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#### Abstract

: Background: A double sequence $A=\left(a_{i j k l}\right)$ is said to belong to the class $(X, Y)$, where $X$ and $Y$ are two sequence spaces, if any sequence $x=\left\{x_{m n}\right\}$ in $X$ is transformed to a sequence $y=\left\{y_{m n}\right\}$ in $Y$ by the matrix transformation $y_{m n}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{m n j k} x_{j k}$ such that the sequence $\left\{y_{m n}\right\}$ exists and converges in the Pringsheim sense.A $t$ sequence $x=\left\{x_{m n}\right\}$ of real is said to be $[\lambda, \mu]$-almost convergent (briefly, $\mathcal{F}_{[\lambda, \mu]}$ - convergent) to some number $l$ if $x \in \mathcal{F}_{[\lambda, \mu]}$, where $$
\begin{gathered} \mathcal{F}_{[\lambda, \mu]}=\left\{x=\left\{x_{m n}\right\}: p-\lim _{i j \rightarrow \infty} \Omega_{i, j, s, t}(x)=\text { Lexists, uniformly in } s, t ; L=\mathcal{F}_{[\lambda, \mu]}-\text { lim } x\right\}, \\ \text { and, } \Omega_{i, j, s, t}(x)=\frac{1}{\lambda_{i} \mu_{j}} \sum_{m \in J_{i}} \sum_{n \in I_{j}} x_{m+s, n+t} . \end{gathered}
$$


Materials and methods: For double sequences the Cauchy's criterion of convergence has been modified by Pringsheim. Similarly, the necessary and sufficient conditions for the regularity of an infinite four dimensional matrix is a given by Robison. These concepts has been utilized to generalize the concept of $[\lambda, \mu]$-almost convergence double sequencesthrough de la Vallèe-Poussin mean and characterized some four-dimensional infinites matrices. We collect the relevant publications in this field and apply the same technique as applied in these papers to generalize the known results.
Results: In this paper we characterizeinfinite four-dimensional matrices which transform the sequence belonging to the space of bounded double sequence into the space of generalized almost convergence double sequence (i.e. $A=\left(a_{p q j k}\right) \in\left(C_{b p}, \mathcal{F}_{[\lambda, \mu]}\right)$ ). We introduced the concept of $[\lambda, \mu]$-almost Cauchy double sequences. It has also been proved that the space generalized almost convergence double sequence is regular (i.e. $\mathcal{F}_{[\lambda, \mu]}$ - regular).

Conclusion: The condition $\sup _{m, n, s, t, j, k}\left|\frac{1}{\lambda_{m} \mu_{n}} \sum_{p \in J_{m}} \sum_{q \in I_{n}} a_{p+s, q+t, j, k}\right|<\infty$ has been found to be necessary and sufficient for a four-dimensional matrixA $=\left(a_{p q j k}\right) \in\left(C_{b p}, \mathcal{F}_{[\lambda, \mu]}\right)$ to be $[\lambda, \mu]$-almost convergent. Again the necessary and sufficient conditions have been established for amatrix $A=\left(a_{p q j k}\right)$ to be $\mathcal{F}_{[\lambda, \mu]}-$ regular.
Keywords: $[\lambda, \mu]$-almost convergence, $\mathcal{F}_{[\lambda, \mu]}$ - regular matrix, $[\lambda, \mu]$-Cauchy double sequences,
$[\lambda, \mu]$-almost coercive matrix.
Date of Submission: 06-05-2020
Date of Acceptance: 19-05-2020

## I. Introduction

The definition of almost convergence of the sequences of real numbers $x=\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ was given by Lorentz (1948) ${ }^{1}$ as follows:

A sequence $x=\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is said to be almost convergent to $l$ if for every $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $\mathrm{N} \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x_{n+i}-l\right|<\varepsilon$ for all $\mathrm{i}>\mathrm{N}$. We write $f-$ limitx $=l$.
Moricz and Rhoades (1988) $)^{2}$ extended the concept of almost convergenceof a sequence $x=\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ to double sequences of real numbers $x=\left\{x_{m n}\right\}$. The sequence $x=\left\{x_{m n}\right\}$ almost converges tol, if for all $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\frac{1}{p q} \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} x_{m+i, n+j}-l\right|<\varepsilon, \text { for all } \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}>\mathrm{N} \text { and for all }(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n}) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \text {. } \\
& \text { (1.1) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Moricz and Rhoades also characterized some matrix classes involving this concept.
As in the case of single sequences, every almost convergent double sequence is bounded. But a convergent double sequence need not be bounded. Thus, a convergent double sequence need not be almost convergent. However, every bounded convergent double sequence is almost convergent.

The idea of almost convergence is narrowly connected with the Banach limits; that is, a sequence $x_{n} \in \ell_{\infty}$ is almost convergent to $l$ if all of its Banach limits are equal. As an application of almost convergence,

Mohiuddine (2011) ${ }^{3}$ obtained some approximation theorems for sequence of positive linear operator through this notion.
Let $\left\{A=a_{p q m n}, p, q=0,1,2, \ldots\right\}$ be a doubly infinite matrix of real numbers for all $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n}=0,1,2, \cdots$. The sums

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{p q}=(A x)_{p q}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{p q m n} x_{m n} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

called the A-mean of the sequence $x=\left\{x_{j k}\right\}$, yield a method of summability. More exactly, we say that a sequence $x=\left\{x_{j k}\right\}$ is A-summable to the limit $l$ if the A-mean exists for all $\mathrm{j}, \mathrm{k}=0,1,2, \ldots$ in the sense of Pringsheim

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{m} \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{p q j k} x_{j k}=y_{p q} \text { and } \lim _{p q \rightarrow \infty} y_{p q}=l . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We say that a matrix A is bounded regular if every bounded and convergent sequence $x=\left\{x_{j k}\right\}$ is A-summable to the same limit and the A-means are bounded.(Başarir M. 1995) ${ }^{4}$
Let $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{m}: m=0,1,2, \ldots\right)$ and $\mu=\left(\mu_{n}: n=0,1,2, \ldots\right)$ be two nondecreasing sequences of positive real numbers with each tending to $\infty$ such that $\lambda_{m+1} \leq \lambda_{m}+1, \lambda_{1}=0, \mu_{n+1} \leq \mu_{n}+1, \mu_{1}=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Im_{m n}(x)=\frac{1}{\lambda_{m} \mu_{n}} \sum_{j \in J_{m}} \sum_{k \in I_{n}} x_{j k} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called thedoubledelaVallée - Poussinmean, where $J_{m}=\left[m-\lambda_{m}+1, \mathrm{~m}\right]$ and $I_{n}=\left[n-\mu_{n}+1, n\right]$. We denote the set of all $\lambda$ and $\mu$ type sequence by using the symbol $[\lambda, \mu]$.
Quite recently, Mohiuddine and Alotaibi (2014) ${ }^{5}$ presented a generalization of the notion of almost convergent double sequences with the help of de la Vallèe-Poussin mean and called it $[\lambda, \mu]$-almost convergent.They obtained some useful results using this concept.

## II. Material and Methods

We recall some concepts and results on the almost convergent double sequences through the notion of de la Vallèe-Poussin mean and infinite four-dimensional matrices. These results will be used in this paper.
Theorem 2.1 (Robison, 1999) ${ }^{6}$ : Necessary and sufficient conditions for the matrix $A=\left(a_{p q m n}\right)$ to be regular are:

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\text { i. } & \lim _{p, q \rightarrow \infty} a_{p, q, m, n}=0, \text { for each } \mathrm{m} \text { and } \mathrm{n} \\
\text { i. } & \lim _{p, q \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=1}^{p} \sum_{n=1}^{q} a_{p q m n}=1 \\
\text { iii. } & \lim _{p, q \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=1}^{p}\left|a_{p q m n}\right|=0 \text {, for each } \mathrm{n}, \\
\text { iv. } & \lim _{p, q \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^{q}\left|a_{p q m n}\right|=0 \text {, for each } \mathrm{m}, \\
\text { v. } & \sum_{m=1}^{p} \sum_{n=1}^{q}\left|a_{p q m n}\right| \leq D<\infty, \text { where, D is some constant. }
\end{array}
$$

