

## Common Fixed Point Theorems For Occasionally Weakly Compatible Mappings

Dr. Vandana Gupta<sup>1</sup>, Dr. V.H.Badshah<sup>2</sup> and Smt.Preeti Malviya<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Govt. Kalidas Girl's College , Ujjain(M.P.),456010, India

<sup>2</sup>School of Studies in Mathematics, Vikram University,Ujjain(M.P.),456010

<sup>3</sup>Govt. New Science College ,Dewas (M.P.) ,Dewas, (M.P.), 455001,India.

**Abstract:** Som [11] establishes a common fixed point theorem for R-weakly commuting mappings in a Fuzzy metric space. The object of this Paper is to prove some fixed point theorems for occasionally Weakly compatible mappings by improving the condition of Som[11].

**Keywords:** Common fixed point ,Fuzzy metric space, Compatible maps, Occasionally weakly compatible mappings

### I. Introduction

Zadeh's [13] introduction of the notion of Fuzzy set in 1965 laid the foundation of Fuzzy mathematics. George and Veeramani [4] modified the concept of Fuzzy metric space introduced by Kramosil and Michalek[8] in 1975. Vasuki [12] and Singh and Chauhan[9] introduced the concept of R-weakly commuting and compatible maps respectively.

In Fuzzy metric space, Cho[2,3] introduced the concept of compatible maps of type  $(\alpha)$  and compatible maps of type  $(\beta)$  in Fuzzy metric space. Singh et. al.[10] proved Fixed point theorems in a Fuzzy metric space. Recently in 2012 Jain et. al. [6] proved various Fixed point theorems using the concept of Semi compatible mapping. In this paper we have used the concept of Occasionally weakly compatible mappings to prove further Results.

### II. Preliminaries and Definitions

**Definition 2.1** [12] Let  $X$  be any set. A Fuzzy set  $A$  in  $X$  is a function with domain  $X$  and values in  $[0,1]$ .

**Definition 2.2** [4] A binary operation  $*$ :  $[0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  is called a continuous  $t$ -norm if an abelian topological monoid with unit 1 such that  $a*b \leq c*d$  whenever  $a \leq c$  and  $b \leq d$ , for all  $a, b, c, d$  in  $[0,1]$ .

**Definition 2.3** [4] The triplet  $(X, M, *)$  is said to be a Fuzzy metric space if,  $X$  is an arbitrary set,  $*$  is a continuous  $t$ -norm and  $M$  is a Fuzzy set on  $X \times X \times [0,1]$

Satisfying the following conditions; for all  $x, y, z$  in  $X$  and  $s, t > 0$ ,

- (i)  $M(x, y, 0) = 0, M(x, y, t) > 0$ ;
- (ii)  $M(x, y, t) = 1$  for all  $t > 0$  if and only if  $x = y$ ,
- (iii)  $M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t)$ ,
- (iv)  $M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, t) \leq M(x, z, t+s)$
- (v)  $M(x, y, \cdot): [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is left continuous.
- (vi)  $M(x, y, t) = 1$ .

It is important to note that every metric space  $(X, d)$  induces a Fuzzy metric space  $(X, M, *)$  where  $a * b = \min\{a, b\}$  and for all  $a, b \in X$

We have  $M(x, y, t) = t / (t + d(x, y))$ , for all  $t > 0$ , and  $M(x, y, 0) = 0$ , so called the Fuzzy metric space induced by the metric  $d$ .

**Definition 2.4** [4] A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in a Fuzzy metric space  $(X, M, *)$  is called a Cauchy sequence if,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_{n+p}, x_n, t) = 1$  for every  $t > 0$  and for each  $p > 0$ .

A Fuzzy metric space  $(X, M, *)$  is Complete if, every Cauchy sequence in  $X$  Converges in  $X$ .

**Definition 2.5** [4] A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in a fuzzy metric space  $(X, M, *)$  is said to be Convergent to  $x$  in  $X$  if,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, x, t) = 1$ , for each  $t > 0$ .

**Definition 2.6** [1] Self mappings  $A$  and  $S$  of a Fuzzy metric space  $(X, M, *)$  are said to be Compatible if and only if  $M(ASx_n, SAx_n, t) \rightarrow 1$  for all  $t > 0$ , whenever

$\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $X$  such that  $Sx_n, Ax_n \rightarrow p$  for some  $p$  in  $X$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Definition 2.7** [7] Two self maps  $A$  and  $S$  of a Fuzzy metric space  $(X, M, *)$  are Said to be Weakly Commuting if  $M(ASx, SAx, t) \geq M(Ax, Sx, t)$  for every  $x \in X$ .

