www.iosrjournals.org

Assessment of Crime and Security in Ido Local Government Area, Oyo State, Southwest Nigeria

Babatunde Akeem Adesola¹, Oyekola Martins Adewale²

1, 2 (Department of Surveying and Geoinformatics, Faculty of Environmental Studies, The Polytechnic Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria) Corresponding Author: Babatunde Akeem Adesola

Abstract: Utilization of Information Technology is required in public safety management in the cities and their suburb such as the present Ido area, Ido local government Ibadan. This research investigates crime analysis and security in Ido Local Government Area of Oyo State, Southwest Nigeria with the aim of assessing the area prone to crime, leading causes. The methodology adopted in this study was the use of attribute data which was collected through questionnaire administered in Ido local government area of Oyo State, Southwest Nigeria. The local government consists of five areas namely; Apata division with eleven (11) communities, Apete with fifteen (15) communities, Ologuneru with eight (8) communities, Ido with thirteen (13) communities, and Omi-Adio with seven (7) communities. Six hundred (600) questionnaires were developed to assess opinion of respondents in which four hundred and ten (410) were returned. Analysis was done using statistical package for the social sciences (IBM SPSS 20) in analyzing the data acquired through the questionnaire. The result of the crime data obtained from questionnaire was presented in form of statistical and frequency tables. Generally from the research, it can be concluded that the major causes of crime are unemployment, long travel distances between police station and areas prone to crime, police patrol etc. from the results of the questionnaires, it showed that security issues in Ido local government has been dealt with but still requires more attentions by the law enforcement agencies, government as well as community collaboration.

Keywords: Safety management, Police divisions, Crime incidents, Statistical Package, Security

Date of Submission: 01-03-2019 Date of acceptance: 18-03-2019

I. INTRODUCTION

Generally, the widespread of crime causes serious concern in the world today. It constitutes fear, problem to the society and affecting the way of life of people when its occurrence becomes rampant and leads to threat and loss of property. Security issues in state, country or region requires urgent attention by the government, law enforcement agencies and community collaboration. Indigene and Non-indigene living in Ibadan believed that crime in Ido local government area of Oyo State are out of hand among all the eleven local government areas present in Ibadan metropolis, Security is inadequate compared to the population within the study area. Therefore due to this, the local government needs urgent attention so as to curb the fear, threat and the loss of life and properties of the people. Crime can be defined as a culpable disposition of an individual or group as entrenched in the constitution of a state. Crime Analysis is defined as a set of systematic, analytical processes directed at providing timely and pertinent information relative to crime patterns and trend correlations to assist the operational and administrative personnel in planning the deployment of resources for the prevention and suppression of criminal activities, aiding the investigative process, and increasing apprehensions and the clearance of cases. It supports a number of department functions including patrol deployment, special operations, and tactical units, investigations, planning and research, crime prevention, and administrative services. Louis and others in 1981 (cited by [13]), described crime as a deviant act that is threatening moral behaviours and injurious to society. Moral decadence afflicts the personality of individual, his property and lessens trust among members of the society which may result to threat and fear.

Dambazau (1997) (cited by [13]) classified crime into four categories:- crimes against person, crimes against property, crimes against lawful authority and crimes against local act. Thus explained; crimes against person: include murder, attempted murder, manslaughter, suicide, grievous harm or wounding, assault, child stealing, slave dealing, rape, assault, kidnapping, unnatural offences and others. Crimes against property: armed robbery, theft or stealing, burglary, arson and others. The rapid urbanization has created numerous social problems, among which is crime that became a common phenomenon in urban areas in both developed and developing nations. Ultimately rapid growth in urbanization had a direct relationship with the increase in crime

DOI: 10.9790/0837-2403054769 www.iosrjournals.org 47 | Page

as the rate of unemployment had been on the increase, high cost of living coupled with housing problem (homelessness) and these in turn led to all sorts of urban problems crime inclusive [10; 1; 11; 13; 2].

Unemployment and economic hardship have pushed many 'jobless youths, some of whom are graduates, into various deadly crimes' as opined by [1]. Unemployment is the main causes for 'eruption and escalation of crimes in urban areas across the breadth of Nigeria' as found by [8]. Unemployment is closely linked to crime' no matter the region of the World as posits by [11]. He also argued that urban crimes are influenced by poverty, unstable jobs, the high cost of living and financial difficulties, limited educational opportunities, inadequate health and sanitation, and inadequate housing. Unemployment, poverty, poor governance and lack of policy initiatives and implementation to some extent encouraged criminal groups to thrive and appear to be the root cause of urban crimes as believed by [1]. The spatial distribution of crime has been extensively studied over the decades, particularly in respect to either the socioeconomic conditions of neighborhoods in which the criminal lives or a crime occurs [3; 6; 12]. Crime as it relates to the built environment has also received considerable attention, notably through the works of [7] and [9]. Therefore, this study assesses the crime and security challenges in Ido Local Government with the aim of analyzing the causes using questionnaire as a means of acquiring data.

II. STUDY AREA

The study area is Ido local government area, Oyo State, Southwest Nigeria. The local government consists of five divisional areas with communities under them. The total population of Ido local government according to the 2006 population census was 103,261 [14]. It lies between longitude 3°47′34.99″E and latitude 7°30′ 44.49″ N. Table 1 described the division areas and place under the study location.

S/No.	Apata	Apete	Ologuneru	Ido	Omi-Adio
1	BCJ	Adaba	Ajadi	Alako	Aba Teacher
2	Bode-Igbo	Arokoto	Alafara	Dada	Abidogun
3	Command	Awotan	Gbopa	Esaru1	Bakatari
4	Dogo	Fanawole2	Olonde	Gbingbin	Eleso
5	Fatimoh	Fanawole1	Adetokun	Idi-Amu	Gate
6	Gada/Odo-Ona	Jeje	Elenusonso	Ido	Omi
7	Idi-Iroko	Oju Oja 1	Akatapa	Koguo	Oke Oloro
8	Ile-Epo Apata	Oju Oja 2	Temidayo	Idiiroko	=
9	Owode1	Oju Oja 3	-	Iloka	ı
10	Owode2	Trailer Park	-	Tade	ı
11	Wire & Cable	Arola	-	Iletuntun	ı
12	-	Yidi	-	Ode Imu	ı
13	-	Akodu	-	Idiiko	=
14	-	Ariyibi	-	=	=
15	-	Okeodan	-	-	-

Table 1: Places under the five divisions in the study area are the following

Source: Compiled by Authors'

III. MATERIAL AND METHODS

For the purpose of this research, both primary and secondary data was used. Data collected from the five divisional area and questionnaire and Oral interview was used for the study. Crime statistical data was acquired from the police division offices in the study area. The secondary data source was adopted from Journals, Books, Conference paper presentation.

Method/procedures of data acquisition

Procedures of acquiring crime attribute data

The attribute data needed for this research was collected from people's opinion based on crime, leading causes etc. in their respective area as well as in Ido local government area of Oyo State, southwest Nigeria in general. The local government consists of five divisions areas namely; Apata division with eleven (11) communities, Apete with fifteen (15), Ologuneru with eight (8) communities, Ido with thirteen (13) communities, and Omi-Adio with seven (7) communities making a total of fifty four (54) communities (table 1). Apata has a total of 65 officers, Apete with 53 officers, Ologuneru has 10 police officers, Ido with 70 officers, and Omi-Adio has total number of 53 police officers making a total of two hundred and fifty-one (251) police officers (table 2). The most suitable locations for the police stations are selected based on closeness to the crime area. After interviewing a few police officers, the state of crime was assessed. Six hundred questionnaires were

carefully developed and also develop not to arouse or implicate respondents. Two main procedures were adopted for the administration of the questionnaire

- 1. Leaving questionnaire for respondents to be picked up latter.
- 2. Instant administration which is to be filled by the administrator immediately.

In each area captured in this research, questionnaires were spatially distributed to have a representative coverage. The sample of the questionnaire is attached to this document as an appendix. The questionnaire was distributed using stratified random sampling to the respondents.



Figure 2: Showing sample of questionnaire distributed within the study area

Data processing

Relative attribute data were collected from the both indigene and non indigene of the study area. The data were sorted out to know the total number of returned questionnaire in each of the location of the study area. Also, the result was process with the statistical package for the social sciences IBM SPSS 20 (figure 3-7). The analysis was based on the five areas under study and not by communities.

Table 2: Number of police officer present in each of the five division area

Location	Male	Female	Total
Apata	40	15	65
Apete	30	23	53
Ologuneru	6	4	10
Ido	47	23	70
Omi-Adio	40	28	68
Total	163	93	266

Source: Oyo State Police Command (2018)




Figure 3: Process in creation of database on question answered by respondents in Apata using IBM SPSS 20 From IBM SPSS 20 statistical software, the question were coded as it appears in (figure 3) under "Name" and respondent answers under "values". All under variable view.




Figure 4: Database created on answered by respondents in Apata and Apete area using IBM SPSS 20




Figure 5: Database created on answered by respondents in Ologuneru and Ido area using IBM SPSS 20




Figure 6: Database created on answered by respondents in Omi-Adio area and sample results using IBM SPSS 20


Figure 3-6 are the data view showing the answers to the questions that was asked from the respondents'. Figure 7 showed the sample result (frequency table and in percentages) of the analysis.

