On a Finsler space with Binomial (α, β) - metrics

Ghanashyam Kr. Prajapati

(DST-Centre for Interdisciplinary Mathematical Sciences, Faculty of Science, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi-221005, India)

Abstract: In this paper, we study a class of (α, β) -Finsler metrics called Binomial (α, β) -metrics on an n-dimensional differential manifold M and get the conditions for such metrics to be Berwald, Douglas and Projectively flat. Further, we prove that a Binomial (α, β) -metric is of scalar flag curvature and isotropic S -curvature if and only if it is isotropic Berwald metric with almost isotropic flag curvature.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 53B40, 53C60.

Keywords: (α, β) -metric, Berwald space, Douglas space, Finsler space, projectively flat, scalar flag curvature.

I. Introduction

Let (M, F) is a Finsler manifold, where M is an n-dimensional differential manifold and F is a Finsler metric on M. The (α, β) -metrics are interesting examples of Finsler metric introduced by M. Matsumoto as a generalization of Randers metric $F = \alpha + \beta$, where $\alpha = \sqrt{a_{ij}(x)y^iy^j}$ is a Riemannian metric and $\beta = b_i y^i$ is a 1-form. A Finsler metrics $F(\alpha, \beta)$ on a differential manifold M is called an (α, β) -metric, if F is a positively homogeneous of degree one in α and β . In the present paper we study a Finsler metric $F = \alpha \phi(s)$, where $s = \frac{\beta}{\alpha}$ and $\phi(s) = (1+s)^{m+1}$ that is,

$$F = \frac{(\alpha + \beta)^{m+1}}{\alpha^m},\tag{1.1}$$

where m is an arbitrary real number and called Binomial (α, β) -metrics. This class of (α, β) -metrics contains Randers metric $F = \alpha + \beta$ for m = 0; Riemannian metric $F = \alpha$ for m = -1; Matsumoto

metric
$$F = \frac{\alpha^2}{(\alpha - \beta)}$$
, if we replace β by $-\beta$ and take $m = -2$ and Z. Shen's square metric

$$F = \frac{(\alpha + \beta)^2}{\alpha}$$
 for $m = 1$. Z. Shen's square metric is interesting in the sense that the metric

$$F(x,y) = \frac{(\sqrt{(1-|x|^2)|y|^2 + \langle x,y\rangle^2} + \langle x,y\rangle)^2}{(1-|x|^2)^2\sqrt{(1-|x|^2)|y|^2 + \langle x,y\rangle^2}}, (x,y) \in TR^n;$$

constructed by L. Berwald in 1929, which is projectively flat on unit ball B^n with constant flag curvature K=0; can be written in form $F=\frac{(\alpha+\beta)^2}{\alpha}$ for some suitable α and β . Here $|\cdot|$ and \langle , \rangle denote the

standard Euclidean norm and inner product respectively on \mathbb{R}^n and $\mathbb{T}\mathbb{R}^n$ is tangent space on \mathbb{R}^n . The flag curvature in Finsler geometry is a natural extension of the sectional curvature in Riemannian geometry, which is first introduced by L. Berwald. In general, for a tangent plane P = span(y, u), y and u are linearly independent vectors of tangent space T_xM of M at point $x \in M$, the flag curvature K = K(P, u) depends on plane P as well as vector $u \in P$. A Finsler metric F is of scalar flag curvature if for any non-zero vector $y \in T_x M$, K = K(x, y) is independent of P containing $y \in T_x M$. F is called of almost isotropic flag curvature if

$$K = \frac{3c_{x^m}y^m}{F} + \sigma,\tag{1.2}$$

where c = c(x) and $\sigma = \sigma(x)$ are some scalar functions on M.

The S-curvature S = S(x, y) in Finsler geometry is introduced by Shen [1] as a non-Riemannian quantity, defined as:

$$S(x,y) = \frac{d}{dt} \left[\tau(\sigma(t), \dot{\sigma}(t)) \right]_{t=0}$$
(1.3)

where $\tau = \tau(x, y)$ is a scalar function on $T_x M \setminus \{0\}$, called distortion of F and $\sigma = \sigma(t)$ be the geodesic with $\sigma(0) = x$ and $\dot{\sigma}(0) = y$.

A Finsler metric F is called of isotropic S -curvature if

$$S = (n+1)cF, \tag{1.4}$$

for some scalar function c=c(x) on M. One of the fundamental problems in Riemann-Finsler geometry is to study and characterize Finsler metrics of scalar flag curvature with isotropic S-curvature. In [2], it is proved that if a Finsler metric F, of scalar flag curvature is of isotropic S-curvature, then it has almost isotropic flag curvature. A geodesic curve c=c(t) of a Finsler metric F=F(x,y) on a smooth manifold M is given by $\ddot{c}^i(t)+2G^i\bigl(c(t),\dot{c}(t)\bigr)=0$, where the local functions $G^i=G^i(x,y)$ are called the spray coefficients given by $G^i=\frac{1}{4}\,g^{il}\{[F^2]_{x^ky^l}\,y^k-[F^2]_{x^l}\}$. A Finsler metric is called Berwald metric, if G^i are quadratic in $y\in T_xM$ for any $x\in M$. The Berwald curvature tensor of a Finsler metric F is defined as $B:=B^i_{jkl}dx^j\otimes\partial_i\otimes dx^k\otimes dx^l$, where $B^i_{jkl}=[G^i]_{y^jy^ky^l}$. A Finsler metric F is said to be isotropic Berwald metric if its Berwald curvature is in the following form

$$B_{jkl}^{i} = c(F_{v^{j}v^{k}}\delta_{l}^{i} + F_{v^{k}v^{l}}\delta_{j}^{i} + F_{v^{l}v^{j}}\delta_{k}^{i} + F_{v^{j}v^{k}v^{l}}y^{i}),$$
(1.5)

where c = c(x) is a scalar function on M.

