Assessment of Crime and Security in Ido Local Government Area, Oyo State, Southwest Nigeria

Babatunde Akeem Adesola[1], Oyekola Martins Adewale[2]

[1, 2] *(Department of Surveying and Geoinformatics, Faculty of Environmental Studies,*
The Polytechnic Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria)
Corresponding Author: Babatunde Akeem Adesola

Abstract: Utilization of Information Technology is required in public safety management in the cities and their suburb such as the present Ido area, Ido local government Ibadan. This research investigates crime analysis and security in Ido Local Government Area of Oyo State, Southwest Nigeria with the aim of assessing the area prone to crime, leading causes. The methodology adopted in this study was the use of attribute data which was collected through questionnaire administered in Ido local government area of Oyo State, Southwest Nigeria. The local government consists of five areas namely; Apata division with eleven (11) communities, Apete with fifteen (15) communities, Ologuneru with eight (8) communities, Ido with thirteen (13) communities, and Omi-Adio with seven (7) communities. Six hundred (600) questionnaires were developed to assess opinion of respondents in which four hundred and ten (410) were returned. Analysis was done using statistical package for the social sciences (IBM SPSS 20) in analyzing the data acquired through the questionnaire. The result of the crime data obtained from questionnaire was presented in form of statistical and frequency tables. Generally from the research, it can be concluded that the major causes of crime are unemployment, long travel distances between police station and areas prone to crime, police patrol etc. from the results of the questionnaires, it showed that security issues in Ido local government has been dealt with but still requires more attentions by the law enforcement agencies, government as well as community collaboration.

Keywords: Safety management, Police divisions, Crime incidents, Statistical Package, Security

I. INTRODUCTION

Generally, the widespread of crime causes serious concern in the world today. It constitutes fear, problem to the society and affecting the way of life of people when its occurrence becomes rampant and leads to threat and loss of property. Security issues in state, country or region requires urgent attention by the government, law enforcement agencies and community collaboration. Indigene and Non-indigene living in Ibadan believed that crime in Ido local government area of Oyo State are out of hand among all the eleven local government areas present in Ibadan metropolis. Security is inadequate compared to the population within the study area. Therefore due to this, the local government needs urgent attention so as to curb the fear, threat and the loss of life and properties of the people. Crime can be defined as a culpable disposition of an individual or group as entrenched in the constitution of a state. Crime Analysis is defined as a set of systematic, analytical processes directed at providing timely and pertinent information relative to crime patterns and trend correlations to assist the operational and administrative personnel in planning the deployment of resources for the prevention and suppression of criminal activities, aiding the investigative process, and increasing apprehensions and the clearance of cases. It supports a number of department functions including patrol deployment, special operations, and tactical units, investigations, planning and research, crime prevention, and administrative services. Louis and others in 1981 (cited by [13]), described crime as a deviant act that is threatening moral behaviours and injurious to society. Moral decadence afflicts the personality of individual, his property and lessens trust among members of the society which may result to threat and fear.

Dambazau (1997) (cited by [13]) classified crime into four categories:- crimes against person, crimes against property, crimes against lawful authority and crimes against local act. Thus explained; crimes against person: include murder, attempted murder, manslaughter, suicide, grievous harm or wounding, assault, child stealing, slave dealing, rape, assault, kidnapping, unnatural offences and others. Crimes against property: armed robbery, theft or stealing, burglary, arson and others. The rapid urbanization has created numerous social problems, among which is crime that became a common phenomenon in urban areas in both developed and developing nations. Ultimately rapid growth in urbanization had a direct relationship with the increase in crime

as the rate of unemployment had been on the increase, high cost of living coupled with housing problem (homelessness) and these in turn led to all sorts of urban problems crime inclusive [10; 1; 11; 13; 2].

Unemployment and economic hardship have pushed many 'jobless youths, some of whom are graduates, into various deadly crimes' as opined by [1]. Unemployment is the main causes for 'eruption and escalation of crimes in urban areas across the breadth of Nigeria' as found by [8]. Unemployment is closely linked to crime' no matter the region of the World as posits by [11]. He also argued that urban crimes are influenced by poverty, unstable jobs, the high cost of living and financial difficulties, limited educational opportunities, inadequate health and sanitation, and inadequate housing. Unemployment, poverty, poor governance and lack of policy initiatives and implementation to some extent encouraged criminal groups to thrive and appear to be the root cause of urban crimes as believed by [1]. The spatial distribution of crime has been extensively studied over the decades, particularly in respect to either the socioeconomic conditions of neighborhoods in which the criminal lives or a crime occurs [3; 6; 12]. Crime as it relates to the built environment has also received considerable attention, notably through the works of [7] and [9]. Therefore, this study assesses the crime and security challenges in Ido Local Government with the aim of analyzing the causes using questionnaire as a means of acquiring data.

II. STUDY AREA

The study area is Ido local government area, Oyo State, Southwest Nigeria. The local government consists of five divisional areas with communities under them. The total population of Ido local government according to the 2006 population census was 103,261 [14]. It lies between longitude 3°47′34.99″E and latitude 7°30' 44.49″ N. Table 1 described the division areas and place under the study location.

Table 1: Places under the five divisions in the study area are the following

S/No.	Apata	Apete	Ologuneru	Ido	Omi-Adio
1	BCJ	Adaba	Ajadi	Alako	Aba Teacher
2	Bode-Igbo	Arokoto	Alafara	Dada	Abidogun
3	Command	Awotan	Gbopa	Esaru1	Bakatari
4	Dogo	Fanawole2	Olonde	Gbingbin	Eleso
5	Fatimoh	Fanawole1	Adetokun	Idi-Amu	Gate
6	Gada/Odo-Ona	Jeje	Elenusonso	Ido	Omi
7	Idi-Iroko	Oju Oja 1	Akatapa	Koguo	Oke Oloro
8	Ile-Epo Apata	Oju Oja 2	Temidayo	Idiiroko	-
9	Owode1	Oju Oja 3	-	Iloka	-
10	Owode2	Trailer Park	-	Tade	-
11	Wire & Cable	Arola	-	Iletuntun	-
12	-	Yidi	-	Ode Imu	-
13	-	Akodu	-	Idiiko	-
14	-	Ariyibi	-	-	-
15	-	Okeodan	-	-	-

Source: Compiled by Authors'

III. MATERIAL AND METHODS

For the purpose of this research, both primary and secondary data was used. Data collected from the five divisional area and questionnaire and Oral interview was used for the study. Crime statistical data was acquired from the police division offices in the study area. The secondary data source was adopted from Journals, Books, Conference paper presentation.

