e-ISSN: 2278-5728, p-ISSN: 2319-765X. Volume 12, Issue 4 Ver. I (Jul. - Aug.2016), PP 66-68

www.iosrjournals.org

# On Jordan Higher Bi-Derivations On Prime Gamma Rings

Salah M. Salih (1) Ahmed M. Marir (2)

Department of Mathematics, college of Education, Al-Mustansiriya University, Baghdad, Iraq. Email: (1) dr.salahms2014@gmail.com (2) Ahmedaltaai626@yahoo.com

**Abstract;** In this study, we define the concepts of a higher bi-derivation, Jordan higher bi-derivation and Jordan triple higher bi-derivation on  $\Gamma$ -rings and show that a Jordan higher bi-derivation on 2-torsion free prime  $\Gamma$ -ring is a higher bi-derivation.

### I. Introduction

Let M and  $\Gamma$  be two additive abelian groups. If there exists a mapping( $a, \alpha, b$ )  $\to a\alpha b$  of  $M \times \Gamma \times M \to M$  satisfying the following for all  $a,b,c \in M$  and  $\alpha,\beta \in \Gamma$ 

```
i) (a+b)\alpha c = a\alpha c + b\alpha c

a(\alpha+\beta)b = a\alpha b + a\beta b

a\alpha(b+c) = a\alpha b + a\alpha c

ii) (a\alpha b)\beta c = a\alpha(b\beta c)
```

Then M is called  $\Gamma$ -ring.

The notion of a  $\Gamma$ -ring was introduced by Nobusawa [4] and generalized by Barnes [1] as defined above . Many properties of were obtained by Barnes [1], kyuno [2], Luh [3] and others . let M be a  $\Gamma$ -ring . then M is called 2-torsion free if 2a=0 implies a=0 for all  $a\in m$ . Besides , M is called a prime if a  $\Gamma M\Gamma$  b=(0), for all  $a,b\in M$ , implies either a=0 or b=0. and, M is called semiprime if a  $\Gamma M\Gamma$  a=(0) with implies a=0. Note that every prime is obviously semiprime.

M is said to be a commutative  $\Gamma$ -ring if a  $\alpha$ b=b  $\alpha$  a holds for all a,b  $\in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . Let M be a  $\Gamma$ -ring, then ,for a,b  $\in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , we define  $[a,b]_{\alpha} = a \alpha b$ -b  $\alpha a$  known as the commutator of a and b with respect to  $\alpha$ . The notion of derivation and Jordan derivation on a  $\Gamma$ -ring were defined by M. Sapanci and A. Nakajima in [5] as follows an additive mapping d:M  $\rightarrow$  M is called a derivation of M if d(a  $\alpha$ b)= d(a)  $\alpha$ b+a  $\alpha$ d(b) for all a,b  $\in M$ , And, if d(a  $\alpha$ a)= d(a)  $\alpha$ a+a  $\alpha$ d(a) for all a  $\in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , then d is called a Jordan derivation of M.

A mapping  $d:M \to M$  is said to be symmetric if d(a,b)=d(b,a), for all  $a,b \in M$ . An bi-additive mapping  $d:M \times M \to M$  is called a symmetric bi-derivation on  $M \times M$  into M if  $d(a \alpha b,c) = d(a,c) \alpha b+a \alpha d(b,c)$  for all  $a,b,c \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . And, if  $d(a \alpha a,c) = d(a,c) \alpha a+a \alpha d(a,c)$  for all  $a,c \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , then d is called a Jordan bi-derivation on  $M \times M$  into M. The notion of symmetric bi-derivation was introduced by G.Maksa [6]

A mapping  $F:M\to M$  defined by F(a)=D(a,a), where  $D:M\times M\to M$  is a symmetric mapping is called the trace of D it is obvious that in the case is a symmetric mapping which is also bi-additive (i.e. additive in both arguments) .the trace F of D satisfies the relation F(a+b)=F(a)+F(b), for all  $a,b\in M$ .

