

## Picard Sequence and Fixed Point Results on G-Metric Space

Vijay Dadhore<sup>\*</sup>, Savita Tiwari, Devkrishna Magarde

Madhyanchal Professional University Bhopal - (M.P.), India-462044.

Madhyanchal Professional University Bhopal - (M.P.), India-462044.

Govt. Narmada PG College Hosangabad (M.P.)

### Abstract

In this paper we introduced picard sequence and fixed point result in G-metric spaces. We have utilized these concepts to deduce certain fixed point theorems in G-metric space. Our theorem extend and improve the results of Sumitra and Ranjeth kumar [3], B. Singh and S. Jain [4,5,6,7] and Urmila Mishra et al.[10] in the settings of G-metric space.

**Mathematics Subject Classification :** 47H10, 54H25.

**Keywords:** Continuous mapping, Cauchy sequence, G-metric space, Metric space,

Date of Submission: 01-08-2020

Date of Acceptance: 16-08-2020

### I. Introduction

The concept of metric spaces has been generalized in many directions. The notion of parametric metric spaces being a natural generalization of metric spaces was recently introduced and studied by Hussain et al. [1]. Hussain et al. [2] introduced a new type of generalized metric space, called parametric G-metric space, as a generalization of both metric and b-metric spaces. For more details on parametric metric space, parametric G-metric spaces and related results we refer the reader to [8].

In this Paper, we deal with the study of fixed point theorems in parametric G-metric spaces. This paper is composed into three sections namely 1, 2 and 3. Section 1 is introductory, while in Section 2, we give a brief introduction of parametric G-metric spaces and the work already done. In Section 3, we obtain some fixed point

results single valued mappings with rational expression in the setting of a parametric G-metric space. These results improve and generalize some important known results in literature. Some related results and illustrative some examples to highlight the realized improvements are also furnished.

### II. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper  $R$  and  $R^+$  will represents the set of real numbers and nonnegative real numbers, respectively.

Recently, Hussain et al. [2] introduced the concept of parametric b-metric space.

**Definition 2.1** Let  $X$  be a nonempty set,  $s \geq 1$  be a real number and  $P : X \times X \times (0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  be a function. We say  $P$  is a parametric G-metric on  $X$  if,

$$(1) P(x, y, t) = 0 \text{ for all } t > 0 \text{ if and only if } x = y,$$

$$(2) P(x, y, t) = P(y, x, t) \text{ for all } t > 0,$$

$$(3) P(x, y, t) \leq s[P(x, z, t) + P(z, y, t)] \text{ for all } x, y, z \in X \text{ and all } t > 0, \text{ where } s \geq 1.$$

and one says the pair  $(X, P, s)$  is a parametric metric space with parameter  $s \geq 1$ .

Obviously, for  $s = 1$ , parametric G-metric reduces to parametric metric.

The following definitions will be needed in the sequel which can be found in [2, 8].

**Definition 2.2** Let  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence in a parametric G-metric space  $(X, P, s)$ .

1.  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is said to be convergent to  $x \in X$ , written as  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$  for all  $t > 0$ , if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(x_n, x, t) = 0$ .

2.  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is said to be a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ , if for all  $t > 0$ , if  $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} P(x_n, x_m, t) = 0$
3.  $(X, P, s)$  is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence is a convergent sequence.

**Example 2.2** [8] Let  $X = [0, +\infty)$  and define  $P : X \times X \times (0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  by

$$P(x, y, t) = t(x - y^p)$$

Then  $P$  is a parametric G-metric with constant  $s = 2^p$ . In fact, we only need to prove

(3) in Definition 2.1 as follows: let  $x, y, z \in X$  and set  $u = x - z, v = z - y$ , so  $u + v = x - y$ . From the inequality

$$(a + b)^p \leq (2 \max\{a, b\})^p \leq 2^p(a^p + b^p), \forall a, b \geq 0,$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} P(x, y, t) &= t(x - y^p) \\ &= t(u + v)^p \\ &\leq 2^p t(u^p + v^p) \\ &= 2^p (t(x - z)^p + t(z - y)^p) \\ &= s(P(x, z, t) + P(z, y, t)) \end{aligned}$$

With  $s = 2^p > 1$ .