Definition 2.2 (Mohiuddine and Alotaibi, 2014) ${ }^{5}$ : A double sequence $x=\left\{x_{m n}\right\}$ of real is said to be $[\lambda, \mu]$ almost convergent (briefly, $\mathcal{F}_{[\lambda, \mu]}-$ convergent) to some number $l$ if $x \in \mathcal{F}_{[\lambda, \mu]}$, where
$\mathcal{F}_{[\lambda, \mu]}=\left\{x=\left\{x_{m n}\right\}: p-\lim _{i j \rightarrow \infty} \Omega_{i, j, s, t}(x)=L\right.$ exists, uniformly in $\left.s, t ; L=\mathcal{F}_{[\lambda, \mu]}-\operatorname{limx}\right\}$,
Where

$$
\Omega_{i, j, s, t}(x)=\frac{1}{\lambda_{i} \mu_{j}} \sum_{m \in J_{i}} \sum_{n \in I_{j}} x_{m+s, n+t} .
$$

Denote by $\mathcal{F}_{[\lambda, \mu]}$, the space of all $[\lambda, \mu]$-almost convergent sequence $\left\{x_{m n}\right\}$. Note that $\mathcal{C}_{B P} \subset \mathcal{F}_{[\lambda, \mu]} \subset \ell_{\infty}$.
Definition 2.3 (Mohiuddine and Alotaibi, 2014) ${ }^{5}$ : A four-dimensional matrix $A=\left(a_{p q n m}\right)$ is said to be $[\lambda, \mu]-$ almost regular if $A x \in \mathcal{F}_{[\lambda, \mu]}$ for all $x=\left\{x_{m n}\right\} \in \mathcal{C}_{B P}$, where $\mathcal{C}_{B P}$ denotes the set of all bounded convergent double sequences in the Pringsheim sense, with $\mathcal{F}_{[\lambda, \mu]}-\operatorname{limitAx}=$ limx, and one denotes this by $A \in$ $\left(\mathcal{C}_{B P}, \mathcal{F}_{[\lambda, \mu]}\right)$ reg.
Definition 2.4 (Mohiuddine and Alotaibi, 2014) ${ }^{7}$ : A matrix $A=\left(a_{\text {pqm }}\right)$ is said to be of class $\left(\mathcal{F}_{[\lambda, \mu]}, \mathcal{F}_{[\lambda, \mu]}\right)$ if it maps every $\mathcal{F}_{[\lambda, \mu]}$-convergent double sequence into $\mathcal{F}_{[\lambda, \mu]}$-convergent double sequence; that is, $A x \in \mathcal{F}_{[\lambda, \mu]}$ for all $x=\left\{x_{m n}\right\} \in \mathcal{F}_{[\lambda, \mu]}$. In addition, if $\mathcal{F}_{[\lambda, \mu]}-\operatorname{limitAx}=\mathcal{F}_{[\lambda, \mu]}$ - limit $x$, then A is $\mathcal{F}_{[\lambda, \mu]}$-regular and, in symbol, one will write $A \in\left(\mathcal{F}_{[\lambda, \mu]}, \mathcal{F}_{[\lambda, \mu]}\right)$ reg.
Definition 2.5 (Cunjalo, 2008) ${ }^{8}$ : The sequence $x=\left\{x_{m n}\right\}$ is almost Cauchy, if for all $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\left|\frac{1}{p_{1} q_{1}} \sum_{i=0}^{p_{1}-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q_{1}-1} x_{m_{1}+i, n_{1}+j}-\frac{1}{p_{2} q_{2}} \sum_{i=0}^{p_{2}-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q_{2}-1} x_{m_{2}+i, n_{2}+j}\right|<\varepsilon
$$

for all $p_{1,} p_{2}, q_{1}, q_{2}>k$ and for all $\left(m_{1}, n_{1}\right),\left(m_{2}, n_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$
It is known that a double sequence $x=\left\{x_{m n}\right\}$ of real number is Cauchy sequence if and only if it convergent The equivalent of almost convergence is almost Cauchy condition.

