Deteriorating Items Inventory Model Having Exponential Declining Demand with Inflation and Learning Effect under Credit Financing Policy
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Abstract: In the economic-business, trade credit is a very useful promotional tool for contractors to increase productivity through motivating extra sales and an exclusive prospect for the traders to lessen demand improbability. In this planned study we have formulated and analyzed an inventory model for perishable products under permissible delay in payments. Learning effect is incorporated on holding cost and ordering cost. To minimize the retailer’s total cost is the main goal of the presented model. The results are illustrated with the help of some hypothetical numerical values to the parameters for two different cases. The sensitivity of the solution with the changing values of the parameters associated with the model is discussed.
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I. Introduction

Decay or deteriorating of merchandise is a practical and observable fact related to inventory system. Almost entire chain of commodities depreciate their values and loss their lives partially and absolutely with the passage of time, when they are put aside in reserve as an inventory to manage the upcoming demand, which may happen due to multiple causes, i.e., warehouse conditions, different environmental conditions or due to moisture and humidity. Therefore, the effect of deterioration is very essential and cannot be neglected. Various authors studied inventory models considering deterioration as a key factor in their study. Ghare and Schrader[4] extended the fundamental EOQ model by assuming negative exponential function with respect to time as rate of deterioration. This model laid foundations for the follow-up study for the deteriorating products in the inventory control management. Shah and Chaudhary[16] suggested a model for perishable items associated with players having fixed life duration and demand is decreasing quadratic function of time and also depends on credit period. An ordering and pricing policy having demand as stock and price dependent, deterioration and partial backlogging has been derived by Khurana and Chaudhary[9]. A model with trapezoidal price sensitive rate of demand for the supplier and buyer has been studied by Shah et.al[17]. Optimal policies for the transfer, order, and payment is also discussed in the model. Literature survey for various developed models for inventory management under different situations has been presented by Singh and Singh[18]. Aliyu and Sani[2] formulated a mathematical deteriorating items model with demand as exponential decreasing. A deteriorating item inventory model under fuzzy environment has been suggested by Kumar and Rajput[11] in which demand is dependent on time. Case of fully backlogged shortages is assumed in this model. An inventory model under preservation technique for the case of two warehouse and partial backlogging has been presented by Singh and Rathore[19]. Mohan[14] suggested a model for perishable products having carrying cost as variable. In the discussed model, quadratic function is taken as demand and salvage value is also calculated. Khan et.al[8] proposed a profit maximization inventory model for perishable products where demand depends on price of selling and time varying cost of holding. In the early inventory models, most executors didn't think much about the factor of inflation. But later on in practical execution they find that factor of inflation play a key role on demand of various supplies and services. Since inflation is an economic notion, then it has a vital role in the inventory management models because of its significance. In economics, inflation is termed as the hike in the common level of price of products and services with time. The value of money goes down as the inflation rises, which decreases the upcoming value of saving and services for more existing expenditure. Therefore, inflation should be taken into account by policy makers while recommending any optimal policy for inventory control. The first model with assumption of inflationary condition in inventory has been suggested by Buzacott[3]. He assumed inflation to be uniform for all the costs and then minimize the total cost. Moon and Lee[15] also studied the impact of time value of money and inflation in their formulated EOQ model. Misra[13] gave an important note on inventory management by considering inflation as a key point for the study.
Jaggi et al. [6] suggested the optimal policy for the replenishment of inventory for the perishable goods and then optimal solution is also provided by assuming rate of demand as the function of inflation. Jayaswal et al. [7] formulated an EOQ model having imperfect quality and perishable goods. In this model, trade-credit financing and concept of learning has also been discussed.

In the various inventory systems, many researchers assumed that dealer compensate only purchasing cost of the commodities as soon as they arrived. On the other hand, such a suggestion is not essentially relates with what happens in the existent world. In regular life, the contractors recommend the vendor a postponement period, called as the credit period. During this time, a retailer can gather revenues by selling objects and then by receiving interest. Goyal [5] was the first researcher to develop such type of model where credit period is ordered by supplier in settling the account. Mandal and Phaujdar [12] extended this model by adding the case of earning interest beyond the period of credit.

The learning phenomenon was introduced by Wright[20], who recommended the learning curve as power function. Another model by considering effect of learning has been studied by Agarwal et al. [1] for non-instantaneous deterioration rate. A deteriorating inventory model has been studied by Kumar and Kumar [10] in which effect of learning is considered with the inventory dependent demand.

