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Abstract: Naipaul in his fictional concerns is renewing a kind of novel in those cultures where his search for a sense of identity and the need to establish a past on which the present can properly stand has a special force. From a vision of the past as a wound, Naipaul carries three conflicting components in his personality of being a Trinidad colonial, an English metropolitan, and a person of Indian ancestry. He thus moves in his self-exploration towards a new restoration and vision of wholeness. As Naipaul confronts India in this work he visualizes a more whole world than mere country. There is a growing compassion and a wish to understand that are stronger in Naipaul’s writing now. This compassionate narrative vision enables Naipaul to capture the theme of India collapsing, mutinying, and reaching after a final integration which remains a significant aspect of writing.
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I. Introduction

This paper intends to explore some modern novels which fall under the category of the concept of ‘Diaspora’ regarding the longing or the feelings of reminiscence while living abroad and searching identity. The analysis necessarily involves a close look at the figure of the migrant and the world. The condition of migrancy is seen as a state of indeterminacy, of tentativeness, of “in-betweenness” as Homi Bhabha would call it. “The migrant is seen as the critical participant-observer into his/her own condition, enabling powerful insights to be made into the insider-outsider dichotomy and the real lived experiences of migration” (king et al xiii). He is defined by a “separation from origins and essences”, a sense of “un-home-lines”, occupying an indeterminate zone or “place of hybridity”, leading to a necessary” creolisation” of identity (Bhabha 120). The idea of an authentic and irreducible identity is intimately tied up with the possibility of achieving one’s full potential in the “landscapes hymned by one’s ancestors.” However, history, or more specially, the history of colonization, has always undermined that dream of wholeness, leaving behind a “deep disorder”(Mimic Men 32), a legacy of ‘cultural ‘violation” and corruption. This leads, then to a deep-rooted concern with the issues of mimicry and authenticity and assimilation that face a self-caught on a cultural border.

The concept of ‘Diaspora’ emerged in 1990 and it is as old as post colonial theory. In the domain of postcolonial literature, different ethnic groups, based on their different original cultural heritages, have their ethnic, cultural, and historicial specificities; hence, the condition of the dislocated and dispossessed is especially poignant and complicated because they cannot find a “home” of their own. VS. Naipaul himself experienced, and repeatedly described in his fiction, this particular urge. Throughout his life he has desired a place to identify with. From genealogical mining, especially in his homeland (the Caribbean), through the quest for his cultural roots (India), and finally to his place of education (England)—he has attempted to search for his own identity. Being an Indian by ancestry, a Trinidadian by birth, and an Englishman by education, V. S. Naipaul possesses a multi-cultural background. As a colonial, he has always needed to locate his place in the world through writing. Prolific and critical in both fiction and nonfiction, he presents colonial anxieties in his quest for self-identity. For him, travel is a way to understand oneself, to achieve self-knowledge.

Naipaul had no tradition of literary discourse to rely on. He could not draw on the associations and resonances established by the natural and social settings of English literary works. As a result, he had to construct a natural and human background of his own special way for his reader which made it necessary for him to look outward. The moving forces of his novels and essays are thus more often are of social order and culture.

Naipaul has converted his liability of having no tradition into an advantage. He has succeeded in making use of this freedom to acquire the intellectual freedom. It is this intellectual freedom and lack of any sense of obligation at the personal level to any particular place or culture which makes Naipaul a judge of the situations where disorder and chaos are at large. For Naipaul who has experienced colonial dislocation, the art of fiction has represented in the other ways where the lost self of man could be retrieved, clarified and restored.
Twice displaced from his ancestral homeland of India, V.S. Naipaul seems to epitomise the diasporic writer. But categorization of such an extraordinary individual is not easy, even if the categories are clearly defined, and the details of the theories of diaspora that have been developed over the years are subject to dispute. James Clifford summarises William Safran’s ‘main features of diaspora’ as ‘a history of dispersal, myths/memories of the homeland, alienation in the host … country, desire for eventual return, ongoing support of the homeland, and a collective identity importantly defined by this relationship.’ (Clifford 305.) but points out that ‘there is little room in his definition for the principled ambivalence about physical return and attachment to land which has characterized much Jewish diasporic consciousness.’ (305)