In our work we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.1.** [7] let M be a 2-torsion free semi prime  $\Gamma$ -ring and suppose that  $a,b \in M$  if  $a \Gamma m \Gamma b + b \Gamma m \Gamma a = (0)$  for all  $a,b \in M$ , then  $a \Gamma m \Gamma b = b \Gamma m \Gamma a = (0)$ .

## II. Higher bi-derivation on $\Gamma$ -ring:

In this section we present the concepts of higher bi-derivation , Jordan higher bi-derivation and Jordan triple higher bi-derivation on  $\Gamma$ -rings and we study the properties of them.

**Definition 2.1:** Let M be a  $\Gamma$ -ring and D= $(d_i)_{i \in I}$  be a family of bi-additive mappings on M×M into M, such that  $d_0(a,b)$ =a for all  $a,b \in M$ , then D is called a higher bi-derivation on M×M into M if for every  $a,b,c,d \in M$ , and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ 

DOI: 10.9790/5728-1204016668 www.iosrjournals.org 66 | Page

$$d_n(a\,\alpha\,b,c\,\alpha\,d) = \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(a,c)\,\alpha\ d_j(b,d)$$

D is said to be a Jordan higher bi-derivation if

$$d_n(a \alpha a, c \alpha c) = \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(a,c) \alpha d_j(a,c)$$

D is called a Jordan triple higher bi-derivation

$$d_n(a\,\alpha\,b\,\beta\,a,c\,\alpha\,d\,\beta\,c) = \sum_{i+i+k=n} d_i(a,c)\,\alpha\ d_j(b,d)\,\beta\,d_k(a,c)$$

Note that  $d_n(a+b,c+d)=d(a,c)+d(b,d)$  for all  $a,b,c,d \in M$  and  $n \in N$ ..

### Example 2.2.

Let  $M = \{(a) : x, y \in R \}$ , R is real number.

M be a  $\Gamma$ -ring of 2×2 matrices and  $\Gamma$ = { : r $\in$ R } we use the usual addition and multiplication on matrices of M× $\Gamma$ ×M , we define di : M× $\Gamma$ ×M  $\rightarrow$ M , i $\in$ N by

di (, ) = for all,  $\epsilon$  M

= Such that m =

Then d is a higher bi- derivation on  $\Gamma$ -ring

### Lemma 2.3.

let M be a  $\Gamma$ -ring and D =(di )I $\epsilon$ N be a Jordan higher bi-derivation on M×M into M. then for all a,b,c,d,s,t  $\epsilon$  M ,  $\alpha$  ,  $\beta \epsilon \Gamma$  and n  $\epsilon$  N , the following statements hold :

$$(\ i\ )\ d\ n\ (\ a\ \alpha\ b\ +b\ \alpha\ a\ ,\ c\ \alpha\ d\ +\ d\ \alpha\ c\ ) = \sum_{i+j=n}\ d_i(a,c)\ \alpha\ d_j(b,d)\ +\ d_i(b,d)\ \alpha\ d_j(a,c)$$

$$(\ ii\ )\ dn\ \ (\ a\ \alpha\ b\beta a\ +\ a\beta b\ \alpha\ a\ ,\ c\ \alpha\ d\beta c\ ) = \sum_{i+j+k=n} d_i(a,c)\ \alpha\ d_j(b,d)\ \beta\ d_k(a,c)\ +\ d_i(a,c)\ \beta\ d_j(b,d)\ \alpha\ d_k(a,c)$$

Especially, if M is 2-torsion free, then

(iii) 
$$d_n$$
 (  $a \alpha b \alpha c + c \alpha b \alpha a$ ,  $s \alpha d \alpha t + t \alpha d \alpha s$ ) =  $\sum d_i(a,s) \alpha d_i(b,d) \alpha d_k(c,t) + d_i(c,t) \alpha d_i(b,d) \alpha d_k(a,s)$ 

Proof. (i) is obtained by computing and (ii) is also obtained by replacing  $a\beta b+b\beta a$  for b and  $c\beta d+d\beta c$  for d in (i), in (ii). If we replace a+c for a and s+t for c in (iii), we can get (iv).