**Definition 2.3** Let  $(X, P, s)$  be a parametric G-metric space and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a

mapping. We say  $T$  is a continuous mapping at  $X$  in  $X$ , if for any sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  in  $X$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$  then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = Tx$

In general, a parametric G-metric function for  $s > 1$  is not jointly continuous in all its Variables

**Lemma 2.4** Let  $(X, P, s)$  be a G-metric space with the coefficient  $s \geq 1$  and let  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence in  $X$  if  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges to  $x$  and also  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges to  $y$ , then  $x = y$ . That is the limit of  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is unique.

**Lemma 2.5** Let  $(X, P, s)$  be a G-metric space with the coefficient  $s \geq 1$  and let  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence in  $X$  if  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges to  $x$ . Then

$$\frac{1}{s} P(x, y, t) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} P(x_n, y, t) \leq s P(x, y, t)$$

$\forall y \in X$  and all  $t > 0$ .

**Lemma 2.6** Let  $(X, P, s)$  be a G-metric space with the coefficient  $s \geq 1$  and let  $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^n \subset X$  Then

$$P(x_n, x_0, t) \leq sP(x_0, x_1, t) + s^2P(x_2, x_3, t) + \dots + s^{n-1}P(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}, t) + s^nP(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)$$

**Lemma 2.7** Let  $(X, P, s)$  be a parametric space with the coefficient  $s \geq 1$

1. Let  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of point of  $X$  such that

$$P(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) \leq \lambda P(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)$$

Where  $\lambda \in \left[0, \frac{1}{s}\right)$  and  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  then  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(X, P, s)$

### 3. Main Result

Let  $(X, P, s)$  be a a parametric G-metric space, let  $x_0 \in X$  and let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a given mapping. The

sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  with  $x_n = f^n x_0 = f x_{n-1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is called a Picard sequence of initial point  $x_0$ .

The following fixed point theorem is our first main result.

**Theorem 3.1** Let  $(X, P, s)$  be a complete parametric b-metric space with the Coefficient  $s \geq 1$  and let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a mapping such that

$$sP(fx, fy, t) \leq \frac{P(x, fy, t) + P(fx, y, t)}{P(x, fx, t) + P(y, fy, t) + t(t)} P(x, y, t) \tag{3.1}$$

$\forall x, y \in X$  and all  $t > 0$ , where  $t(0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is a function. Then

- (i)  $T$  has at least one fixed point  $x_1 \in X$ ,
- (ii) every Picard sequence of initial point  $x_0 \in X$  converges to a fixed point of  $f$ ,
- (iii) if  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  are two distinct fixed points of  $f$ , then  $(x_1, x_2, t) \geq \frac{s}{2}$  for all  $t \geq 0$ .

**Proof** Let  $x_0 \in X$  be an arbitrary point, and let  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a Picard sequence of initial point  $x_0$ , that is,

$$x_n = f^n x_0 = f^n x_{n-1} \text{ for all } n \in N.$$

If  $x_{n_0} = x_{n_0-1}$  for some  $n_0 \in N$ , then  $x_{n_0}$  is fixed point of fixed point of  $f$  and so  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is a Cauchy sequence.

If  $x_{n_0} \neq x_{n_0-1}$  for all  $n \in N$  form (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} sP(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) &= sP(fx_{n-1}, fx_n, t) \\ &\leq \frac{P(x_{n-1}, fx_n, t) + P(x_n, fx_{n-1}, t)}{P(x_{n-1}, fx_{n-1}, t) + P(x_n, fx_n, t) + t(t)} P(x_{n-1}, x_n, t) \\ &\leq \frac{P(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}, t)}{P(x_{n-1}, x_n, t) + P(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) + t(t)} P(x_{n-1}, x_n, t) \\ &\leq \frac{s[P(x_{n-1}, x_n, t) + P(x_n, x_{n+1}, t)]}{P(x_{n-1}, x_n, t) + P(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) + t(t)} P(x_{n-1}, x_n, t) \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

The last inequality given us

$$P(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) \leq \frac{P(x_{n-1}, x_n, t) + P(x_n, x_{n+1}, t)}{P(x_{n-1}, x_n, t) + P(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) + t(t)} P(x_{n-1}, x_n, t) \tag{3.3}$$

From (3.3), we deduce that the sequence  $\{P(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)\}$  is decreasing for all  $t > 0$ . Thus there exists a nonnegative real number  $\lambda$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(x_{n-1}, x_n, t) = \lambda$ . Then we claim that  $\lambda = 0$ . If  $\lambda > 0$ , on taking limit as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  on both sides of (3.3),

we obtain

$$\lambda \leq \frac{\lambda + \lambda}{\lambda + \lambda + t(t)} \lambda < \lambda$$