Method/procedures of data acquisition
Procedures of acquiring crime attribute data

The attribute data needed for this research was collected from people's opinion based on crime, leading causes etc. in their respective area as well as in Ido local government area of Oyo State, southwest Nigeria in general. The local government consists of five divisions areas namely; Apata division with eleven (11) communities, Apete with fifteen (15), , Ologuneru with eight (8) communities, Ido with thirteen (13) communities, and Omi-Adio with seven (7) communities making a total of fifty four (54) communities (table 1). Apata has a total of 65 officers, Apete with 53 officers, Ologuneru has 10 police officers, Ido with 70 officers, and Omi-Adio has total number of 53 police officers making a total of two hundred and fifty-one (251) police officers (table 2). The most suitable locations for the police stations are selected based on closeness to the crime area. After interviewing a few police officers, the state of crime was assessed. Six hundred questionnaires were

carefully developed and also develop not to arouse or implicate respondents. Two main procedures were adopted for the administration of the questionnaire
1. Leaving questionnaire for respondents to be picked up latter.
2. Instant administration which is to be filled by the administrator immediately.
In each area captured in this research, questionnaires were spatially distributed to have a representative coverage. The sample of the questionnaire is attached to this document as an appendix. The questionnaire was distributed using stratified random sampling to the respondents.

Figure 2: Showing sample of questionnaire distributed within the study area

Data processing
Relative attribute data were collected from the both indigene and non indigene of the study area. The data were sorted out to know the total number of returned questionnaire in each of the location of the study area. Also, the result was process with the statistical package for the social sciences IBM SPSS 20 (figure 3-7). The analysis was based on the five areas under study and not by communities.

Table 2: Number of police officer present in each of the five division area

Location	Male	Female	Total
Apata	40	15	65
Apete	30	23	53
Ologuneru	6	4	10
Ido	47	23	70
Omi-Adio	40	28	68
Total	**163**	**93**	**266**

Source: Oyo State Police Command (2018)


Figure 3: Process in creation of database on question answered by respondents in Apata using IBM SPSS 20
From IBM SPSS 20 statistical software, the question were coded as it appears in (figure 3) under "Name" and respondent answers under "values". All under variable view.


Figure 4: Database created on answered by respondents in Apata and Apete area using IBM SPSS 20

Figure 5: Database created on answered by respondents in Ologuneru and Ido area using IBM SPSS 20

Figure 6: Database created on answered by respondents in Omi-Adio area and sample results using IBM SPSS 20

Figure 3-6 are the data view showing the answers to the questions that was asked from the respondents'. Figure 7 showed the sample result (frequency table and in percentages) of the analysis.

IV. RESULTS

The results below described the data acquired on the field through questionnaire administered as well as the outcome of the result.

Table 3: Analysis of the Questionnaire Distributed and Returned

Location	Number of Questionnaire Distributed	Number of Questionnaire Returned
Apata	100	64
Apete	200	123
Ido	100	78
Ologuneru	100	61
Omi-Adio	100	84
Total	**600**	**410**

Figure 7: Trend and Category in questionnaire distributed and returned in the five areas

The analysis of the six hundred (600) questionnaires distributed and total number returned was done. The results of the analysis showed that out of one hundred (100) that was distributed to Apata, only sixty-four was returned. While in Apete, a total of two hundred (200) were distributed being the area with highest population among the area under the study area and 123 was returned. Out of one-hundred (100) distributed Seventy eight (78) was returned. In Ologuneru, one hundred (100) were distributed while sixty-one (61) were returned. In Omi-Adio, one hundred (100) were distributed and eighty four (84) were returned making a total of four hundred and ten (410) out of six hundred (600) was returned. Other analysis was done based on percentages

and frequency tables (table 4-8) and was done with IBM SPSS 20 statistical application software. The software was used to create a database for each of the five (5) areas within Ido Local Government. Fig. 3-6 above showed the database creation and sample result. Table 3 above described the analysis of questionnaire distributed and returned. Table 4-8 below showed the entire results of the questionnaire returned.

Table 4: Analysis of questionnaire based on frequency and percentage for Apata Area

sex		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Male	27	42.2	42.2	42.2
	Female	37	57.8	57.8	100.0
	Total	64	100.0	100.0	

Academic Status		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Non	13	20.3	20.3	20.3
	Pry	3	4.7	4.7	25.0
	Sec	25	39.1	39.1	64.1
	Grad	19	29.7	29.7	93.8
	Post-grad	4	6.3	6.3	100.0
	Total	64	100.0	100.0	

Societal Class		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Upper	10	15.6	15.6	15.6
	Middle	24	37.5	37.5	53.1
	Lower	30	46.9	46.9	100.0
	Total	64	100.0	100.0	

How safe do you feel in your area		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Very Safe	11	17.2	17.2	17.2
	Safe	17	26.6	26.6	43.8
	Not Safe	27	42.2	42.2	85.9
	Not Safe at all	9	14.1	14.1	100.0
	Total	64	100.0	100.0	

Do you think that your area will be better or worse place to live in the next one year, or do you think it will be in the same way?		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	It will get better	35	54.7	54.7	54.7
	It will remain the same	21	32.8	32.8	87.5
	It will get worse	8	12.5	12.5	100.0
	Total	64	100.0	100.0	

Have you ever witnessed a crime in your area?		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	44	68.8	68.8	68.8
	No	20	31.3	31.3	100.0
	Total	64	100.0	100.0	

Do you think crimes in general within your locality are best dealt with by the police?		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	27	42.2	42.2	42.2
	No	37	57.8	57.8	100.0
	Total	64	100.0	100.0	

Do you considered your area a high, medium or low crime area?		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	High Crime Area	12	18.8	18.8	18.8
	Middle Crime Area	20	31.3	31.3	50.0
	Lower Crime Area	32	50.0	50.0	100.0
	Total	64	100.0	100.0	

In your area, who do you think Is vulnerable to crime 1		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent

Valid		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Men	50	78.1	78.1	78.1
	Women	9	14.1	14.1	92.2
	Children	5	7.8	7.8	100.0
	Total	64	100.0	100.0	

In your area, who do you think Is vulnerable to crime 2

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Upper Class	12	18.8	18.8	18.8
	Middle Class	21	32.8	32.8	51.6
	lower classes	31	48.4	48.4	100.0
	Total	64	100.0	100.0	

In your area, who do you think Is vulnerable to crime 3

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Nationals	64	100.0	100.0	100.0