**Definition 2.4.** let M be a Γ-ring and D =( $d_i$ ), iεN be a Jordan higher bi- derivation on M×M into. then for all a,b,c,d ε M, α εΓ and n ε N, we define

$$\psi_n(a,b,c,d)_\alpha = dn (a \alpha b,c \alpha d) - \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(a,c) \alpha d_j(b,d)$$

**Lemma 2.5** . let M be a  $\Gamma$ -ring and D=(d<sub>i</sub>),i $\epsilon$ N be a Jordan higher bi- derivation on M×M into M. then for all a,b,c,d,s,t  $\epsilon$  M ,  $\alpha$  ,  $\beta\epsilon\Gamma$  and n  $\epsilon$  N.

- $i) \psi_n(a,b,c,d)_\alpha = \psi_n(b,a,d,c)$
- ii)  $\psi_n(a+s,b,c,d)_a = \psi_n(a,b,c,d)_a + \psi_n(s,b,c,d)_a$
- iii)  $\psi_n(a,b+s,c,d)_\alpha = \psi_n(a,b,c,d)_\alpha + \psi_n(a,s,c,d)_\alpha$
- iv)  $\psi_n(a,b,c+s,d)_\alpha = \psi_n(a,b,c,d)_\alpha + \psi_n(a,b,s,d)_\alpha$
- v)  $\psi_n(a,b,c,d+s)_\alpha = \psi_n(a,b,c,d)_\alpha + \psi_n(a,b,c,s)_\alpha$

Proof. These results follow easily by lemma 1 and the definition of  $\psi_n$ .

Note that d is a higher bi-derivation iff  $\psi_n(a,b,c,d)_\alpha = 0$  for all  $a,b,c,d \in M$ ,  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  and  $n \in N$ .

### **III.** The Main Results

Throughout the following , we assume that M is an arbitrary  $\Gamma$ -ring and f a higher bi-derivation on M×M into M . clearly , every higher bi- derivation on M×M in to M is a Jordan bi-derivation . the converse in general is not true . in the present paper ,it is shown that every Jordan higher bi- derivation on certain  $\Gamma$ -rings is a higher bi-derivation .

**Lemma 3.1**. let M be a 2-torsion free and D =(d<sub>i</sub>), i $\epsilon$ N be a Jordan higher bi-derivation on M×M into M . then for all a,b,c,d,s,t  $\epsilon$  M ,  $\alpha$  ,  $\beta\epsilon\Gamma$  and n  $\epsilon$  N , if  $\psi_n(a,b,c,d)_\alpha$  =0 for every t  $\leq$  n then:

DOI: 10.9790/5728-1204016668 www.iosrjournals.org 67 | Page

```
\Psi_n(a,b,c,d)_\alpha \beta m \beta [a,b]_\alpha + [a,b]_\alpha \beta m \beta \Psi_n(a,b,c,d)_\alpha = 0
Proof . let s \in M, since d_n is bi- additive mapping then by Lemma 2.1. (iv) we obtain:
d_n(a \alpha b \beta m \beta b \alpha a + b \alpha a \beta m \beta a \alpha b, c \alpha d \beta s \beta d \alpha c + d \alpha c \beta s \beta c \alpha d)
=d_n((a\alpha b)\beta m\beta (b\alpha a)+(b\alpha a)\beta m\beta (a\alpha b), (c\alpha d)\beta s\beta (d\alpha c)+(d\alpha c)\beta s\beta (c\alpha d))
 =\sum_{i+j+k=n} \ d_i(a\,\alpha b\,,c\,\alpha\,d) \ \beta\,d_j(m,s) \ \beta\,d_k(b\,\alpha\,a,d\,\alpha\,c) + d_i(b\,\alpha\,a\,,d\alpha c)\beta\,d_j(m,s) \ \beta\,d_k(a\,\alpha\,b,\,c\,\alpha\,d)
=d_{n}(a\ \alpha\ b,c\ \alpha\ d)\ \beta\ m\ \beta\ b\ \alpha\ a\ +\ a\ \alpha\ b\ \beta\ m\ \beta\ d_{n}(b\ \alpha\ a,c\ \alpha\ d)\ +\ \sum_{i+j+k=n\atop j+j+k=n}^{i,k< n}\ d_{i}(a\ \alpha\ b,c\ \alpha\ d)\ \beta\ d_{j}(m,s)\ \beta\ d_{k}(b\ \alpha\ a,d\ \alpha\ c)+
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       d_i(b)
 \alpha a, d \alpha c) \beta d_i(m,s) \beta d_k(a \alpha b, c \alpha d)
= d_n(a \alpha b, c \alpha d) \beta m \beta b \alpha a + a \alpha b \beta m \beta d_n(b \alpha a, d \alpha c) + d_n(b \alpha a, d \alpha c) \beta m \beta a \alpha b + b \alpha a \beta m \beta d_n(a \alpha b, c \alpha d) +
                          d_{q}(a,c) \, \alpha \, d_{t}(b,d) \, \beta \, d_{j}(m,s) \, \beta \, d_{h}(b,d) \, \alpha \, d_{g}(a,c) \, + d_{q}(b,d) \, \alpha \, d_{t}(a,c) \, \beta \, d_{j}(m,s) \, \beta \, d_{h}(a,c) \, \alpha \, d_{g}(b,d)
On the other hand: by lemma 2.3. (iii)
d_n(a \alpha b \beta m \beta b \alpha a + b \alpha a \beta m \beta a \alpha b, c \alpha d \beta s \beta d \alpha c + d \alpha c \beta s \beta c \alpha d)
=d_n(a \alpha(b \beta m \beta b) \alpha a + b \alpha(a \beta m \beta a) \alpha b, c \alpha(d \beta s \beta d) \alpha c + d \alpha(c \beta s \beta c) \alpha d)
= \sum_{q+k+g=n} d_q(a,c) \alpha d_k(b \beta m \beta b, d \beta s \beta d) \alpha d_g(a,c) + d_q(b,d) \alpha d_k(a \beta m \beta a) \alpha d_g(b,d)
= \sum_{\substack{q+t+j+h+g=n\\ d \neq t}} d_q(a,c) \ \alpha \ d_t(b,d) \ \beta \ d_j(m,s) \ \beta \ d_h(b,d) \ \alpha \ d_g(a,c) \ + \ d_q(b,d) \ \alpha \ d_t(a,c) \ \beta \ d_j(m,s) \ \beta \ d_h(a,c) \ \alpha \ d_g(b,d)
d_{o}(b,d)
Compare (1) and (2) we get:
d_{n}(a\,\alpha\,b,c\,\alpha\,d)\,\beta\,m\,\beta\,b\,\alpha\,a\,\,-\,\,\sum_{q^{+}\ell=n}d_{q}(a,c)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\beta\,m\,\beta\,b\,\alpha\,a\,\,+\,\,a\,\alpha\,b\,\beta\,m\,\beta\,d_{n}(b\,\alpha a\,,d\,\alpha\,c)\,\,\,-\,\,a\,\alpha\,b\,\beta\,m\,\beta\,\,\sum_{h^{+}g^{-}n}d_{h}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\beta\,m\,\beta\,b\,\alpha\,a\,\,+\,\,a\,\alpha\,b\,\beta\,m\,\beta\,d_{n}(b\,\alpha a\,,d\,\alpha\,c)\,\,\,-\,\,a\,\alpha\,b\,\beta\,m\,\beta\,\,\sum_{h^{+}g^{-}n}d_{h}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(b,d)\,\alpha\,d_{t}(
d_h(a,c) \alpha d_o(b,d)=0
 \psi_{\,n}(a,b,c,d)_{\alpha}\,m\,\beta\,\,\beta\,\,b\,\,\alpha\,\,a\,+\,a\,\alpha\,\,b\,\,\beta\,\,m\,\,\beta\,\,\,\psi_{\,n}(a,b,c,d)_{\alpha}\,\,+\,\psi_{\,n}(b,a,d,c)_{\alpha}\,\,\beta\,\,m\,\,\beta\,\,a\,\,\alpha\,\,b\,+\,b\,\,\alpha\,\,a\,\,\beta\,\,m\,\,\beta\,\,\psi_{\,n}(a,b,c,d)_{\alpha}\,\,=0
  \Psi_{n}(a,b,c,d)_{\alpha} \beta m \beta [b,a] + [b,a] \beta m \beta \Psi n(a,b,c,d) \alpha = 0
  \Psi_n(a,b,c,d)_\alpha \beta m \beta [a,b] + [a,b] \beta m \beta \Psi n(a,b,c,d) \alpha = 0.
Lemma 3.2. let M be 2-torsion free prime \Gamma -ring and D=(d_i), i \in N be a Jordan higher bi-derivation on
M \times M into M, then for all a,b,c,d,m \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma and n \in N
  \Psi_n(a,b,c,d)_\alpha \beta m \beta [a,b] = [a,b] \beta m \beta \Psi n(a,b,c,d) \alpha = 0.
Proof: By lemma 3.1. and lemma 1.1., we obtain the proof.
Theorem 3.3. let M be 2-torsion free prime \Gamma-ring and D=(d_i), i \in \mathbb{N} be a Jordan higher bi-derivation on
M \times M into M , then for all a,b,c,d,m \in M \alpha,\ \beta \in \Gamma and n \in N
 \Psi_{n}(a,b,c,d)_{\alpha} \beta m \beta [s,t] = 0.
Proof. Replacing a+s for a in lemma 3.2. we get
      \psi_{n}(a+s,b,c,d)_{\alpha} \beta m \beta [a+s,b] = 0
 \Psi_{n}(a,b,c,d)_{\alpha} \beta m \beta [a,b] + \Psi_{n}(a,b,c,d)_{\alpha} \beta m \beta [s,b] + \Psi_{n}(s,b,c,d)_{\alpha} \beta m \beta [a,b] + \Psi_{n}(s,b,c,d)_{\alpha} \beta m \beta [s,b] = 0
By lemma 3.2. we get
 \Psi_n(a,b,c,d)_\alpha \beta m \beta [s,b] + \Psi_n(s,b,c,d)_\alpha \beta m \beta [a,b] = 0
There fore
 \Psi_n(a,b,c,d)_\alpha \beta m \beta [s,b] \beta m \beta \Psi_n(a,b,c,d)_\alpha \beta m \beta [s,b]
```

