

Family Expressed Emotion as a Modifiable Driver of Relapse in Schizophrenia: A Narrative Review of Evidence and Its Relevance to Indian Practice

Yesha Kalyani¹, Riddhi Thacker², Khushi Ganatra³

¹Department of Psychiatry, Gujarat Adani Institute of Medical Sciences and G.K. General Hospital, Bhuj, Kachchh, Gujarat, India

Correspondence: Dr. Yesha Kalyani

ABSTRACT

Expressed emotion (EE) describes the criticism, hostility, and emotional over-involvement that relatives direct toward a family member living with a psychiatric disorder. In schizophrenia, it remains one of the sturdiest psychosocial markers of relapse. This review draws together the origins of the construct, the instruments used to measure it, the evidence linking high EE to poorer illness course, and the cross-cultural findings that place Indian families in this literature. Landmark work by Brown and colleagues, the later meta-analysis by Butzlaff and Hooley, and the Chandigarh studies together show that patients returning to high-EE households relapse at roughly two to three times the rate seen in low-EE homes, an effect that holds after accounting for medication status and symptom load. Indian families carry a lower absolute burden of high EE than Western cohorts, yet the prognostic weight of EE is retained. Self-report tools such as the Level of Expressed Emotion (LEE) scale have made routine assessment feasible in busy, resource-limited services. Family psychoeducation reduces relapse and readmission and works best when adapted to local caregiving realities. We argue that brief EE screening and structured caregiver education deserve a fixed place in the schizophrenia care pathway in Indian tertiary settings, particularly in under-studied regions such as Kachchh.

Keywords: schizophrenia; expressed emotion; caregiver; relapse; family psychoeducation; India.

Date of Submission: 08-09-2026

Date of Acceptance: 17-09-2026

I. INTRODUCTION

Schizophrenia is a chronic psychotic illness marked by positive, negative, cognitive, and affective symptoms. It usually begins in late adolescence or early adulthood and tends to run a relapsing course [1]. Point prevalence sits near 0.28% worldwide, with a lifetime morbid risk of about 0.7%, and the disorder is a persistent contributor to years lived with disability [2,3]. Diagnosis in most contemporary clinical work follows the fifth edition of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5) [4]. In India, where families provide the bulk of long-term care, outcomes depend on the home environment as much as on the drug regimen [5].

Among the psychosocial factors that shape prognosis, EE has held up unusually well over five decades. It captures three attitudes a relative may show toward the patient: criticism, hostility, and emotional over-involvement [6]. The question this review addresses is practical rather than theoretical: given how consistently high EE predicts relapse, why does routine EE assessment remain rare in Indian clinics, and what would it take to change that?

This narrative review has three aims. First, to trace how the EE construct developed and how it is measured. Second, to summarise the evidence tying EE to relapse, with attention to Indian and other cross-cultural data. Third, to set out what these findings mean for service planning in tertiary hospitals such as those serving the Kachchh region, where structured EE data are scarce.

SCOPE AND APPROACH

We synthesised primary studies, meta-analyses, and reviews on EE and schizophrenia outcomes, giving weight to the foundational family-life studies, the pooled quantitative evidence, and reports from Indian settings. Sources were selected for their bearing on three questions: how EE is defined and measured, how strongly it predicts relapse, and whether family-based intervention alters that risk. This is a narrative rather than systematic review; it does not report a formal search protocol or quantitative pooling of its own.

THE EXPRESSED EMOTION CONSTRUCT

Origins

The construct grew out of observations that patients discharged to certain households fared worse than others. Brown, Birley, and Wing formalised this in the early 1970s, showing that relatives' attitudes at discharge predicted the course of illness over the following months [6]. Vaughn and Leff refined the rating approach and confirmed the pattern across psychiatric conditions, establishing EE as a family-level rather than patient-level property [7].

What high EE does

Patients returning to high-EE homes relapse far more often than those in low-EE homes. The gap holds even when medication adherence, symptom severity, and chronicity are matched, which is why EE is treated as an independent influence rather than a marker of sicker patients [7,8]. Butzlaff and Hooley's meta-analysis put the pooled effect at roughly a doubling of relapse risk and confirmed that the association is strongest in schizophrenia relative to mood and eating disorders [8].