How satisfied are you with the way the criminal justice system handles crime?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Extremely Satisfied	11	17.2	17.2	17.2
	Satisfied	18	28.1	28.1	45.3
	Dissatisfied	27	42.2	42.2	87.5
	Extremely Dissatisfied	8	12.5	12.5	100.0
	Total	64	100.0	100.0	

Do you think police do a good Job with controlling crimes in your area?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	29	45.3	45.3	45.3
	No	35	54.7	54.7	100.0
	Total	64	100.0	100.0	

How frequently do you see police patrols in your area?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	many times a day	14	21.9	21.9	21.9
	once in a day	11	17.2	17.2	39.1
	several times in a week	13	20.3	20.3	59.4
	once in a week	4	6.3	6.3	65.6
	few days in a month	9	14.1	14.1	79.7
	never	13	20.3	20.3	100.0
	Total	64	100.0	100.0	

By police patrolling in your area, do you think it would help in preventing crimes from occurring?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	49	76.6	76.6	76.6
	No	15	23.4	23.4	100.0
	Total	64	100.0	100.0	

Do you have in the capability of police to protect you from crime?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	44	68.8	68.8	68.8
	No	20	31.3	31.3	100.0
	Total	64	100.0	100.0	

Who do you think is the most likely group to commit crime? 1

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Upper Class	4	6.3	6.3	6.3
	Middle Class	9	14.1	14.1	20.3
	Lower Class	51	79.7	79.7	100.0
	Total	64	100.0	100.0	

Who do you think is the most likely group to commit crime? 2

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Unemployed	64	100.0	100.0	100.0

Who do you think is the most likely group to commit crime? 3

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Men	5	7.8	7.8	7.8

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	Women	1	1.6	1.6	9.4
	Youth	58	90.6	90.6	100.0
	Total	64	100.0	100.0	

What do you think is the leading causes of everyday crimes?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Unemployment	30	46.9	46.9	46.9
	Poverty	18	28.1	28.1	75.0
	Hunger	3	4.7	4.7	79.7
	Poor Economy	9	14.1	14.1	93.8
	Poor Funding of Police	4	6.3	6.3	100.0
	Total	64	100.0	100.0	

What type of crime exists in your area?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Burglary	28	43.8	43.8	43.8
	Sexual Harassment	14	21.9	21.9	65.6
	Assaults	22	34.4	34.4	100.0
	Total	64	100.0	100.0	

How close is the police to your area?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Very Far	22	34.4	34.4	34.4
	Far	26	40.6	40.6	75.0
	Close	10	15.6	15.6	90.6
	Too Close	6	9.4	9.4	100.0
	Total	64	100.0	100.0	

Do you think having police closer can prevent crime?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	43	67.2	67.2	67.2
	No	21	32.8	32.8	100.0
	Total	64	100.0	100.0	

Do you think having police closer can enhance police harassment of innocent citizens?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	25	39.1	39.1	39.1
	No	20	31.3	31.3	70.3
	Not Sure	19	29.7	29.7	100.0
	Total	64	100.0	100.0	

Do you think having police closer can bread contempt for the agency, thus defeating the essence of security?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	21	32.8	32.8	32.8
	No	23	35.9	35.9	68.8
	Not Sure	20	31.3	31.3	100.0
	Total	64	100.0	100.0	

Will you suggest frequent police patrol of your area instead of residency?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	31	48.4	48.4	48.4
	No	13	20.3	20.3	68.8
	Indifferent	20	31.3	31.3	100.0
	Total	64	100.0	100.0	

How frequent do you think the patrol be observed?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	More Than Once Daily	46	71.9	71.9	71.9
	Once Daily	10	15.6	15.6	87.5
	Twice Weekly	2	3.1	3.1	90.6
	Others	6	9.4	9.4	100.0
	Total	64	100.0	100.0	

Do you report crime?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent

Valid		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	Yes	33	51.6	51.6	51.6
	No	29	45.3	45.3	96.9
	Never	2	3.1	3.1	100.0
	Total	64	100.0	100.0	

Will you report crime?

Valid		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	Yes	48	75.0	75.0	75.0
	No	12	18.8	18.8	93.8
	Never	4	6.3	6.3	100.0
	Total	64	100.0	100.0	

Which encouragement do you value most in crime reporting?

Valid		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	Never Witnessed Crime	17	26.6	26.6	26.6
	Distance to Police	34	53.1	53.1	79.7
	No Confidence in Police	13	20.3	20.3	100.0
	Total	64	100.0	100.0	

Which encouragement do you value most in crime reporting?

Valid		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	Confidentiality	24	37.5	37.5	37.5
	Proximity to Police	31	48.4	48.4	85.9
	Financial Benefit	9	14.1	14.1	100.0
	Total	64	100.0	100.0	

Table 5: Analysis of questionnaire based on frequency and percentage for Apete Area

Sex

Valid		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	Male	53	43.1	43.1	43.1
	Female	70	56.9	56.9	100.0
	Total	123	100.0	100.0	

Academic Status

Valid		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	Non	12	9.8	9.8	9.8
	Pry	14	11.4	11.4	21.1
	Sec	43	35.0	35.0	56.1
	Grad	39	31.7	31.7	87.8
	Post-grad	15	12.2	12.2	100.0
	Total	123	100.0	100.0	

Societal Class

Valid		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	Upper	22	17.9	17.9	17.9
	Middle	59	48.0	48.0	65.9
	Lower	42	34.1	34.1	100.0
	Total	123	100.0	100.0	

How safe do you feel in your area

Valid		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	Very Safe	18	14.6	14.6	14.6
	Safe	62	50.4	50.4	65.0
	Not Safe	27	22.0	22.0	87.0
	Not Safe at all	16	13.0	13.0	100.0
	Total	123	100.0	100.0	

Do you think that your area will be better or worse place to live in the next one year, or do you think it will be in the same way?

