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#### Abstract

If after 374 years the famous theorem of Fermat-Wiles was demonstrated in 150 pages by A. Wiles [4], The purpose of this article is to give a proofs both for the Fermat last theorem and the Beal conjecture by using the Fermat class concept. Résumé : Si après 374 ans le célèbre théorème de Fermat-Wiles a été démontré en 150 pages par A. Wiles [4] , le but de cet article est de donner des démonstrations à la fois du dernier théorème de Fermat et de la conjecture de Beal en utilisant la notion des classes de Fermat.
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## I. Introduction, notations and definitions

Set out by Pierre de Fermat [3], it was not until more than three centuries ago that Fermat's great theorem was published, validated and established by the British mathematician Andrew Wiles [4] in 1995.

In mathematics, and more precisely in number theory, the last theorem of Fermat [3], or Fermat's great theorem, or since his Fermat-Wiles theorem demonstration [4], is as follows: There are no non-zero integers a, b , and c such that: $a^{n}+b^{n}=c^{n}$, as soon as n is an integer strictly greater than 2 .

The Beal conjecture [2] is the following conjecture in number theory: If $a^{x}+b^{y}=c^{z}$ where $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}$, $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ and z are positive integers with $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}>2$, then $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$, and c have a common prime factor. Equivalently, There are no solutions to the above equation in positive integers $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ with $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ and c being pairwise coprime and all of $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ being greater than 2 .

The purpose of this article is to give a proofs both for the Fermat last theorem and the Beal conjecture by using the Fermat class concept.

Let be two equations $x^{a}+y^{b}-z^{c}=0$ with $(x, y, z) \in E^{3}$ and $(a, b, c) \in F^{3}$, and $X^{A}+Y^{B}-Z^{c}$ with $(X, Y, Z) \in E^{\prime 3}$ and $(A, B, C) \in F^{\prime 3}$. In the following $F=F^{\prime}=\mathbb{N}$ and $E$ and $\mathrm{E}^{\prime}$ are subsets of $\mathbb{R}$

The two equations $x^{a}+y^{b}-z^{c}=0$ with $(x, y, z) \in E^{3}$ and $(a, b, c) \in F^{3}$; and $X^{A}+Y^{B}-Z^{c}$ with $(X, Y, Z) \in E^{\prime 3}$ and $(A, B, C) \in F^{\prime 3}$, are said to be equivalent if the resolution of one is reduced to the resolution of the other.

In the following, an equation $x^{a}+y^{b}-z^{c}=0$ with $(x, y, z) \in E^{3}$ and $(a, b, c) \in F^{3}$ is considered at close equivalence, and we say $x^{a}+y^{b}-z^{c}=0$ is a Fermat class.

Example: The equation $x^{15}+y^{15}-z^{15}=0$ with $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{Q}^{3}$ is equivalent to the equation $X^{3}+Y^{3}-Z^{3}=0$ with $(X, Y, Z) \in \mathbb{Q}_{5}^{3}$ and where $\mathbb{Q}_{5}=\left\{q^{5}, q \in \mathbb{Q}\right\}$.

## II. The proof of Fermat's last theorem

Theorem 1 : There are no non-zero a, b, and c three elements of E with $E \subset \mathbb{Q}_{\text {such that: }} a^{n}+b^{n}=c^{n}$, with n an integer strictly greater than 2.

Lemma 1: If $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a, b and c are a non-zero three elements of $\mathbb{R}_{\text {with }} a^{n}+b^{n}=c^{n}$ then:

$$
\int_{0}^{b} x^{n-1}-\left(\frac{c-a}{b} x+a\right)^{n-1} \frac{c-a}{b} d x=0
$$

## Proof :

$$
a^{n}+b^{n}=c^{n} \Leftrightarrow \int_{0}^{a} n x^{n-1} d x+\int_{0}^{b} n x^{n-1} d x=\int_{0}^{c} n x^{n-1} d x
$$

But as :

$$
\int_{0}^{c} n x^{n-1} d x=\int_{0}^{a} n x^{n-1} d x+\int_{a}^{c} n x^{n-1} d x
$$

So :

$$
\int_{0}^{b} n x^{n-1} d x=\int_{a}^{c} n x^{n-1} d x
$$

And as by changing variables we have :

$$
\int_{a}^{c} n x^{n-1} d x=\int_{0}^{b} n\left(\frac{c-a}{b} y+a\right)^{n-1} \frac{c-a}{b} d y
$$

