88(1):201-237. Doi: 10.1111/Prd.12419. Pmid: 35103325; Pmcid: Pmc9304161.
- [10] Bardis D, Agop-Forna D, Pelekanos S, Chele N, Dascălu C, Török R, Török B, Cristea I, Bardi Pm, Forna N. Assessment Of Various Risk Factors For Biological And Mechanical/Technical Complications In Fixed Implant Prosthetic Therapy: A Retrospective Study. *Diagnostics (Basel).* 2023 Jul 11;13(14):2341. Doi: 10.3390/Diagnostics13142341. Pmid: 37510085; Pmcid: Pmc10378676.
- [11] Rokaya D, Srimaneepong V, Wisitrasameewon W, Humagain M, Thunyakitpisal P. Peri-Implantitis Update: Risk Indicators, Diagnosis, And Treatment. *Eur J Dent.* 2020 Oct;14(4):672-682. Doi: 10.1055/S-0040-1715779. Epub 2020 Sep 3. Pmid: 32882741; Pmcid: Pmc7536094.
- [12] Greenstein G, Eskow R. High Prevalence Rates Of Peri-Implant Mucositis And Peri-Implantitis Post Dental Implantations Dictate Need For Continuous Peri-Implant Maintenance. *Compend Contin Educ Dent.* 2022 Apr;43(4):206-213; Quiz 214. Pmid: 35380854.
- [13] Gulati M, Govila V, Anand V, Anand B. Implant Maintenance: A Clinical Update. *Int Sch Res Notices.* 2014 Jul 9;2014:908534. Doi: 10.1155/2014/908534. Pmid: 27437506; Pmcid: Pmc4897104.
- [14] Schwartzenberg Av, Liu Cc, Sahrman P, Schmidlin Pr, Jung Re, Naenni N. Risk Characteristics Of Peri-Implant Infections: A Retrospective Evaluation In A University Consultation Setting. *Dent J (Basel).* 2022 Aug 29;10(9):159. Doi: 10.3390/Dj10090159. Pmid: 36135154; Pmcid: Pmc9497582.
- [15] Officer E, Johnson M, Blickwedel J, Reynolds A, Pearse R, Pearse J, Basu Ap. Evaluation Of The Training In Early Detection For Early Intervention (Tedei) E-Learning Course Using Kirkpatrick's Method. *Bmc Med Educ.* 2023 Feb 27;23(1):129. Doi: 10.1186/S12909-023-04113-7. Pmid: 36842995; Pmcid: Pmc9968638.
- [16] Herrera D, Berglundh T, Schwarz F, Chapple I, Jepsen S, Sculean A, Et Al. Prevention And Treatment Of Peri- Implant Diseases—The Efp S3 Level Clinical Practice Guideline. *J Clin Periodontol.* 2023;50(S26):4–76. Doi:10.1111/Jcpe.13823.
- [17] Lanza A, Di Francesco F, De Marco G, Scognamiglio F, Aruta V, Itró A. Multidisciplinary Approach In The Management Of A Complex Case: Implant-Prosthetic Rehabilitation Of A Periodontal Smoking Patient With Partial Edentulism, Malocclusion, And Aesthetic Diseases. *Case Rep Dent.* 2017;2017:6348570. Doi: 10.1155/2017/6348570. Epub 2017 Mar 21. Pmid: 28421148; Pmcid: Pmc5379076.
- [18] Elgezawi M, Hassan K, Alagl A, Al-Thobity Am, Al-Mutairi B, Al-Houtan T, Sadaf S. Complexity Of Comprehensive Care Treatments In Undergraduate Dental Programs: The Benefits Of Observing And Assisting Experienced Faculty Members. *Saudi Dent J.* 2017 Oct;29(4):161-166. Doi: 10.1016/J.Sdentj.2017.07.005. Epub 2017 Aug 2. Pmid: 29033526; Pmcid: Pmc5634797.
- [19] Aloy-Prósper A, Pellicer-Chover H, Balaguer-Martínez J, Llamas-Monteaugado O, Peñarrocha-Diogo M. Patient Compliance To Postoperative Instructions After Third Molar Surgery Comparing Traditional Verbally And Written Form Versus The Effect Of A Postoperative Phone Call Follow-Up A: A Randomized Clinical Study. *J Clin Exp Dent.* 2020 Oct 1;12(10):E909-E915. Doi: 10.4317/Jced.56680. Pmid: 33154791; Pmcid: Pmc7600202.
- [20] Paterick Te, Patel N, Tajik Aj, Chandrasekaran K. Improving Health Outcomes Through Patient Education And Partnerships With Patients. *Proc (Bayl Univ Med Cent).* 2017 Jan;30(1):112-113. Doi: 10.1080/08998280.2017.11929552. Pmid: 28152110; Pmcid: Pmc5242136.
- [21] Saeed A, Alkhurays M, Almutlaqah M, Alazbah M, Alajlan Sa. Future Of Using Robotic And Artificial Intelligence In Implant Dentistry. *Cureus.* 2023 Aug 9;15(8):E43209. Doi: 10.7759/Cureus.43209. Pmid: 37700959; Pmcid: Pmc10494478.