IV. RESULTS

The results below described the data acquired on the field through questionnaire administered as well as the outcome of the result.

Table 3: Analysis of the Questionnaire Distributed and Returned

Location	Number of Questionnaire Distributed	Number of Questionnaire Returned
Apata	100	64
Apete	200	123
Ido	100	78
Ologuneru	100	61
Omi-Adio	100	84
Total	600	410






Figure 7: Trend and Category in questionnaire distributed and returned in the five areas

The analysis of the six hundred (600) questionnaires distributed and total number returned was done. The results of the analysis showed that out of one hundred (100) that was distributed to Apata, only sixty-four was returned. While in Apete, a total of two hundred (200) were distributed being the area with highest population among the area under the study area and 123 was returned. Out of one-hundred (100) distributed Seventy eight (78) was returned. In Ologuneru, one hundred (100) were distributed while sixty-one (61) were returned. In Omi-Adio, one hundred (100) were distributed and eighty four (84) were returned making a total of four hundred and ten (410) out of six hundred (600) was returned. Other analysis was done based on percentages

and frequency tables (table 4-8) and was done with IBM SPSS 20 statistical application software. The software was used to create a database for each of the five (5) areas within Ido Local Government. Fig. 3-6 above showed the database creation and sample result. Table 3 above described the analysis of questionnaire distributed and returned. Table 4-8 below showed the entire results of the questionnaire returned.

Table 4: Analysis of questionnaire based on frequency and percentage for Apata Area

r	Table	4: Analys	sis of g	uestioni	naire base	ed on fr	equen	cy and	d perce	entage	e for Apata Area	
				1		sex						
			quency		Percent			l Perce	ent		Cumulative Percent	
	Male		27		42.2		42.2				42.2	
Valid	Female		37		57.8			57.8			100.0	
	Total		64		100.0			0.00				
						lemic S						
		Free	quency	,	Percent			l Perce	ent		Cumulative Percent	
	Non		13		20.3			20.3			20.3	
	Pry		3		4.7			4.7			25.0	
Valid	Sec		25		39.1			39.1			64.1	
vana	Grad		19		29.7			29.7			93.8	
	Post-grad		4		6.3			6.3			100.0	
	Total		64		100.0			0.00				
						ietal C						
	1	Free	quency	F	Percent	Va		rcent		C	Cumulative Percent	
	Upper		10		15.6		15.6				15.6	
Valid	Middle		24		37.5		37.5				53.1	
, unu	Lower	_	30		46.9		46.9				100.0	
	Total		64		100.0		100.					
			1		safe do							
	1			uency	Perc		Val	lid Per			Cumulative Percent	
	Very Safe	;		11	17			17.2			17.2	
	Safe		17		26		26				43.8	
Valid	Not Safe					.2		42.2			85.9	
	Not Safe at all			9	14			14.1			100.0	
	Total			64	100			100.0				
Do yo	u think th	at your a	rea wi		tter or w : will be i				in the	next (one year, or do you think	
					uency		cent		lid Per	cent	Cumulative Percent	
	It will get	better		35		5		,	54.7	COIIC	54.7	
	It will ren		ame	21			2.8		32.8		87.5	
Valid	It will get		unic	8			2.5				100.0	
	Total				<u> </u>	100.0			100.0	1	100.0	
	1		Hav		ver witn			e in vo				
				quency		Percent			d Perc		Cumulative Percent	
	Yes			44		68.8			68.8	-	68.8	
Valid				20		31.3			31.3		100.0	
	Total			64		100.0			100.0			
		hink cri	mes in	genera	l within	your lo	cality	are b	est de	alt w	ith by the police?	
	•	Freque		_	cent		l Perc				imulative Percent	
	Yes	27			2.2		42.2				42.2	
Valid	No	37		57	7.8		57.8				100.0	
	Total	64		10	0.0	1	0.00					
		Do you	consi	dered y	our area	a high	, med	ium o	r low	crim	e area?	
		•		Frequen		rcent		lid Per			Cumulative Percent	
	High Cri	me Area		12	1	8.8		18.8			18.8	
Wal: 1		rime Are	ea	20		1.3		31.3			50.0	
Valid		rime Area		32	5	0.0		50.0			100.0	
	Total			64	100.0			100.0				
	•	In	your	area, w	ho do yo	u think	Is vu			crim	e 1	
			quency		Percent			rcent			fumulative Percent	

	Men		50	78.1		78	3.1		78.1
¥7,-1: 1	Women		9	14.1		14	1.1		92.2
Valid	Children		5	7.8		7	.8		100.0
	Total		64	100.0)	10	0.0		
		In	your ar	ea, who do	you tl	nink Is	vulnerab	le to c	erime 2
			Freque	ncy Pero	cent	Valid	Percent		Cumulative Percent
	Upper Clas	SS	12	18			8.8		18.8
X 7 . 1 · 1	Middle Cla		21	32			2.8		51.6
Valid	lower class		31	48			8.4		100.0
	Total		64		0.0		0.0		
	1	In		ea, who do				le to c	erime 3
			equency		rcent		alid Pero		Cumulative Percent
Valid	Nationals		64		00.00		100.0		100.0
		atisfied	are vou			crimin		e svste	em handles crime?
	220 (1 2		ure jou	Frequenc		rcent	Valid I		
	Extremely	Satisfie	·d	11		17.2		7.2	17.2
	Satisfied	~4110111		18		28.1	28		45.3
Valid	Dissatisfie	d		27		12.2		2.2	87.5
7 and	Extremely		sfied	8		12.5		2.5	100.0
	Total	Dissail	51100	64		00.0		0.0	100.0
		von thi	nk nolio						s in your area?
	D0	•		Perce					S in your area: Cumulative Percent
	Vas		uency			vali	id Percen	ι	
V-1: 4	Yes		29	45.3		1	45.3		45.3
Valid	No Total		35	54.7		1	54.7		100.0
	Total		54	100.			100.0		
		Н		iently do y				_	
	1			Frequency	_	ercent	Valid P		
	many times a day			14	_	21.9	21.		21.9
	once in a d		_	11	_	17.2	17.		39.1
	several times in a week		week	13	_	20.3	20.		59.4
Valid	once in a w			4		6.3	6.3		65.6
	few days ir	a mon	th	9		14.1	14.		79.7
	never			13		20.3	20.		100.0
	Total			64		0.00	100.0		
By po	olice patroll	ing in y	our area	a, do you t	hink it	would	help in p	revent	ting crimes from occurring?
		Freq	uency	Perce	ent	Val	id Percen	t	Cumulative Percent
	Yes		49	76.0	5		76.6		76.6
Valid	No		15	23.4	1		23.4		100.0
	Total	-	64	100.			100.0		
		Do you	have in					you f	rom crime?
		Frequ		Percent		Valid Pe		-	Cumulative Percent
	Yes	44		68.8		68.8			68.8
Valid	No	20	_	31.3		31.3			100.0
	Total	64	-	100.0	1	100.			
	1			hink is the	most			ommi	t crime? 1
			Frequenc				d Percen		Cumulative Percent
	Upper Clas		4	6.		7 411	6.3	+	6.3
	Middle Cla		9	14			14.1		20.3
Valid	Lower Clas		51	79			79.7	+	100.0
		00		100			100.0		100.0
	Total	XX71	64						t anima? 2
				hink is the					
	T.T 4		Frequen	сy	Perce	nt '	Valid Per	cent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Unemplo	У		64		100.0	1	0.00	100.0
	ed	<u> </u>	1						
								ommi	t crime? 3
	h.r.	Freque		Percent		Valid Pe			Cumulative Percent
Valid	Men	5		7.8		7.8			7.8