The E-curvature or mean Berwald curvature in Finsler geometry is defined as $E \coloneqq E_{ij} dx^i \otimes dx^j$, where

$$E_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} B_{mij}^m = \frac{1}{2} S_{y^i y^j}(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^i \partial y^j} \left[\frac{\partial G^m}{\partial y^m} \right].$$
 A Finsler metric F is said to be isotropic mean Berwald

metric if its mean curvature is in the following form $E_{ij} = \frac{n+1}{2F}ch_{ij}$, where c = c(x) is a scalar function on

M and h_{ij} is the angular metric tensor. The metric tensor g_{ij} and Cartan tensor C_{ijk} of a Finsler metric F

is defined as $g_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 F^2}{\partial y^i \partial y^j}$ and $C_{ijk} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial y^k}$. Also mean Cartan torsion I_y define by

 $I_y(u) \coloneqq I_i(y)u^i$, where $I_i = g^{jk}C_{ijk}$. The horizontal covariant derivative of I along a vector $u \in T_xM$ gives rise to the mean Landsberg curvature $J_y(u) \coloneqq J_i(y)u^i$, where $J_i = I_{i|s}y^s$.

In the present paper we prove the following theorems:

Theorem 1.1 A Finsler space with Binomial (α, β) -metric $F = \frac{(\alpha + \beta)^{m+1}}{\alpha^m}$ is a Berwald space if and only if $b_{i;j} = 0$.

Theorem 1.2 A Finsler space with Binomial (α, β) -metric $F = \frac{(\alpha + \beta)^{m+1}}{\alpha^m}$ is a Douglas space if and only if

 $b_{i\cdot j} = 0$, provided $m \neq 0,\pm 1$.

Remark: For m=0, the Bionomial (α,β) -metric (1.1) is a Randers metric $F=\alpha+\beta$. A Randers metric is Douglas if and only if β is closed [3]. For m=1, the Bionomial (α,β) -metric (1.1) reduces to a square metric $F=\frac{(\alpha+\beta)^2}{\alpha}$. The condition, for a square metric to be Douglas, has been studied in [4]. Finally for m=-1, the Bionomial (α,β) -metric (1.1) reduces to a Riemannian metric, which is trivially Douglas.

Theorem 1.3 A Finsler space with Binomial (α, β) -metric $F = \frac{(\alpha + \beta)^{m+1}}{\alpha^m}$ is locally projectively flat if and only if β is parallel with respect to α and α is locally projectively flat.

Theorem 1.4 Let $F = \frac{(\alpha + \beta)^{m+1}}{\alpha^m}$ be a Binomial (α, β) -metric on n-dimensional Finsler manifold M.

Then F is of scalar flag curvature with isotropic S-curvature if and only if it has isotropic Berwald curvature with almost isotropic flag curvature. In this case, F must be locally Minkowskian.

II. Preliminaries

Let $\alpha = \sqrt{a_{ij}(x)y^iy^j}$ is a Riemannian metric, $\beta = b_i y^i$ is a 1-form and let $F = \alpha \phi(s)$, $s = \frac{\beta}{\alpha}$, where $\phi = \phi(s)$ is a positive C^{∞} function defined in a neighbourhood of the origin s = 0. It is well known that $F = \alpha \phi(s)$ is a Finsler metric for any α and β with $b = \|\beta\|_{\alpha} < b_0$ if and only if $\phi(s) > 0$, $\phi(s) - s\phi'(s) + (b^2 - s^2)\phi''(s) > 0$, $(|s| \le b < b_0)$.

Let G^i and G^i_{α} denote the spray coefficients of F and α respectively, given by

$$G^{i} = \frac{1}{4} g^{il} \left\{ F^{2} \right\}_{x^{k} y^{l}} y^{k} - [F^{2}]_{x^{l}}$$
(2.1)

and

$$G_{\alpha}^{i} = \frac{1}{4} a^{il} \left\{ \alpha^{2} \right\}_{x^{k} v^{l}} y^{k} - \left[\alpha^{2} \right]_{x^{l}}$$
(2.2)

where $(a^{ij}) = (a_{ij})^{-1}$, $F_{x^k} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial x^k}$ and $F_{y^k} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial y^k}$

Consider the following notations [1]

$$r_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \{b_{i;j} + b_{j;i}\}, \quad r_j^i = a^{ih} r_{hj}, \quad r_j = b_i r_j^i,$$

$$s_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \{b_{i;j} - b_{j;i}\}, \quad s_j^i = a^{ih} s_{hj}, \quad s_j = b_i s_j^i,$$

$$b^i = a^{ih} b_h, \quad b^2 = b^i b_i,$$

where $b_{i:j}$ is covarient derivative of b_i with respect to Levi-Civita connection of lpha .

Lemma (2.1) [1] The spray coefficients G^i are related to G^i_{α} by

$$G^{i} = G_{\alpha}^{i} + \alpha Q s_{0}^{i} + J(-2\alpha Q s_{0} + r_{00}) \frac{y^{i}}{\alpha} + H(-2\alpha Q s_{0} + r_{00})(b^{i} - \frac{y^{i}}{\alpha}),$$
where $Q = \frac{\phi'}{\phi - s\phi'}, J = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(\phi - s\phi')\phi'}{\phi((\phi - s\phi') + (b^{2} - s^{2})\phi'')}, H = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\phi''}{((\phi - s\phi') + (b^{2} - s^{2})\phi'')}$

and subscript '0' represents contraction with y^i , for instance, $s_0 = s_i y^i$.

A Finsler metric F = F(x, y) on an open subset $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is said to be projectively flat if all the geodesics are straight in U. In [5], it is shown that a Finsler metric F = F(x, y) is projectively flat on an open subset $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ if and only if

$$F_{,k,l}y^k - F_{,l} = 0. (2.4)$$

In view of equation (2.3) and (2.4), we have the following lemma [6]

Lemma (2.2) An (α, β) -metric $F = \phi(s)$, where $s = \frac{\beta}{\alpha}$ is projectively flat on an open subset $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ if and only if

$$(a_{ml}\alpha^2 - y_m y_l)G_{\alpha}^m + \alpha^3 Q s_{l0} + H\alpha(-2\alpha Q s_0 + r_{00})(b_l \alpha - s y_l) = 0.$$
(2.5)

Lemma (2.3) [7] If $(a_{ml}\alpha^2 - y_m y_l)G_{\alpha}^m = 0$, then α is projectively flat.