Then :

$$
\int_{0}^{b} x^{n-1} d x=\int_{0}^{b}\left(\frac{c-a}{b} y+a\right)^{n-1} \frac{c-a}{b} d y
$$

It results:

$$
\int_{0}^{b} x^{n-1}-\left(\frac{c-a}{b} x+a\right)^{n-1} \frac{c-a}{b} d x=0
$$

Corollary 1. If $N, n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$, a, b and c are a non-zero three elements of $\mathbb{R}_{\text {and }} a^{n}+b^{n}=c^{n}$ then : $\int_{0}^{\frac{b}{N}} x^{n-1}-\left(\frac{c-a}{b} x+\frac{a}{N}\right)^{n-1} \frac{c-a}{b} d x=0$

Proof : It results from lemma 1 by replacing a, b and c respectively by $\frac{a}{N}, \frac{b}{N}$ and $\frac{c}{N}$.

Lemma 2: If $a^{n}+b^{n}=c^{n}$ is a Fermat class, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a, b and c are a non-zero three elements of $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{+}$with $n>2$ and $0<a \leqslant b \leqslant c$. Then we can choose a not zero integer $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}$ and n in the class, such that : $f(x)=x^{n-1}-\left(\frac{c-a}{b} x+\frac{a}{N}\right)^{n-1} \frac{c-a}{b} \leqslant 0 \quad \forall x \in\left[0, \frac{b}{N}\right]$.

Proof :

$$
\frac{d f}{d x}=(n-1) x^{n-2}-(n-1)\left(\frac{c-a}{b} x+\frac{a}{N}\right)^{n-2}\left(\frac{c-a}{b}\right)^{2}
$$

The function $f$ decreases in the right of 0 in $[0, \epsilon[$.
But :

$$
f(x)=0 \Leftrightarrow x=\frac{\frac{a}{N}\left(\frac{c-a}{b}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}}{1-\left(\frac{c-a}{b}\right)^{1+\frac{1}{n-1}}}
$$

So :

$$
f(x) \leqslant 0 \quad \forall x \text { such that } 0 \leqslant x \leqslant \frac{\frac{a}{N}\left(\frac{c-a}{b}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}}{1-\left(\frac{c-a}{b}\right)^{1++\frac{1}{n-1}}}
$$

And :

$$
f(x) \geqslant 0 \quad \forall x \text { such that } x \geqslant \frac{\frac{a}{N}\left(\frac{c-a}{b}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}}{1-\left(\frac{c-a}{b}\right)^{1+\frac{1}{n-1}}}
$$

Otherwise if $\mu \in] 0,1]$ we have :

By replacing a, b and c respectively with $a^{\prime}=a^{\frac{1}{k}}, b^{\prime}=b^{\frac{1}{k}}$, and $c^{\prime}=c^{\frac{1}{k}}$ with $k \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$, we get another Fermat class: $a^{\prime k n}+b^{\prime k n}=c^{\prime k n}$.
we will show that for this class and for k large enough,

$$
1-\mu\left(1-\left(\frac{c^{\prime}-a^{\prime}}{b^{\prime}}\right)^{1+\frac{1}{k n-1}}\right) \leqslant\left(\frac{c^{\prime}-a^{\prime}}{b^{\prime}}\right)^{1+\frac{1}{k n-1}}+\frac{a^{\prime}}{b^{\prime}}\left(\frac{c^{\prime}-a^{\prime}}{b^{\prime}}\right)^{\frac{1}{k n-1}}:
$$

First we have : $\quad 1-\mu\left(1-\left(\frac{c^{\prime}-a^{\prime}}{b^{\prime}}\right)^{1+\frac{1}{k n-1}}\right) \leqslant 1-\mu\left(1-\left(\frac{c^{\prime}-a^{\prime}}{b^{\prime}}\right)^{2}\right)<1$.
And as :

$$
\left(\frac{c^{\prime}-a^{\prime}}{b^{\prime}}\right)^{1+\frac{1}{k n-1}}+\frac{a^{\prime}}{b^{\prime}}\left(\frac{c^{\prime}-a^{\prime}}{b^{\prime}}\right)^{\frac{1}{k n-1}}=\frac{c^{\prime}}{b^{\prime}}\left(\frac{c^{\prime}-a^{\prime}}{b^{\prime}}\right)^{\frac{1}{k n-1}} \geqslant\left(\frac{c^{\frac{1}{k}}-a^{\frac{1}{k}}}{b^{\frac{1}{k}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{k n-1}} \geqslant\left(1-\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{\frac{1}{k}}\right)^{\frac{1}{k n-1}},
$$