**Definition 2.8** [7] Two self maps A and S of a Fuzzy metric space are R-Weakly Commuting provided there exist some positive real number R such

That  $M(ASx, SAx, t) \geq M(Ax, Sx, t/R)$  for all  $x \in X$ .

**Definition 2.9** [7] Self maps A and S of a Fuzzy metric space  $(X, M, *)$  are said to be Weakly Compatible if they commute at their coincidence points,

if,  $AP=SP$  for some  $p \in X$  then  $ASp=SAp$ .

**Definition 2.10** [7] Self maps A and S of a Fuzzy metric space  $(X, M, *)$  is

said to be Occasionally weakly compatible if and only if there is a point  $x$  in  $X$  which is coincidence point of A and S at which A and S commute.

**Lemma 2.1** [5] Let  $(X, M, *)$  be a Fuzzy metric space. Then for all  $x, y \in X$

$M(x, y, \cdot)$  is a non-decreasing function.

**Lemma 2.2** [2] Let  $(X, M, t)$  be a Fuzzy metric space. If there exists  $k \in (0, 1)$  such that for all  $x, y \in X$ ,  $M(x, y, kt) \geq M(x, y, t)$ , for all  $t > 0$ , then  $x=y$ .

**Lemma 2.3** [10] Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in a Fuzzy metric space  $(X, M, *)$ . If there exists a number  $k \in (0, 1)$  such that  $M(x_{n+2}, x_{n+1}, kt) \geq M(x_{n+1}, x_n, t)$ , for all  $t > 0$ , and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ .

Using R-weak Commutativity, Som [ ] proved the following results:

**Theorem -** Let S and T be two continuous self mappings of a complete Fuzzy metric space  $(X, M, *)$ . Let A be a self mapping of  $X$  satisfying the following condition :

(i)  $A(X) \subset S(X) \cap T(X)$

(ii) (A,S) and (A,T) are R- weakly commuting ,

(iii)  $M(Ax, Ay, t) \geq r (\text{Min}\{M(Sx, Ty, T), M(Sx, Ax, t), M(Sx, Ay, t), M(Ty, Ay, t)\})$

For all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $r: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a continuous function such that

(iv)  $r(t) > t$ , for each  $t < 1$  and  $r(t) = 1$  for  $t = 1$ .

Let the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  in  $X$  be such that  $\{x_n\} \rightarrow X$  and  $\{y_n\} \rightarrow y$ ,  $t > 0$  implies  $M(x_n, y_n, t) \rightarrow M(x, y, t)$ . Then A,S,T have a common fixed point in  $X$ .

### III. Main Results

Now we state and prove main theorem for occasionally weakly compatible mappings.

**Theorem 3.1 :** Let A,S,T be self map on a complete Fuzzy metric space  $(X, M, *)$ , where \* is a continuous t- norm satisfying-

(i)  $A(X) \subseteq S(X) \cap T(X)$

(ii) The pair (A,S) and (A,T) are occasionally weakly compatible,

(iii) There exists  $k \in (0, 1)$  such that , for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $t > 0$ ,

$$M(Ax, Ay, kt) \geq \Phi (\text{Min}\{M(Sx, Ty, t), M(Sx, Ax, t), M(Sx, Ay, t),$$

$M(Ty, Ay, t)\})$ , for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $t > 0$ , where  $\Phi: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$

Is a continuous function such that

(iv)  $\Phi(t) \geq t$  for each  $0 < t < 1$ .

Then A,S,T have a common fixed point in  $X$ .