Why it matters mechanistically

The link is not the work of any single behaviour. Hooley's review frames high EE as a source of chronic interpersonal stress that destabilises an already vulnerable neurobiological system, with knock-on effects on adherence, insight, and day-to-day functioning [10]. Under this view, EE is one node in a wider web of psychosocial risk rather than a standalone cause, which matters when planning intervention.

MEASURING EXPRESSED EMOTION

The reference method is the Camberwell Family Interview, a semi-structured interview rated for critical comments, hostility, and over-involvement. It is reliable but time-consuming and demands trained raters, which limits use in routine practice [7]. Cole and Kazarian's Level of Expressed Emotion (LEE) scale offers a validated self-report substitute that a caregiver can complete quickly and that sorts the home environment into low, moderate, or high EE [11]. Its brevity has made it attractive in low- and middle-income settings where interview-based rating is impractical.

Patient-side severity is usually gauged with the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS), a 30-item clinician-rated instrument covering positive, negative, and general psychopathology [12]. Pairing a caregiver-reported EE measure with a clinician-rated severity scale lets services study the two dimensions together without heavy resource cost.

CROSS-CULTURAL AND INDIAN EVIDENCE

The Chandigarh studies by Leff and co-workers carried EE research into India and produced a finding that has shaped the field since: high EE is less common in Indian families than in Western ones, yet where it occurs it predicts relapse just as strongly [9]. This dissociation between prevalence and prognostic weight cautions against assuming that a lower base rate means a smaller clinical problem.

Indian caregiver studies add a further layer. Nirmala and colleagues showed that high EE travels closely with heightened caregiver burden, so the two feed each other within the household [13]. Amaresha and Venkatasubramanian, reviewing the Indian literature, reached a similar conclusion and pressed for culturally grounded family interventions [5]. Cechnicki and colleagues, following patients for twenty years, confirmed that the predictive value of EE persists well beyond the early post-discharge window [15]. Taken together, this body of work supports treating EE as a durable, cross-culturally relevant target rather than a Western artefact.

FAMILY INTERVENTION AND CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS

The strongest argument for measuring EE is that its consequences can be softened. The Cochrane review by Pharoah and colleagues found that structured family interventions cut relapse and re-hospitalisation in schizophrenia [14]. Because caregiver knowledge and prior psychoeducation are among the most consistent correlates of lower EE, and both are actionable at low cost, education becomes the natural lever [5,13].

Two service-level implications follow. First, a brief self-report tool such as the LEE can be folded into busy out-patient work without much added burden; flagging high-EE households identifies a group at raised relapse risk who warrant closer follow-up. Second, because caregiver knowledge tracks so closely with EE, offering psychoeducation to every caregiver, rather than only to a high-risk subset, is a defensible use of scarce staff time. Trained psychiatric social workers or community mental health workers can deliver such protocols at scale.

Several cautions temper this optimism. Self-report EE measures are open to social-desirability and recall bias and do not fully match interview-based ratings. The direction of the EE–relapse link is hard to fix: high EE may drive relapse, repeated relapses may wear caregivers into a high-EE stance, or both may run together.

Correlations between EE score and relapse count, when both come from a single caregiver report at one time-point, can also be inflated by shared-method variance. These limits argue for careful measurement and prospective designs rather than against the construct.

THE REGIONAL EVIDENCE GAP

Despite a large international literature, structured EE data from western Indian tertiary hospitals, and from Kachchh in particular, remain thin. Filling this gap matters for two reasons. Local prevalence estimates guide staffing and screening decisions, and locally generated evidence tends to carry more weight with clinicians and administrators than imported figures. Documenting who shows clinically meaningful EE, and quantifying its effect on relapse in the regional population, is a reasonable first step before scaling family-based services in comparable settings.