By using the logarithm, we have $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left(1-\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{\frac{1}{k}}\right)^{\frac{1}{k n-1}}=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left(1-\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{\frac{1}{k}}\right)^{\frac{1}{k n}}=1$ because :

$$
\left(1-\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{\frac{1}{k}}\right)^{\frac{1}{k}}=e^{\frac{1}{k} \ln \left(1-\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{\frac{l}{k}}\right)}, \text { by posing : } 1-\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{\frac{1}{-}}=e^{-l}, \text { we will have }: \frac{1}{k}=\frac{\ln \left(1-e^{-l}\right)}{\ln \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)} \text { and }
$$

$\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left(1-\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}\right)^{\frac{1}{k}}=\lim _{l \rightarrow+\infty} e^{\left(\frac{\ln \left(1-e^{-l}\right)}{\ln \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)}\right)(-l)}=1 \quad$ which shows the result.
So, for k large enough, we deduce that there exists a class $a^{\prime k n}+b^{\prime k n}=c^{\prime k n}$ such that :
$f(x)=x^{k n-1}-\left(\frac{c^{\prime}-a^{\prime}}{b^{\prime}} x+\frac{a^{\prime}}{N}\right)^{k n-1} \frac{c^{\prime}-a^{\prime}}{b^{\prime}}<0 \forall x \in\left[0, \frac{b^{\prime}(1-\mu)}{N}\right]$ independently of $\mathbf{N}$.
Let's fix an N and put $S=\sup \left\{f(x), x \in\left[0, \frac{b^{\prime}(1-\mu)}{N}\right]\right\}$ By replacing $\boldsymbol{a}^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{b}^{\prime}$ and $\boldsymbol{c}^{\prime}$ respectively with $a^{\prime}(1-\mu)=a^{\prime \prime}, b^{\prime}(1-\mu)=b^{\prime \prime}$, and $c^{\prime}(1-\mu)=c^{\prime \prime}$, we get another Fermat class : $a^{\prime \prime k n}+b^{\prime \prime k n}=c^{\prime \prime k n}$ And we will have for M large enough :
$f(x)=x^{k n-1}-\left(\frac{c^{\prime \prime}-a^{\prime \prime}}{b^{\prime \prime}} x+\frac{a^{\prime \prime}}{M}\right)^{k n-1} \frac{c^{\prime \prime}-a^{\prime \prime}}{b^{\prime \prime}}<0 \forall x \in\left[0, \frac{b^{\prime \prime}}{M}\right]$ Because $f(x) \leqslant S+\operatorname{Sup}\left\{\frac{P(x, \mu)}{M}, x \in\left[0, \frac{b^{\prime}(1-\mu)}{N}\right]\right\}$ where P is a polynomial, and as for M large enough $\left|\operatorname{Sup}\left\{\frac{P(x, \mu)}{M}, x \in\left[0, \frac{b^{\prime}(1-\mu)}{N}\right]\right\}<|S| \quad\right.$ and $S<\mathrm{O}$, the result is deduced.

## Proof of Theorem:

If $a^{n}+b^{n}=c^{n}$ is a Fermat class, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a, b and c are a non-zero three elements of $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{+}$with $n>2$ and $0<a \leqslant b \leqslant c$. Then, by the lemma 2 , for well chosen $N$, and $a, b, c$, and $n$ in the class, we will have :

$$
f(x)=x^{n-1}-\left(\frac{c-a}{b} x+\frac{a}{N}\right)^{n-1} \frac{c-a}{b} \leqslant 0 \quad \forall x \in\left[0, \frac{b}{N}\right]
$$

And by using the corollary 1, we have : $\int_{0}^{\frac{b}{N}} x^{n-1}-\left(\frac{c-a}{b} x+\frac{a}{N}\right)^{n-1} \frac{c-a}{b} d x=0$
So : $x^{n-1}-\left(\frac{c-a}{b} x+\frac{a}{N}\right)^{n-1} \frac{c-a}{b}=0 \quad \forall x \in\left[0, \frac{b}{N}\right]$
And therefore $\frac{c-a}{b}=1$ because $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ is a null polynomial as it have more than n zeros. So $c=a+b$ and $a^{n}+b^{n} \neq c^{n} \quad$ which is absurd .