	Women	1		1.6	<u> </u>		1.6		9.4		
	Youth	58		90.	6		90.6			100.0	
	Total	64		100			0.00				
		What do	you	think	is the	leading	causes	of every	yday cri	imes?	
				Frequ	uency	Perce	nt	Valid P	ercent	Cumulative Percent	
	Unemploy	ment		30		46.9)	46.9		46.9	
	Poverty			18		28.1		28	.1	75.0	
Valid	Hunger			,	3	4.7		4.	7	79.7	
v anu	Poor Ecor	nomy	•		9	14.1		14	.1	93.8	
	Poor Fund	ling of Pol	ice 4		4	6.3		6.	3	100.0	
	Total			6	54	100.	0	100	0.0		
			our are	a?							
			Freq	uency	Pe	ercent	Valid	d Percen	t	Cumulative Percent	
	Burglary		2	28	4	13.8		43.8		43.8	
(7.11.1	Sexual Ha	rassment	1	14	2	21.9		21.9		65.6	
Valid	Assaults		2	22	3	34.4		34.4		100.0	
	Total		6	54	1	0.00	1	100.0			
						the polic			?		
		Freque			Percen		alid Pe			Cumulative Percent	
	Very Far	22			34.4		34.4			34.4	
	Far	26			40.6		40.6			75.0	
Valid	Close	10			15.6		15.6			90.6	
	Too Close	_			9.4		9.4			100.0	
	Total 64				100.0		100.0				
Do you think having police closer can prevent crime?											
		Frequenc			cent		Percen		Cumulative Percent		
	Yes 43		<i>y</i>	67.2		_	7.2		Cu	67.2	
Valid	No	21		32.8		_	2.8			100.0	
v and	Total 64				0.0		0.0			100.0	
			nolic					haraccı	ment of	innocent citizens?	
	Do you tim	Freque	_		cent		Percer			umulative Percent	
	Yes	25	псу		9.1		9.1	iit.		39.1	
	No	20					1.3			70.3	
Valid	Not Sure	19		31.3			9.7			100.0	
	Total	64		29.7 100.0			100.0			100.0	
Do vo								he agen	ev thus	defeating the essence of	
Do yo	u tiiiik iiav	ing ponce	Close	ı can	DI Cau	security		ne agen	cy, mus	defeating the essence of	
		Freque	nev	D _c	ercent		Percer	nt	Cu	mulative Percent	
	Yes	21	псу		32.8		2.8	116	Cu	32.8	
	No	23			35.9		52.6 55.9	+		68.8	
Valid	Not Sure	20			31.3		1.3	-		100.0	
	Total	64			00.0		00.0	-		100.0	
								gran in	stead of	f residency?	
	VV 111		quen		_	ercent		d Percer		Cumulative Percent	
	Yes	FIE	31	Су		48.4	v all	48.4	11	48.4	
			13			20.3	+	20.3	+	68.8	
Valid	No Indifferen	\t					-		-		
	Indifferer	IL	20			31.3	+	31.3	-	100.0	
	Total	***	64			00.0		100.0		າ	
		Hov	v trec			u think t					
	h / 75°	0 5	*1		uency	Percen	ı Va	alid Perc	ent	Cumulative Percent	
		an Once Da	aily		-6	71.9		71.9		71.9	
	Once Dai	•			0	15.6	\perp	15.6		87.5	
Valid	Twice W	eekly			2	3.1		3.1		90.6	
	Others				6	9.4		9.4		100.0	
	Total			6	54	100.0		100.0			
					Do w	u manant	auima	9			
		Freque			ercent	u report	lid Per			Cumulative Percent	

	Yes 33			51	.6	5	51.6			51.6
Valid	No	29		45	.3	4	5.3			96.9
vand	Never	2		3.1			3.1			100.0
	Total 64			100	0.0	1	0.00			
		•		Wi	ill you i	report c	rime	?		
		Frequenc	y	Perc	ent	Valid	Perc	ent	C	fumulative Percent
	Yes	48		75	.0	7	75.0			75.0
\$7 -1: J	No	12		18	.8	1	8.8			93.8
Valid	Never	4		6.	3		6.3			100.0
	Total	64	100		0.0	1	100.0			
		Which end	ourag	emen	t do yo	u value	most	in cr	ime repoi	ting?
				Freq	uency	Perce	nt	Vali	d Percent	Cumulative Percent
	Never Wit	nessed Crir	ne	1	17	26.6	,		26.6	26.6
Valid	Distance to	o Police		3	34	53.1		53.1		79.7
vand	No Confid	lence in Pol	ice	1	13			20.3		100.0
	Total			64		100.0		1	0.001	
		Which end	ourag	gemen	t do yo	u value	most	in cr	ime repoi	ting?
			Frequ	iency	Per	cent	V	alid F	Percent	Cumulative Percent
	Confidentiality		2	4	37	7.5		37	.5	37.5
X71:.1	Proximity	to Police	3	1	48	3.4		48	.4	85.9
Valid	Financial 1	Benefit	9)	14	1 .1		14	.1	100.0
	Total		6	4	10	0.0		100	0.0	

Table 5: Analysis of questionnaire based on frequency and percentage for Apete Area

		, ,			Se		, 1		e for ripete riieu
		Frequenc	су	Perce	nt	Valid	Percent		Cumulative Percent
	Male	53		43.1		43.1			43.1
Valid	Female	70		56.9)		6.9		100.0
	Total	123		100.		10	0.00		
				Acad	demi	ic Status		•	
		Frequency		Percent		Valid Per	rcent		Cumulative Percent
	Non	12		9.8		9.8			9.8
	Pry	14		11.4		11.4			21.1
Valid Sec		43		35.0		35.0			56.1
v and	Grad	39		31.7		31.7			87.8
	Post-grad 15			12.2		12.2			100.0
	Total	otal 123		100.0		100.0)		
						l Class			
		Frequency	7	Percen	t		Percent		Cumulative Percent
Valid	Upper	22		17.9			'.9		17.9
	Middle	59		48.0		48			65.9
V and	Lower	42	34.1				.1		100.0
	Total	123	100.0				0.0		
			Hov	w safe do	•	feel in your area			
			Free	quency	F	Percent	Valid Pe		Cumulative Percent
	Very Safe			18		14.6	14.0		14.6
	Safe			62		50.4	50.4		65.0
Valid	Not Safe			27		22.0	22.0		87.0
	Not Safe at	all		16		13.0	13.0		100.0
	Total			123		100.0	100.		
Do you	think that yo	our area will				e place to l ne same wa		e next	one year, or do you think
				requency	_	Percent	Valid Pe	ercent	Cumulative Percent
	It will get b	etter		82		66.7	66.	7	66.7
X7.1: 4	It will rema	in the same		33		26.8	26.3	3	93.5
Valid	It will get w	orse		8		6.5	6.5		100.0
	Total			123		100.0	100.	0	

		Have	you ev	ver witr	nessed	a crimo	e in your	area?	
		Frequency		Percent		Valid F	•		Cumulative Percent
	Yes	82		66.7		66	5.7		66.7
Valid	No	41		33.3		33.3			100.0
	Total	123		100.0		100.0			
	Do you thin	nk crimes in g	eneral	within	your l	locality	are best	dealt w	vith by the police?
	•	Frequency		Percent		Valid F			Cumulative Percent
	Yes	61		49.6		49	.6		49.6
Valid	No	No 62		50.4		50	0.4		100.0
	Total 123			100.0		100	0.0		
		Do you conside	ered v		a a hig			ow crim	e area?
		y our commen		iency	Perc		Valid F		Cumulative Percent
	High Crime Area			0	16		16		16.3
	Middle Crime		3		25		25		41.5
√alid	Lower Cri		_	2	58		58		100.0
	Total	ппс тиса		23	100		100		100.0
	Total	In your ar							<u> </u>
		Freque		Pero			Percent		Cumulative Percent
	Mon	89	Су	72			2.4	'	72.4
	Men Women	23		18		_	8.7		91.1
Valid	Children								
		11 123		8. 100			3.9		100.0
	Total							4	- 1
		In your ar						to crim	
	TT CI	Freque	ncy	Pero			Percent		Cumulative Percent
	Upper Cla				0.6		10.6		10.6
Valid	Middle Cl			35.8 53.7			35.8		46.3
	lower clas						53.7		100.0
	Total	123		100			00.0		
		In your ar							
				iency	+	cent	Valid F		Cumulative Percent
	Non-natio	nality	12			.8	9.		9.8
Valid	Nationals		107			7.0	87		96.7
		ease specify	4			.3	3.		100.0
	Total		123		100.0		100.0		
	How sa	tisfied are you	with	the way					andles crime?
				iency		cent	Valid Po		Cumulative Percent
	Extremely	Satisfied	1	6		3.0	13.	0	13.0
	Satisfied		4	.0	32	2.5	32.	5	45.5
Valid	Dissatisfie	ed	5	2	42	2.3	42.	3	87.8
	Extremely	Dissatisfied	1	5	12	2.2	12.	2	100.0
	Total			23		0.0	100		
	Do y	ou think polic	e do a	good J	ob wit	h contr	olling cr	imes in	your area?
		Frequency]	Percent		Valid F	Percent		Cumulative Percent
	Yes	57		46.3		46	5.3		46.3
Valid	No	66		53.7		53	.7		100.0
	Total	123		100.0		100	0.0		
		How freq	uently	do you	see po	lice pa	trols in y	our are	ea?
		•		equency		rcent	Valid F		Cumulative Percent
	many time	es a day		25		20.3	20		20.3
	once in a			9		7.3	7.		27.6
		nes in a week		17		3.8	13		41.5
Valid	once in a			5		4.1	4.		45.5
		in a month		31		25.2	25		70.7
		a monu		36	_	29.3	29		100.0
	Total	never Total		123	_	00.0		0.0	
Ry nol		a in vour ere	a do ·						crimes from occurring?
Dy poi	uce pauronni							venung	
		Frequency		Percent		Valid F	CICCIII		Cumulative Percent