The present study deals with a mathematical model for the perishable products having the Following assumptions: (i) exponential declining demand rate, (ii) constant deterioration rate (iii) effect of inflationary condition (iv) learning effect and (v) trade credit financing. Then two numerical examples are given for each case of trade credit period. And then sensitivity analysis for the various important parameters’ value has been done.

II. ASSUMPTIONS AND NOTATIONS

The assumptions which are applied throughout the manuscript are as follows:

Assumptions
1) Demand rate is taken as exponential function which is decreasing with time.
2) The products are assumed to be decaying at a constant rate.
3) Replenishment rate is taken as instantaneous.
4) Case of no shortages is assumed.
5) Infinite time horizon is taken in the study.
6) Learning impact is taken on HC and OC.
7) The effect of inflation is also taken.
8) Concept of delay in payments is also considered.

Notations:

\( Q \): Maximum inventory level
\( n_s \): Total number of shipments
\( \beta \): Learning coefficient

\[ C(n) = \left( c_1 + \frac{c_2}{n_s} \right) \beta \]: learning coefficient ordering cost

\[ H(n) = \left( h_1 + \frac{h_2}{n_s} \right) \beta \]: learning coefficient holding cost

\( \eta \): Rate of deterioration

\[ R(t) = R_0 e^{-\varphi t}, \quad \varphi \neq 0, \quad R_0 > 0 \]

\( C(n) = C e^{rt} \): instantaneous OC/order

\( r \): inflation rate

\( I_c \): interest which can be earned.

\( I_c \): interest charges which invested in inventory
III. Mathematical Formulation And Solution

The inventory cycle starts at \( t = 0 \) and \( Q = Y_{\text{max}} \) units is the initial level of inventory. Due to deterioration and demand, the level of inventory starts reducing. The demand rate is exponentially decreasing with respect to time. \( Y(t) \) denotes the instantaneous level of inventory at any time \( t \) and the governed differential equation is given as:

\[
\frac{dY(t)}{dt} + \eta Y(t) = -R(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T
\]  

(1)

The governing boundary conditions for the equation (1) are:

\( Y(0) = Y_{\text{max}} = Q; \)
\( Y(t) = 0 \) and \( R(t) = R_0 e^{-\phi t} \).

Under these boundary conditions and neglecting the higher powers of \( \theta \), the solution of equation (1) is:

\[
Y(t) = \frac{R_0}{\eta - \phi} \left( e^{(\eta - \phi)T} - e^{-\phi t} \right)
\]  

(2)

and

\[
Y_{\text{max}} = \frac{R_0}{\eta - \phi} \left( e^{(\eta - \phi)T} - 1 \right)
\]  

(3)

The cost components are calculated as:

**Ordering cost (OC):**

Instantaneous ordering cost is calculated as:
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\[ OC = \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} C(n) e^{prt} \]

\[ = C(n) (e^{rt} - 1) \left( \frac{1}{rT} + \frac{rT}{4} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Inventory holding cost

\[ HC = H(n) = \frac{R_0 H(n)}{\eta - \phi} \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} e^{prt} \int_0^T (e^{\eta T - (\eta + \phi)T} e^{-\phi T}) dt \]

\[ HC = \frac{R_0 H(n)}{r(\phi^2 - \eta^2)} (e^{rt} - 1) \]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

Deterioration cost

\[ DC = C_D \times \text{No. of Deteriorating units} \]

\[ DC = C_D \times Y_{max} - \int_0^T R(t) dt \]

\[ DC = C_D \left( \frac{R_0}{\eta - \phi} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{1}{\phi} (e^{\phi T} - 1) \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (6)

Now, the total cost will be the sum of all the cost components calculated above.

\[ TC = \frac{1}{T} [HC + DC + OC] \]

Now we discuss two major cases which arise in each order cycle regarding interest charged and interest earned in detail:

Case1: \( M \leq t_1 \)

When the credit period is less than or equal to the length of the inventory cycle then the earned interest will be given by \( R_0 p_0 I_e \left( e^{rt} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{M^2}{2} - \frac{vM^2}{2} \right) \), where \( I_e \) is the rate of earning on average sales. And the interest charged at the rate \( I_c \) is calculated as: \( R_0 c_0 I_c \left( e^{rt} - 1 \right) \left( \phi T \left( \frac{M}{T} - 1 \right) \right) \)
In this case total cost will be computed as:

$$TC_1 = \frac{1}{T}[OC + HC + DC + IC_1 - IE_1]$$

**Solution procedure for the case I:**

The procedure follows these steps which are described below:

(i) Determine the value of $\frac{\partial TC_1}{\partial T}$

(ii) Check the condition $\frac{\partial TC_1}{\partial T} = 0$ and $\frac{\partial^2 TC_1}{\partial T^2} > 0$

(iii) Examine the condition given in above step is fulfilled or not, otherwise go to step (i).