Valid		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	It will get better	82	66.7	66.7	66.7
	It will remain the same	33	26.8	26.8	93.5
	It will get worse	8	6.5	6.5	100.0
	Total	123	100.0	100.0	

Have you ever witnessed a crime in your area?				
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	82	66.7	66.7	66.7
	No	41	33.3	33.3	100.0
	Total	123	100.0	100.0	

Do you think crimes in general within your locality are best dealt with by the police?				
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	61	49.6	49.6	49.6
	No	62	50.4	50.4	100.0
	Total	123	100.0	100.0	

Do you considered your area a high, medium or low crime area?				
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	High Crime Area	20	16.3	16.3	16.3
	Middle Crime Area	31	25.2	25.2	41.5
	Lower Crime Area	72	58.5	58.5	100.0
	Total	123	100.0	100.0	

In your area, who do you think Is vulnerable to crime 1				
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Men	89	72.4	72.4	72.4
	Women	23	18.7	18.7	91.1
	Children	11	8.9	8.9	100.0
	Total	123	100.0	100.0	

In your area, who do you think Is vulnerable to crime 2				
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Upper Class	13	10.6	10.6	10.6
	Middle Class	44	35.8	35.8	46.3
	lower classes	66	53.7	53.7	100.0
	Total	123	100.0	100.0	

In your area, who do you think Is vulnerable to crime 3				
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Non-nationality	12	9.8	9.8	9.8
	Nationals	107	87.0	87.0	96.7
	Others please specify	4	3.3	3.3	100.0
	Total	123	100.0	100.0	

How satisfied are you with the way the criminal justice system handles crime?				
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Extremely Satisfied	16	13.0	13.0	13.0
	Satisfied	40	32.5	32.5	45.5
	Dissatisfied	52	42.3	42.3	87.8
	Extremely Dissatisfied	15	12.2	12.2	100.0
	Total	123	100.0	100.0	

Do you think police do a good Job with controlling crimes in your area?				
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	57	46.3	46.3	46.3
	No	66	53.7	53.7	100.0
	Total	123	100.0	100.0	

How frequently do you see police patrols in your area?				
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	many times a day	25	20.3	20.3	20.3
	once in a day	9	7.3	7.3	27.6
	several times in a week	17	13.8	13.8	41.5
	once in a week	5	4.1	4.1	45.5
	few days in a month	31	25.2	25.2	70.7
	never	36	29.3	29.3	100.0
	Total	123	100.0	100.0	

By police patrolling in your area, do you think it would help in preventing crimes from occurring?				
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent

Valid	Yes	102	82.9	82.9	82.9
	No	21	17.1	17.1	100.0
	Total	123	100.0	100.0	

Do you have in the capability of police to protect you from crime?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	70	56.9	56.9	56.9
	No	53	43.1	43.1	100.0
	Total	123	100.0	100.0	

Who do you think is the most likely group to commit crime? 1

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Upper Class	10	8.1	8.1	8.1
	Middle Class	29	23.6	23.6	31.7
	Lower Class	84	68.3	68.3	100.0
	Total	123	100.0	100.0	

Who do you think is the most likely group to commit crime? 2

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Unemployed	123	100.0	100.0	100.0

Who do you think is the most likely group to commit crime? 3

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Men	17	13.8	13.8	13.8
	Youth	104	84.6	84.6	98.4
	Nationals	2	1.6	1.6	100.0
	Total	123	100.0	100.0	

What do you think is the leading causes of everyday crimes?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Unemployment	51	41.5	41.5	41.5
	Poverty	48	39.0	39.0	80.5
	Hunger	9	7.3	7.3	87.8
	Poor Economy	13	10.6	10.6	98.4
	Poor Funding of Police	2	1.6	1.6	100.0
	Total	123	100.0	100.0	

What type of crime exists in your area?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Burglary	75	61.0	61.0	61.0
	Sexual Harassment	20	16.3	16.3	77.2
	Assaults	28	22.8	22.8	100.0
	Total	123	100.0	100.0	

How close is the police to your area?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Very Far	30	24.4	24.4	24.4
	Far	53	43.1	43.1	67.5
	Close	33	26.8	26.8	94.3
	Too Close	7	5.7	5.7	100.0
	Total	123	100.0	100.0	

Do you think having police closer can prevent crime?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	90	73.2	73.2	73.2
	No	33	26.8	26.8	100.0
	Total	123	100.0	100.0	

Do you think having police closer can enhance police harassment of innocent citizens?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	55	44.7	44.7	44.7
	No	28	22.8	22.8	67.5
	Not Sure	40	32.5	32.5	100.0
	Total	123	100.0	100.0	

Do you think having police closer can bread contempt for the agency, thus defeating the essence of security?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	53	43.1	43.1	43.1
	No	40	32.5	32.5	75.6
	Not Sure	30	24.4	24.4	100.0
	Total	123	100.0	100.0	

Will you suggest frequent police patrol of your area instead of residency?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	68	55.3	55.3	55.3
	No	28	22.8	22.8	78.0
	Indifferent	27	22.0	22.0	100.0
	Total	123	100.0	100.0	

How frequent do you think the patrol be observed?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	More Than Once Daily	78	63.4	63.4	63.4
	Once Daily	26	21.1	21.1	84.6
	Twice Weekly	6	4.9	4.9	89.4
	Others	13	10.6	10.6	100.0
	Total	123	100.0	100.0	

Do you report crime?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	54	43.9	43.9	43.9
	No	53	43.1	43.1	87.0
	Never	16	13.0	13.0	100.0
	Total	123	100.0	100.0	

Will you report crime?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	75	61.0	61.0	61.0
	No	33	26.8	26.8	87.8
	Never	15	12.2	12.2	100.0
	Total	123	100.0	100.0	

Reason for not reporting crime?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Never Witnessed Crime	28	22.8	22.8	22.8
	Distance to Police	51	41.5	41.5	64.2
	No Confidence in Police	44	35.8	35.8	100.0
	Total	123	100.0	100.0	

Which encouragement do you value most in crime reporting?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Confidentiality	66	53.7	53.7	53.7
	Proximity to Police	30	24.4	24.4	78.0
	Financial Benefit	27	22.0	22.0	100.0
	Total	123	100.0	100.0	

Table 6: Analysis of questionnaire based on frequency and percentage for Ologuneru Area

sex

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Male	33	54.1	54.1	54.1
	Female	28	45.9	45.9	100.0
	Total	61	100.0	100.0	

Academic Status

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Non	4	6.6	6.6	6.6
	Pry	9	14.8	14.8	21.3
	Sec	26	42.6	42.6	63.9
	Grad	14	23.0	23.0	86.9

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	Post-grad	8	13.1	13.1	100.0
	Total	61	100.0	100.0	

Societal Class

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Upper	2	3.3	3.3	3.3
	Middle	44	72.1	72.1	75.4
	Lower	15	24.6	24.6	100.0
	Total	61	100.0	100.0	

How safe do you feel in your area

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Very Safe	11	18.0	18.0	18.0
	Safe	18	29.5	29.5	47.5
	Not Safe	27	44.3	44.3	91.8
	Not Safe at all	5	8.2	8.2	100.0
	Total	61	100.0	100.0	