	Yes	Yes 102			82.9		82.9			82.9		
Valid	No		21		17.1		1′	7.1				100.0
	Total		123		100.0		10	0.0				
	D	o yot	ı have in t	he ca	pability of					u from	cri	ime?
		Fı	requency	Percent		Va	alid	Perce	nt	Cumulative Percent		
	Yes		70		56.9		50	5.9				56.9
Valid	No		53		43.1		43	3.1				100.0
	Total 123				100.0			0.0				
		Who	do you th	ink is	s the most		gro					
			Frequenc	y	Perce	nt		Vali	d Perc	ent	(Cumulative Percent
	Upper Class 10				8.1				8.1			8.1
Valid	Middle Cl		29		23.6				23.6			31.7
, 4114	Lower Cla	ISS	84		68.3				68.3			100.0
	Total		123		100.				100.0			
		Who			s the most		gro					
			Frequenc	У	Perc	ent		Valid	d Perc	ent	(Cumulative Percent
Valid	Unemploy d			123			0.0			0.00		100
		Who			s the most							
	h -		Frequer	ncy	Percer	ıt	Val	id Per			Cu	mulative Percent
	Men		17		13.8			13.8				13.8
Valid	Youth		104		84.6			84.6				98.4
	Nationals		2		1.6			1.6	`			100.0
	Total	***	123		100.0			100.0				0
		Wh	at do you i		is the lead							
	rr 1				equency 51				Percer	nt	Cumulative Percent	
	Unemploy	ment	Į.		48							41.5
	Poverty				9		39.0 7.3			39.0 7.3		80.5 87.8
Valid	Hunger				13		7.3 10.6			1.5		98.4
	Poor Econ Poor Fund		f Doligo		2		1.6	-		1.6		100.0
	Total	ing o	of Police		123			00.0			0.0	
	Total		Wh	of fy	pe of crime				· oron		0.0	
			7711		requency		Pero			d Perce	nt	Cumulative Percent
	Burglary				75		61			61.0)11t	61.0
	Sexual Ha	racen	nent		20		16			16.3		77.2
Valid	Assaults	1 43311	iiciit		28					22.8		100.0
	Total				123				100.0		100.0	
	1		Н	ow cl	ose is the p	olice						
			Freque		Perce				ercen	t	C	umulative Percent
	Very Far		30		24.4			24				24.4
	Far		53		43.1			43				67.5
Valid	Close		33		26.8	3		26	.8			94.3
1	Too Close		7		5.7			5.	7			100.0
	Total		123	3	100.	0		100	0.0			
			Do you thi		aving polic							
		I	Percent	V		Perce	nt		Cu	mulative Percent		
	Yes 90			73.2			3.2		73.2			
Valid	No		33		26.8			6.8		100.0		
Total 123 Do you think having police of					100.0			0.0				
]	Do you thin	k hav					_			ent of		
	X 7		Frequenc	су	Percent		Val	id Pe		1	Cu	imulative Percent
	Yes		55		44.7			44.7		1		44.7
Valid	No		28		22.8			22.8		1		67.5
	Not Sure		40		32.5	_		32.5				100.0
	Total			100.0			100.0	J				

Do you	ı think having	g police closer	can		ontem ecurit	_	the a	gency	y, thus o	defeating the essence of
		Frequenc	у	Perc	ent	Valid	d Per	cent	(Cumulative Percent
	Yes	53		43.	.1		43.1			43.1
X 7 . 1° .1	No	40		32.	.5	32				75.6
Valid	Not Sure	30		24.	4	,	24.4			100.0
	Total	123		100	0.0	1	00.0			
	Will you	u suggest freq	uent	t police 1	patrol	of you	r are	a inst	tead of	residency?
	•	Frequency		Percent		Valid P	Perce	nt	(Cumulative Percent
	Yes	68		55.3		55	.3			55.3
X 7 . 1° .1	No	28		22.8		22	.8			78.0
Valid	Indifferent	27		22.0		22	.0			100.0
	Total	123		100.0		100	0.0			
		How frequ	uent	do you	think	the pat	rol b	e obs	erved?	
		- 1		requency		Percen			d Percer	nt Cumulative Percent
	More Than (Once Daily		78		63.4			63.4	63.4
	Once Daily	·		26		21.1			21.1	84.6
Valid	Twice Week	ly		6		4.9			4.9	89.4
	Others	•		13		10.6			10.6	100.0
	Total			123		100.0			100.0	
	l .		1	Do you	repor					
		Frequency		Percent		Valid P		nt	(Cumulative Percent
	Yes 54			43.9		43				43.9
* * * * *	No	53		43.1		43				87.0
Valid	Never	16		13.0		13.0				100.0
	Total	123		100.0		100.0				
	•			Will you	ı repo	rt crim	e?			
		Frequency		Percent		Valid P		nt	(Cumulative Percent
	Yes	75		61.0		61	.0			61.0
x 7 1 1 1	No	33		26.8		26	.8			87.8
Valid	Never	15		12.2		12.2				100.0
	Total	123		100.0		100.0				
	•		Reas	on for n	ot rep	orting	crim	e?		
				equency		rcent		id Pe	rcent	Cumulative Percent
	Never Witne	essed Crime		28		2.8		22.8	;	22.8
X 7 1' 1	Distance to I	Police	1	51	_	1.5		41.5	;	64.2
Valid	No Confider		1	44		5.8		35.8		100.0
	Total		1	123		0.00		100.0		
		hich encoura	gem				st in			ting?
				uency		rcent			ercent	Cumulative Percent
	Confidential	ity		66		3.7		53.		53.7
* 7 1 1 1	Proximity to			30		4.4		24.		78.0
Valid	Financial Be			27		2.0		22.		100.0
	Total			123		0.00		100		

Table 6: Analysis of questionnaire based on frequency and percentage for Ologuneru Area

					sex							
		Frequency	Perc	ent	Valid	Percent	C	umulative Percent				
	Male	33	54.	.1	5	4.1		54.1				
Valid	Female 28		45.	45.9		5.9		100.0				
	Total	61	100	100.0		0.00						
	Academic Status											
		F	requency	Per	cent	Valid	Percent	Cumulative Percent				
	Non		4	6	.6	(5.6	6.6				
Valid	Pry		9	14	1.8	1	4.8	21.3				
v and	Sec		26	42	2.6	42.6		63.9				
	Grad		14	23	3.0	23.0		86.9				

	Post-grad			8	13.1			13.1		100.0
	Total			51	100.0			100.0		200.0
	1		, ,		Societa			-00.0		
		Frequ	encv		cent		V	alid Percer	nt	Cumulative Percent
	Upper	2			3.3		•	3.3	-	3.3
	Middle	4			2.1			72.1		75.4
Valid	Lower	1			4.6			24.6		100.0
	Total	6			0.0			100.0		100.0
	Total			ow safe d		feel in v	voi			
		Frequ		Perc				alid Percer	ıt	Cumulative Percent
	Very Safe	1		18.				18.0		18.0
	Safe	1	8	29.				29.5		47.5
Valid	Not Safe	2		44.				44.3		91.8
	Not Safe at	all 5	5	8.2				8.2		100.0
	Total	6		100				100.0		
Do vou						place t	to l		next on	e year, or do you think
3 3 44	<u> </u>			it will b						J y J
				Frequenc		ercent		Valid Per	cent	Cumulative Percent
	It will get b	etter		40	•	65.6		65.6		65.6
Wali 4	It will rema		ne	14		23.0		23.0		88.5
Valid	It will get w	orse		7		11.5		11.5		100.0
	Total			61	1	0.001		100.0)	
	•	Ha	ve you	ı ever wi	tnessec	d a crim	ne i	in your ar	ea?	-
		Freque	ncy	Perc	ent	Vali	d I	Percent	C	umulative Percent
	Yes	44		72.	.1		72	2.1		72.1
Valid	No	17		27.	.9		27	1.9		100.0
	Total	61		100	0.0	1	10	0.0		
	Do you think	crimes i	n gene	eral with	in your	· locality	y a	re best de	alt with	by the police?
		Freque	ncy	Perc	ent	Vali	d I	Percent	C	umulative Percent
	Yes	20		32.	.8		32	2.8		32.8
\$7.1:.d	No	40		65.	.6			5.6		98.4
Valid	11	1		1.0	5		1.	.6		100.0
	Total	61		100	0.0	1	10	0.0		
	Do	you cons					diı	ım or low		
			F	requency	P	ercent		Valid Pe	ercent	Cumulative Percent
	High Crime	Area		10		16.4		16.4	1	16.4
Valid	Middle Crir	ne Area		38		62.3		62	3	78.7
vanu	Lower Crim	ne Area		13		21.3		21.	3	100.0
	Total			61	1	0.001		100.	0	
		In your	area,	who do	you thi			nerable to		
			Freque		Perc		Va	alid Percen	t	Cumulative Percent
	Men		3.		54			54.1		54.1
Valid	Women		2:		37			37.7		91.8
	Children		5		8.			8.2		100.0
	Total		6		100			100.0		
							ulı	nerable to		
			uency		Percei			Valid Pe		Cumulative Percent
	Upper Class		15		24.6			24.0		24.6
Valid	Middle Clas		27		44.3			44.		68.9
	lower classe		19		31.1		31.1			100.0
	Total		51	<u> </u>	100.0			100.		
		In your					ulı	nerable to		
	h. r ·	11.	Fi	requency	P	ercent	<u> </u>	Valid Per	cent	Cumulative Percent
	Non-nationa	ality	1	5		8.2	-	8.2		8.2
Valid	Nationals		1	56		91.8	-	91.8		100.0
	Total	0. 7		61		100.0	Ļ	100.0		11
	How satis	ned are y	ou wi	th the wa	ay the	crimina	ıl j	ustice syst	em han	dles crime?