(iv) Then compute the values of the TC, length of the cycle and ordered quantity.

**First and second derivative for case-I are given below:**

$$\frac{dTC_1}{dT} = \left( c_1 + \frac{c_2}{n^2} \right) (e^{rL} - 1) \left( -\frac{2}{r \ T^3} + \frac{1}{2 \ T^2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} R_0 \epsilon_D \ \eta + +c_0 R_0 l_e \left( c_1 + \frac{c_2}{n^2} \right) \frac{\phi M}{r (\eta^2 - \phi^2) } \left( -\frac{2 M}{T^3} + \frac{1}{T^2} \right)$$

$$+ p_0 R_0 l_e (e^{rL} - 1) \frac{M^3 - \phi M^3}{r T^3} = 0$$

and

$$\frac{d^2TC_1}{dT^2} = \left( c_1 + \frac{c_2}{n^2} \right) \left( e^{rL} - 1 \right) \left( -\frac{6}{r \ T^4} - \frac{1}{T^3} \right) + +c_0 R_0 l_e \left( c_1 + \frac{c_2}{n^2} \right) \frac{\phi M}{r (\eta^2 - \phi^2) } \left( -\frac{6 M}{T^4} + \frac{2}{T^3} \right)$$

$$+ 23 R_0 l_e \frac{M^3 + \phi M^3}{r T^4} > 0$$

Minimum solution can be find out by solving $\frac{dTC_2}{dT} = 0$ yield
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\[ \frac{R_0 c_0 \eta}{2} T^3 \left( 2 \left( c_1 + \frac{c_2}{n^2} \right) (e^{rt} - 1) + c_0 R_0 \left( c_1 + \frac{c_2}{n^2} \right) \frac{1}{(\eta^2 - \phi^2)} (e^{rt} - 1) \phi M \right) T \\
+ \frac{1}{r} p_0 R_0 I_e (e^{rt} - 1) (M^3 - \phi M^3) - \frac{2}{r} R_0 \left( c_1 + \frac{c_2}{n^2} \right) (e^{rt} - 1) \\
- 2 R_0 \left( c_1 + \frac{c_2}{n^2} \right) \frac{1}{(\eta^2 - \phi^2)} I_e (e^{rt} - 1) \phi M^2 = 0 \]

**Case II.** \( M > t_1 \)

If the credit period extends the length of complete cycle then in this situation, retailer will sell all his items earlier than the scheduled credit period. Therefore, in this case no interest will be taken on the credit. Interest earned in this case, \( I_2 = R_0 p_0 I_e \left( \frac{(e^{rt} - 1)}{r} \left( M - \frac{T}{2} - \frac{\phi M T}{2} \right) \right) \).

The total cost (TC) can be calculated as follows:

\[ TC = \frac{1}{T} [OC + HC + DC + IC_2 - IE_2] \]

**Solution procedure for the case II:**

The procedure follows these steps which are described below:

(i) Determine the value of \( \frac{\partial TC_2}{\partial T} \)

(ii) Check the condition \( \frac{\partial^2 TC_2}{\partial T^2} = 0 \) and \( \frac{\partial^2 TC_2}{\partial T^2} > 0 \)

(iii) Examine the condition given in above step is fulfilled or not, otherwise go to step (i).

(iv) Then compute the values of the TC, length of the cycle and ordered quantity.

**Note:** Mathematica software has been used in this study for the mathematical calculation.

First and second derivative for case-II are given below:

\[ \frac{dTC_2}{dT} = \left( c_1 + \frac{c_2}{n^2} \right) (e^{rt} - 1) \left( \frac{-2}{r T^3} + \frac{1}{2 T^2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} R_0 c_0 \eta + p_0 R_0 I_e (e^{rt} - 1) M \frac{1}{r T^2} = 0 \]

and

\[ \frac{d^2 TC_2}{dT^2} = \left( c_1 + \frac{c_2}{n^2} \right) (e^{rt} - 1) \left( \frac{-6}{r T^4} \right) + 2 p_0 R_0 I_e (e^{rt} - 1) \frac{1}{r T^3} > 0 \]
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Minimum solution can be found by solving \( \frac{dTC_2}{dt} = 0 \) yield

\[
\frac{R_0 c_0 \eta}{2} T^3 + \frac{1}{2} \left( c_1 + \frac{c_2}{n_x^\beta} \right) (e^{rL} - 1) + \left( \frac{1}{r} p_0 R_0 \eta \left( e^{rL} - 1 \right) M \right) T - \frac{2}{r} \left( c_1 + \frac{c_2}{n_x^\beta} \right) (e^{rL} - 1) = 0
\]