The effects of the displacement of people—their forced migration, their deportation, their voluntary emigration, their movement to new lands where they made themselves masters over others or became subjects of the masters of their new homes—reverberate down the years and are still felt today. Naipaul has also, in his many interviews and essays, made his own myth into that of the writer as a displaced person. One who does not “have a side, doesn’t have a country, doesn’t have a community; one (who) is entirely an individual”, a figure who has achieved a Brahmanical “ideal of non-attachment” (Overcrowded Barracoone 16), a man without a home. His most well-known protagonist, Mr. Biswas sees ‘manhood’ as invariably linked to the ownership of a “house”, a home, and therefore himself as not a man. One morning he says to his dog—“you are an animal and think that because I have a head and hands and looks as I did yesterday I am a man am deceiving you. I am not whole.” (A house 268). The self becomes inseparable from the house—create the one is to achieve the other. Salim in A Bend in the River never sees his apartment as a home, never even removes the stacks of bad paintings the previous owner has left behind her; “he keeps it as a found it, however inconvenient, as a permanent reminder that he has not made himself a place in the world and has therefore, as the novel’s first sentence puts it, allowed himself to become nothing” (Gorra 64). This leads Gorra to make generalized, universalist assumptions and statements:

A Bend in the river uses the instability of Central African politics as the landscape against which to explore a world in which the past has been burnt away to explore a world in which the past has been burnt away for everyone, in which humankind is everywhere homeless, so that the expatriate, estranged from the landscape his ancestors hymned, comes to stand as the representative figure of our time (160)

A House for Mr. Biswas from the diasporic angle and the novel contains themes that run throughout his writing but it marks a distinctive period in the development of his writing and art. This novel displays a unique affection for the homeland of his birth. It deals with the historical period of colonialism and indenture and the experiences of migration and displacement with respect to Trinidad. The rawness of emotion present in the novel is missing in Naipaul’s later texts which have become increasingly sophisticated in their treatment of imaginary homeland and identity. This diversity of literary expression provides the writers with the flexibility and freedom to utilize the most effective means to communicate their idea express their creativity and share their lives with their readers. Through their effective and can did self-exposure, these writers ultimately establish a “post-exilic discourse” and can invite their readers to visit their literary homelands.

A House for Mr. Biswas tells the story of its protagonist, Mr. Biswas from birth to death, each section dealing with different phases of Mr. Biswas’s life. Here, Naipaul has a more subjective approach towards the problems of identity crisis than the objective one a reader finds in his travelogues, especially on India. Partly autobiographical, A House for Mr. Biswas delineates the traumas of a tainted and troubled past and the attempts to find a purpose in life, beautifully analyzing the sense of alienation and the pangs of exile experienced by the characters. Speaking about the writings of Afro-Caribbean women in the US, Carol Boyce Davis identifies the urge among migratory writers particularly writers like V.S. Naipaul:

Migration creates desire for home, which in turn produces the rewriting of home. Homesickness or homelessness, the rejection of home or longing for home becomes motivating factors in this rewriting. Home can only have meaning once on experience a level of displacement from it.

The novel paints a poignant picture of Mr. Biswas as he struggles to preserve his own identity in an alien environment and tries to forge an authentic selfhood. Besides focusing on his dark world, the novel introduces brief glimpses of ethnic and social history of the marginalized East Indian community in Trinidad. The narrative tries to maintain equilibrium between Mr. Biswas’s inner self and the disinterested outer view. The life of Mr. Biswas resembles the life of Naipaul himself, whose series of experiences of exile and alienation while living in Trinidad seem to be portrayed through the character of his protagonist, Mr. Biswas. Yet, the tone is not negative, nor does the reader find a pessimistic approach on the part of the novelist in his dealing with construction his own imaginary homeland, a theme found also in Naipaul’s other novels. He presents Mr. Biswas’ relentless struggle against the forces that try to subdue his individuality. His struggle is long and tiresome, but in the end he is successful in having a space he can call his own imaginary homeland.