Table 1. Summary of key evidence on expressed emotion and schizophrenia relapse

Contribution	Source	Principal finding
Origin of construct	Brown, Birley, Wing [6]	Relatives' attitudes at discharge predict illness course
Family-level property	Vaughn, Leff [7]	EE is a household characteristic influencing outcome
Pooled effect	Butzlaff, Hooley [8]	High EE roughly doubles relapse risk; strongest in schizophrenia
Indian setting	Leff et al. (Chandigarh) [9]	Lower prevalence of high EE, preserved prognostic value
Mechanism	Hooley [10]	Chronic interpersonal stress on a vulnerable system
Measurement	Cole, Kazarian [11]	LEE scale: validated brief self-report alternative
Caregiver burden	Nirmala et al. [13]	High EE linked with greater caregiver burden in India
Intervention	Pharoah et al. [14]	Family intervention reduces relapse and readmission
Long-term validity	Cechnicki et al. [15]	EE predicts relapse across a 20-year follow-up

Vancouver reference numbers correspond to the reference list. Summary compiled from the cited primary and review sources.

II. CONCLUSION

Expressed emotion has remained a reliable psychosocial predictor of relapse in schizophrenia for half a century, and its prognostic value carries across cultures, including Indian families. High EE is not fixed: caregiver knowledge and structured psychoeducation lower it, and family intervention reduces relapse. A brief self-report measure such as the LEE makes routine assessment realistic even in crowded services. On current evidence, EE screening and caregiver psychoeducation belong in the standard schizophrenia care pathway in Indian tertiary hospitals. Region-specific data, especially from under-studied areas such as Kachchh, would strengthen the case for embedding these steps into everyday practice.

DECLARATIONS

Source of support

None.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the Department of Psychiatry, GAIMS and G.K. General Hospital, Bhuj.

REFERENCES

References are numbered in the order of first citation, in Vancouver style, with all author names listed.

- [1]. Owen MJ, Sawa A, Mortensen PB. Schizophrenia. *Lancet*. 2016;388(10039):86–97.
- [2]. Charlson FJ, Ferrari AJ, Santomauro DF, Diminic S, Stockings E, Scott JG, McGrath JJ, Whiteford HA. Global epidemiology and burden of schizophrenia: findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. *Schizophr Bull*. 2018;44(6):1195–1203.
- [3]. Saha S, Chant D, Welham J, McGrath J. A systematic review of the prevalence of schizophrenia. *PLoS Med*. 2005;2(5):e141.
- [4]. American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*. 5th ed. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Publishing; 2013.

- [5]. Amaresha AC, Venkatasubramanian G. Expressed emotion in schizophrenia: an overview. *Indian J Psychol Med.* 2012;34(1):12–20.
- [6]. Brown GW, Birley JLT, Wing JK. Influence of family life on the course of schizophrenic disorders: a replication. *Br J Psychiatry.* 1972;121(562):241–258.
- [7]. Vaughn CE, Leff JP. The influence of family and social factors on the course of psychiatric illness. *Br J Psychiatry.* 1976;129(2):125–137.
- [8]. Butzlaff RL, Hooley JM. Expressed emotion and psychiatric relapse: a meta-analysis. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* 1998;55(6):547–552.
- [9]. Leff J, Wig NN, Ghosh A, Bedi H, Menon DK, Kuipers L, Korten A, Ernberg G, Day R, Sartorius N. Expressed emotion and schizophrenia in north India. III. Influence of relatives' expressed emotion on the course of schizophrenia in Chandigarh. *Br J Psychiatry.* 1987;151:166–173.
- [10]. Hooley JM. Expressed emotion and relapse of psychopathology. *Annu Rev Clin Psychol.* 2007;3:329–352.
- [11]. Cole JD, Kazarian SS. Predictive validity of the Level of Expressed Emotion (LEE) scale: readmission follow-up data for 1, 2 and 5-year periods. *J Clin Psychol.* 1993;49(2):216–222.
- [12]. Sadock BJ, Sadock VA, Ruiz P. Kaplan and Sadock's *Synopsis of Psychiatry: Behavioral Sciences/Clinical Psychiatry*. 11th ed. Philadelphia: Wolters Kluwer; 2015.
- [13]. Nirmala BP, Vranda MN, Reddy S. Expressed emotion and caregiver burden in patients with schizophrenia. *Indian J Psychol Med.* 2011;33(2):119–122.
- [14]. Pharoah F, Mari J, Rathbone J, Wong W. Family intervention for schizophrenia. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2010;(12):CD000088.
- [15]. Cechnicki A, Bielańska A, Hanuszkiewicz I, Daren A. The predictive validity of Expressed Emotions (EE) in schizophrenia. A 20-year prospective study. *J Psychiatr Res.* 2013;47(2):208–214.