IV. Numerical Example

Case I. \((M \leq T)\)

If \( R_0 = 100, \ L_e = 0.14/\text{year}, \ I_e = 0.20/\text{year}, \ \eta=0.01, \ \varphi=0.1, \ r=0.01, \ M=15/365 \text{ year}, \ p_0=20, \ c_0=15, \ L=1, \ \beta=0.23, \ c_D = 15/\text{unit}, \ h_1=2/\text{unit per item}, \ h_2=1/\text{unit per item}, \ c_1=9/\text{order}, \ c_1=2/\text{order}, \ n_x = 5.\)

Then, we get the values of \(TC = 4028/\text{cycle}; \ T = 0.2394, \ \text{order quantity} = 153/\text{units}.\)

Case II. \((M > T)\)

If \( R_0 = 100, \ L_e = 0.14/\text{year}, \ I_e = 0.20/\text{year}, \ \eta=0.01, \ \varphi=0.1, \ r=0.01, \ M=36/365 \text{ year}, \ p_0=20, \ c_0=15, \ L=1, \ \beta=0.23, \ c_D = 15/\text{unit}, \ h_1=2/\text{unit per item}, \ h_2=1/\text{unit per item}, \ c_1=9/\text{order}, \ c_1=2/\text{order}, \ n_x = 5.\)

Then, we get the values of \(TC = 3303/\text{cycle}; \ T = 0.091, \ \text{order quantity} = 168/\text{units}.\)

To check the fluctuations of the values, we performed sensitivity analysis. Some tables are listed below to study these changes in the values.

**Table 1:** Effect of shipment’s number on Total cost, Length of cycle and Ordered quantity:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>(T) Cycle length</th>
<th>(Y) Order quantity</th>
<th>Total cost (TC)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2394</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>4560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.2394</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>4303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.2394</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>4173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2394</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>4089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2394</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>4028</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2:** Effect of credit period on Total cost, Length of cycle and Ordered quantity:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>(T) Cycle length</th>
<th>(Y) Order quantity</th>
<th>Total cost (TC)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.6411</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>4167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.3543</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>4114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.2394</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>4028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.1801</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>3910</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 3: Effect of Learning rate on Total cost, Length of cycle and Ordered quantity:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>(T) Cycle length</th>
<th>(Y) Order quantity</th>
<th>Total cost (TC)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.1716</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>3882</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.1639</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>3852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.2394</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>4028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.2394</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>4010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.2394</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>3992</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Effect of inflation rate on Total cost, Length of cycle and Ordered quantity:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>(T) Cycle length</th>
<th>(Y) Order quantity</th>
<th>Total cost (TC)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.2394</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>4028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.2394</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>4045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.2392</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>4062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.2392</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>4080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.2391</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>4097</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. Observation and Discussion

From the above tables we can study the change of these parameters value on complete cycle length, ordered quantity and Total inventory cost:

(i) Increment in value of number of shipments results in decrement of total cost but does not effect order quantity and cycle length.

(ii) Increment in value of credit period decreases the order quantity and total cost but fluctuate the value of cycle length.

(iii) Increment in the value of learning rate fluctuates the value of total cost value where as order quantity and cycle length first increases then became stable.

(iv) Increase in inflation rate does not put much effect on all three, order quantity, cycle length and Total cost.

After observing the above numerical and sensitivity analysis, we found Case-1 to be optimal solution for this model because in case 2, the cycle length is less in comparison to the values of Case-1.

VI. Conclusion

Many practical factors of regular day life have been associated in this formulated model. Firstly, the factor of decaying of a product is considered into account as the effect of deterioration cannot be neglected in any inventory organization. Secondly, the demand rate is supposed to be exponential and decreasing with the passage of time. Thirdly, the impact of inflation is also incorporated because in the today's world ignorance of this factor is no longer wise. Fourthly, concept of delay in payments is also discussed in the present study. Also, a procedure to solve the cost minimization problem has been discussed. Finally, sensitivity analyses are being done for the two cases to check the effect for the values of cycle length, total cost and order quantity.

Further, many factors like fuzzy environment, alternative demand and deterioration rate can be incorporated in the formulated model for more research.
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