Definition 2.6(Mohiuddine and Alotaibi, 2014) ${ }^{5}$ : A matrix $A=\left(a_{p q j k}\right)$ is said to be $[\lambda, \mu]$-almost coercive if it maps every $C_{B P}$-convergent double sequence $x=\left\{x_{j k}\right\}$ into $\mathcal{F}_{[\lambda, \mu]}$-convergent double sequence, that is, $A x \in \mathcal{F}_{[\lambda, \mu]}$ for all $x=\left\{x_{j k}\right\} \in \mathrm{C}_{b p}$. We denote this by $A=\left(a_{p q j k}\right) \in\left(C_{b p}, \mathcal{F}_{[\lambda, \mu]}\right)$.
Lemma 2.1 ( $\mathbf{C u n j a l o , ~ 2 0 0 8 )}{ }^{\text {8 }}$ : The sequence $x=\left\{x_{m n}\right\}$ is almost convergent if and if it is almost Cauchy.
Theorem 2.2(Mohiuddine and Alotaibi, 2014) ${ }^{7}$ : A matrix $A=\left(a_{p q m n}\right) \in\left(\mathcal{F}_{[\lambda, \mu]}, \mathcal{F}_{[\lambda, \mu]}\right)$ if and only if
i. $\quad\|A\|=\sup _{p q} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|a_{p q m n}\right|<\infty$
ii. $\quad a=\left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{p q m n}\right)_{p, q=1}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{F}_{[\lambda, \mu]}$,
iii. $\quad A(S-1) \in\left(\mathcal{L}_{\infty}, \mathcal{F}_{[\lambda, \mu]}\right)$, where S is the shift operator.

## III. Results

We establish the following results:
Theorem3.1: A four-dimensional matrix $A=\left(a_{p q j k}\right) \in\left(C_{B P}, \mathcal{F}_{[\lambda, \mu]}\right)$ is $[\lambda, \mu]$-almost coercive if and only if

$$
\sup _{m, n, s, t, j, k}\left|\frac{1}{\lambda_{m} \mu_{n}} \sum_{p \in J_{m}} \sum_{q \in I_{n}} a_{p+s, q+t} x_{j k}\right|<\infty
$$

## Proof:Sufficiency.

Suppose that $A=\left(a_{p q j k}\right) \in\left(C_{b p}, \mathcal{F}_{[\lambda, \mu]}\right)$. Then there exist $x=\left\{x_{j k}\right\} \in \mathcal{C}_{b p}$, such that $A x \in \mathcal{F}_{[\lambda, \mu]}$. Here $A_{p q} \in \mathcal{F}_{[\lambda, \mu]}$ for each $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|A x\|_{\infty}=\sup _{m, n, s, t, j, k}\left|\frac{1}{\lambda_{m} \mu_{n}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{p \in J_{m}} \sum_{q \in I_{n}} a_{p+s, q+t, j, k} x_{j k}\right|<\infty \\
& \leq \sup _{m, n, s, t, j, k}\left|\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{m} \mu_{n}} \sum_{p \in J_{m}} \sum_{q \in I_{n}} a_{p+s, q+t, j, k}\right) x_{j k}\right|<\infty \\
& \quad \leq \sup _{m, n, s, t, j, k} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left|\frac{1}{\lambda_{m} \mu_{n}} \sum_{p \in J_{m}} \sum_{q \in I_{n}} a_{p+s, q+t, j, k}\right|\left|x_{j k}\right|<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the above condition is sufficient.

