

Measure space on Weak Structure

Mohammed M. Khalaf*, Ahmed Elmoasry*

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Al-Azahar University, Asuiat, Egypt
Department of Mathematics, Aswan Faculty of Science, Aswan University, Aswan, Egypt

Abstract: Császár in [4] introduce a weak structure as generalization of general topology. The aim of this paper is to give basic concepts of the measure theory in weak structure.

Keywords: weak structure, σ -algebra, σ -additive function, Measures

I. Notation and Preliminaries

In mathematical analysis. Measurement theory plays a vital role in the expression completely for some mathematical concepts. In our research, we introduced some of the concepts of measurement in a weak structure. And we study their properties and some applications it. So we shall denote by X nonempty set, by ω a weak structure [1] and by $P(X)$ the set of all parts (i.e., subsets) of X , and by ϕ the empty set. For any subset λ of X we shall denote by λ^c its complements, i.e., $\lambda^c = \{x \in X | x \notin \lambda\}$. For any $\lambda, \mu \in P(X)$ we set $\lambda|\mu = \lambda \cap \mu^c$. Let (λ_n) be a sequence in $P(X)$.

The following Demorgan identity holds $(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n)^c = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^c$. we define

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\bigvee \lambda_n) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (\bigcup_{m=n}^{\infty} \lambda_m)$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\bigwedge \lambda_n) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (\bigcap_{m=n}^{\infty} \lambda_m)$. If

$L = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\bigvee \lambda_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\bigwedge \lambda_n)$, then we set $L = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\lambda_n)$, and we say that (λ_n) converges to L .

As easily checked, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\bigvee \lambda_n)$ (resp., $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\bigwedge \lambda_n)$) consists of those elements of X that belong to infinite

elements of (λ_n) (resp., that belong to infinite elements of (λ_n) except perhaps a finite number. Therefore,

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\bigwedge \lambda_n) \subset \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\bigvee \lambda_n)$. And it easy also to check that, if (λ_n) is increasing ($\lambda_n \subset \lambda_{n+1}, n \in N$),

then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n$ where, if (λ_n) is decreasing ($\lambda_n \supset \lambda_{n+1}, n \in N$), then

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = \bigcap_{m=n}^{\infty} \lambda_n$. In the first case we shall write $\lambda_n \uparrow L$, and in the second $\lambda_n \downarrow L$.

II. Algebra and σ -algebra on a weak structure ω

Let A be a nonempty subset of ω

Definition 1.1 A is said to be an algebra in ω if

- $\phi \in A$
- $\lambda, \mu \in A \Rightarrow \lambda \cup \mu \in A$
- $\lambda \in A \Rightarrow \lambda^c \in A$

Remark 1.1 It easy to see that, if A is an algebra and $\lambda, \mu \in A$, then $\lambda \cap \mu$ and $\lambda|\mu$ belong to A .

Therefore, the symmetric difference $\lambda \Delta \mu = (\lambda|\mu) \cup (\mu|\lambda)$ also belong to A . Moreover, A is stable under finite union and intersection,

that is $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in A \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \lambda_1 \cup \dots \cup \lambda_n \in A \\ \lambda_1 \cap \dots \cap \lambda_n \in A \end{cases}$

Definition 1.2 An algebra \mathbf{A} in ω is said to be a σ -algebra if, for any sequence (λ_n) of elements of \mathbf{A} , we have $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \in \mathbf{A}$. We note that, if \mathbf{A} is σ -algebra and $(\lambda_n) \subset \mathbf{A}$, then $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \in \mathbf{A}$ owing to the De Morgan identity.

Moreover, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\bigwedge \lambda_n) \in \mathbf{A}$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\bigvee \lambda_n) \in \mathbf{A}$.

The following examples explain the difference between algebras and σ -algebras.

Example 1.1 Obviously, $P(X)$ and $\mathcal{E} = \{\emptyset\}$ are σ -algebras in X . Moreover, ω is the largest σ -algebras in X , and \mathcal{E} is the smallest.

Example 1.2 In $[0,1)$, the class ρ consisting of \emptyset , and of all finite unions

$\beta = \bigcup_{i=1}^n [a_i, b_i)$ with $0 \leq a_i \leq b_i \leq a_{i+1} \leq 1$ is an algebra.

Example 1.3 In an infinite set X consider the class $\rho = \{\theta \in \omega \mid \theta \text{ is finite, or } \theta^c \text{ is finite}\}$. Then ρ is an algebra.

Example 1.4 In an uncountable set X consider the class $\rho = \{\theta \in \omega \mid \theta \text{ is countable, or } \theta^c \text{ is countable}\}$. Then ρ is a σ -algebra.

Definition 1.3 The intersection of all σ -algebras including $\tau \subseteq \omega$ is called the σ -algebra generated by τ , and will be denoted by $\sigma(\tau)$.

Example 1.5 Let E be a metric space. The σ -algebra generated by all open subsets of E is called the Borel σ -algebra of E , and denoted by $B(E)$.

2. Measure

2.1 Additive and σ -additive functions

Let $\mathbf{A} \subset \omega$ be an algebra

Definition 2.1 Let $F: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ be such that $F(\emptyset) = 0$.