In [8], for a Finsler metric $F = \frac{(\alpha + \beta)^{m+1}}{\alpha^m}$, C. H. Xiang and X. Y. Cheng investigated:

Proposition (2.1) The following conditions are equivalent for the (α, β) -metrics (1.1)

- (i) F is of isotropic S -curvature, S = (n+1)cF;
- (ii) F is of isotropic mean Berwald curvature, $E = \frac{n+1}{2}cF^{-1}h$;
- (iii) β is a Killing 1-form with b=constant with respect to α , that is $r_{ij}=0$, $s_i=0$;
- (iv) S = 0:
- (v) F is weakly-Berwald, that is E=0;

where c = c(x) is a scalar function on M.

III. Proof of theorem (1.1)

In view of the equation (2.3), the spray coefficients $G^i(x, y)$ of F^n with an (α, β) -metric can also be written in the following form [9],

$$G^{i} = G^{i}_{\alpha} + B^{i}, \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$B^{i} = \frac{\alpha F_{\beta} s_{0}^{i}}{F_{\alpha}} + C^{*} \left\{ \frac{\beta F_{\beta} y^{i}}{\alpha F} - \frac{\alpha F_{\alpha \alpha}}{F_{\alpha}} \left(\frac{y^{i}}{\alpha} - \frac{\alpha b^{i}}{\beta} \right) \right\}, \tag{3.2}$$

$$C^* = \frac{\alpha\beta(r_{00}F_{\alpha} - 2s_0\alpha F_{\beta})}{2(\beta^2 F_{\alpha} + \alpha\gamma^2 F_{\alpha\alpha})}$$

$$\gamma^2 = b^2 \alpha^2 - \beta^2$$
, $F_{\alpha} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial \alpha}$, $F_{\beta} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial \beta}$ and $F_{\alpha\alpha} = \frac{\partial F_{\alpha}}{\partial \alpha}$, provided $\beta^2 F_{\alpha} + \alpha \gamma^2 F_{\alpha\alpha} \neq 0$. The vector

 $B^i(x,y)$ is called the difference vector. Differentiation of spray coefficients G^i with respect to y^j and y^k successively gives $G^i_j = \gamma^i_{0j} + B^i_j$ and $G^i_{jk} = \gamma^i_{jk} + B^i_{jk}$ where $B^i_j = \dot{\partial}_j B^i$ and $B^i_{jk} = \dot{\partial}_k B^i_j$. Thus a Finsler space with an (α,β) -metric is a Berwald space if and only if $G^i_{jk} = G^i_{jk}(x)$ equivalently $B^i_{jk} = B^i_{jk}(x)$. Moreover on account of [10] B^i_j is determined by

$$F_{\alpha}B_{ji}^{t}y^{j}y_{t} + \alpha F_{\beta}(B_{ji}^{t}b_{t} - b_{j;i})y^{j} = 0.$$
(3.3)

where $y_k = a_{ik} y^i$. For the Binomial (α, β) -metrics (1.1), we have

$$F_{\alpha} = (\alpha + \beta)^{m} \alpha^{-m-1} (\alpha - m\beta), F_{\alpha\alpha} = m(m+1)(\alpha + \beta)^{m-1} \alpha^{-m-2} \beta^{2},$$

$$F_{\beta} = (m+1)(\alpha+\beta)^{m}\alpha^{-m}$$
 and $F_{\beta\beta} = m(m+1)(\alpha+\beta)^{m-1}\alpha^{-m}$. (3.4)

Substituting (3.4) in equation (3.3), we have

$$(\alpha - m\beta)B_{ii}^t y^j y_t + (m+1)\alpha^2 (B_{ii}^t b_t - b_{ii})y^j = 0.$$
(3.5)

Assume that F^n is a Berwald space, that is, $B^i_{jk} = B^i_{jk}(x)$. Separating equation (3.5) in rational and irrational terms of y^i , which yields two equations

$$-m\beta B_{ii}^{t}y^{j}y_{t} + (m+1)\alpha^{2}(B_{ii}^{t}b_{t} - b_{ii})y^{j} = 0$$
(3.6)

and

$$\alpha B_{ii}^t y^j y_t = 0. ag{3.7}$$

Equation (3.7) yields $B_{ii}^t y^j y_t = 0$, that is,

$$B_{ji}^{t}a_{th} + B_{hi}^{t}a_{tj} = 0$$
 and $B_{ji}^{t}b_{t} - b_{j;i} = 0.$ (3.8)

Thus we obtain $B_{ji}^t = 0$ by Christoffel process, in the first part of equation (3.8) and from second part of equation (3.8), we have $b_{i:j} = 0$.

Conversely, if $b_{i:j} = 0$, then $B_{ji}^{t} = 0$ are determined from equation (3.5).

IV. Proof of theorem (1.2)

A Douglas space is a generalization of Berwald space in the sense that a Finsler space F^n with an (α, β) -metric is a Douglas space if and only if $B^{ij} = B^i y^j - B^j y^i$ are positively homogeneous of degree 3 (in short we write hp(3)) [9]. In view of equation (3.2), the tensor B^{ij} is written in the form

$$B^{ij} = \frac{\alpha F_{\beta}}{F_{\alpha}} (s_0^i y^j - s_0^j y^i) + \frac{\alpha^2 F_{\alpha \alpha}}{\beta F_{\alpha}} C^* (b^i y^j - b^j y^i). \tag{4.1}$$