**Proof:** Let  $x_0 \in X$  be any arbitrary point. Since  $A(X) \subseteq S(X)$  and

$A(X) \subseteq T(X)$ , then there exists a point  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  such that

Thus we can construct sequence  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  in  $X$  such that

$$y_{2n+1} = Ax_{2n} = Tx_{2n+1}, \quad y_{2n+2} = Ax_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n+2}, \quad \text{for } n=0, 1, \dots$$

Thus, by inequality (iii),

$$\begin{aligned} M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, kt) &\geq \Phi(\text{Min}\{M(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, t), M(Sx_{2n}, Ax_{2n}, t), \\ &\quad M(Sx_{2n}, Ax_{2n+1}, t), M(Tx_{2n+1}, Ax_{2n+1}, t)\}) \\ &\geq \Phi(\text{Min}\{M(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t), M(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t), M(y_{2n}, y_{2n+2}, t), \\ &\quad M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, t)\}) \end{aligned}$$

$$M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, kt) \geq \Phi M(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t)$$

Similarly,  $M(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+3}, kt) \geq \Phi M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, t)$

Now, generally

$$M(y_{n+1}, y_n, kt) \geq \Phi M(y_n, y_{n+1}, t)$$

Therefore,  $M(y_{n+1}, y_n, kt)$  is an increasing sequence of positive real numbers in  $[0, 1]$  and tends to limit  $L \leq 1$ .

We claim that  $L=1$ . if  $L < 1$ , then

$$M(y_{n+1}, y_n, kt) > \Phi(M(y_n, y_{n+1}, t)). \text{ On letting } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ we get}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(y_{n+1}, y_n, kt) \geq \Phi(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(y_n, y_{n-1}, t))$$

That is  $L \geq \Phi(L) > L$

a contradiction. Now for any positive integer  $m$ ,

$$M(Ax_n, Ax_{n+m}, kt) \geq M(Ax_n, Ax_{n+1}, t/m) * M(Ax_{n+1}, Ax_{n+2}, t/m) * \dots$$

$$* M(Ax_{n+m+1}, Ax_{n+p}, t/m)$$

$$> (1-\varepsilon) * (1-\varepsilon) * \dots m \text{ - times} = 1-\varepsilon$$

Thus,  $M(Ax_n, Ax_{n+m}, kt) > 1-\varepsilon$ , for all  $t > 0$ .

Hence  $\{Ax_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ .

Since  $X$  is complete  $\{Ax_n\} \rightarrow z_1 \in X$ . Hence the subsequences  $\{Sx_n\}$  and  $\{Tx_n\}$  of  $\{Ax_n\}$  also converges to  $z_1$  in  $X$ .

We have also the following subsequence,

$$\{Ax_{2n+1}\} \rightarrow z_1, \text{ and } \{Tx_{2n+1}\} \rightarrow z_1.$$

Since,  $A(X) \subseteq S(X)$  then exists a point  $p \in X$  such that  $Sp = z_1$

Then by (iii), we have

$$M(Ap, Ax_n, kt) \geq \Phi(\text{Min}\{M(Sp, Tx_n, t), M(Sp, Ap, t), M(Sp, Ax_n, t), M(Tx_n, Ax_n, t)\})$$

On letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(Ap, z_1, kt) &\geq \Phi(\text{Min}\{(z_1, z_1, t), M(z_1, Ap, t), M(z_1, z_1, t), M(z_1, z_1, t)\}) \\ &\geq \Phi(\text{Min}\{1, M(z_1, Ap, t), 1, 1\}) \\ &> M(z_1, Ap, t) \end{aligned}$$

Which gives,  $Ap = z_1$

Therefore,  $Ap = z_1 = Sp$

Similarly, since  $A(X) \subseteq T(X)$ , there must exists a point  $q \in X$ , such that

$$z_1 = Tq$$

Then by (iii), we have

$$Aq = z_1 = Tq$$

Hence,  $Ap = z_1 = Sp = Aq = Tq$ .

Since,  $(A, S)$  is Occasionally weakly compatible, therefore we have

$$ASp = SAp \Rightarrow Az_1 = Sz_1$$

Similarly,  $(A, T)$  is Occasionally weakly compatible, then we have

$$ATq = TAq \Rightarrow Az_1 = Tz_1$$

Now, by (iii), we have (at  $x = z_1, y = x_{2n+1}$ )

$$M(Az_1, Ax_{2n+1}, kt) \geq \Phi(\text{Min}\{M(Sz_1, Tx_{2n+1}, t), M(Sz_1, Az_1, t), M(Sz_1, Ax_{2n+1}, t), M(Tx_{2n+1}, Ax_{2n+1}, t)\})$$

$$M(Az_1, Ax_{2n+1}, kt) \geq \Phi(\text{Min}\{M(Az_1, Tx_{2n+1}, t), M(Az_1, Az_1, t), M(Az_1, Ax_{2n+1}, t), M(Tx_{2n+1}, Ax_{2n+1}, t)\})$$