Do you think that your area will be better or worse place to live in the next one year, or do you think it will be in the same way?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	It will get better	40	65.6	65.6	65.6
	It will remain the same	14	23.0	23.0	88.5
	It will get worse	7	11.5	11.5	100.0
	Total	61	100.0	100.0	

Have you ever witnessed a crime in your area?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	44	72.1	72.1	72.1
	No	17	27.9	27.9	100.0
	Total	61	100.0	100.0	

Do you think crimes in general within your locality are best dealt with by the police?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	20	32.8	32.8	32.8
	No	40	65.6	65.6	98.4
	11	1	1.6	1.6	100.0
	Total	61	100.0	100.0	

Do you considered your area a high, medium or low crime area?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	High Crime Area	10	16.4	16.4	16.4
	Middle Crime Area	38	62.3	62.3	78.7
	Lower Crime Area	13	21.3	21.3	100.0
	Total	61	100.0	100.0	

In your area, who do you think Is vulnerable to crime 1

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Men	33	54.1	54.1	54.1
	Women	23	37.7	37.7	91.8
	Children	5	8.2	8.2	100.0
	Total	61	100.0	100.0	

In your area, who do you think Is vulnerable to crime 2

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Upper Class	15	24.6	24.6	24.6
	Middle Class	27	44.3	44.3	68.9
	lower classes	19	31.1	31.1	100.0
	Total	61	100.0	100.0	

In your area, who do you think Is vulnerable to crime 3

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Non-nationality	5	8.2	8.2	8.2
	Nationals	56	91.8	91.8	100.0
	Total	61	100.0	100.0	

How satisfied are you with the way the criminal justice system handles crime?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Extremely Satisfied	7	11.5	11.5	11.5
	Satisfied	13	21.3	21.3	32.8
	Dissatisfied	38	62.3	62.3	95.1
	Extremely Dissatisfied	3	4.9	4.9	100.0
	Total	61	100.0	100.0	

Do you think police do a good Job with controlling crimes in your area?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	18	29.5	29.5	29.5
	No	43	70.5	70.5	100.0
	Total	61	100.0	100.0	

How frequently do you see police patrols in your area?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	many times a day	11	18.0	18.0	18.0
	once in a day	11	18.0	18.0	36.1
	several times in a week	11	18.0	18.0	54.1
	once in a week	3	4.9	4.9	59.0
	few days in a month	13	21.3	21.3	80.3
	never	12	19.7	19.7	100.0
	Total	61	100.0	100.0	

By police patrolling in your area, do you think it would help in preventing crimes from occurring?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	43	70.5	70.5	70.5
	No	18	29.5	29.5	100.0
	Total	61	100.0	100.0	

Do you have in the capability of police to protect you from crime?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	38	62.3	62.3	62.3
	No	23	37.7	37.7	100.0
	Total	61	100.0	100.0	

Who do you think is the most likely group to commit crime?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Upper Class	4	6.6	6.6	6.6
	Middle Class	25	41.0	41.0	47.5
	Lower Class	32	52.5	52.5	100.0
	Total	61	100.0	100.0	

Who do you think is the most likely group to commit crime?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Unemployed	61	100.0	100.0	100.0

What do you think is the leading causes of everyday crimes?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Men	10	16.4	16.4	16.4
	Women	2	3.3	3.3	19.7
	Youth	49	80.3	80.3	100.0
	Total	61	100.0	100.0	

What do you think is the leading causes of everyday crimes?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Unemployment	26	42.6	42.6	42.6
Poverty	15	24.6	24.6	67.2
Hunger	15	24.6	24.6	91.8
Poor Economy	4	6.6	6.6	98.4
Youthful Exorbitance	1	1.6	1.6	100.0
Total	61	100.0	100.0	

(Valid)

What type of crime exists in your area?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Burglary	43	70.5	70.5	70.5
	Sexual Harassment	9	14.8	14.8	85.2

		Assaults	9	14.8	14.8	100.0
		Total	61	100.0	100.0	

How close is the police to your area?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Very Far	16	26.2	26.2	26.2
	Far	39	63.9	63.9	90.2
	Close	6	9.8	9.8	100.0
	Total	61	100.0	100.0	

Do you think having police closer can prevent crime?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	42	68.9	68.9	68.9
	No	19	31.1	31.1	100.0
	Total	61	100.0	100.0	

Do you think having police closer can enhance police harassment of innocent citizens?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	23	37.7	37.7	37.7
	No	18	29.5	29.5	67.2
	Not Sure	20	32.8	32.8	100.0
	Total	61	100.0	100.0	

Do you think having police closer can bread contempt for the agency, thus defeating the essence of security?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	21	34.4	34.4	34.4
	No	29	47.5	47.5	82.0
	Not Sure	11	18.0	18.0	100.0
	Total	61	100.0	100.0	

Will you suggest frequent police patrol of your area instead of residency?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	41	67.2	67.2	67.2
	No	14	23.0	23.0	90.2
	Indifferent	6	9.8	9.8	100.0
	Total	61	100.0	100.0	

How frequent do you think the patrol be observed?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	More Than Once Daily	31	50.8	50.8	50.8
	Once Daily	18	29.5	29.5	80.3
	Twice Weekly	12	19.7	19.7	100.0
	Total	61	100.0	100.0	

Do you report crime?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	28	45.9	45.9	45.9
	No	27	44.3	44.3	90.2
	Never	6	9.8	9.8	100.0
	Total	61	100.0	100.0	

Will you report crime?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	44	72.1	72.1	72.1
	No	13	21.3	21.3	93.4
	Never	4	6.6	6.6	100.0
	Total	61	100.0	100.0	

Reason for not reporting crime?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Never Witnessed Crime	7	11.5	11.5	11.5
	Distance to Police	19	31.1	31.1	42.6
	No Confidence in Police	35	57.4	57.4	100.0
	Total	61	100.0	100.0	

Which encouragement do you value most in crime reporting?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Confidentiality	27	44.3	44.3	44.3
	Proximity to Police	17	27.9	27.9	72.1
	Financial Benefit	17	27.9	27.9	100.0
	Total	61	100.0	100.0	

Table 7: Analysis of questionnaire based on frequency and percentage for Ido Area

sex					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Male	34	43.6	43.6	43.6
	Female	44	56.4	56.4	100.0
	Total	78	100.0	100.0	

Academic Status					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Non	14	17.9	17.9	17.9
	Pry	15	19.2	19.2	37.2
	Sec	28	35.9	35.9	73.1
	Grad	17	21.8	21.8	94.9
	Post-grad	4	5.1	5.1	100.0
	Total	78	100.0	100.0	