Suppose that F^n is a Douglas space. From equations (3.4) and (4.1), we have

$$(-2\alpha^{3} + 4m\alpha^{2}\beta - 2\alpha^{2}\beta + 6m\alpha\beta^{2} - 4m^{2}\beta^{3} - 2m^{2}b^{2}\alpha^{3} + m^{3}b^{2}\alpha^{2}\beta - 2mb^{2}\alpha^{3} + 2m^{2}b^{2}\alpha^{2}\beta - 2mb^{2}\alpha^{3} + 2m^{2}b^{2}\alpha^{2}\beta - 2m^{3}\beta^{3})B^{ij} = (-2m\alpha^{4} - 2\alpha^{4} - 2\alpha^{3}\beta + 2m^{2}\alpha^{3}\beta + 6m^{2}\alpha^{2}\beta^{2} + 4m\alpha^{2}\beta^{2} - 2m^{3}b^{2}\alpha^{4} - 4m^{2}b^{2}\alpha^{4} - 2mb^{2}\alpha^{4} + 2m^{3}\alpha^{2}\beta^{2})(s_{0}^{i}y^{j} - s_{0}^{j}y^{i}) + (4m^{2}\alpha^{4}s_{0} + 2m\alpha^{4}s_{0} + 2m^{3}\alpha^{4}s_{0} - m^{2}\alpha^{3}r_{00} - m\alpha^{3}r_{00} + m^{3}\alpha^{2}r_{00}\beta + m^{2}\alpha^{2}\beta r_{00})(b^{i}y^{j} - b^{j}y^{i}).$$

$$(4.2)$$

Separating equation (4.2) in rational and irrational terms of y^i , we have the following two equations

$$(4m\alpha^{2}\beta - 2\alpha^{2}\beta - 4m^{2}\beta^{3} + 2m^{3}b^{2}\alpha^{2}\beta + 2m^{2}b^{2}\alpha^{2}\beta - 2m^{3}\beta^{3})B^{ij} = (-2m\alpha^{4} - 2\alpha^{4} + 6m^{2}\alpha^{2}\beta^{2} + 4m\alpha^{2}\beta^{2} - 2m^{3}b^{2}\alpha^{4} - 4m^{2}b^{2}\alpha^{4} - 2mb^{2}\alpha^{4} + 2m^{3}\alpha^{2}\beta^{2})(s_{0}^{i}y^{j} - s_{0}^{j}y^{i}) + (2m\alpha^{4}s_{0} + 4m^{2}\alpha^{4}s_{0} + 2m^{3}\alpha^{4}s_{0} + m^{3}\alpha^{2}\beta r_{00} + m^{2}\alpha^{2}\beta r_{00})(b^{i}y^{j} - b^{j}y^{i})$$

$$(4.3)$$

and

$$(-2\alpha^{2} + 6m\beta^{2} - 2m^{2}b^{2}\alpha^{2} - 2mb^{2}\alpha^{2})B^{ij} = (-2\alpha^{2}\beta + 2m^{2}\alpha^{2}\beta)(s_{0}^{i}y^{j} - s_{0}^{j}y^{i})$$

$$+(-m\alpha^{2}r_{00} - m^{2}\alpha^{2}r_{00})(b^{i}y^{j} - b^{j}y^{i}).$$

$$(4.4)$$

Eliminating B^{ij} from equations (4.3) and (4.4), we obtain

$$A(s_0^i y^j - s_0^j y^i) - B(b^i y^j - b^j y^i) = 0,$$
(4.5)

where

$$A = [4m\alpha^{4} + 4m^{5}\beta^{4} + 4\alpha^{4} + 20m^{4}\beta^{4} + 32m^{3}\beta^{4} - 12m^{3}\alpha^{2}\beta^{2} + 12m^{3}b^{4}\alpha^{4} - 32m^{4}b^{2}\alpha^{2}\beta^{2} - 8m^{5}b^{2}\alpha^{2}\beta^{2} - 40m^{3}b^{2}\alpha^{2}\beta^{2} + 4m^{2}b^{4}\alpha^{4} + 16m^{2}b^{2}\alpha^{4} + 8m^{3}b^{2}\alpha^{4} + 8mb^{2}\alpha^{4} + 4m^{5}b^{4}\alpha^{4} - 20m^{2}\alpha^{2}\beta^{2} - 12m\alpha^{2}\beta^{2} + 16m^{2}\beta^{4} + 12m^{4}b^{4}\alpha^{4} - 4\alpha^{2}\beta^{2} - 16m^{2}b^{2}\alpha^{2}\beta^{2}]$$

$$(4.6)$$

and

$$B = [4m\alpha^{4}s_{0} + 4m^{3}\alpha^{4}s_{0} + 2m^{5}\beta^{3}r_{00} + 2m\alpha^{2}\beta r_{00} + 8m^{2}\alpha^{4}s_{0} - 2m^{3}\beta^{3}r_{00} - 2m^{3}\alpha^{2}\beta r_{00} - 12m^{2}\alpha^{2}\beta^{2}s_{0} + 12m^{3}b^{2}\alpha^{4}s_{0} + 4m^{2}b^{2}\alpha^{4}s_{0} - 24m^{3}\alpha^{2}\beta^{2}s_{0} + 12m^{4}b^{2}\alpha^{4}s_{0} - 12m^{4}\alpha^{2}\beta^{2}s_{0} + 4m^{5}b^{2}\alpha^{4}s_{0}].$$

$$(4.7)$$

Transvecting equation (4.5) by $b_i y_i$, we get

$$A\alpha^2 s_0 + B(b^2 \alpha^2 - \beta^2) = 0. (4.8)$$

The terms of equation (4.8), which does not contain α^2 are $2m^3(m^2-1)\beta^5r_{00}$. Hence there exists $hp(5):V_5$ such that

$$2m^3(m^2-1)\beta^5 r_{00} = \alpha^2 V_5. \tag{4.9}$$

Now we consider the following two cases:

(i) $V_5 = 0$ and (ii) $V_5 \neq 0$.