			F		1	Walid Da		Communications Democrat
	Et	Catiania d	Frequency	P	ercent	Valid Pe		Cumulative Percent
	Extremely S	Sansnea	7		11.5	11.5		11.5
x 7 1 1 1	Satisfied	1	13	_	21.3	21.3		32.8
Valid	Dissatisfied		38	_	62.3	62.3		95.1
	Extremely I	Dissatisfied	3		4.9	4.9		100.0
	Total		61		100.0	100.		
	Do you	ı think police						
	L	Frequency	Perce			d Percent	C	umulative Percent
	Yes	18	29.5			29.5		29.5
Valid	No	43	70.5			70.5		100.0
	Total	61	100.			0.00		
		How frequ	ently do you					<u> </u>
			Frequency	P	ercent	Valid Pe		Cumulative Percent
	many times	a day	11		18.0	18.0		18.0
	once in a da	ıy	11		18.0	18.0)	36.1
	several time	es in a week	11		18.0	18.0	1	54.1
Valid	once in a w	eek	3		4.9	4.9		59.0
	few days in a month		13		21.3	21.3		80.3
	never		12		19.7	19.7		100.0
	Total		61		100.0	100.0)	
By poli	ice patrolling	in your area	, do you thi	ık it	would he	elp in preve	nting cr	imes from occurring?
	•	Frequency	Perce			d Percent		umulative Percent
	Yes	70.5			70.5		70.5	
Valid			29.5			29.5		100.0
	Total 61		100.0		1	00.0		
						protect you from		rime?
		Frequency	Perce			d Percent		umulative Percent
	Yes 38		62.3		1	62.3		62.3
Valid	No	23	37.7			37.7		100.0
, and	Total	61	100.0			00.0		100.0
		Who do you t					nit crim	e?
	,		uency		ercent	Valid P		Cumulative Percent
	Upper Class		4		6.6	6.0		6.6
	Middle Clas		25		41.0	41.		47.5
Valid	Lower Clas		32		52.5	52.		100.0
	Total		61		100.0	100		100.0
		Who do you t						e?
	,	Frequence				Percent		umulative Percent
Valid	Unemploye		100.0			00.0		100.0
, and		What do you					av crime	
	·	Frequen		Perce		Valid Pe		Cumulative Percent
	Men	10	-	16.4		16.4		16.4
	Women	2		3.3		3.3		19.7
Valid	Youth	49		80.3		80.3		100.0
	Total	61		100.		100.		100.0
		What do you	think is the					
		what uo you	Frequency		ercent	Valid Pe		Cumulative Percent
	Uname	oloyment	26	Г	42.6	42.6		42.6
		15	+	24.6	24.6		67.2	
	Poverty Hunger			+				
Valid		15	-	24.6	24.6		91.8	
		Economy	4	+	6.6	6.6		98.4
		Exorbitance	1		1.6	1.6		100.0
	T	otal	61	_	100.0	100.		
ļ			at type of c	_				
	h .		Frequency	l P	ercent	Valid Pe		Cumulative Percent
Valid	Burglary		43		70.5	70.5		70.5
	Sexual Hara	assment	9		14.8	14.8	<u> </u>	85.2

	Assaults		9			14.8		14.8			100.0
	Total		61			0.00		100.0)		
		H	low clo	ose is th	ie po	lice to y	yo	ur area?			
		Frequer	ıcy	P	erce			Valid Per	cent		Cumulative Percent
	Very Far	16			26.2			26.2			26.2
Valid	Far	39			63.9			63.9			90.2
vanu	Close	6			9.8			9.8			100.0
	Total	61			100.0)		100.0)		
		Do you th	ink ha	ving po	olice	closer o	cai	n prevent o	crime	?	
		Frequency		Percen	t Valid		d :	Percent		C	umulative Percent
	Yes	42		68.9			68	8.9			68.9
Valid	No	19		31.1				1.1			100.0
	Total	61		100.0			10	0.00			
]	Do you think	having police	e close	r can e	nhar	ce poli	ce	harassme	nt of	inr	nocent citizens?
		Fre	quenc	y	P	ercent		Valid Pero	cent		Cumulative Percent
	Yes		23			37.7		37.7			37.7
X7.11.1	No		18			29.5		29.5			67.2
Valid	Not Sure		20			32.8		32.8			100.0
	Total		61			100.0		100.0			
Do you	think having	g police close	r can	bread c	onte	mpt for	r t	he agency,	thus	de	efeating the essence of
					ecur						
		Fre	quenc	y	P	Percent		Valid Pero	cent		Cumulative Percent
	Yes		21			34.4		34.4			34.4
\$7 - 1: J	No		29			47.5		47.5			82.0
Valid	Not Sure		11			18.0		18.0			100.0
	Total		61			100.0		100.0			
	Will yo	u suggest fre	quent	police	patro	ol of yo	ur	area inste	ad of	re	esidency?
		Fr	equenc	y P	ercer	nt	7	Valid Perce	nt		Cumulative Percent
	Yes				67.2			67.2			67.2
X7.11.1	No		14		23.0			23.0			90.2
Valid	Indifferent		6		9.8			9.8			100.0
	Total		61		100.0			100.0			
	•	How free	quent o	do you			patrol be observed		rved?)	
				iency		rcent		Valid Per			Cumulative Percent
	More Than	Once Daily	3	1	5	0.8		50.8			50.8
X 7 1 1 1	Once Daily	•	1	8	2	9.5		29.5			80.3
Valid	Twice Weel	kly	1	2	1	9.7		19.7			100.0
	Total	•	6	51		0.00		100.0)		
				Do you	repo	ort crin	ne	?			
		Frequency		Percer				Percent		С	umulative Percent
	Yes	28		45.9				5.9	Ĺ		45.9
Vol: 4	No	27		44.3			4	4.3	Ĺ		90.2
Valid	Never	6		9.8			ç	9.8			100.0
	Total	61		100.0)		10	0.00			
			1	Will you	ı rep	ort cri	me	e?			
		Frequency	7	Percer	nt	Val	id	Percent		C	umulative Percent
	Yes	44		72.1			7	2.1			72.1
Val: 4	No	13		21.3			2	21.3			93.4
Valid	Never 4			6.6			(6.6			100.0
<u></u>		100.0				0.00					
			Reaso	n for r	ot re	eportin	g	crime?			
			Frequ	iency		ercent		Valid Per	cent	Ι	Cumulative Percent
	Never Witn	essed Crime		7		11.5		11.5		Ι	11.5
Vol:a	Distance to	Police	1	9	3	31.1		31.1		Ι	42.6
Valid	No Confide	nce in Police	3	5	4	57.4		57.4		Ι	100.0
<u></u>	Total			1		0.00		100.0		Ι	
	12	Vhich encour	couragement do you value most in crime reporting?					ng?			

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	Confidentiality	27	44.3	44.3	44.3
Valid	Proximity to Police	17	27.9	27.9	72.1
vand	Financial Benefit	17	27.9	27.9	100.0
	Total	61	100.0	100.0	

	Table 7: A	Analysis of que	estion				enc	y and pe	rcenta	ige fo	or Ido Area
		Eraguana	.,		sex	<u> </u>	17	alid Dar	aant		Cumulativa Dargant
	Mala	Frequenc	У	Percen	ι		V	alid Per	cent		Cumulative Percent
X 7 1 1 1	Male	34		43.6				43.6			43.6
Valid	Female	44		56.4				56.4			100.0
	Total	78		100.0		~		100.0			
		E				Status		lid Dono	t		Sumulativa Danaant
	Non	LIG	eque	псу		ercent	va	lid Perce	ent		Cumulative Percent 17.9
	Non		14		17.9						
	Pry		15			19.2		19.2			37.2
Valid	Sec		28			35.9		35.9			73.1
	Grad		17			21.8		21.8			94.9
	Post-grad		4			5.1		5.1			100.0
	Total		78	Cosis				100.0			
		Fraguancy	-	Percent	ıal	Class	d D	ercent		C	mulative Percent
 	Uppor	Frequency 11	- $+$	14.1		v all	14.		+	Cul	14.1
	Upper Middle	48		61.5			61.		+		75.6
Valid	Lower	19	- $+$	24.4			24.		+		100.0
	Total 78			100.0			100				100.0
	Total		Jow	safe do yo	f						
				equency		Percent	oui	Valid I	Dercer	nt	Cumulative Percent
	Very Safe		1.1	14	-	17.9	+			It	17.9
	Safe			35		44.9	+	17.9 44.9			62.8
Valid	Not Safe			18		23.1		23			85.9
vanu	Not Safe at	a11		11		14.1		14			100.0
	Total	an		78		100.0	+		0.0		100.0
Do you		ur area will h	e he				n liv			one	year, or do you think
Doyou	think that yo	ur urcu wiii b		will be in					ПСАС	one	year, or do you tilling
				requency		Percen		Val	id	(Cumulative Percent
				1				Perc			
	It will get b	etter		47		60.3		60.			60.3
X 7 1 1 1	It will rema			16		20.5		20.			80.8
Valid	It will get w			15		19.2		19.			100.0
	Total			78		100.0		100			
		Have v	ou e	ver witnes	sed					•	
		Frequency		Percent		Valid		* 1		Cun	nulative Percent
	Yes	48		61.5		ϵ	51.5				61.5
Valid	No	30		38.5		3	38.5				100.0
	Total	78		100.0		1	00.0)			
	Do you think	crimes in ger	<u>iera</u>	l within yo	ur	locality	y ar	e best d	ealt w	vith b	y the police?
		Frequency		Percent	I	Valid	Per	rcent		Cun	nulative Percent
1	Yes	38		48.7		4	18.7				48.7
Valid	No	40		51.3		5	51.3				100.0
	Total	78		100.0	I	1	00.0)			
	Do	you consider	ed y	our area a	hi	gh, med	diui				ea?
			Freq	luency		Percent		Valid	Perce	nt	Cumulative Percent
	High Crime	Area		17		21.8			1.8		21.8
Valid	Middle Crir	ne Area		19		24.4		2	4.4		46.2
v and	Lower Crin	ne Area		42		53.8		53.8			100.0
	Total		-	78		100.0		10	0.00		

