Power Flow Control And Total Harmonic Distortion Reduction In HVDC Link Using PI And ANN Controllers
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Abstract: Long distance AC transmission is often subjected to certain problems which limit the transmission capability. HVDC is a better option for transmission of power over long distances. Power is being transmitted between two generating stations via dc link. The control of power flow in dc link can be achieved through control of current or voltage. For minimization of loss considerations, it is important to maintain constant voltage in link and adjust current to meet required power. In this project, a HVDC system is designed to control the power flow between two converter stations with conventional PI controller and Artificial Neural Networks. For rectifier side current control is used for inverter side both current and extinction angle control is implemented. The error signal is passed through a PI and Artificial Neural Networks controller, which produces the necessary firing angle order. The firing circuit uses this information to generate the equidistant pulses for the valves in the converter station. Here Artificial Neural Networks is designed for both rectifier and inverter control and its performance is compared with conventional PI controller.
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I. Introduction

The traditional HVDC classic technology is used to transmit power for long distances via overhead lines or submarine cables with reduced losses. There is a breakpoint between ac and dc transmission distance, where after this point dc transmission is smarter and efficient. It also reduces the synchronous constraints between the two ac systems. It enhances steady state and dynamic stability of the ac system. In recent 15 years a new technology, HVDC Light based on VSC (Voltage Source Converters) is used. HVDC Light has considerably higher dynamic performance compared to HVDC Classic, but still HVDC Classic is dominating for low cost bulk transmission. In accordance with operational requirements, flexibility and investment HVDC transmission systems are classified into two-terminal and multi terminal. The first HVDC transmission in the world has begun in 1954. It was 150KV, 20MW DC link between Swedish main land and island of Gotland by ASEA.

Until 1970, mercury valves are used for conversion of direct current. After a powerful invention of high power electronic device so called thyristor for static power transfer has been encouraged by power industries and its substantial increase of rating and reliability over the years. High and growing electricity demands needs the transmission of electrical power over long distances. Right-of-way (ROW) and better efficiency are some of the challenges that have faced by the power transmission industry over the years. High Voltage Direct Current (HVDC) technology is mainly used in long distances and it is gaining popularity over ac technology in this contest. The modern form of HVDC employs the technology that was developed and commercialized some 50 years ago by ABB (Asea Brown Boveri) company. DC power transmission at low voltages has high losses over long distances, thus giving rise to High Voltage Alternating Current (HVAC) electrical systems. It was realized that for an ac system voltage conversion is simple with the development of a high-power transformer. Further, a three-phase synchronous generator is superior to a dc generator in every aspect. For these reasons ac technology was introduced at very early stage in the development of electrical power systems and it was soon accepted as the only feasible method of generation, transmission and distribution of electrical energy. However, some shortcomings in the HVAC transmission technology led to research into the application of HVDC transmission systems. With the development of high voltage valves, it became possible to transmit dc power at high voltages and over long distances, giving rise to HVDC transmission systems. This has grown tremendously in the recent years due to the fast development of modern solid-state power electronic. For bulk power transmission over land, the most frequent HVDC transmission line is used.
This overhead line is normally bipolar, i.e. two conductors with opposite polarities. HVDC cables are normally used for submarine transmission. The most common types of cables are the solid and the oil-filled ones. The solid type, where the insulation consists of paper tapes impregnated with high viscosity oil, is in many cases the most economic one. No length limitation exists for this type and designs are now available for depths up to 1000 m. The self-contained oil-filled cable is completely filled with low viscosity oil and always works under pressure. The adopted design criteria for HVDC insulation is the based on the recommendations of IEC 60815. This standard was initially designed for ac lines and it has to be observed that the creepage distances recommended are based on the phase-to-phase voltage. When transferring these creepage distances recommended by IEC 60815 to a dc line, it has to be observed that the dc voltage is a peak-to-ground.

Therefore, the creepage distance has to be multiplied with factor $\sqrt{3}$. Insulators operated under dc voltage are also subjected to more unfavourable conditions compared to ac voltages due to higher collection of surface contamination caused by the unidirectional electric field.

In a dc system, over voltages will occur at inverters, rectifiers and transmission lines during converter starting and shutting down operations, etc. The over voltages caused by those activities are referred to as internal over voltages. There are also external overvoltage’s caused by lightning and switching. Lightning strikes pose a great danger to insulation. Although there are shielding systems that protect power systems against lightning strikes, direct strikes are still possible. Most lightning problems however, come through indirect strikes. Long lines are exposed to overvoltage induced by internal and external phenomena.

An HVDC transmission system consists of three basic parts:
1) A rectifier station to convert AC to DC
2) A DC transmission line and
3) An inverter station to convert DC back to AC.