Case (i): Let $V_5=0$ then we have $r_{00}=0$, provided $m\neq 0,\pm 1$. Substituting $r_{00}=0$ into equation (4.8), we get

$$(A + B_1 \gamma^2) s_0 = 0, (4.10)$$

where

 $B_1=(4m\alpha^2+4m^3\alpha^2+8m^2\alpha^2-12m^2\beta^2+12m^3b^2\alpha^2+4m^2b^2\alpha^2-24m^3\beta^2+12m^4b^2\alpha^2-12m^4\beta^2+4m^5b^2\alpha^2)$. If $(A+B_1\gamma^2)=0$, then the terms of $(A+B_1\gamma^2)$ which do not contain α^2 are $-12m^2(1+2m+m^2)\beta^2$. Thus there exists $hp(2):V_2$ such that $-12m^2(1+2m+m^2)\beta^2=\alpha^2V_2$. Hence we have $V_2=0$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, we must have $(A+B_1\gamma^2)s_0\neq 0$. Therefore we have $s_0=0$ from equation (4.10). Substituting $s_0=0$ and $r_{00}=0$ into equation (4.5), we get

$$A(s_0^i y^j - s_0^j y^i) = 0. (4.11)$$

If A = 0, then from equation (4.6), we have

$$[4m\alpha^{4} + 4m^{5}\beta^{4} + 4\alpha^{4} + 20m^{4}\beta^{4} + 32m^{3}\beta^{4} - 12m^{3}\alpha^{2}\beta^{2} + 12m^{3}b^{4}\alpha^{4} - 32m^{4}b^{2}\alpha^{2}\beta^{2} - 8m^{5}b^{2}\alpha^{2}\beta^{2} - 40m^{3}b^{2}\alpha^{2}\beta^{2} + 4m^{2}b^{4}\alpha^{4} + 16m^{2}b^{2}\alpha^{4} + 8m^{3}b^{2}\alpha^{4} + 8mb^{2}\alpha^{4} + 4m^{5}b^{4}\alpha^{4} - 20m^{2}\alpha^{2}\beta^{2} - 12m\alpha^{2}\beta^{2} + 16m^{2}\beta^{4} + 12m^{4}b^{4}\alpha^{4} - 4\alpha^{2}\beta^{2} - 16m^{2}b^{2}\alpha^{2}\beta^{2}] = 0.$$
 (4.12)

The terms of equation (4.12), which do not contain α^2 are $4m^2(m^3+5m^2+8m+4)\beta^4$. Thus there exists hp(2): V_2 such that $4m^2(m^3+5m^2+8m+4)\beta^4=\alpha^2V_2$. Therefore we have, $V_2=0$, which is a contradiction. Therefore we must have $A\neq 0$. Hence from equation (4.11), we have $(s_0^i y^j-s_0^j y^i)=0$. Transvecting the above equation by y_j gives $s_0^i=0$, which imply $s_{ij}=0$. Consequently, we have $r_{ij}=s_{ij}=0$. This implies $b_{i;j}=0$.

Case (ii): If β divides α^2 then we have a contradiction of positive definiteness of Riemannian metric α , so we assume $\alpha^2 \neq 0 \pmod{\beta}$. The equation (4.9) shows that there exists a function k = k(x) such that $r_{00} = k(x)\alpha^2$. Thus we have the terms of equation (4.8) which do not contain α^2 , are included in the terms $2m^3(m^2-1)\beta^5r_{00}$. Hence we get $r_{00}=0$, provided $m \neq 0,\pm 1$. From equation (4.11), we have

 $A(s_0^iy^j-s_0^jy^i)=0$. If A=0, then it is a contradiction. Hence $A\neq 0$. Therefore we obtain $(s_0^iy^j-s_0^jy^i)=0$. Transvecting this equation by y_j we get $s_j^i=0$.

Hence from both cases (i) and (ii), we have $\ r_{ij} = s_{ij} = 0$. This implies $\ b_{i;j} = 0$

Conversely if $b_{i:j} = 0$, then F^n is a Berwald space, therefore F^n is a Douglas space.

Corollary: For $m \neq 0,\pm 1$ a Binomial (α,β) -metric is Douglas iff it is Berwald.

V. Proof of theorem (1.3)

Suppose the Binomial (α, β) -metrics $F = \frac{(\alpha + \beta)^{m+1}}{\alpha^m}$ is locally projectively flat. By Lemma (2.1), the spray coefficients G^i of F are given by equation (2.3) with

$$Q = \frac{m+1}{1-ms}, J = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(1-ms)(m+1)}{(1+s-ms-2ms^2+m^2b^2+mb^2-m^2s^2)},$$

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \frac{m(m+1)}{(1+s-ms-2ms^2+m^2b^2+mb^2-m^2s^2)}.$$
(5.1)

Substituting (5.1) into equation (2.3), we obtain

$$2(a_{ml}\alpha^{2} - y_{m}y_{l})G_{\alpha}^{m}(-\alpha^{3} - \alpha^{2}\beta + 2m\alpha^{2}\beta + 3m\alpha\beta^{2} - m^{2}b^{2}\alpha^{3} - mb^{2}\alpha^{3} - 2m^{2}\beta^{3} + m^{3}b^{2}\alpha^{2}\beta + m^{2}b^{2}\alpha^{2}\beta - m^{3}\beta^{3}) - 2(m+1)\alpha^{4}s_{l0}(\alpha^{2} + \alpha\beta - m\alpha\beta - 2m\beta^{2} + m^{2}b^{2}\alpha^{2} + mb^{2}\alpha^{2} - m^{2}\beta^{2}) + 2m(m+1)^{2}\alpha^{4}s_{0}(b_{l}\alpha^{2} - \beta y_{l}) + m(m+1)(-\alpha + m\beta)\alpha^{2}r_{00}(b_{l}\alpha^{2} - \beta y_{l}) = 0.$$
(5.2)