## III. The proof of Beal conjecture

Corollaire 2 [Beal conjecture] : If $a^{x}+b^{y}=c^{z}$ where $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ and z are positive integers with $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}>2$, then $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$, and c have a common prime factor.
Equivalently, there are no solutions to the above equation in positive integers $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ with $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ and c being pairwise coprime and all of $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ being greater than 2 .

## Proof:

Let $a^{x}+b^{y}=c^{z}$. If a, b and c are not pairwise coprime, then by posing $a=k a^{\prime}, b=k b^{\prime}$, and $c=k c^{\prime}$. Let $a^{\prime}=u^{\prime y z}, \quad b^{\prime}=v^{\prime x z}, c^{\prime}=w^{\prime x y}$ and $k=u^{y z}, k=v^{x z}, k=w^{x y}$
As $\boldsymbol{a}^{x}+b^{y}=c^{z}$, we deduce that $\left(u u^{\prime}\right)^{x y z}+\left(v v^{\prime}\right)^{x y z}=\left(w w^{\prime}\right)^{x y z}$.
So :

$$
k^{x} u^{\prime x y z}+k^{y} v^{\prime x y z}=k^{z} w^{\prime x y z}
$$

This equation does not look like the one studied in the first theorem.
But if $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ and c are pairwise coprime, we have $k=1$ and $u=v=w=1$ and we will have to solve the equation :

$$
u^{\prime x y z}+v^{\prime x y z}=w^{\prime x y z}
$$

The equation $u^{\prime x y z}+v^{\prime x y z}=w^{\prime x y z}$ have a solution if and only if at least one of the equations: $\left(u^{\prime x y}\right)^{z}+\left(v^{\prime x y}\right)^{z}=\left(w^{\prime x y}\right)^{z}, \quad\left(u^{\prime x z}\right)^{y}+\left(v^{\prime x z}\right)^{y}=\left(w^{\prime x z}\right)^{y}, \quad\left(u^{\prime y z}\right)^{x}+\left(v^{\prime y z}\right)^{x}=\left(w^{\prime y z}\right)^{x}$ have a solution.

So by the proof given in the proof of the first Theorem we must have : $z \leqslant 2$ or $y \leqslant 2$, or $x \leqslant 2$.
We therefore conclude that if $a^{x}+b^{y}=c^{z}$ where $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$, and z are positive integers with $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}>2$, then $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$, and c have a common prime factor.

## IV. Important notes

1- If $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$, and c are not pairwise coprime, someone, by applying the proof given in the corollary like this : $a=u^{y z}, b=v^{x z}, c=w^{x y}$ we will have $u^{x y z}+v^{x y z}=w^{x y z}$, and could say that all the $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ and z are always smaller than 2 . What is false: $7^{3}+7^{4}=14^{3}$
The reason is sipmle: it is the common factor k which could increase the power, for example if $k=c^{\prime r}$ in the proof, then $c^{z}=\left(k c^{\prime}\right)^{z}=c^{(r+1) z}$. You can take the example : $2^{r}+2^{r}=2^{r+1}$ where $k=2^{r}$ 2- These techniques do not say that the equation $a^{n}+b^{n}=c^{n}$ where $\left.a, b, c \in\right] 0,+\infty[$ has no solution since in the proof the Fermat class $X^{2}+Y^{2}=Z^{2}$ can have a sloution( We take $a=X^{\frac{2}{n}} \quad b=Y^{\frac{2}{n}} \quad$ and $C=Z^{\frac{2}{n}}$ ).
3- In [1] I proved the abc conjecture which implies only that the equation $a^{x}+b^{y}=c^{z}$ has only a finite number of solutions with $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ a positive integers and $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ and c being pairwise coprime and all of $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$, $z$ being greater than 2 .

## V. Conclusion

The Fermat class used in this article have allowed to prove both the Fermat' last theorem and the Beal' conjecture and have shown that the Beal conjecture is only a corollary of the Fermat' last theorem.
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