		In you	ur area	a, who do y	you thin	k Is vu	lnerable t	o crime	1	
		Fr	equen	cy Pe	ercent		Valid Per	cent		Cumulative Percent
	Men		31		39.7		39.7			39.7
X 7 1 1 1	Women		33	4	42.3		42.3			82.1
Valid	Children		14		17.9		17.9			100.0
	Total		78		00.0		100.0			100.0
	1	In voi				k Is vu	ulnerable to crime 2			
		111 500	ur urc	Frequence		ercent	Valid P			Cumulative Percent
	Upper Clas	28		13	1	16.7	16.			16.7
	Middle Cla			33		42.3	42.			59.0
Valid	lower class			32		41.0	41.			100.0
	Total	CS		78		100.0	100			100.0
	1 Otal	In roa		a, who do y					2	
		III you				cent	Valid Perc			imulative Percent
Valid	Mationala		ГІС	equency 78		00.0	100.0	ent	Ct	
Valid	Nationals	e 1								100.0
	How sati	stied are	e you v	vith the wa						
	hp . •	a	1	Frequenc	•	ercent	Valid Per	rcent	Ci	umulative Percent
	Extremely	Satisfied	l	13		16.7	16.7			16.7
	Satisfied			27		34.6	34.6			51.3
Valid	Dissatisfie			30		38.5	38.5			89.7
	Extremely	Dissatisf	fied	8		10.3	10.3			100.0
	Total			78		0.00	100.0			
	Do yo	u think	police	do a good	Job wit	h conti	rolling crir	nes in y	our	area?
		Freque	ency	Percen	ıt	Valid	Percent	(Cum	ulative Percent
	Yes	45		57.7		5	7.7			57.7
Valid	No	33		42.3		4	2.3			100.0
	Total	78		100.0	1	10	0.00			
		How	freque	ently do yo		olice pa	trols in vo	ur area	?	
				Frequency		rcent		Percen		Cumulative Percent
	many time:	s a day		13		6.7	+	6.7		16.7
	once in a d			27		34.6		34.6		51.3
	several tim	•	reek	16		20.5	_	20.5		71.8
Valid	once in a w		CCK	8		0.3	+	10.3		82.1
v arra	few days ir		1	8		0.3	+	10.3		92.3
	never	i a monu	.1	6		7.7		7.7		100.0
	Total			78		00.0		00.0		100.0
Dranal		· in								og from oggrunning?
by por	ice patroning									es from occurring?
	X/	Freque	_	Percen	IL		Percent	,	uIII	ulative Percent
X7.11.1	Yes	54		69.2			9.2			69.2
Valid	No	24		30.8			0.8			100.0
	Total	78		100.0			00.0	e		9
	Do			<u>1e capabili</u>						
	h	Freque	_	Percen	it		Percent	(Jum	ulative Percent
	Yes	51		65.4			5.4			65.4
Valid	No	27		34.6			4.6			100.0
	Total	78		100.0			0.00			
	7	Vho do :	you th	ink is the r	nost lik	ely gro				1
				Frequency	Perc			Percent		Cumulative Percent
	Upper Clas			3	3.	8	3	3.8		3.8
Volta	Middle Cla	ISS		27	34	.6	3	4.6		38.5
Valid	Lower Clas	ss		48	61	.5	6	1.5		100.0
	Total			78	100		10	0.00		
		Vho do v	you th	ink is the r					ne? 2	2
				requency		rcent		Percent		Cumulative Percent
Valid	Unemploye	ed		78		00.0		0.0		100.0
			von th						202 1	
		v na aa	vo:: :::				III) I <i>N CN</i> M'	MII Grin		•
	<u>'</u>	<u>vno ao y</u>		equency	Pero		up to com Valid P		ile: .	Cumulative Percent

	Men			7	╧	9.0		9.0			9.0	
	Women			1		1.3		1.3			10.3	
Valid	Youth			69		88.5		88	5		98.7	
	Immigrants			1	+	1.3		1.3			100.0	
	Total	-		78	\dagger	100.0		100.			100.0	
		What	do vou t	think is the l	69		CAC /			imes	?	
		vv mat	uo you	Frequency		Percen					Cumulative Percent	
	Unemployi	mont		40		51.3	ι			11	51.3	
		ПСП		18		23.1		51.3 23.1			74.4	
	Poverty			4		5.1			.1		79.5	
Valid	Hunger			11		14.1			.1 1.1			
	Poor Econo		D . 1'								93.6	
	Poor Fundi	ng oi	Police	5		6.4			.4		100.0	
	Total			78	_	100.0			0.0			
			Wh	at type of cr	im						~	
				Frequency		Perce		Valid Po		(Cumulative Percent	
	Burglary			41		52.6		52.			52.6	
Valid	Sexual Har	assme	nt	12		15.4		15.			67.9	
, und	Assaults			25		32.1		32.			100.0	
	Total			78		100.0		100	.0			
				ow close is t	he	police to						
			Fr	equency		Percen	t	Valid Per	rcent	(Cumulative Percent	
	Very Far			33		42.3		42.3			42.3	
	Far			18		23.1		23.1			65.4	
Valid	Close		21		26.9		26.9			92.3		
	Too Close		6		7.7		7.7			100.0		
	Total		78	100.0		100.0)					
		Do	vou thi	nk having p	oli			can prevent crime				
			uency	Percent				ercent			nulative Percent	
	Yes	52	66.7		66.	66.7			66.7			
Valid	No		26	33.3			33.				100.0	
	Total		78	100.0		100						
		havir	ng police					ent of	inno	ocent citizens?		
			Frequen			Valid Percent				Cumulative Percent		
	Yes		21	•	5.9			26.9			26.9	
	No		24		0.8		30.8				57.7	
Valid	Not Sure		33		2.3		42.3				100.0	
	Total		78		0.0			100.0			100.0	
Do voi		a noli					or tl		, thu	s def	eating the essence of	
Do you	a viiiiis iiaviii	S Pon	ee closel			urity?	,ı tl	ac agency	,u	o uci	caring the essence of	
			Frequen				1	Valid Per	cent		Cumulative Percent	
	Yes		14		7.9			17.9			17.9	
	No		28		5.9			35.9			53.8	
Valid	Not Sure		36		5.2			46.2			100.0	
	Total		78		0.0			100.0			100.0	
		011 622		quent police			0112			f rec	idency?	
	vviii y	ou sug		quent ponce equency	рa						Cumulative Percent	
-	Yes		rr(25		Percent 32.1	V	alid Perce	511L		32.1	
	No.			22		28.2		28.2			60.3	
Valid	alid			31		39.7		39.7				
	Indifferent			78							100.0	
Total How from					41.	100.0	- A-	100.0		9		
		H	tow freq	uent do you	ιn						Compulation Desired	
	Men Ti	0	Do:1-	Frequency	+	Percen	ί	_	Perce	ent	Cumulative Percent	
More Than Once Daily				51	-	65.4			5.4		65.4	
X 7 1 . 1	Once Daily			16	+	20.5			0.5		85.9	
Valid	Twice Wee	ekly		8	10.3			0.3		96.2		
	Others			3 78	_	3.8			3.8		100.0	
	Total						100.0					

			Do y	ou re	eport crime?			
		Frequency	Perce	nt	Valid Pe	rcent	Cui	nulative Percent
	Yes	42	53.8	3	53.8	3		53.8
	No	29	37.2	2	37.2)		91.0
Valid	Never	5	6.4		6.4			97.4
	11	2	2.6		2.6			100.0
	Total	78	100.0	0	100.0	0		
			Will	you r	eport crime?)		
		Frequency	Perce	nt	Valid Pe	rcent	Cur	nulative Percent
	Yes	62	79.5	;	79.5	i		79.5
Valid	No	11	14.1		14.1			93.6
vanu	Never	5	6.4		6.4			100.0
	Total	78	100.0	0	100.0	0		
			Reason fo	r not	reporting cr	ime?		
			Frequen	су	Percent	Valid	Percent	Cumulative Percent
	Never Wi	tnessed Crime	24		30.8	3	80.8	30.8
Valid	Distance t	o Police	26		33.3	3	33.3	64.1
vanu	No Confid	dence in Poplice	e 28		35.9	3	35.9	100.0
	Total		78		100.0		0.00	
		Which encour	agement d	o you	u value most	in crime	e reporting	g?
			Frequency		Percent	Valie	d Percent	Cumulative Percent
	Confident	iality	39		50.0		50.0	50.0
Valid	Proximity	to Police	20		25.6		25.6	75.6
v and	Financial	Benefit	19		24.4		24.4	100.0
	Total		78		100.0	1	100.0	