Which is contradiction. It follows that  $\lambda = 0$ . Now we prove that  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is a Cauchy sequence. Let

$\delta \in \left[0, \frac{1}{s}\right]$ . Since  $\lambda = 0$ , then there exists  $n(\delta) \in N$  such that for all  $t > 0$ ,

$$\frac{P(x_{n-1}, x_n, t) + P(x_n, x_{n+1}, t)}{P(x_{n-1}, x_n, t) + P(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) + t(t)} \leq \delta, \forall n \geq n(\delta) \tag{3.4}$$

This implies that

$$P(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) \leq \delta P(x_{n-1}, x_n, t), \forall n \geq n(\delta) \tag{3.5}$$

For all  $t > 0$ . Repeating (3.5) n- times, we get

$$P(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) \leq \delta P(x_0, x_1, t), \forall n \geq n(\delta) \tag{3.6}$$

Let  $m > n$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} P(x_n, x_m, t) &\leq sP(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) + s^2P(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, t) + \dots + s^{m-n}P(x_{m-1}, x_m, t) \tag{3.7} \\ &\leq (s\delta^n + s^2\delta^{n+1} + \dots + s^{m-n}\delta^{m-1})P(x_0, x_1, t) \\ &\leq s\delta^n(1 + s\delta + \dots + (s\delta)^{m-n-1})P(x_0, x_1, t) \\ &\leq \frac{s\delta^n}{1 - s\delta^n} P(x_0, x_1, t) \end{aligned}$$

For all  $t > 0$ . Since  $s\delta < 1$ . Assume that  $P(x_0, x_1, t) > 0$ . By taking limit as  $m, n \rightarrow +\infty$  in above inequality we get

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} P(x_n, x_m, t) = 0 \tag{3.8}$$

Therefore,  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ . Also, if  $P(x_0, x_1, t) = 0$  then  $P(x_n, x_m, t) = 0$  for all  $m > n$  and we deduce again that  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ . Since  $X$  is a complete parametric G-metric space, the sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  converges to exists  $x \in X$ .

Now, we shall prove that  $x_0$  is fixed point of  $f$ . Using (3.1) with  $x = x_n, y = x_1$  and all  $t > 0$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} sP(x_{n+1}, fx_1, t) &= sP(x_n, fx_1, t) \tag{3.9} \\ &\leq \frac{P(x_n, fx_1, t) + P(x_1, fx_n, t)}{P(x_n, fx_n, t) + P(x_1, fx_1, t) + \ell(t)} P(x_n, x_1, t) \\ &\leq \frac{P(x_n, fx_1, t) + P(x_1, fx_n, t)}{P(x_n, fx_{n+1}, t) + P(x_1, fx_1, t) + \ell(t)} P(x_n, x_1, t) \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, form

$$P(x_1, fx_1, t) \leq s[P(x_1, x_n, t) + P(x_n, fx_1, t)]$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} P(x_1, fx_1, t) - sP(x_n, x_1, t) &\leq sP(x_n, fx_1, t) \tag{3.10} \\ &\leq s^2[P(x_n, fx_1, t) + P(x_1, fx_1, t)] \end{aligned}$$

As  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} P(x_1, fx_1, t) &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{t > 0} sP(x_n, fx_1, t) \tag{3.11} \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t > 0} sP(x_n, fx_1, t) \\ &\leq s^2P(x_1, fx_1, t) \end{aligned}$$

On letting  $\liminf$ , as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , on both sides of (3.11) and using (3.9) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P(x_1, fx_1, t) &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{t > 0} sP(x_{n+1}, fx_1, t) \tag{3.12} \\ &\leq \frac{s^2P(x_1, fx_1, t)}{P(x_1, fx_1, t) + \ell(t)} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{t > 0} sP(x_n, fx_1, t) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $P(x_1, fx_1, t) = 0$  for all  $t > 0$ , that is,  $fx_1 = x_1$  and hence  $x_1$  is a fixed point of  $f$ . Thus (i) and (ii) hold if  $x_1 \in X$  with  $x_1 \neq x_2$ , is another fixed point of  $f$ , then using (3.1) with  $x = x_1$  and  $y = x_2$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} sP(x_1, fx_2, t) &\leq \frac{P(x_1, fx_2, t) + P(x_2, fx_1, t)}{P(x_1, fx_1, t) + P(x_2, fx_2, t) + \ell(t)} P(x_1, x_2, t) \\ &\leq [P(x_1, fx_2, t) + P(x_2, fx_1, t)] P(x_1, x_2, t) \\ &= [P(x_1, x_2, t) + P(x_2, x_1, t)] P(x_1, x_2, t) \\ &= 2P^2(x_1, x_2, t) \end{aligned}$$

And hence  $P(x_1, x_2, t) \geq \frac{s}{2}$ ; that is, (iii) holds.