DOI: 10.9790/5728-1204016668

...(1)

=- $\psi_n(a,b,c,d)_\alpha \beta m \beta [s,b] \beta m \beta \psi_n(s,b,c,d)_\alpha \beta m \beta [a,b] =0$ 

Hence, by the primmess on M:

 $\Psi_{n}(a,b,c,d)_{\alpha} \beta m \beta [s,b] = 0$ 

Similarly, by replacing b+t for b in this equality we get:

$$\psi_{n}(a,b,c,d)_{\alpha} \beta m \beta [a,t] = 0 \qquad ...(2)$$

Thus:  $\psi_n(a,b,c,d)_{\alpha} \beta m \beta [a+s,b+t] = 0$ 

 $\psi_n(a,b,c,d)_\alpha \beta m \beta [a,b] + \psi_n(a,b,c,d)_\alpha \beta m \beta [a,t] + \psi_n(a,b,c,d)_\alpha \beta m \beta [s,b] + \psi_n(a,b,c,d)_\alpha \beta m \beta [s,t] = 0$ 

By using (1), (2) and lemma 3.2. we get

 $\Psi_{n}(a,b,c,d)_{\alpha} \beta m \beta [s,t] = 0$ 

**Theorem 3.4.** Let M be 2-torsion free prime  $\Gamma$ -ring .Then every Jordan higher bi-derivation on M×M into M is a higher bi-derivation on M×M into M.

Proof. Let M be 2-torsion free prime  $\Gamma$  -ring and D=(d<sub>i</sub>) i  $\in$  N be a Jordan higher bi-derivation on M×M into M By Theorem 3.3.

 $\psi_n(a,b,c,d)_\alpha \beta m \beta [s,t] = 0$  for all  $a,b,c,d,m,s,t \in M$ ,  $\alpha,\beta \in \Gamma$  and  $n \in N$  since M is prime, we get either  $\psi_n(a,b,c,d)_\alpha = 0$  or [s,t]=0, for all  $a,b,c,d,s,t \in M$ ,  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  and  $n \in N$ 

if  $[s,t] \neq 0$  for all  $s,t \in M$  Then  $\psi_n(a,b,c,d)_\alpha = 0$  for all  $a,b,c,d \in M$ ,  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  and  $n \in N$  hence we get, D is a higher bi-derivation on  $M \times M$  into M.