In the search of his own identity, Mohun Biswas shifts from village to town and from joint family to nuclear family but fails to find his own roots amidst socio-cultural change. Just as the individual is both a
construction and a fixed entity, so the town is an imaginary place that also takes a solid place in the structure of identity.

It is a novel which he created out of what he saw and felt as a child. In his famous novel ‘A House For Mr. Biswas’, V.S.Naipaul depicts the desperate struggle of Mr. Biswas to acquire a house of his own which is symbolic of an individual’s need to develop an authentic identity. Though Mr. Biswas ultimately manages to acquire, yet his premature death has a tragedy about it. V.S.Naipaul satirizes the social classes realistically, such as the vivid, candid and straightforward presentation of the adherence to the level of low life. The Tulsi family and Hanuman House represent the old Hindu culture now coming under the influence of the alien western culture. The life story of Mr. Biswas shows a self-respecting individual’s rebellion against tyranny, the account of Tulsi family shows the interaction of two cultures, the old Hindu culture as represented by the Tulsi family and the alien western culture as represented by the Port of Spain and by such individuals as Dorothy, the Christian girl whom Shekhar marries, and Dorothy’s cousin whom Owad marries. The interaction between these two cultures is designed to show that old Hindu culture which the Indian Hindus had taken with them to Trinidad cannot long withstand the influence of the alien western culture. Of course, the old Hindu culture is not completely absorbed by the western culture, but it is certainly weakened and undermined. In short, Hanuman House is a microcosm of the old Hindu culture. The Tulsi family is very orthodox, but its orthodoxy begins to crumble with the onset of western influences. Mrs. Tulsi, in ruling over her family, is helped in her task by Seth, her dead husband’s brother. Seth and his wife Padma also live in Hanuman House where they enjoy a status as high as that of Mrs. Tulsi herself.

He seems to move toward a clearer feeling of place, of being at “home.” I thus regard Naipaul’s novelistic writing as a process of identity recovery undergoing a series of transformations: he denies or negates his Caribbean homeland, adopts a stage of mimicry in England, searches for his cultural roots in India, and finally reconstructs his identity out of his multi-cultural particularity and uniqueness. His writing career comes in four stages: (1) placelessness and alienation, (2) colonial predicament, (3) cultural heritage in India, and (4) writing for self-definition. By accepting his homelessness and statelessness, he (re)creates a new identity in exile. He makes a voice not only for himself but also for other marginalized people. Through writing, he translates his “cultural incommensurability” to the world and articulates the representation of his cultural particularity (Bhabha 59).

Diasporic literature has made a significant contribution to Indo-English literature by its rich exposure to multiculturalsim. Though the sense of displacement may be an essential condition of diasporic literature, it is not experienced precisely with the same identity by all; it differs according to time and place. The spirit of exile and alienation enriches the diasporic writers to seek rehabilitation in their writings and establish a permanent place in the minds of readers. Naipaul, in particular, consistently paints the picture of the derelict man in the desolate landscape. A House for Mr. Biswas is, therefore, individual attempts to overcome “homelessness”. The writer sees the characters as victims of their environment. Their urgency comes from their efforts to get others to acknowledge them so as to have it validated for themselves, their human necessity. The house becomes a legacy which Mr. Biswas can bequeath to his family. Just as through his writing Naipaul attempts to salvage his own family history and the history of the Trinidadian Indian community so also the hero of his novel makes a final effort to create a new world out of nothingness, thereby leaving behind his footprint on history and escaping annihilation and attaining fulfillment.
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