II. Converter Station

A converter station consists of basic converter unit, which primarily contains converter valve, converter transformer, smoothing reactor, AC filter, DC filter and so on. Basic converter units can be classified into 6-pulse converter unit and 12-pulse converter unit. Usually most HVDC schemes employ the 12-pulse converter as the basic converter unit. In order to form a 12-pulse converter unit, two 6-pulse converter units are connected in series on the DC side and in parallel on the AC side. AC/DC filters can be configured in accordance with the requirements of 12-pulse converter, there by greatly simplifying the number of filters, reducing land use and lowering the cost. A 12-pulse converter unit can employ the converter transformer of either two-winding or three-winding [Ref 1].

The system frequency is used to convert time values in electrical degrees. The current extinction time is determined from the current threshold. The six gamma angles are determined using six thyristor currents and the six commutation voltages are derived from the three-phase-to-ground AC voltages measured at the 12 Primary of the converter transformer. The minimum gamma value is considered for the control action. For a 12-pulse converter, two gamma measurement units are used, and the smaller of the two gamma outputs is compared with...
the reference gamma to produce the error signal. [Ref 2] The firing angle orders from the CCC and from the gamma controller (CEA) are compared and the minimum is used to produce firing pulses for the valve. The subsystem simulation models of the above two figures.

![Diagram of a Converter Station Pole](image)

**Fig2 The Main Circuit Diagram for One Pole of a Converter Station.**

1. Surge Arrester
2. Converter Transformer
3. Air-Core Reactor
4. Thyristor Valve
5. Smoothing Reactor
6. Voltage Measuring Divider
7. DC Filter
8. Current Measuring Transducer
9. DC Line
10. Electrode Line

For a 12-pulse converter, the components are shown in Fig 4.1. In order to provide the 30º phase-shift for 12-pulse operation, the transformer valve-side windings must be connected in star-star and star-delta respectively. In order to limit any steep-front surges entering the station, a smoothing reactor is located on the DC side. The measuring equipments, such as voltage divider and current transducer, can provide the accuracy input signals for the control and protection systems. The switching components, such as isolators and circuit breakers, are used for the changeover from monopole metallic return to bipolar operation. Fig 4.2 indicates the relative space of the various components for a bipolar converter station. The areas of shunt capacitor banks and AC filter banks are the major proportion of the entire area and the valve hall and control room only take a small fraction of the total station area.

### III. Rectifier And Inverter Controls

The control model mainly consists of \((\omega/\gamma)\) measurements and generation of firing signals for both the rectifier and inverter. The PLO is used to build the firing signals. The output signal of the PLO is a ramp, synchronized to the phase-A commutating.

Following are the controllers used in the control schemes:
1. Extinction Angle (\(\gamma\)) Controller
2. dc Current Controller;
3. Voltage Dependent Current Limiter (VDCOL).

**1) Rectifier Control:**

The rectifier control system uses Constant Current Control (CCC) technique. The reference for current limit is obtained from the inverter side. This is done to ensure the protection of the converter in situations when inverter side does not have sufficient dc voltage support (due to a fault) or does not have sufficient load requirement (load rejection). The reference current used in rectifier control depends on the dc voltage available at the inverter side. Dc current on the rectifier side is measured using proper transducers and passed through necessary filters before they are compared to produce the error signal. The error signal is then passed through a PI controller, which produces the necessary firing angle order. The firing circuit uses this information to generate the equidistant pulses for the valves using the technique described earlier.
2) Inverter Control:

The Extinction Angle Control or $\gamma$ control and current control have been implemented on the inverter side. The CCC with Voltage Dependent Current Order Limiter (VDCOL) have been used here through PI controllers. The reference limit for the current control is obtained through a comparison of the external reference (selected by the operator or load requirement) and VDCOL (implemented through lookup table) output. [Ref 3] The measured current is then subtracted from the reference limit to produce an error signal that is sent to the PI controller to produce the required angle order. The $\gamma$ control uses another PI controller to produce gamma angle order for the inverter. The two angle orders are compared, and the minimum of the two is used to calculate the firing instant.

Simulation Results And Discussion

Fig 5. Simulink model of HVDC System
From the above graph $I_{d,R}$ and $I_{d,Ref}$ are compared to produce an error signal which gives the firing angle order ($\alpha=15.5\text{ deg}$).
Fig 9. Inverter side DC Voltage, DC Current and firing angle order with PI

From the above graph $I_{d,i}$ and $I_{d,Ref}$ are compared to produce an error signal which gives the firing angle order ($\alpha_{inv}=134$ deg).