## Necessity:

Suppose that the condition hold, for all $x=\left\{x_{j k}\right\} \in C_{b p}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\frac{1}{\lambda_{m} \mu_{n}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{p \in J_{m}} \sum_{q \in I_{n}} a_{p+s, q+t, j, k} x_{j k}\right| \leq\left|\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{m} \mu_{n}} \sum_{p \in J_{m}} \sum_{q \in I_{n}} a_{p+s, q+t, j, k}\right) x_{j k}\right| \\
\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left|\frac{1}{\lambda_{m} \mu_{n}} \sum_{p \in J_{m}} \sum_{q \in I_{n}} a_{p+s, q+t, j, k}\right|\left|x_{j k}\right|
\end{gathered}
$$

We obtain, after taking supremum over $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{j}, \mathrm{k}$. that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\sup _{m, n, s, t, j, k}\left|\frac{1}{\lambda_{m} \mu_{n}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{p \in J_{m}} \sum_{q \in I_{n}} a_{p+s, q+t, j, k} x_{j k}\right| \leq \\
\sup _{m, n, s, t, j, k}\left|\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{m} \mu_{n}} \sum_{p \in J_{m}} \sum_{q \in I_{n}} a_{p+s, q+t, j, k} x_{j k}\right)\right| \\
\leq \sup _{m, n, s, t, j, k} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left|\frac{1}{\lambda_{m} \mu_{n}} \sum_{p \in J_{m}} \sum_{q \in I_{n}} a_{p+s, q+t, j, k}\right|\left|x_{j k}\right| \\
\leq \sup _{m, n, s, t, j, k} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left|\frac{1}{\lambda_{m} \mu_{n}} \sum_{p \in J_{m}} \sum_{q \in I_{n}} a_{p+s, q+t, j, k}\right| M
\end{array}
$$

Then it is derived from the last inequality that $A x \in \mathcal{F}_{[\lambda, \mu]}$. This completes the proof
Definition 3.1: A double sequence $x=\left\{x_{j k}\right\} \in \mathcal{F}_{[\lambda, \mu]}$ is said to be $[\lambda, \mu]$-almost Cauchy, if for all $\varepsilon>0$, there exist $h \in \mathbb{N}$ such that:

$$
\left|\Omega_{m_{1} n_{1 s t}}(x)-\Omega_{m_{2} n_{2} s t}(x)\right|<\varepsilon, \forall m_{1}, m_{2}, n_{1}, n_{2}>h
$$

Where,
$\mathcal{F}_{[\lambda, \mu]}=\left\{x=\left\{x_{m n}\right\}: p-\lim _{i j \rightarrow \infty} \Omega_{i, j, s, t}(x)=L\right.$ exists, uniformly in $\left.s, t ; L=\mathcal{F}_{[\lambda, \mu]}-\lim x\right\}$,

$$
\Omega_{i, j, s, t}(x)=\frac{1}{\lambda_{i} \mu_{j}} \sum_{m \in J_{i}} \sum_{n \in I_{j}} x_{m+s, n+t}
$$

Theorem 3.2: A double sequence $x=\left\{x_{j k}\right\} \in \mathcal{F}_{[\lambda, \mu]}$ is $[\lambda, \mu]$-almost convergent, if and only if it is $[\lambda, \mu]-$ almost Cauchy.

## Proof:

Suppose a sequence $x=\left\{x_{j k}\right\} \in \mathcal{F}_{[\lambda, \mu]}$ is $[\lambda, \mu]$-almost convergent. Then, $\forall \varepsilon>0, \exists h \in \mathbb{N}$, such that:

$$
\left|\frac{1}{\lambda_{m} \mu_{n}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x_{j+s, k+t}-\ell\right|<\varepsilon / 2
$$

For all $m, n>h$ and $\forall(j, k) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$
Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\frac{1}{\lambda_{m_{1}} \mu_{n_{1}}} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} x_{j_{1}+s, k_{1}+t}-\frac{1}{\lambda_{m_{2}} \mu_{n_{2}}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x_{j_{2}+s, k_{2}+t}\right| \\
& \leq\left|\frac{1}{\lambda_{m_{1}} \mu_{n_{1}}} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} x_{j_{1}+s, k_{1}+t}-l\right|+\left|\frac{1}{\lambda_{m_{2}} \mu_{n_{2}}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x_{j_{2}+s, k_{2}+t}-l\right|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}=\varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