Table 8: Analysis of questionnaire based on frequency and percentage for Omi-Adio

	<u>-</u>	*			sex	•		ge for Onn 7 talo
		Freque	ency		Perce	nt	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	Male	55			65.5		65.5	65.5
Valid	Female	29			34.5		34.5	100.0
	Total	84			100.0)	100.0	
			A	cade	mic Sta	tus		
		Freque	ency		Percer	ıt	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	Non	9			10.7		10.7	10.7
	Pry	18			21.4		21.4	32.1
Valid	Sec	26			31.0		31.0	63.1
vana	Grad	26			31.0		31.0	94.0
	Post-grad	5			6.0		6.0	100.0
	Total	84			100.0		100.0	
			(Socie	etal Cla	SS		
		Frequency		Perce	ent	1	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	Upper	8		9.5	5		9.5	9.5
Valid	Middle	52	52		9		61.9	71.4
vanu	Lower	24		28.	6		28.6	100.0
	Total	84		100	.0		100.0	
		How	v safe o	do yo	ou feel i	n yo	ur area	
			Frequ ency	Pe	ercent		Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	Very Safe		23	2	27.4		27.4	27.4
	Safe		47	:	56.0		56.0	83.3
Valid	Not Safe		13		15.5		15.5	98.8
	Not Safe at	all	1		1.2		1.2	100.0
	Total		84	1	0.00		100.0	
Do you	u think that y						ce to live in the me way?	next one year, or do
		<i>J</i> = 42						Cumulative Percent

	It will got	hattar		6	0	71.4		71.4	1	71.4	
	It will get		ma		2	26.2		26.2		97.6	
Valid			me		2	20.2		20.2		100.0	
	It will get	worse			4	1	`	100.0		100.0	
	Total	Цото	WOII 4			100.0		ne in your area			
			•		cent			ercent		umulativa Daraant	
	N/aa	Frequer 54	ıcy		1.3	va				Cumulative Percent	
X7.11.1	Yes				5.7	1	64.3 35.7			64.3	
Valid	No	30								100.0	
D	Total	84			0.0		100		14	ul. 1 41 12 9	
ро у	you tnink cr									th by the police?	
	kz	Freque	ıcy		cent	va		Percent	C	umulative Percent	
X 7 1 1 1	Yes	46			1.8		54			54.8	
Valid	No	38			5.2		45			100.0	
	Total	84			0.0	<u> </u>	100				
	Do yo	u conside		•				ım or low c			
	L			quency	/ F	Percent		Valid Perce	nt	Cumulative Percent	
	High Crim			15		17.9		17.9		17.9	
Valid	Middle Cr			37		44.0		44.0		61.9	
, 4114	Lower Crime Area			32		38.1		38.1		100.0	
	Total			84		100.0		100.0			
	Ir							erable to cr			
	_	Fre	quen	cy		ercent	V	alid Percen	t	Cumulative Percent	
	Men		46			54.8		54.8		54.8	
Valid	Women		24			28.6		28.6		83.3	
v and	Children		14			16.7		16.7		100.0	
	Total		84			0.00		100.0			
	Ir	ı your ar	ea, w	ho do	you	think Is y	vuln	erable to cr	ime?		
				Frequ		ercent	1	Valid Percen	t	Cumulative Percent	
				ency							
	Upper Cla			26		31.0		31.0		31.0	
Valid	Middle Cla			42		50.0		50.0		81.0	
	lower class	ses		16		19.0		19.0		100.0	
	Total			84		100.0		100.0			
	Ir	ı your ar						erable to cr			
	L .		ŀ	reque	ncy	Perce		Valid Perc	ent	Cumulative Percent	
	Non-nation			17		20.		20.2		20.2	
Valid	Nationals			67		79.		79.8		100.0	
	Total			84		100		100.0			
	How satisfie	d are you					_			ndles crime?	
	b . 1	0	Fre	equen	су	Percent	t	Valid Perce	ent	Cumulative Percent	
	Extremely	Satisfied		13		15.5		15.5		15.5	
	Satisfied	1		31		36.9		36.9		52.4	
Valid	Dissatisfie			35		41.7		41.7		94.0	
	Extremely			5		6.0		6.0		100.0	
	Dissatisfie	a		0.4		100.0	-	100.0	_		
	Total		<u> </u>	84		100.0		100.0			
-	Do you th							lling crimes			
-	N7	Freque	юу		cent	Va		Percent	C	umulative Percent	
V ₀ 1: J	Yes	43			.2	1	51			51.2	
Valid	No Total	41			8.8	1	48			100.0	
	Total	84			0.0	<u> </u>	100		L	0	
	<u> </u>	now freq	uentl					rols in your			
	L	1.		Frequ				Valid Per		Cumulative Percent	
	many time				5	41.7		41.7		41.7	
Valid	once in a d	•	-		6	19.0		19.0		60.7	
	several tim		eek		3	15.5		15.5		76.2	
	once in a v	veek		1	0	11.9	,	11.9		88.1	

	few days i	n a month	<u> </u>	8		9.5	9.5		97.6	
	never	ii a monu	1	2		2.4	2.4		100.0	
	Total			84		100.0	100.		100.0	
Rv n		ing in vo	ıır ar		7011 1				nting crimes from	
Dy P	once patron	ing in jo	u1 u1			urring?	na neip m j	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	ting crimes from	
		Freque	ncy	Perce			Percent	С	umulative Percent	
	Yes	61		72.6	5	72	2.6		72.6	
Valid	No	23		27.4	1	27	7.4		100.0	
	Total	84		100.	0	10	0.0			
	Do yo	u have in	the o	capabili	ty o	f police to p	rotect you	from (crime?	
		Freque	ncy	Perce		Valid	Percent	C	umulative Percent	
	Yes	46		54.8			4.8		54.8	
Valid	No	38		45.2			5.2		100.0	
	Total	84		100.			0.0			
	Who	o do you				likely grou				
			Fr	equency	1	Percent	Valid Per	cent	Cumulative Percent	
	Upper Cla			8		9.5	9.5		9.5	
Valid	lid Middle Class			49	_	58.3	58.3		67.9	
	Lower Cla	ISS		27	_	32.1	32.1		100.0	
	Total			84		100.0	100.0			
	Who	o ao you				likely grou	Valid Pe		ne? 2 Cumulative Percent	
	Unemploy	d	Fr	equency 82	_	Percent	97.6			
Valid	Employed			2		97.6 2.4	2.4		97.6 100.0	
vanu	Total			84		100.0	100.		100.0	
		do von	hink		noct	likely grou			2023	
	VVIII		requ		11051	Percent	Valid Perc		Cumulative Percent	
	Men	1	23			27.4	27.4	CIII	27.4	
	Women		23			2.4	2.4		29.8	
Valid	Youth		59			70.2	70.2		100.0	
	Total		84			100.0	100.0		100.0	
		at do voi			lea	ding causes		v crin	nes?	
		J	-	Freque		Percent	Valid Pe		Cumulative Percent	
	Unemploy	ment		37		44.0	44.0		44.0	
	Poverty			24		28.6	28.6		72.6	
X7 - 1: -1	Hunger			5		6.0	6.0		78.6	
Valid	Poor Econ	omy		16		19.0	19.0		97.6	
	Poor Cove	rage of P	olice	2		2.4	2.4		100.0	
	Total			84		100.0	100.0)		
		W	hat t	ype of	crin	e exists in y				
	1		F	requenc	У	Percent	Valid Per	cent	Cumulative Percent	
	Burglary			54		64.3	64.3		64.3	
Valid	Sexual Ha	rassment	1	11		13.1	13.1		77.4	
	Assaults			19		22.6	22.6		100.0	
	Total			84	41	100.0	100.0			
					the	police to yo			C 1-1 P	
	V	<u> </u>	requ	•		Percent	Valid Pe		Cumulative Percent	
	Very Far		10			11.9	11.9		11.9	
Valid	Far Close		17			20.2 53.6	53.0		32.1 85.7	
v anu	Valid Close Too Close		45 12			14.3	14.		100.0	
	Total		84			100.0	100.		100.0	
		Do vou t			noli	ce closer ca)	
		Freque		Perce			Percent	_	umulative Percent	
	Yes	50		59.5					59.5	
Valid	No	34		40.5			59.5 40.5		100.0	
		84		100.			40.5 100.0			
L	Total							-		