Separating the rational and irrational terms of y^{i} in equation (5.2), we have the following two equations

$$2(a_{ml}\alpha^{2} - y_{m}y_{l})G_{\alpha}^{m}(-\alpha^{2}\beta + 2m\alpha^{2}\beta - 2m^{2}\beta^{3} + m^{3}b^{2}\alpha^{2}\beta + m^{2}b^{2}\alpha^{2}\beta - m^{3}\beta^{3}) = 2(m+1)\alpha^{4}s_{l0}(\alpha^{2} - 2m\beta^{2} + m^{2}b^{2}\alpha^{2} + mb^{2}\alpha^{2} - m^{2}\beta^{2}) - 2m(m+1)^{2}\alpha^{4}s_{0}(b_{l}\alpha^{2} - \beta y_{l}) - m^{2}(m+1)r_{00}(b_{l}\alpha^{2} - \beta y_{l})\alpha^{2}\beta$$
(5.3)

and

$$2(a_{ml}\alpha^{2} - y_{m}y_{l})G_{\alpha}^{m}(-\alpha^{3} + 3m\alpha\beta^{2} - m^{2}b^{2}\alpha^{3} - mb^{2}\alpha^{3}) =$$

$$2(m+1)\alpha^{4}s_{l0}(\alpha\beta - m\alpha\beta) + m(m+1)r_{oo}(b_{l}\alpha^{2} - \beta y_{l})\alpha^{3}.$$
(5.4)

Contracting equations (5.3) and (5.4) with b^{l} , we get

$$2(b_{m}\alpha^{2} - y_{m}\beta)G_{\alpha}^{m}(-\alpha^{2}\beta + 2m\alpha^{2}\beta - 2m^{2}\beta^{3} + m^{3}b^{2}\alpha^{2}\beta + m^{2}b^{2}\alpha^{2}\beta - m^{3}\beta^{3}) =$$

$$2(m+1)\alpha^{4}s_{0}(\alpha^{2} - 2m\beta^{2} + m^{2}b^{2}\alpha^{2} + mb^{2}\alpha^{2} - m^{2}\beta^{2}) - 2m(m+1)^{2}\alpha^{4}s_{0}(b^{2}\alpha^{2} - \beta^{2}) - m^{2}(m+1)r_{oo}(b^{2}\alpha^{2} - \beta^{2})\alpha^{2}\beta$$
(5.5)

and

$$2(b_{m}\alpha^{2} - y_{m}\beta)G_{\alpha}^{m}(-\alpha^{3} + 3m\alpha\beta^{2} - m^{2}b^{2}\alpha^{3} - mb^{2}\alpha^{3}) =$$

$$2(m+1)\alpha^{4}s_{0}(\alpha\beta - m\alpha\beta) + m(m+1)r_{oo}(b^{2}\alpha^{2} - \beta^{2})\alpha^{3}.$$
(5.6)

Multiplying equation (5.5) with α and equation (5.6) with $m\beta$, we have

$$\beta(b_{m}\alpha^{2} - y_{m}\beta)G_{\alpha}^{m}(-\alpha^{2} + 3\alpha^{2} - 5m^{2}\beta^{2} + 2m^{3}b^{2}\alpha^{2} + 2m^{2}b^{2}\alpha^{2} - m^{3}\beta^{2}) = (m+1)\alpha^{4}(\alpha^{2} - 2m\beta^{2} + m^{2}\beta^{2})s_{0}.$$
(5.7)

Above equation shows that β must divides s_0 . Therefore there exists a scalar function $\tau = \tau(x)$, such that $s_0 = \tau \beta$. Thus we obtain $s_i - \tau b_i = 0$. Which gives after contraction with b^i , $s_i b^i - \tau b_i b^i = 0$. Therefore

we have $\tau=0$ and hence

$$s_0 = 0. ag{5.8}$$

Using equations (5.7) and (5.8), we have

$$(b_m \alpha^2 - y_m \beta) G_\alpha^m = 0. \tag{5.9}$$

Then by equation (5.9) and Lemma (2.3), α is projectively flat. Also using equations (5.6), (5.8) and (5.9), we have

$$r_{00} = 0.$$
 (5.10)

Substituting (5.9) and (5.10) in equation (5.4), we get

$$s_{i0} = 0.$$
 (5.11)

Thus using above two equations (5.10) and (5.11) $b_{i:j} = 0$, that is β is parallel with respect to α .

Conversely, if β is parallel with respect to α and α is locally projectively flat, then by Lemma (2.2), F is locally projectively flat.

VI. Proof of theorem (1.4)

In view of equation (2.3), the spray coefficients G^i and G^i_α of F and α respectively, can be written as:

$$G^{i} = G_{\alpha}^{i} + \alpha Q s_{0}^{i} + \theta (2Q \alpha s_{0} + r_{00}) \left[\frac{y^{i}}{\alpha} + \frac{Q'}{Q - sQ'} b^{i} \right].$$
 (6.1)

Further the mean Cartan torsion I_i [11] and the mean Landsberg curvature J_i [12] of an (α, β) -metrics are respectively given by

$$I_{i} = -\frac{\Phi(\phi - s\phi')}{2\Lambda\phi\alpha^{2}}(\alpha b_{i} - sy_{i})$$
(6.2)

and

$$J_{i} = -\frac{1}{2\Delta\alpha^{4}} \left[\frac{2\alpha^{2}}{b^{2} - s^{2}} \left[\frac{\Phi}{\Delta} + (n+1)(Q - sQ') \right] (r_{0} + s_{0}) h^{i} \right]$$

$$+ \frac{\alpha}{b^{2} - s^{2}} (\Psi_{1} + s \frac{\Phi}{\Delta}) (r_{00} - 2\alpha Q s_{0}) h_{i} + \alpha \left[-\alpha Q' s_{0} h^{i} + \alpha Q (\alpha^{2} s_{i} - y_{i} s_{0}) + \alpha^{2} \Delta s_{i0} + \alpha^{2} (r_{i0} - 2\alpha Q s_{i}) - (r_{00} - 2\alpha Q s_{0}) y_{i} \right] \frac{\Phi}{\Delta} ,$$

$$(6.3)$$

where $\Phi = -(n\Delta + 1 + sQ)(Q - sQ') - (b^2 - s^2)(1 + sQ)Q''$, $\Delta = 1 + sQ + (b^2 - s^2)Q'$ and $Q = \frac{\phi'}{\phi - s\phi'}$. Contracting equation (6.3) with $b^i = a^{im}b_m$, we get

$$\bar{J} = J_i b^i = -\frac{1}{2\Delta\alpha^2} [\Psi_1(r_{00} - 2\alpha Q s_0) + \alpha \Psi_2(r_0 + s_0)], \tag{6.4}$$

where $\Psi_1 = \sqrt{(b^2 - s^2)} \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\frac{\sqrt{(b^2 - s^2)} \Phi}{\frac{3}{\Delta^2}} \right], \Psi_2 = 2(n+1)(Q - sQ') + 3\frac{\Phi}{\Delta}.$