Societal Class					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Upper	11	14.1	14.1	14.1
	Middle	48	61.5	61.5	75.6
	Lower	19	24.4	24.4	100.0
	Total	78	100.0	100.0	

How safe do you feel in your area					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Very Safe	14	17.9	17.9	17.9
	Safe	35	44.9	44.9	62.8
	Not Safe	18	23.1	23.1	85.9
	Not Safe at all	11	14.1	14.1	100.0
	Total	78	100.0	100.0	

Do you think that your area will be better or worse place to live in the next one year, or do you think it will be in the same way?					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	It will get better	47	60.3	60.3	60.3
	It will remain the same	16	20.5	20.5	80.8
	It will get worse	15	19.2	19.2	100.0
	Total	78	100.0	100.0	

Have you ever witnessed a crime in your area?					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	48	61.5	61.5	61.5
	No	30	38.5	38.5	100.0
	Total	78	100.0	100.0	

Do you think crimes in general within your locality are best dealt with by the police?					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	38	48.7	48.7	48.7
	No	40	51.3	51.3	100.0
	Total	78	100.0	100.0	

Do you considered your area a high, medium or low crime area?					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	High Crime Area	17	21.8	21.8	21.8
	Middle Crime Area	19	24.4	24.4	46.2
	Lower Crime Area	42	53.8	53.8	100.0
	Total	78	100.0	100.0	

In your area, who do you think Is vulnerable to crime 1				
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Men	31	39.7	39.7	39.7
	Women	33	42.3	42.3	82.1
	Children	14	17.9	17.9	100.0
	Total	78	100.0	100.0	

In your area, who do you think Is vulnerable to crime 2				
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Upper Class	13	16.7	16.7	16.7
	Middle Class	33	42.3	42.3	59.0
	lower classes	32	41.0	41.0	100.0
	Total	78	100.0	100.0	

In your area, who do you think Is vulnerable to crime 3				
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Nationals	78	100.0	100.0	100.0

How satisfied are you with the way the criminal justice system handles crime?				
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Extremely Satisfied	13	16.7	16.7	16.7
	Satisfied	27	34.6	34.6	51.3
	Dissatisfied	30	38.5	38.5	89.7
	Extremely Dissatisfied	8	10.3	10.3	100.0
	Total	78	100.0	100.0	

Do you think police do a good Job with controlling crimes in your area?				
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	45	57.7	57.7	57.7
	No	33	42.3	42.3	100.0
	Total	78	100.0	100.0	

How frequently do you see police patrols in your area?				
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	many times a day	13	16.7	16.7	16.7
	once in a day	27	34.6	34.6	51.3
	several times in a week	16	20.5	20.5	71.8
	once in a week	8	10.3	10.3	82.1
	few days in a month	8	10.3	10.3	92.3
	never	6	7.7	7.7	100.0
	Total	78	100.0	100.0	

By police patrolling in your area, do you think it would help in preventing crimes from occurring?				
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	54	69.2	69.2	69.2
	No	24	30.8	30.8	100.0
	Total	78	100.0	100.0	

Do you have in the capability of police to protect you from crime?				
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	51	65.4	65.4	65.4
	No	27	34.6	34.6	100.0
	Total	78	100.0	100.0	

Who do you think is the most likely group to commit crime? 1				
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Upper Class	3	3.8	3.8	3.8
	Middle Class	27	34.6	34.6	38.5
	Lower Class	48	61.5	61.5	100.0
	Total	78	100.0	100.0	

Who do you think is the most likely group to commit crime? 2				
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Unemployed	78	100.0	100.0	100.0

Who do you think is the most likely group to commit crime? 3				
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent

Valid		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	Men	7	9.0	9.0	9.0
	Women	1	1.3	1.3	10.3
	Youth	69	88.5	88.5	98.7
	Immigrants	1	1.3	1.3	100.0
	Total	78	100.0	100.0	

What do you think is the leading causes of everyday crimes?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Unemployment	40	51.3	51.3	51.3
	Poverty	18	23.1	23.1	74.4
	Hunger	4	5.1	5.1	79.5
	Poor Economy	11	14.1	14.1	93.6
	Poor Funding of Police	5	6.4	6.4	100.0
	Total	78	100.0	100.0	

What type of crime exists in your area?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Burglary	41	52.6	52.6	52.6
	Sexual Harassment	12	15.4	15.4	67.9
	Assaults	25	32.1	32.1	100.0
	Total	78	100.0	100.0	

How close is the police to your area?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Very Far	33	42.3	42.3	42.3
	Far	18	23.1	23.1	65.4
	Close	21	26.9	26.9	92.3
	Too Close	6	7.7	7.7	100.0
	Total	78	100.0	100.0	

Do you think having police closer can prevent crime?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	52	66.7	66.7	66.7
	No	26	33.3	33.3	100.0
	Total	78	100.0	100.0	

Do you think having police closer can enhance police harassment of innocent citizens?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	21	26.9	26.9	26.9
	No	24	30.8	30.8	57.7
	Not Sure	33	42.3	42.3	100.0
	Total	78	100.0	100.0	

Do you think having police closer can bread contempt for the agency, thus defeating the essence of security?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	14	17.9	17.9	17.9
	No	28	35.9	35.9	53.8
	Not Sure	36	46.2	46.2	100.0
	Total	78	100.0	100.0	

Will you suggest frequent police patrol of your area instead of residency?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	25	32.1	32.1	32.1
	No	22	28.2	28.2	60.3
	Indifferent	31	39.7	39.7	100.0
	Total	78	100.0	100.0	

How frequent do you think the patrol be observed?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	More Than Once Daily	51	65.4	65.4	65.4
	Once Daily	16	20.5	20.5	85.9
	Twice Weekly	8	10.3	10.3	96.2
	Others	3	3.8	3.8	100.0
	Total	78	100.0	100.0	

Do you report crime?					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	42	53.8	53.8	53.8
	No	29	37.2	37.2	91.0
	Never	5	6.4	6.4	97.4
	11	2	2.6	2.6	100.0
	Total	78	100.0	100.0	

Will you report crime?					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	62	79.5	79.5	79.5
	No	11	14.1	14.1	93.6
	Never	5	6.4	6.4	100.0
	Total	78	100.0	100.0	

Reason for not reporting crime?					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Never Witnessed Crime	24	30.8	30.8	30.8
	Distance to Police	26	33.3	33.3	64.1
	No Confidence in Poplice	28	35.9	35.9	100.0
	Total	78	100.0	100.0	