II. Design Of Artificial Neural Networks

The fundamental processing element of a neural network is neurons. This building block of human awareness encompasses a few general capabilities. Basically, biological neurons receive inputs from other sources, combine them in some way, perform a generally nonlinear operation on the result, and then output the final result. Figure 7.1 shows the relationship of these four parts. Within humans there are many variations on this basic type of neurons, further complicating man’s attempts at electrically replicating the process of thinking. [Ref 5-7] Yet, all natural neurons have the same four basic Components.

Fig 10. Artificial Neural Networks

1) Supervised Training

In supervised training, both the inputs and the outputs are provided. The network then processes the inputs and compares its resulting outputs against the desired outputs. [Ref 4] Errors are then propagated back through the system, causing the system to adjust the weights are continually tweaked. The set of data which enables the training is called the “training set”.

Fig 11. Supervised training
From the above graph $I_{d_{r}}$ and $I_{d_{r_{ref}}}$ are compared to produce an error signal which gives the firing angle order ($\alpha=15.5$ deg).
From the above graph $I_{d,1}$ and $I_{d,ref}$ are compared to produce an error signal which gives the firing angle order($\alpha_{in}=142$ deg).

*Fig. 15*. Inverter side DC Voltage, DC Current and firing angle order with ANN

*Fig. 16*. THD for Rectifier current with PI controller

*Fig. 18*. THD Analysis for Inverter Current using PI controller
Table I

**COMPARISON BETWEEN PI AND NEURAL NETWORKS FOR RECTIFIER FIRING ANGLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Controller</th>
<th>Rectifier $\alpha$ (degrees)</th>
<th>Inverter $\alpha$ (degrees)</th>
<th>$I_{d_R}$ (p.u)</th>
<th>$I_{d_I}$ (p.u)</th>
<th>$V_{d_R}$ (p.u)</th>
<th>$V_{d_I}$ (p.u)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>With PI</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>0.8954</td>
<td>0.8913</td>
<td>1.016</td>
<td>0.8582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With NN</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>0.903</td>
<td>0.9024</td>
<td>1.019</td>
<td>0.869</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table II

From the above table, the DC currents and voltages of both rectifier and inverter with ANN shows better values when compared with PI controller.

**Comparison Between Pi And Neural Networks For Power Flow.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Controller</th>
<th>Power at rectifier $I_n$ (p.u)</th>
<th>Power at inverter $I_n$ (p.u)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>With PI</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With NN</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table III
From the above table the reduction in power is slightly less in ANN when compared with PI controller

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rectifier α (degrees)</th>
<th>Inverter α (degrees)</th>
<th>I₀_R (p.u)</th>
<th>I₀_I (p.u)</th>
<th>V₀_R (p.u)</th>
<th>V₀_I (p.u)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>0.8954</td>
<td>0.8913</td>
<td>1.016</td>
<td>0.8582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>128.6</td>
<td>0.8496</td>
<td>0.844</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>119.4</td>
<td>0.6294</td>
<td>0.6261</td>
<td>0.749</td>
<td>0.6825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>109.9</td>
<td>0.3848</td>
<td>0.3989</td>
<td>0.358</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>98.62</td>
<td>0.2409</td>
<td>0.2394</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table IV
THD values by using PI and ANN controllers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONTROLLER</th>
<th>RECTIFIER SIDE</th>
<th>INVERTER SIDE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FOR PI</td>
<td>16.91</td>
<td>27.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOR ANN</td>
<td>6.54</td>
<td>8.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

APPENDIX I

**Fig.20** Effect due to change in Rectifier firing angle (chart representation) using ANN

HVDC System Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Rectifier</th>
<th>Inverter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AC Voltage Base</td>
<td>345kV</td>
<td>230kV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base MVA</td>
<td>1000 MVA</td>
<td>1000 MVA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transformer taps (HV side)</td>
<td>1.01 p.u.</td>
<td>0.98 p.u.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal DC Voltage</td>
<td>500kV</td>
<td>500kV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal DC Current</td>
<td>2kA</td>
<td>2kA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transformer X₀</td>
<td>0.18 p.u.</td>
<td>0.18 p.u.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source Impedance</td>
<td>R = 0.415Ω, L = 0.0206H</td>
<td>R = 0.7406Ω, L = 0.0365H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Frequency</td>
<td>50 Hz</td>
<td>50 Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal Angle</td>
<td>α = 15°</td>
<td>γ = 15°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
III. CONCLUSION

In this paper, a HVDC system is designed to control the power flow between two converter stations with conventional controller and Artificial neural networks. The simulation results show that the HVDC system with Artificial neural networks have better power flow control when compared with PI controller for different angles.
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