In view of equation (2.10) of the paper [13], we have

$$\overline{J}_{lm}y^{m} - J_{i}a^{ik}(r_{k0} + s_{k0}) - J_{l}\frac{\partial(G^{l} - \overline{G}^{l})}{\partial y^{i}}b^{i} - 2\frac{\partial\overline{J}}{\partial y^{l}}(G^{l} - \overline{G}^{l}) + K\alpha^{2}\phi^{2}I_{m}b^{m}$$

$$= -\frac{n+1}{3}\alpha^{2}\phi^{2}K_{.m}b^{m}.$$
(6.5)

Now let F is an isotropic Berwald metric with almost isotropic flag curvature. In [14], it is proved that every isotropic Berwald metric has isotropic S -curvature. Conversely, suppose that F is of isotropic S

-curvature with scalar flag curvature K. In [2], it is proved that every Finsler metric of isotropic S-curvature has almost isotropic flag curvature. Now our aim to proved that F is a Isotropic Berwald metric. In [15] it is proved that F is an isotropic Berwald metric if and only if it is a Douglas metric with isotropic mean Berwald curvature. Also every Finsler metric of isotropic S-curvature has isotropic mean Berwald curvature. Therefore, to complete the proof, we must show that F is a Douglas metric.

By proposition (2.1), we have S=0. By theorem (1.1) in [2], F must be of isotropic flag curvature K=K(x). Also By Proposition (2.1), β is a killing 1-form with respect to α , that is $r_{ij}=0$ and $s_j=0$. Then equations (6.1), (6.3) and (6.4) reduce to

$$G^{i} - \overline{G}^{i} = \alpha Q s_{0}^{i}, \quad J_{i} = -\frac{\Phi s_{i0}}{2\alpha \Lambda}, \quad \overline{J} = 0$$

$$(6.6)$$

from equation (6.2), we have

$$I_i b^i = -\frac{\Phi}{2\alpha\phi\Delta} (\phi - s\phi')(b^2 - s^2). \tag{6.7}$$

We consider two cases:

Case (i): Let dim $M \ge 3$. In this case, by Schur Lemma F has constant flag curvature and equation (6.5) holds. Thus by equations (6.6) and (6.7), the equation (6.5) reduces to

$$\frac{\Phi s_{i0}}{2\alpha\Lambda}a^{ik}s_{k0} + \frac{\Phi s_{l0}}{2\alpha\Lambda}(\alpha Q s_0^l)_{.i}b^i - KF\frac{\Phi}{2\Lambda}(\phi - s\phi')(b^2 - s^2) = 0.$$

Assuming $\Phi \neq 0$, we have

$$s_{i0}s_0^i + s_{i0}(\alpha Q s_0^i)_i b^i - KF\alpha(\phi - s\phi')(b^2 - s^2) = 0.$$
(6.8)

Now

$$(\alpha Q s_0^l)_i b^i = s Q s_0^i + Q' s_0^i (b^2 - s^2).$$

Then equation (6.8) can be written as follows

$$s_{i0}s_0^i \Delta - K\alpha^2 \phi(\phi - s\phi')(b^2 - s^2) = 0. \tag{6.9}$$

Therefore for
$$F = \frac{\left(\alpha + \beta\right)^{m+1}}{\alpha^m}$$
, we get $\Delta = \frac{1 - ms - m^2s^2 + s - 2ms^2 + m^2b^2 + mb^2}{\left(-1 + ms\right)^2}$.

Hence equation (6.9) becomes

$$s_{i0}s_0^{\ i}(1-ms-m^2s^2+s-2ms^2+m^2b^2+mb^2)-K\alpha^2(1+s)^{2m+1}(b^2-s^2-3msb^2+3ms^3+3m^2s^2b^2-3m^2s^4-m^3s^3b^2+m^3s^5)=0.$$

that is

$$\begin{split} s_{i0}s_{0}^{i}\alpha^{2+2m}(\alpha^{2}-m\alpha\beta-m^{2}\beta^{2}+\alpha\beta-2m\beta^{2}+m^{2}b^{2}\alpha^{2}+mb^{2}\alpha^{2})-\\ K\bigg[\sum_{k=0}^{m}\binom{2m}{2k}\beta^{2m-2k}\alpha^{2k}+\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\binom{2m}{2k+1}\beta^{2m-2k-1}\alpha^{2k+1}\bigg](-b^{2}\alpha^{6}+\alpha^{4}\beta^{2}+3mb^{2}\alpha^{5}\beta-3m\alpha^{3}\beta^{3}-3m^{2}b^{2}\alpha^{4}\beta^{2}+3m^{2}\alpha^{2}\beta^{4}+m^{3}b^{2}\alpha^{3}\beta^{3}-m^{3}\alpha\beta^{5}-b^{2}\alpha^{5}\beta+\alpha^{3}\beta^{3}+3mb^{2}\alpha^{4}\beta^{2}-3m\alpha^{2}\beta^{4}-3m^{2}b^{2}\alpha^{3}\beta^{3}+3m^{2}\alpha\beta^{5}+m^{3}b^{2}\alpha^{2}\beta^{4}-m^{3}\beta^{6})=0. \end{split}$$