Which encouragement do you value most in crime reporting?					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Confidentiality	39	50.0	50.0	50.0
	Proximity to Police	20	25.6	25.6	75.6
	Financial Benefit	19	24.4	24.4	100.0
	Total	78	100.0	100.0	

Table 8: Analysis of questionnaire based on frequency and percentage for Omi-Adio

sex					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Male	55	65.5	65.5	65.5
	Female	29	34.5	34.5	100.0
	Total	84	100.0	100.0	

Academic Status					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Non	9	10.7	10.7	10.7
	Pry	18	21.4	21.4	32.1
	Sec	26	31.0	31.0	63.1
	Grad	26	31.0	31.0	94.0
	Post-grad	5	6.0	6.0	100.0
	Total	84	100.0	100.0	

Societal Class					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Upper	8	9.5	9.5	9.5
	Middle	52	61.9	61.9	71.4
	Lower	24	28.6	28.6	100.0
	Total	84	100.0	100.0	

How safe do you feel in your area					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Very Safe	23	27.4	27.4	27.4
	Safe	47	56.0	56.0	83.3
	Not Safe	13	15.5	15.5	98.8
	Not Safe at all	1	1.2	1.2	100.0
	Total	84	100.0	100.0	

Do you think that your area will be better or worse place to live in the next one year, or do you think it will be in the same way?					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent

Valid	It will get better	60	71.4	71.4	71.4
	It will remain the same	22	26.2	26.2	97.6
	It will get worse	2	2.4	2.4	100.0
	Total	84	100.0	100.0	

Have you ever witnessed a crime in your area?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	54	64.3	64.3	64.3
	No	30	35.7	35.7	100.0
	Total	84	100.0	100.0	

Do you think crimes in general within your locality are best dealt with by the police?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	46	54.8	54.8	54.8
	No	38	45.2	45.2	100.0
	Total	84	100.0	100.0	

Do you considered your area a high, medium or low crime area?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	High Crime Area	15	17.9	17.9	17.9
	Middle Crime Area	37	44.0	44.0	61.9
	Lower Crime Area	32	38.1	38.1	100.0
	Total	84	100.0	100.0	

In your area, who do you think Is vulnerable to crime? 1

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Men	46	54.8	54.8	54.8
	Women	24	28.6	28.6	83.3
	Children	14	16.7	16.7	100.0
	Total	84	100.0	100.0	

In your area, who do you think Is vulnerable to crime? 2

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Upper Class	26	31.0	31.0	31.0
	Middle Class	42	50.0	50.0	81.0
	lower classes	16	19.0	19.0	100.0
	Total	84	100.0	100.0	

In your area, who do you think Is vulnerable to crime? 3

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Non-nationality	17	20.2	20.2	20.2
	Nationals	67	79.8	79.8	100.0
	Total	84	100.0	100.0	

How satisfied are you with the way the criminal justice system handles crime?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Extremely Satisfied	13	15.5	15.5	15.5
	Satisfied	31	36.9	36.9	52.4
	Dissatisfied	35	41.7	41.7	94.0
	Extremely Dissatisfied	5	6.0	6.0	100.0
	Total	84	100.0	100.0	

Do you think police do a good Job with controlling crimes in your area?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	43	51.2	51.2	51.2
	No	41	48.8	48.8	100.0
	Total	84	100.0	100.0	

How frequently do you see police patrols in your area?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	many times a day	35	41.7	41.7	41.7
	once in a day	16	19.0	19.0	60.7
	several times in a week	13	15.5	15.5	76.2
	once in a week	10	11.9	11.9	88.1

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	few days in a month	8	9.5	9.5	97.6
	never	2	2.4	2.4	100.0
	Total	84	100.0	100.0	

By police patrolling in your area, do you think it would help in preventing crimes from occurring?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	61	72.6	72.6	72.6
	No	23	27.4	27.4	100.0
	Total	84	100.0	100.0	

Do you have in the capability of police to protect you from crime?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	46	54.8	54.8	54.8
	No	38	45.2	45.2	100.0
	Total	84	100.0	100.0	

Who do you think is the most likely group to commit crime? 1

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Upper Class	8	9.5	9.5	9.5
	Middle Class	49	58.3	58.3	67.9
	Lower Class	27	32.1	32.1	100.0
	Total	84	100.0	100.0	

Who do you think is the most likely group to commit crime? 2

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Unemployed	82	97.6	97.6	97.6
	Employed	2	2.4	2.4	100.0
	Total	84	100.0	100.0	

Who do you think is the most likely group to commit crime? 3

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Men	23	27.4	27.4	27.4
	Women	2	2.4	2.4	29.8
	Youth	59	70.2	70.2	100.0
	Total	84	100.0	100.0	

What do you think is the leading causes of everyday crimes?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Unemployment	37	44.0	44.0	44.0
	Poverty	24	28.6	28.6	72.6
	Hunger	5	6.0	6.0	78.6
	Poor Economy	16	19.0	19.0	97.6
	Poor Coverage of Police	2	2.4	2.4	100.0
	Total	84	100.0	100.0	

What type of crime exists in your area?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Burglary	54	64.3	64.3	64.3
	Sexual Harassment	11	13.1	13.1	77.4
	Assaults	19	22.6	22.6	100.0
	Total	84	100.0	100.0	

How close is the police to your area?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Very Far	10	11.9	11.9	11.9
	Far	17	20.2	20.2	32.1
	Close	45	53.6	53.6	85.7
	Too Close	12	14.3	14.3	100.0
	Total	84	100.0	100.0	

Do you think having police closer can prevent crime?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	50	59.5	59.5	59.5
	No	34	40.5	40.5	100.0
	Total	84	100.0	100.0	

Do you think having police closer can enhance police harassment of innocent citizens?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	20	23.8	23.8	23.8
	No	33	39.3	39.3	63.1
	Not Sure	31	36.9	36.9	100.0
	Total	84	100.0	100.0	

Do you think having police closer can bread contempt for the agency, thus defeating the essence of security?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	19	22.6	22.6	22.6
	No	40	47.6	47.6	70.2
	Not Sure	25	29.8	29.8	100.0
	Total	84	100.0	100.0	

Will you suggest frequent police patrol of your area instead of residency?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	51	60.7	60.7	60.7
	No	17	20.2	20.2	81.0
	Indifferent	16	19.0	19.0	100.0
	Total	84	100.0	100.0	

How frequent do you think the patrol be observed?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	More Than Once Daily	55	65.5	65.5	65.5
	Once Daily	24	28.6	28.6	94.0
	Twice Weekly	5	6.0	6.0	100.0
	Total	84	100.0	100.0	