For all $m_{1}, m_{2}, n_{1}, n_{2}>h$ and $\forall\left(j_{1}, k_{1}\right),\left(j_{2}, k_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$.
Hence, the sequence $x=\left\{x_{j k}\right\}$ is $[\lambda, \mu]$-almost Cauchy.
Conversely, suppose that the sequence $x=\left\{x_{j k}\right\} \in \mathcal{F}_{[\lambda, \mu]}$ is $[\lambda, \mu]$-almost Cauchy. Then $\forall \varepsilon>0, \exists h \in \mathbb{N}$, $\ni$

$$
\left|\frac{1}{\lambda_{m_{1}} \mu_{n_{1}}} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} x_{j_{1}+s, k_{1}+t}-\frac{1}{\lambda_{m_{2}} \mu_{n_{2}}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x_{j_{2}+s, k_{2}+t}\right|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}
$$

For all $m_{1}, m_{2}, n_{1}, n_{2}>h$ and $\forall\left(j_{1}, k_{1}\right),\left(j_{2}, k_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$.
Taking $j_{1}=j_{2}=j_{0}$ and $k_{1}=k_{2}=k_{0}$ in relation (1), we obtain that
$\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{m} \mu_{n}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x_{j_{0}+s, k_{0}+t}\right)_{m, n=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence and, therefore convergent.
Let $\lim _{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_{m} \mu_{n}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x_{j_{0}+s, k_{0}+t}=\ell$. Then, $\forall \varepsilon>0, \exists h_{1} \in \mathbb{N}$, $\ni$

$$
\left|\frac{1}{\lambda_{m} \mu_{n}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x_{j_{0}+s, k_{0}+t}-\ell\right|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \quad \forall m, n>h_{1}
$$

It follows that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\frac{1}{\lambda_{m} \mu_{n}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x_{j+s, k+t}-\ell\right| \leq\left|\frac{1}{\lambda_{m} \mu_{n}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x_{j+s, k+t}-\frac{1}{\lambda_{m} \mu_{n}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x_{j_{0}+s, k_{0}+t}\right|+\left|\frac{1}{\lambda_{m} \mu_{n}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x_{j_{0}+s, k_{0}+t}-\ell\right| \\
&<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}=\varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\forall m, n>\max \left(h, h_{1}\right)$ and $\forall(j, k) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$. It follows that, the sequence $x=\left\{x_{j k}\right\}[\lambda, \mu]$-almost converges to $\ell$ and hence $[\lambda, \mu]$-almost convergent.
This completes the proof of the Theorem.
Theorem 3.3: A matrix $A=\left(a_{p q j k}\right)$ is $\mathcal{F}_{[\lambda, \mu]}-$ regular if and only if

1. $\|A\|_{\infty}=\sup _{m, n, s, t, j, k}\left|\frac{1}{\lambda_{m} \mu_{n}} \sum_{p \in J_{m}} \sum_{q \in I_{n}} a_{p+s, q+t, j, k}\right|<\infty$
2. $\quad \lim _{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha(m, n, j, k, s, t)=0$
3. $\lim _{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha(m, n, j, k, s, t)=1$
4. $\quad \lim _{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}|\alpha(m, n, j, k, s, t)|=0,(k \in \mathbb{N}$
5. $\quad \lim _{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}|\alpha(m, n, j, k, s, t)|=0,(j \in \mathbb{N}$
6. $\quad \lim _{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}|\alpha(m, n, j, k, s, t)|$ exists

Where the limits are uniform in $s, t$ and $\alpha(m, n, j, k, s, t)=\frac{1}{\lambda_{m} \mu_{n}} \sum_{p \in J_{m}} \sum_{q \in I_{n}} a_{p+s, q+t, j, k}$

## Proof:

## Sufficiency:

Suppose that the conditions (1-6) hold. Define a sequence $x=\left\{x_{j, k}\right\} \in C_{b p}$ with $p-\lim _{j, k} x_{j k}=\ell$ (say). Then, by the definition of p-limit, for any given $\varepsilon>0$, there exist a $\mathbb{N}>0$, such that $\left|x_{j k}\right|<|\ell|+\varepsilon$ whenever $j, k>N$.