Do v	ou think ha	ving poli	ce clo	ser can	enh	ance po	lice	harassment	of in	nnocent citizens?		
			equen			rcent		Valid Percent		Cumulative Percent		
	Yes	1	20			3.8		23.8		23.8		
L	No		33			9.3		39.3		63.1		
Valid	Not Sure		31			6.9		36.9		100.0		
	Total		84			0.00		100.0	_	100.0		
Do vo		ing nolic		er can h			nn		CV 1	thus defeating the		
Do ye	ou tilling hav	mg pone	c clos			of securi			icy,	thus dereating the		
		Fre	equen	cy	Per	rcent	•	Valid Percent		Cumulative Percent		
	Yes		19		2	2.6		22.6		22.6		
x 7 1 1 1	No		40		4	7.6		47.6		70.2		
Valid	Not Sure		25		2	9.8		29.8		100.0		
	Total		84			0.00		100.0				
		uggest fr	eaue	nt police			oui	r area instead	l of	residency?		
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		reque			ercent	1	Valid Percer		Cumulative Percent		
	Yes		51	/		60.7	+	60.7	-	60.7		
L	No		17			20.2	t	20.2		81.0		
Valid	Valid Indifferent		16			19.0	+	19.0		100.0		
	Total		84			100.0	+	100.0		100.0		
	1000	How fre					atı	rol be observ	ed?	I .		
		110 11 11 1	-	requency		Percer		Valid Perc		Cumulative Percent		
	More Than Once											
	Daily			55		65.5		65.5		65.5		
Valid	·			24		28.6	28.6			94.0		
	Twice Weekly			5		6.0	6.0			100.0		
	Total			84		100.0)	100.0				
			I.		u re	eport cri		1				
		Freque	ncv	Percer				Percent	С	Cumulative Percent		
	Yes	48		57.1				7.1		57.1		
	No	30		35.7				35.7		92.9		
Valid	Never	6		7.1				7.1		100.0		
	Total	84		100.0)			0.00		100.0		
	1					eport cr						
		Freque	ncy	Percer				Percent	С	Cumulative Percent		
	Yes	71		84.5				4.5		84.5		
X7.11.1	No	10		11.9				1.9		96.4		
Valid	Never	3		3.6				3.6		100.0		
	Total	84		100.0)			0.00				
	•	•	Rea			reporti	ng	crime?				
				Frequen	су	Percen	t	Valid Perce	nt	Cumulative Percent		
	Never Wit	nessed Ci	ime	29		34.5		34.5		34.5		
Wal: 4	Distance to		21		25.0		25.0		59.5			
Valid	No Confid	lence in P	olice	34		40.5		40.5		100.0		
	Total			84		100.0		100.0				
		ich encou	rage		you			st in crime re	port	ting?		
				equency	Ť	Percent		Valid Percen		Cumulative Percent		
	Confidenti	ality		41	1	48.8		48.8		48.8		
x 7 1 .	Proximity			29	1	34.5		34.5		83.3		
Valid	Financial 1			14		16.7				100.0		
	Total			84	\top	16.7		100.0				
	Total			84		100.0		100.0				

V. DISCUSSION OF THE RESULTS

From table 4-8 above, it showed that the responses by the respondents' to the questionnaire from the study area was the analysis of the results presented which showed the correlation between the questionnaire returned and the analysis. The grand total from the analysis of all question answered in (table 4-8), 77 (19%) of respondent agreed that they are very safe, 179 (44%) are safe, 112 (27%) are not safe and 42 (10%) are not safe at all which implies that the respondent living within the study area belief that they are safe. In all the five area

under the study, 53.3% in Apata, 35% in Apete, 52.5% in Ologuneru, 37.2% in Ido and 16.6% in Omi-Adio responded that they are not safe neither not safe at all. Also, the total of 264 (64%) respondents' belief that their area will get better, 106 (26%) agreed that it will remain the same and 40 (10%) agreed that it will get worse which implies that if adequate monitoring by the police are put in place, it will get better as those who belief that it will get better has (54% and 38%) over those who belief that it will remain the same and it will be getting worse. 74 (18%) respondent's considered their area as high crime area, 145 (35%) as middle crime area, while 191 (47%) consider their area as low crime area which also implies that crime still exists within the local government. From the respondents, it showed that 372 (91%) of people that commit crime are nationals, 34 (8%) are non-nationals and others are 4 (1%) respondents which showed from the analysis that those who commit crime are majorly the citizen. 192 (47%) respondents' agree that police are doing a good job while 218 (53%) disagree which implies that police needs to put more efforts in combating with crime within the study area.

Unemployment was the major leading causes of crime within the study area with 184 (49%) of the total number of respondents' with that of others response 123 (30%) poverty, 36 (9%) for hunger, 53 (13%) for poor economy and 14 (3%) for poor funding of police which implies that more job opportunities is required from the government as well as private/individuals. 241 (59%) of respondents' agreed that the most common crime type that exists within the study area was burglary amongst others, sexual harassment 66 (16%) and assaults with 103 (25%) respondents. Every respondent agreed that the most likely group to commit crime were unemployed with the total of 410 (100%) returned questionnaire. Also, youth with 339 (83%) amongst others, and the lower classes with 242 (59%) amongst other agreed of committing crime. From the analysis of the questionnaire, it showed that crime in all the five areas under study requires serious attention due to the high rate in responses by the respondents that they are not safe and neither not safe at all most especially in area like Apata and Ologuneru with the high rate.

VI. CONCLUSION

This study has successfully assessed crime in Ido local government area of Oyo State, Nigeria based on the data acquired through questionnaire administered to the both the indigene and non indigene. From the finding of this study, the crime data obtained will be depicted on a spatial domain which may be use to show the correlation between each type of crime committed within the five locations studied. In crime assessment, based on crime committed monthly from the data collected from the police department, mostly there is direct relationship between crime values and distance from police stations. Therefore, by increasing the number of police stations and number of police officers with available facilities required, it is possible to reduce crime rate in the affected areas as well as the study area as a whole. Hence, the investigations and the results of this study may be used by the law enforcement agencies as well as government in combating the future occurrence so as to ensure public safety. Therefore, the security issues in Ido local government area of Oyo State in general require more attentions by the government, community collaborations as well as the law enforcement agencies. It is therefore recommended that:

- 1. Confidentiality should be enhanced to encourage those who are ready to report crime.
- 2. Police should make fund available as an incentives to those who are ready to vital information on crime.
- 3. Government should provide job/employment so that crime could be reduced.
- 4. Government should establish a vigilante group that will be helping the police in fighting crime. Also, more patrol vehicles should be given to the police within the study area.
- 5. Community residents should be encouraged to participate in the overall security of their environment.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors' duly appreciates the funding of this research as sponsored by the Tertiary Education Trust Fund (TETFUND) being an institutional based research project and to those who participated in one way or the other during the execution of the research.

REFERENCES

- [1]. O. O. Ajaegbu, "Rising youth unemployment and violent crime in Nigeria. American Journal of Social Issues Humanities", 2(5), (2012), 315–321.
- [2]. M. B. Amin, M. K., Rahim, G. M. and S. Ayu, "A trend analysis of violent crimes in Malaysia. Health and the Environment Journal, 5(2), (2014), 41–56.
- [3]. R.J. Bursik, "Social Disorganization and Theories of Crime and Delinquency: Problems and Prospects." Criminology 26: (1988), 519-551.
- [4]. A. B. Dambazau, "Criminology justice (Second Ed.) Ibadan: Spectrum Books Limited. (2007a)
- [5]. A. B. Dambazau, "The Nigerian police and crime prevention: Criminology and criminal justice Nigerian, 5 Kaduna. Ch: Defence Academy Press, (2007b), 221.

- [6]. K.D. Harries, "The Geography of Crime and Justice". New York, NY: McGraw-Hill. (1974).
- [7]. C. R. Jeffery, "Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design". Beverly Hills, CA: Sage. (1971).
- [8]. A. M. Katsina, "Trend analysis of poverty and urban crime in Nigeria since 1999". International Journal of Arts and Commerce, 1(2), (2013).
- [9]. NPC, National population census (2006).
- [10]. O. Newman, "Defensible Space". New York, NY: Macmillan. (1972).
- [11]. E. E. Okafor, "Youth unemployment and implications for stability of democracy in Nigeria". Journal of Sustainable Development in Africa, 13(1), (2011), 1520–5509.
- [12]. M. B. C. Soh, "Crime and urbanization: Revisited Malaysian case". Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences, 42, (2012), 291–299.
- [13]. C. Shaw and H.D. McKay "Juvenile Delinquency and Urban Areas (rev. ed.). Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press. (1969).
- [14]. U.Usman, M. Yakubu, and A. Z. Bello, An investigation on the rate of crime in Sokoto state using principal component analysis. Nigerian Journal of Basic and Applied Science, 20(2), (2012), 152–160.

IOSR Journal Of Humanities And Social Science (IOSR-JHSS) is UGC approved Journal with Sl. No. 5070, Journal no. 49323.

Babatunde Akeem Adesola. "Assessment of Crime and Security in Ido Local Government Area, Oyo State, Southwest Nigeria." IOSR Journal of Humanities and Social Science (IOSR-JHSS). vol. 24 no. 03, 2019, pp. 47-69.