If we take  $s = 1$  in Theorem 3.1, we obtain following:

**Corollary 3.2** (Theorem 16, [12]) Let  $(X, P)$  be a complete parametric metric space and let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a mapping such that

$$(3.13) \quad P(fx, fy, t) \leq \frac{P(x, fy, t) + P(y, fx, t)}{P(x, fx, t) + P(y, fy, t) + \ell(t)} P(x, y, t)$$

$\forall x, y \in X$  and all  $t > 0$ , where  $\ell(0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is a function. Then

- (i)  $T$  has at least one fixed point  $x_1 \in X$ ,
- (ii) every Picard sequence of initial point  $x_0 \in X$  converges to a fixed point of  $f$ ;
- (iii) if  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  are two distinct fixed points of  $f$ , then  $P(x_1, x_2, t) \geq \frac{1}{2}$  for all  $t > 0$ .

In the following result we consider a weak contractive condition.

**Theorem 3.3** Let  $(X, P, s)$  be a complete parametric G-metric space with the coefficient  $s \geq 1$  and let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a mapping such that

$$(3.14) \quad P(fx, fy, t) \leq \frac{P(x, fy, t) + P(y, fx, t)}{P(x, fx, t) + P(y, fy, t) + \ell(t)} P(x, y, t) + \mu P(y, fx, t)$$

$\forall x, y \in X$  and all  $t > 0$ , where  $\ell(0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is a function and  $\mu < s$  is a nonnegative real number. Then

- (i).  $f$  has at least one fixed point  $x_1 \in X$ ;
- (ii). every Picard sequence of initial point  $x_0 \in X$  converges to a fixed point of  $f$ ;
- (iii). if  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  are two distinct fixed points of  $f$ , then  $P(x_1, x_2, t) \geq \max \left\{ 0, \frac{(s - \mu)}{2} \right\}$  for all  $t > 0$ .

**Proof** Let  $x_0 \in X$  be an arbitrary point, and let  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a Picard sequence of initial point  $x_0$ , that is,

$$x_n = f^n x_0 = f^n x_{n-1} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

If  $x_{n_0} = x_{n_0-1}$  for some  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $x_{n_0}$  is fixed point of  $f$  and so  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is a Cauchy sequence.

If  $x_n \neq x_{n-1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  form (3.14), we have

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} sP(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) &= sP(fx_{n-1}, fx_n, t) \\ &\leq \frac{P(x_{n-1}, fx_n, t) + P(x_n, fx_{n-1}, t)}{P(x_{n-1}, fx_{n-1}, t) + P(x_n, fx_n, t) + \ell(t)} P(x_{n-1}, x_n, t) + \mu P(x_{n-1}, x_n, t) \\ &\leq \frac{P(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}, t)}{P(x_{n-1}, x_n, t) + P(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) + \ell(t)} P(x_{n-1}, x_n, t) \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \frac{s[P(x_{n-1}, x_n, t) + P(x_n, x_{n+1}, t)]}{P(x_{n-1}, x_n, t) + P(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) + t(t)} P(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)$$

The last inequality given us

$$P(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) \leq \frac{P(x_{n-1}, x_n, t) + P(x_n, x_{n+1}, t)}{P(x_{n-1}, x_n, t) + P(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) + t(t)} P(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)$$

(3.16)

From (3.16), we deduce that the sequence  $\{P(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)\}$  is decreasing for all  $t > 0$ . Thus there exists a nonnegative real number  $\lambda$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(x_{n-1}, x_n, t) = \lambda$ . Then we claim that  $\lambda = 0$ . If  $\lambda > 0$ , on taking limit as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  on both sides of (3.14),

we obtain

$$\lambda \leq \frac{\lambda + \lambda}{\lambda + \lambda + t(t)} \lambda < \lambda \tag{3.17}$$

Which is contradiction. It follows that  $\lambda = 0$ . Now we prove that  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Let