Above equation can also be written as: $A + \alpha B = 0$,

where

$$A = s_{i0}s_{0}{}^{i}\alpha^{2+2m}(\alpha^{2}-m^{2}\beta^{2}-2m\beta^{2}+m^{2}b^{2}\alpha^{2}+mb^{2}\alpha^{2}) - K\sum_{k=0}^{m}{2m \choose 2k}\beta^{2m-2k} \times \alpha^{2k}(-b^{2}\alpha^{6}+\alpha^{4}\beta^{2}-3m^{2}b^{2}\alpha^{4}\beta^{2}+3m^{2}\alpha^{2}\beta^{4}+3mb^{2}\alpha^{4}\beta^{2}-3m\alpha^{2}\beta^{4}+m^{3}b^{2}\alpha^{2}\beta^{4}-m^{3}\beta^{6}) - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1}{2m \choose 2k+1}\beta^{2m-2k-1}\alpha^{2k+1}(3mb^{2}\alpha^{5}\beta-\beta m\alpha^{3}\beta^{3}+m^{3}b^{2}\alpha^{3}\beta^{3}-m^{3}\alpha\beta^{5}-b^{2}\alpha^{5}\beta+\alpha^{3}\beta^{3}-3m^{2}b^{2}\alpha^{3}\beta^{3}+3m^{2}\alpha\beta^{5})$$

and

$$B = s_{i0}s_{0}{}^{i}\alpha^{2+2m}(-m\beta+\beta) - K\sum_{k=0}^{m} {2m \choose 2k}\beta^{2m-2k}\alpha^{2k}(3mb^{2}\alpha^{4}\beta - 3m\alpha^{2}\beta^{3} + m^{3}b^{2}\alpha^{2}\beta^{3} - m^{3}\beta^{5} - b^{2}\alpha^{4}\beta + \alpha^{2}\beta^{3} - 3m^{2}b^{2}\alpha^{2}\beta^{3} + 3m^{2}\beta^{5}) - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} {2m \choose 2k+1}\beta^{2m-2k-1} \times \alpha^{2k}(-b^{2}\alpha^{6} + \alpha^{4}\beta^{2} - 3m^{2}b^{2}\alpha^{4}\beta^{2} + 3m^{2}\alpha^{2}\beta^{4} + 3mb^{2}\alpha^{4}\beta^{2} - 3m\alpha^{2}\beta^{4} + m^{3}b^{2}\alpha^{2}\beta^{4} - m^{3}\beta^{6}).$$

Thus we have A = 0 and B = 0.

When A=0, the term which do not contain α^2 is $Km^3\beta^{2m+6}$. This implies β^{2m+6} is not divisible by α^2 . Therefore K=0, hence equation (6.10) reduces to $s_{i0}s_0^i=a_{ij}s_0^js_0^i=0$. Thus we have $s_0^i=0$. That is β is closed. By $r_{00}=0$ and $s_0=0$, it follows that β is parallel with respect to α . Then $F=\frac{(\alpha+\beta)^{m+1}}{\alpha^m}$ is a Berwald metric. Hence F must be locally Minkowskian.

Case (ii): Let dim M=2. Suppose that F has isotropic Berwald curvature. In [14], it is proved that every isotropic Berwald metric has isotropic S -curvature S=(n+1)cF. By proposition (2.1), c=0. Then by equation (1.5), F reduces to a Berwald metric. Since F is a non Riemannian, then by Szabó's rigidity theorem for Berwald surface [16] F must be locally Minkowskian.

References

- [1]. Z. Shen and S. S. Chern, Riemann-Finsler Geometry, (Nankai Tracts in Mathematics, World Scientific, 2004).
- [2]. B.Najafi, Z.Shen and A.Tayebi, Finsler metrics of scalar flag curvature with special non-Riemannian curvature properties, Geom. Dedicata., 131, 2008, 87-97.
- [3]. S.Bacso and M.Matsumoto, On Finsler spaces of Douglas type, A generalization of the notion of Berwald space, Publ. Math. Debrecen., 51, 1997, 385-406.
- [4]. B.Li, Y.Shen and Z.Shen, On a class of Douglas metrics, Studia Sci. Math. Hungarica, 46(3), 2009, 355-365.
- [5]. G.Hamel, Uber die Geometrien in denen die Geraden die Kurzesten sind, Math. Ann., 57, 1903, 231-264.
- [6]. Z.Shen and G.Civi Yildirim, On a Class of Projectively Flat metrics with Constant Flag Curvature, Canadian Journal of Mathematics., 60, 2008, 443-456.
- [7]. Y.Yu, Projectively flat exponential Finsler metrics, J. of Zhejiang Univ. Science A., 6, 2006, 1068-1076.
- [8]. C.H.Xiang and X.Cheng, On a class of weakly-Berwald (α, β) -metrics, J. Math. Res. Expos., 29(2), 2009, 227-236.
- [9]. I.Y.Lee and H. S.Park, Finsler spaces with infinite series (α, β) -metrics, J. Korean Math. Soc., 41(3), 2004, 567-589.
- [10]. M.Matsumoto, The Berwald connection of a Finsler space with an (α, β) -metric, Tensor, N. S., 50, 1991, 18-21.
- [11]. A.Tayebi, T.Tabatabaeifar and E.Peyghan, On the second approximate Matsumoto metric, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., 51(1), 2014, 115-128
- [12]. B.Li and Z.Shen, On a class of weak Landsberg metrics, Sci. China Ser. A., 50(1), 2007, 75-85.
- [13]. X.Y.Cheng, On (α, β) -metrics of scalar Flag curvature with constant S -curvature, Acta Mathematica Sinica, English series., Vol. 26(9), 2010, 1701-1708.
- [14]. A.Tayebi and M.R.Rad, S -curvature of isotropic Berwald metrics, Sci. China Ser. A., 51(2), 2008, 227-236.
- [15]. X.Chen and Z.Shen, On Douglas metric, Publ. Math. Debrecen, 66, 2005, 503-512.
- [16]. D.Bao, S.S.Chern and Z.Shen, An introduction to Riemann-Finsler Geometry, (Springer-Verlag, 2000).