Now, we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha(m, n, j, k, s, t) x_{j k} \\
&=\sum_{j=0}^{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N} \alpha(m, n, j, k, s, t) x_{j k}+\sum_{j=N}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \alpha(m, n, j, k, s, t) x_{j k} \\
&+\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \alpha(m, n, j, k, s, t) x_{j k}+\sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \alpha(m, n, j, k, s, t) x_{j k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha(m, n, j, k, s, t) x_{j k}\right| \\
& \quad \leq\|x\| \sum_{j=0}^{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N}|\alpha(m, n, j, k, s, t)| \\
& \quad+\|x\| \sum_{j=N}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1}|\alpha(m, n, j, k, s, t)|+\|x\| \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \sum_{k=N}^{\infty}|\alpha(m, n, j, k, s, t)|+(|\ell| \\
& \quad+\varepsilon)\left|\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha(m, n, j, k, s, t)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, by letting $m, n \rightarrow \infty$ and considering the conditions (1-6), we have

$$
\left|\lim _{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha(m, n, j, k, s, t) x_{j k}\right| \leq|\ell|+\varepsilon
$$

i.e., $\left|\mathcal{F}_{[\lambda, \mu]}-\lim A x\right| \leq|\ell|+\varepsilon$. Since $\varepsilon$ is an arbitrary, this implies the $\mathcal{F}_{[\lambda, \mu]}$ - regularity of $A=\left(a_{p q j k}\right)$.

## Necessity:

Suppose that A is $\mathcal{F}_{[\lambda, \mu]}$ - regular. Then, by the definition, the A-transform of x exist and $A x \in \mathcal{F}_{[\lambda, \mu]}$ for each $x \in \mathrm{C}_{B P}$. Therefore, Ax is also bounded. So, there exists a positive number M such that

$$
\sup _{m, n, s, t, j, k}\left|\frac{1}{\lambda_{m} \mu_{n}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{p \in J_{m}} \sum_{q \in I_{n}} a_{p+s, q+t, j, k} x_{j k}\right|<M<\infty
$$

for each, $x \in C_{B P}$. Now let us choose a sequence $y=\left\{y_{j k}\right\}$ with

$$
y_{j k}=\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
\operatorname{sgn} a_{p q j k}, & 0 \leq j \leq r, 0 \leq k \leq r \\
0, & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}(p, q=1,2,3 \ldots)\right.
$$

Then, the necessity of condition (1) follows by considering the sequence $y=\left\{y_{j k}\right\}$.
For the necessity of (6), define a sequence $u=\left\{u_{j k}\right\}$ by $y=\left\{y_{j k}\right\}$, with $\alpha(m, n, j, k, s, t)$ in place of $a_{p q j k}$. Then, $P-\lim A u$, implies (6).
Let us define the sequence $e^{i l}$ as follows

$$
e_{j k}^{i l}=\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
1, & \text { if }(j, k)=(i, l) \\
0, & \text { otherwise } ;
\end{array}\right.
$$

and denote the point wise sum by $s^{l}=\sum_{i} e^{i l}$ and $r^{i}=\sum_{l} e^{i l}(i \in \mathbb{N})$. Then, the necessity of condition (2) follows from $\mathcal{F}_{[\lambda, \mu]}-\lim A e^{i l}$.
Also, $\mathcal{F}_{[\lambda, \mu]}-\lim A r^{j}=\lim _{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j}|\alpha(m, n, j, k, s, t)|=0, \quad(k \in \mathbb{N}$ and,

$$
\mathcal{F}_{[\lambda, \mu]}-\lim A s^{k}=\lim _{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j}|\alpha(m, n, j, k, s, t)|=0, \quad(j \in \mathbb{N}
$$

To verify the conditions (4) and (5), we need to prove that these limits are uniform in s,t. So, let us suppose that (5) does not hold, i.e., for any $j_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\lim _{m, n} \sup _{s, t} \sum_{k} \mid \alpha\left(m, n, j_{0}, k, s, t, \mid \neq 0\right.
$$