$\delta \in \left[0, \frac{1}{s}\right]$ . Since  $\lambda = 0$ , then there exists  $n(\delta) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $t > 0$ ,

$$\frac{P(x_{n-1}, x_n, t) + P(x_n, x_{n+1}, t)}{P(x_{n-1}, x_n, t) + P(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) + t(t)} \leq \delta, \forall n \geq n(\delta) \tag{3.18}$$

This implies that

$$P(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) \leq \delta P(x_{n-1}, x_n, t), \forall n \geq n(\delta) \tag{3.19}$$

For all  $t > 0$ . Repeating (3.5)  $n$ -times, we get

$$P(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) \leq \delta^n P(x_0, x_1, t), \forall n \geq n(\delta) \tag{3.20}$$

Now, it is easy to show  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ . The completeness of  $X$  ensures that the sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges to some  $x_1 \in X$ .

Now, we shall prove that  $x_1$  is a fixed point of  $f$ . Using (3.14) with  $x = x_n, y = x_1$  and all  $t > 0$ ,

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} sP(x_{n+1}, fx_1, t) &= sP(x_n, fx_1, t) \tag{3.21} \\ &\leq \frac{P(x_n, fx_1, t) + P(x_1, fx_n, t)}{P(x_n, fx_n, t) + P(x_1, fx_1, t) + t(t)} P(x_n, x_1, t) + \mu P(x_1, fx_n, t) \\ &\leq \frac{P(x_n, fx_1, t) + P(x_1, fx_n, t)}{P(x_n, fx_{n+1}, t) + P(x_1, fx_1, t) + t(t)} P(x_n, x_1, t) + \mu P(x_1, fx_n, t) \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, from

$$P(x_1, fx_1, t) \leq s[P(x_1, x_n, t) + P(x_n, fx_1, t)]$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} P(x_1, fx_1, t) - sP(x_n, x_1, t) &\leq sP(x_n, fx_1, t) \tag{3.22} \\ &\leq s^2[P(x_n, fx_1, t) + P(x_1, fx_1, t)] \end{aligned}$$

As  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , we deduce that

$$P(x_1, fx_1, t) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{t > 0} sP(x_n, fx_1, t) \tag{3.23}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t > 0} sP(x_n, fx_1, t) \\ &\leq s^2P(x_1, fx_1, t) \end{aligned}$$

On letting  $\liminf$ , as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , on both sides of (3.23) and using (3.21) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (3.24) \quad P(x_1, fx_1, t) &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{t > 0} sP(x_{n+1}, fx_1, t) \\ &\leq \frac{s^2P(x_1, fx_1, t)}{P(x_1, fx_1, t) + \ell(t)} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{t > 0} sP(x_n, fx_1, t) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $P(x_1, fx_1, t) = 0$  for all  $t > 0$ , that is,  $fx_1 = x_1$  and hence  $x_1$  is a fixed point of  $f$ . Thus (i) and (ii) hold

Now we shall prove uniqueness  $x_1 \in X$  with  $x_1 \neq x_2$ , is another fixed point of  $f$ , then using (3.14) with  $x = x_1$  and  $y = x_2$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} (3.25) \quad sP(x_1, fx_2, t) &\leq \frac{P(x_1, fx_2, t) + P(x_2, fx_1, t)}{P(x_1, fx_1, t) + P(x_2, fx_2, t) + \ell(t)} P(x_1, x_2, t) + \mu P(x_1, fx_2, t) \\ &\leq [P(x_1, fx_2, t) + P(x_2, fx_1, t)]P(x_1, x_2, t) + \mu P(x_1, x_2, t) \\ &= [P(x_1, x_2, t) + P(x_2, x_1, t)]P(x_1, x_2, t) + \mu P(x_1, x_2, t) \\ &= 2P^2(x_1, x_2, t) + \mu P(x_1, x_2, t) \end{aligned}$$

And hence  $P(x_1, x_2, t) \geq \max \left\{ 0, \frac{(s - \mu)}{2} \right\}$  for all  $t > 0$ , that is, (iii) holds.