(1) We say that F is additive if, for any family $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathbf{A}$ of mutually disjoint sets, we have $F(\bigcup_{k=1}^n A_k) = \sum_{k=1}^n F(A_k)$.

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^n A_k \in \mathbf{A}, \text{ we have } F(\bigcup_{k=1}^n A_k) = \sum_{k=1}^n F(A_k).$$

(2) We say that F is σ -additive if, for any sequence $(A_n) \in \mathbf{A}$ of mutually disjoint sets such that

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k \in \mathbf{A}, \text{ we have } F(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} F(A_k).$$

Remark 2.1 Let $\mathbf{A} \subset \omega$ be an algebra

(1) Any σ -additive function on \mathbf{A} is also additive.

(2) If F is additive, $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbf{A}$, and $\lambda \supset \mu$, then $F(\lambda) = F(\mu) + F(\lambda \setminus \mu)$.

Therefore, $F(\lambda) \geq F(\mu)$.

(3) Let F is additive on A , and let $(A_n) \in A$ be mutually disjoint sets such that $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k \in A$. Then, $F(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k) \geq \sum_{k=1}^n F(A_k)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Therefore, $F(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k) \geq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} F(A_k)$

(4) Any σ -additive function F on A is also countably subadditive, that is, for any sequence $(A_n) \subset A$ such that $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k \in A$, $F(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} F(A_k)$.

(5) Inview of parts 3 and 4 an additive function is σ -additive if and only if it is countably subadditive.

Definition 2.2 A σ -additive function F on an algebra $A \subset \omega$ is said to be

(1) finite if $F(X) < \infty$,

(2) σ -finite if there exists a sequence sequence $(A_n) \subset A$

such that $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = X$, and $F(A_n) < \infty$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Example 2.1 In $X = \mathbb{N}$, consider the algebra $A = \{A \in \omega \mid A \text{ is finite, or } A^c \text{ finite}\}$. The function

$F: A \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ defined as $F(A) = \begin{cases} n(A) & \text{if } A \text{ finite} \\ \infty & \text{if } A^c \text{ finite} \end{cases}$ (where $n(A)$)

stands for the number of elements of A is σ -additive. On the other hand.

The function $F: A \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ defined as $F(A) = \begin{cases} \sum_{n \in A} \frac{1}{2^n} & \text{if } A \text{ finite} \\ \infty & \text{if } A^c \text{ finite} \end{cases}$ is additive

but not σ -additive.

Theorem 2.1 Let μ be additive on A . Then (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) where:

(i) μ is σ -additive,

(ii) (A_n) and $A \subset A$, $A_n \uparrow A \Rightarrow \mu(A_n) \uparrow \mu(A)$.

Proof (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Let $(A_n), A \subset A$, $A_n \uparrow A$. Then, $A = A_1 \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (A_{n+1} \setminus A_n)$, the above being disjoint union. Since μ is σ -additive, we deduce that

$\mu(A) = \mu(A_1) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\mu(A_{n+1}) - \mu(A_n)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n)$, and (ii) follows.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Let $(A_n) \subset A$ be a sequence of mutually disjoint sets such that $A = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k \in A$. Define

$B_n = \bigcup_{k=1}^n A_k$. Then $B_n \uparrow A$. So, in view of (ii), $\mu(B_n) = \sum_{k=1}^n \mu(A_k) \uparrow \mu(A)$.

This implies (i)

Definition 2.2 let $\mathcal{E} = \{\phi\}$ are σ -algebras in X .

- (1) We say that the pair (X, \mathcal{E}) is a measurable space.
- (2) A σ -additive function $\mu: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ is called a measure on (X, \mathcal{E})
- (3) The triple (X, \mathcal{E}, μ) , where μ is a measure on a measurable space (X, \mathcal{E}) is called a measurable space
- (4) A measure μ is said to be complete if $A \in \mathcal{E}$, $B \subset A$, $\mu(A) = 0 \Rightarrow B \in \mathcal{E}$ (and so $\mu(B) = 0$).
- (5) A measure μ is said to be concentrated on a set $A \in \mathcal{E}$ if $\mu(A^c) = 0$.
In this case we say that A is a support of μ

Example 2.2 Let X be a nonempty set and $x \in X$. Define for every $A \in P(X)$

$$\delta_x(A) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in A \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin A \end{cases} . \text{ Then } \delta_x \text{ is a measure in } X.$$

References

- [1] A. Császár, Generalized open sets, Acta Math. Hungar 75 (1997), 65-87.
- [2] A. Császár, Generalized topology, generalized continuity, Acta Math. Hungar 96 (2002), 351-357.
- [3] A. Császár, Generalized open sets in generalized topologies, Acta Math. Hungar 106 (2005), 53-66.
- [4] A. Császár, Weak structures, Acta Math. Hungar 131(1-2) (2011), 193-195.
- [5] J. Umehara H. Maki and T Noiri, Every topological space is pret1/2, Mem. Fac. Sci.Kochi.Univ. Ser. A Math. 17 (1996), 33-42.