Taking the limit  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$M(Az_1, z_1, kt) \geq \Phi(\text{Min}\{M(Az_1, z_1, t), 1, M(Az_1, z_1, t), M(z_1, z_1, t)\})$$

$$M(Az_1, z_1, kt) \geq M(Az_1, z_1, t).$$

Therefore by lemma 2.2, we have

$$Az_1 = z_1. \text{ Since } Az_1 = Sz_1 \text{ and } Az_1 = Tz_1,$$

Thus we have,  $z_1 = Az_1 = Sz_1 = Tz_1$ .

Hence  $z_1$  is common fixed point of  $A, S$ , and  $T$ .

**Uniqueness** – Let  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  be two common fixed points of the maps  $A, S$ , and  $T$ .

Then,

$$z_1 = Az_1 = Sz_1 = Tz_1 \text{ and } z_2 = Az_2 = Sz_2 = Tz_2$$

Now, by (iii), we have (at  $x = z_1, y = z_2$ )

$$M(Az_1, Az_2, kt) \geq \Phi(\text{Min}\{M(Sz_1, Tz_2, t), M(Sz_1, Az_1, t), M(Sz_1, Az_2, t), M(Tz_2, Az_2, t)\})$$

$$M(z_1, z_2, kt) \geq \Phi(\text{Min}\{M(z_1, z_2, t), M(z_1, z_1, t), M(z_1, z_2, t), M(z_2, z_2, t)\})$$

$$M(z_1, z_2, kt) \geq \Phi(\text{Min}\{M(z_1, z_2, t), 1, M(z_1, z_2, t), 1\})$$

$$M(z_1, z_2, kt) \geq \Phi(\text{Min}\{M(z_1, z_2, t)\})$$

Therefore, by lemma 2.2, we have,  $z_1 = z_2$ .

Hence  $z$  is the unique common fixed point of the three self maps  $A, S$  and  $T$ .

This completes the proof.

#### IV. Conclusion

Theorem is a generalization of the result of Som [11] in the sense that condition of  $R$ -weakly commuting of the pairs of self maps has been restricted to Occasionally weakly compatible self maps and the requirement of continuity is completely removed.

### References

- [1] Balasubramaniam P , Muralishankar S and Pant R P , Common fixed point P Of four mappings in a fuzzy metric space ,J.Fuzzy Math.,10(2002),379.
- [2] Cho, Y.J.,Fixed point in fuzzy metric space ,J. Fuzzy Math.5 (1997),949-962.
- [3] Cho, Y.J.,Pathak ,H.K.,Kang,S.M.,J.S.,Common fixed point of compatible Mapping of type  $(\beta)$  on Fuzzy metric space, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 93(1998),99-111.
- [4] George A and Veeramani P , On some results in fuzzy metric space ,Fuzzy Sets and systems,64(1994),395.
- [5] Grabiec G ,Fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems,27(1998),385.
- [6] Jain ,A.,Badshah ,V.H. and Prasad, S.K., Fixed Point theorems in Fuzzy Mertic space for Semi Compatible Mappings, Intrenational Journal of Research and Reviews in Applied Sciences,12(2012),523-526.
- [7] Jungek,G.,Murthy,P.P and Cho,Y.J., Compatible mappings of type (A) and Common fixed points,Math.Japonica, 38 ( 1993) , 381-390.
- [8] Kramosil, I. and Michalek,J., Fuzzy metric and statistical metric space,Kybernetica, 11 ( 1975 ), 336-344
- [9] Singh, B . and Chouhan, M.S., Common fixed points of compatible maps in Fuzzy metric space, Fuzzy sets and system, 115 ( 2000 ), 471-475.
- [10] Singh,B., Jain, S. and Jain,S., Generalized theorems on Fuzzy metric spaces Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics, 31 ( 2007 ), 963-978.
- [11] Som, T, some result on common fixed point in Fuzzy metric spaces, Soochow Journal of Math.,33 ( 2007 ), 553-561.
- [12] Vasuki R, Common fixed point for R-weakly commuting mappingsin fuzzy metric spaces, Indian J. Pure and Appl. Math., 30 (1999 ), 419.
- [13] Zadeh L A, Fuzzy sets, Inform. And Control., 89( 1965 ), 338.