Then, there exists an $\varepsilon>0$ and index sequences $\left(m_{i}\right),\left(n_{i}\right)$ such that

$$
\sup _{s, t} \sum_{k}\left|\alpha\left(m, n, j_{0}, k, s, t\right)\right| \geq \varepsilon \quad(i \in \mathbb{N})
$$

Since,

$$
\sum_{k}\left|\alpha\left(m, n, j_{0}, k, s, t\right)\right| \leq \sup _{p, q} \sum_{j, k}\left|a_{p q j k}\right|<\infty
$$

And (2) holds, we may find an index sequence $\left(k_{i}\right)$ such that

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{k_{i}}\left|\alpha\left(m_{i}, n_{i}, j_{0}, k, s_{i}, t_{i}\right)\right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{8}, \quad(i \in \mathbb{N})
$$

And

$$
\sum_{k=k_{i+1}+1}^{\infty_{i}}\left|\alpha\left(m_{i}, n_{i}, j_{0}, k, s_{i}, t_{i}\right)\right| \leq \frac{3 \varepsilon}{4}, \quad(i \in \mathbb{N})
$$

Now, define a sequence $x=\left\{x_{j k}\right\}$ by

$$
x_{j k}=\left\{\begin{array}{cr}
(-1)^{i} \alpha\left(m_{i}, n_{i}, j_{0}, k, s_{i}, t_{i}\right), & \text { if } k_{i}+1 \leq k \leq k_{i+1}(i \in \mathbb{N}) ; j=j_{0} \\
0, & \text { if } j \neq j_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then, clearly $x \in C_{B P}$ with $\|x\|_{\infty} \leq 1$. But, for even $i$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\lambda_{m_{i}} \mu_{n_{i}}} \sum_{m=s_{i}}^{s_{i}+m_{i}-1} & \sum_{n=t_{i}}^{t_{i}+n_{i}-1}(A x)_{p q}=\sum_{k} \alpha\left(m_{i}, n_{i}, j_{0}, k, s_{i}, t_{i}\right) x_{j k} \\
& \geq \sum_{k=k_{i+1}+1}^{k_{i}+1} \alpha\left(m_{i}, n_{i}, j_{0}, k, s_{i}, t_{i}\right) x_{j k}-\sum_{k=1}^{k_{i}}\left|\alpha\left(m_{i}, n_{i}, j_{0}, k, s_{i}, t_{i}\right)\right| \\
& -\sum_{k=k_{i+1}+1}^{\infty}\left|\alpha\left(m_{i}, n_{i}, j_{0}, k, s_{i}, t_{i}\right)\right| \geq \sum_{k=k_{i}+1}^{k_{i+1}}\left|\alpha\left(m_{i}, n_{i}, j_{0}, k, s_{i}, t_{i}\right)\right|-\frac{\varepsilon}{8}-\frac{\varepsilon}{8} \geq \frac{3 \varepsilon}{4}-\frac{\varepsilon}{4}=\frac{\varepsilon}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Analogously, for odd $i$, one can show that

$$
\frac{1}{\lambda_{m_{i}} \mu_{n_{i}}} \sum_{m=s_{i}}^{s_{i}+m_{i}-1} \sum_{n=t_{i}}^{t_{i}+n_{i}-1}(A x)_{p q} \leq-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}
$$

Hence, the sequence

$$
\left(\frac{1}{\lambda \mu} \sum_{m=s_{i}}^{s_{i}+m_{i}-1} \sum_{n=t_{i}}^{t_{i}+n_{i}-1}(A x)_{p q}\right)
$$

doesn't converge uniformly in $s, t \in \mathbb{N}$ as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$. This means that $A x \notin \mathcal{F}_{[\lambda \mu]}$, which is a contradiction. So, (5) holds. In the same way, we get the necessity of (4). On the other hand, for the necessity of the condition (3) it is enough to take the sequence $e_{j k}=1$ for each $\mathrm{j}, \mathrm{k}$.
This completes the proof of the theorem.
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