Do you report crime?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	48	57.1	57.1	57.1
	No	30	35.7	35.7	92.9
	Never	6	7.1	7.1	100.0
	Total	84	100.0	100.0	

Will you report crime?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	71	84.5	84.5	84.5
	No	10	11.9	11.9	96.4
	Never	3	3.6	3.6	100.0
	Total	84	100.0	100.0	

Reason for not reporting crime?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Never Witnessed Crime	29	34.5	34.5	34.5
	Distance to Police	21	25.0	25.0	59.5
	No Confidence in Police	34	40.5	40.5	100.0
	Total	84	100.0	100.0	

Which encouragement do you value most in crime reporting?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Confidentiality	41	48.8	48.8	48.8
	Proximity to Police	29	34.5	34.5	83.3
	Financial Benefit	14	16.7	16.7	100.0
	Total	84	100.0	100.0	

V. DISCUSSION OF THE RESULTS

From table 4-8 above, it showed that the responses by the respondents' to the questionnaire from the study area was the analysis of the results presented which showed the correlation between the questionnaire returned and the analysis. The grand total from the analysis of all question answered in (table 4-8), 77 (19%) of respondent agreed that they are very safe, 179 (44%) are safe, 112 (27%) are not safe and 42 (10%) are not safe at all which implies that the respondent living within the study area belief that they are safe. In all the five area

under the study, 53.3% in Apata, 35% in Apete, 52.5% in Ologuneru, 37.2% in Ido and 16.6% in Omi-Adio responded that they are not safe neither not safe at all. Also, the total of 264 (64%) respondents' belief that their area will get better, 106 (26%) agreed that it will remain the same and 40 (10%) agreed that it will get worse which implies that if adequate monitoring by the police are put in place, it will get better as those who belief that it will get better has (54% and 38%) over those who belief that it will remain the same and it will be getting worse. 74 (18%) respondent's considered their area as high crime area, 145 (35%) as middle crime area, while 191 (47%) consider their area as low crime area which also implies that crime still exists within the local government. From the respondents, it showed that 372 (91%) of people that commit crime are nationals, 34 (8%) are non-nationals and others are 4 (1%) respondents which showed from the analysis that those who commit crime are majorly the citizen. 192 (47%) respondents' agree that police are doing a good job while 218 (53%) disagree which implies that police needs to put more efforts in combating with crime within the study area.

Unemployment was the major leading causes of crime within the study area with 184 (49%) of the total number of respondents' with that of others response 123 (30%) poverty, 36 (9%) for hunger, 53 (13%) for poor economy and 14 (3%) for poor funding of police which implies that more job opportunities is required from the government as well as private/individuals. 241 (59%) of respondents' agreed that the most common crime type that exists within the study area was burglary amongst others, sexual harassment 66 (16%) and assaults with 103 (25%) respondents. Every respondent agreed that the most likely group to commit crime were unemployed with the total of 410 (100%) returned questionnaire. Also, youth with 339 (83%) amongst others, and the lower classes with 242 (59%) amongst other agreed of committing crime. From the analysis of the questionnaire, it showed that crime in all the five areas under study requires serious attention due to the high rate in responses by the respondents that they are not safe and neither not safe at all most especially in area like Apata and Ologuneru with the high rate.

VI. CONCLUSION

This study has successfully assessed crime in Ido local government area of Oyo State, Nigeria based on the data acquired through questionnaire administered to the both the indigene and non indigene. From the finding of this study, the crime data obtained will be depicted on a spatial domain which may be use to show the correlation between each type of crime committed within the five locations studied. In crime assessment, based on crime committed monthly from the data collected from the police department, mostly there is direct relationship between crime values and distance from police stations. Therefore, by increasing the number of police stations and number of police officers with available facilities required, it is possible to reduce crime rate in the affected areas as well as the study area as a whole. Hence, the investigations and the results of this study may be used by the law enforcement agencies as well as government in combating the future occurrence so as to ensure public safety. Therefore, the security issues in Ido local government area of Oyo State in general require more attentions by the government, community collaborations as well as the law enforcement agencies. It is therefore recommended that;
1. Confidentiality should be enhanced to encourage those who are ready to report crime.
2. Police should make fund available as an incentives to those who are ready to vital information on crime.
3. Government should provide job/employment so that crime could be reduced.
4. Government should establish a vigilante group that will be helping the police in fighting crime. Also, more patrol vehicles should be given to the police within the study area.
5. Community residents should be encouraged to participate in the overall security of their environment.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

REFERENCES
[1]. O. O. Ajaegbu,. "Rising youth unemployment and violent crime in Nigeria. American Journal of Social Issues Humanities", 2(5), (2012), 315–321.
[2]. M. B. Amin, M. K., Rahim, G. M. and S. Ayu, "A trend analysis of violent crimes in Malaysia. Health and the Environment Journal, 5(2), (2014), 41–56.
[3]. R.J. Bursik, "Social Disorganization and Theories of Crime and Delinquency: Problems and Prospects." Criminology 26: (1988), 519-551.
[4]. A. B. Dambazau, "Criminology justice (Second Ed.) Ibadan: Spectrum Books Limited. (2007a)
[5]. A. B. Dambazau, "The Nigerian police and crime prevention: Criminology and criminal justice Nigerian, 5 Kaduna. Ch: Defence Academy Press, (2007b), 221.

[6]. K.D. Harries, "The Geography of Crime and Justice". New York, NY: McGraw-Hill. (1974).
[7]. C. R. Jeffery, "Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design". Beverly Hills, CA: Sage. (1971).
[8]. A. M. Katsina, "Trend analysis of poverty and urban crime in Nigeria since 1999". International Journal of Arts and Commerce, 1(2), (2013).
[9]. NPC, National population census (2006).
[10]. O. Newman, "Defensible Space". New York, NY: Macmillan. (1972).
[11]. E. E. Okafor, "Youth unemployment and implications for stability of democracy in Nigeria". Journal of Sustainable Development in Africa, 13(1), (2011), 1520–5509.
[12]. M. B. C. Soh, "Crime and urbanization: Revisited Malaysian case". Procedia – Social and Behavioral Sciences, 42, (2012), 291–299.
[13]. C. Shaw and H.D. McKay "Juvenile Delinquency and Urban Areas (rev. ed.). Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press. (1969).
[14]. U.Usman, M. Yakubu, and A. Z. Bello, An investigation on the rate of crime in Sokoto state using principal component analysis. Nigerian Journal of Basic and Applied Science, 20(2), (2012), 152–160.