But, if [s,t] = 0 for all  $s,t \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , then M is commutative and therefore, we have from lemma 2.2.(i)

$$2d_n(a \alpha b, c \alpha d) = 2\sum_{i+j=n} d_i(a,c) \alpha d_j(b,d)$$

Since M is 2-torsion free, we obtain that D is a higher bi-derivation on M×M into M.

**Proposition 3.5.** Let M be 2-torsion free  $\Gamma$ -ring then every Jordan higher be-derivation on M×M into M such that  $a \alpha b \beta c = a \beta b \alpha c$  for all  $a,b,c \in M$  and  $\alpha,\beta \in \Gamma$  is a Jordan triple higher bi-derivation on M×M into M.

Proof. Let M be 2-torsion free  $\Gamma$ -ring and  $D=(d_i)$   $i \in N$  be a Jordan higher bi-derivation on  $M \times M$  into M By lemma 2.3(ii).

 $d_n(a \alpha b \beta a + a \beta b \alpha a, c \alpha d \beta c + c \beta d \alpha c)$ 

$$= \sum_{i+j+k=n} d_i(a,c) \, \alpha \, d_j(b,d) \, \beta \, d_k(a,c) + d_i(a,c) \, \beta \, d_j(b,d) \, \alpha \, d_k(a,c)$$

for all  $a,b,c,d \in M$ ,  $\alpha,\beta \in \Gamma$  and  $n \in N$ 

 $d_{n}(a\,\alpha\,b\,\beta\,a,c\,\alpha\,d\,\beta\,c) + d_{n}(a\,\beta\,b\,\alpha\,a,c\,\beta\,d\,\alpha\,c)$ 

$$= \sum_{i+j+k=n} d_i(a,c) \, \alpha \, d_j(b,d) \, \beta \, d_k(a,c) + \sum_{i+j+k=n} d_i(a,c) \, \beta \, d_j(b,d) \, \alpha \, d_k(a,c)$$

Since  $a \alpha b \beta c = a \beta b \alpha c$  for all  $a,b,c \in M$  and  $\alpha,\beta \in \Gamma$ , we get

$$2d_{n}(a\,\alpha\,b\,\beta\,a,c\,\alpha\,d\,\beta\,c) = 2\sum_{i+j+k=n} d_{i}(a,c)\,\alpha\,d_{j}(b,d)\,\beta\,d_{k}(a,c)$$

Since M is a 2-torsion free we have:

$$d_{\scriptscriptstyle n}(a\,\alpha\,b\,\beta\,a,c\,\alpha\,d\,\beta\,c) = \sum_{i+j+k=n} d_i(a,c)\,\alpha\,d_j(b,d)\,\beta\,d_k(a,c)$$

i.e D is Jordan triple higher bi-derivation on  $M \times M$  into M.

### Reference

[1]. Barnes , W.E. On the  $\, s \,$  of Nobusawa , pasific J.Math . 18 , 411- 422 ,1966 .

- Kyuno , S. On prime gamma rings , pacific J.Math .  $75,185-190,\ 1978$  .
- [2]. [3]. [4].
- Luh, J. On the theory of simple rings , Michigan Math . J. 16, 65 75, 1969 . Nobusawa, N. On a generalization of the ring theory, Osaka J.Math . 1, 81-89, 1964 .
- M. Sapanci, M. and A. Nakajima, Jordan derivations on completely prime gamma rings, Math. Japonica, 46 (1), 47-51, [5].
- G. Maksa . "Remark on symmetric bi-additive functions having non-negative diagonalization", Glasink Math . 15 (1980) [6]. 279-280
- Salih .S.M. " on prime  $\Gamma$ -rings with Derivations " , Ph .D.Thesis, Department of Mathematics , college of Education , [7]. Al-Mustansiriya University, 2010.

DOI: 10.9790/5728-1204016668 www.iosrjournals.org 70 | Page