If we take  $s = 1$ , then we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.4** Let  $(X, P, s)$  be a complete parametric G-metric space with the coefficient  $s \geq 1$  and let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a mapping such that

$$P(fx, fy, t) \leq \frac{P(x, fy, t) + P(y, fx, t)}{P(x, fx, t) + P(y, fy, t) + \ell(t)} P(x, y, t) + \mu P(y, fx, t) \tag{3.26}$$

$\forall x, y \in X$  and all  $t > 0$ , where  $\ell(0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is a function and  $\mu > 1$  is a nonnegative real number. Then

- (i).  $f$  has at least one fixed point  $x_0 \in X$ ;
- (ii). every Picard sequence of initial point  $x_0 \in X$  converges to a fixed point of  $f$ ;
- (iii). if  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  are two distinct fixed points of  $f$ , then  $P(x_1, x_2, t) \geq \max \left\{ 0, \frac{(1 - \mu)}{2} \right\}$  for all  $t > 0$ .

### References

- [1]. Hussain, N., Khaleghizadeh, S., Salimi, P., Abdou, A. A. N., A New Approach to Fixed Point Results in Triangular Intuitionistic Fuzzy Metric Spaces, Abstract and Applied Analysis, Volume 2014, Article ID 690139, 16 pages, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/690139>.
- [2]. Hussain, N., Salimi, P., Parvaneh, V., Fixed point results for various contractions in parametric and fuzzy b-metric spaces, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. 8 (2015), 719-739.
- [3]. O. Kada, T. Suzuki and W. Takahashi, Nonconvex minimization theorems and fixed point theorems in complete metric spaces, Math. Japon. 44 (1996) 381-391
- [4]. M. Aamri and D.El. Moutawakil, Some new common fixed point theorems under strict ontractive conditions, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 270 (2002), 181-188.
- [5]. M. Abbas and B.E. Rhoades, Common fixed point results for noncommuting mappings without continuity in generalized metric spaces, Appl. Math. Comput., (2009), doi:10.1016/j.amc.2009.04.085.

- [6]. Hassen Aydi, W. Shatanawi and Calogero Vetro, On generalized weakly G-contraction mapping in G-metric Spaces, *Comput.Math. Appl.* 62 (2011) 4222-4229.
- [7]. B. S. Choudhury and P. Maity, Coupled fixed point results in generalized metric spaces, *Math. Comput. Modelling*, 54 (2011),73-79.
- [8]. Jain, R., Daheriya, R. D., Ughade, M., Fixed Point, Coincidence Point and Common Fixed Point Theorems under Various Expansive Conditions in Parametric Metric Spaces and Parametric b-Metric Spaces, *Gazi University Journal of Science*, Accepted, 2015. C-2-[10].
- [9]. O. Kada, T. Suzuki and W. Takahashi, Nonconvex minimization theorems and fixed point theorems in complete metric spaces, *Math. Japon.* 44 (1996) 381-391.
- [10]. Z. Mustafa and B. Sims, Fixed point theorems for contractive mappings in complete G-metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, Volume 2009, Article ID 917175, doi:10.1155/2009/917175
- [11]. Z. Mustafa, H. Obiedat and F. Awawdeh, Some fixed point theorem for mapping on complete G-metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, Volume 2008, Article ID 189870, doi:10.1155/2008/189870.
- [12]. Z. Mustafa, W. Shatanawi and M. Bataineh, Fixed point theorem on uncomplete G-metric spaces, *Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*, 4 (2008), 196-201.
- [13]. Z. Mustafa, W. Shatanawi and M. Bataineh, Existence of fixed point results in G-metric spaces, *Int. J. of Math. and Math. Sci.*, Volume 2009, Article ID 283028, doi:10.1155/2009/283028.
- [14]. Z. Mustafa and B. Sims, Fixed point theorems for contractive mappings in complete G-metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, Volume 2009, Article ID 917175, doi:10.1155/2009/917175.
- [15]. A. Dehghan Nezhad and H. Mazaheri, New results in G-best approximation in Gmetric spaces, *Ukrainian Math. J.* 62 (2010) 648-654.
- [16]. R.P. Pant, Common fixed points of weakly commuting mappings, *Math. Student*, 62 (1993), 97-102.
- [17]. R.P. Pant, Common fixed points of sequence of mappings, *Ganita*, 47 (1996), 43-49.
- [18]. R.P. Pant, Common fixed points of contractive maps, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 226 (1998), 251-258.
- [19]. R.P. Pant, R-weakly commutativity and common fixed points, *Soochow J. Math.*, 25 (1999), 37-42.
- [20]. R.P. Pant, Common fixed points under strict contractive conditions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 248 (2000) 327-332

Vijay Dadhore, et. al. "Picard Sequence and Fixed Point Results on G-Metric Space." *IOSR Journal of Mathematics (IOSR-JM)*, 16(4), (2020): pp. 16-23.