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#### Abstract

This research aims at analyzing age report on 2006 population census demographic data. The collected data was analyzed using such techniques as: Age Ratio, the United Nations Age-sex accuracy Index and Myer's Index. The analyses carried out using age ratio showed that among the age groups, there were some people who were not supposed to belong to some age groups but were classified into such groups and there were some people who were supposed to belong to some age groups but were mis-classified into some other age groups. The value for United Nations Age-sex accuracy Index (UNAI) also gave an indication of high level of age misreporting. The value for Myers's Index showed that in the age reporting, some people preferred quoting ages that ended in particular digits .Hence each of the methods of analyses gave an indication of age misreporting during the 2006 population census. One of the recommendations is that statistical analyses should be carried out on the collected age data using the demographic techniques to determine the accuracy of the collected data before using it for developmental planning.
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## I. Introduction

Age according to Moultrie [9] is defined as the calculated interval of time between the date of birth and date of enquiry and it is expressed in a completed calendar year. [14] stated that it is the most important variable in the study of mortality, fertility, nuptiality, migration and certain other areas of demographic analysis. [12] and [13] noted that age composition is important for the evaluation of the quality of the census counts of a given population. [15] declared that age affects all spheres of life of the citizenry of a given area such as the level of consumption, income, social services needed in schools, health services, food and housing, potential manpower, participation of people in productive work, taking part in reproduction process, potential voting population etc. [11] stated that a respondent's age can be computed by subtracting the date of birth from the date of enumeration or by recording the respondent's completed age at the time of the census or survey as the last birth day. He emphasized that infants who are less than one year at the time of survey or census are recorded as age " 0 " since the child has not completed one calendar year

According to [7] and [5], ages reported in census in developing countries are subject to errors and bias resulting in uncertainties' in population estimates and age distributions. They noted that age mis-reporting is common to most investigations such as National Demographic Health Survey (NDHS), household survey, food consumption survey e.t.c. They also emphasized that age is often mis-reported for sentimental reasons, ignorance of correct age, a general age preference, a tendency to exaggerate length of life at advanced ages, a possibly subconscious aversion to certain numbers and for some other personal motives. [11] noted that the proportion of the population for which date of birth is not reported is ordinarily higher than that of age, and the date of birth approach is hardly applicable to relatively illiterate population.[10], [1] and [8] noted that sometimes, there is this general tendency to state ages in figures ending in certain "preferred" digits which could be $0,1, \ldots$ or 9 . [9] reported that age is very susceptible to errors and that both the nature and quality of data vary greatly among states and over time. He also said that several methods and Indices for evaluating the accuracy of age-misreporting have been developed widely and employed for a more complete understanding of data structure and anomalies. [4] made known that in the analysis of demographic data, age and sex-ratio, united Nation Age - sex Accuracy index (UNAI) are among the most commonly used techniques for evaluation accuracy of age reporting. [3] in their analysis stratified by sex found out that in some samples, preference is stronger among female than among males. Other issues discovered include ignorance of the true age, low numeracy and problems in the collection of data.
[2] said that the most popular measure of accuracy, the quality of census in terms of age-reporting has improved pronouncedly in Asia but less in African countries. [6] explained that in some indigenous or native
populations like Africa, enquiries regarding age attempts to distinguish merely children, working age population and elderly persons or only children and adult. They included that in such situations where concepts have little meaning, individual may be assigned a broad age group on age basis of birth before and after certain major historical events thereby affecting the population classification.
[14] said that the populations of Northern Europe are seen to have old age dependency ratio over three times as high of developing nations. [15] and [9] made known that among the indices devised to measure the ageing of a population are sex ratio, dependency ratio and United Nation age-sex accuracy index. According to [15], development is not possible without proper planning and proper planning is not possible without accurate information more especially information on the ages of the citizenry. Hence the researcher decided to embark on this research so as ascertain the adequacy or inadequacy of the 2006 age data as regards the developmental planning of this nation (Nigeria). The primary aim of this study is to determine the correctness of the 2006 population census age data, test for the accuracy of the collected 2006 census age data, test for age heaping also known as age preferences in the collected data and make some recommendations based on the findings.

## II. Material And Methodolody

This chapter discusses the methods of data analyses.

### 2.1 MATERIAL

The data used for this work was a secondary data from the 2006 Nigerian population census collected from the National Population Commission (NPC) office, Enugu. The tables below present the data.

Table1: The distribution by 5 year age group and sex for Nigeria 2006 population census.

| Age group | Both sexes | Sex <br> Males | Females |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $0-4$ | $22,594,967$ | $11,569,218$ | 11,025749 |
| $5-9$ | $20,005,330$ | $10,388,611$ | $9,616,769$ |
| $10-14$ | $16,135,950$ | $8,504,319$ | $7,631.631$ |
| $15-19$ | $14,899,419$ | $7,536,532$ | $7,362,887$ |
| $20-24$ | $13,435,079$ | $6,237,549$ | $7,197,530$ |
| $25-29$ | $12,211,426$ | $5,534,458$ | $6,676,968$ |
| $30-34$ | $9,467,538$ | $4,505,186$ | $4,962,352$ |
| $35-39$ | $7,331,755$ | $3,661,133$ | $3,670,622$ |
| $40-44$ | $6,456,470$ | $3,395,489$ | $3,060,981$ |
| $45-49$ | $4,591,293$ | $2,561,526$ | $2,029,767$ |
| $50-54$ | $4,249,219$ | $2,363,937$ | $1,885,282$ |
| $55-59$ | $2,066,247$ | $1,189,770$ | 876,477 |
| $60-64$ | $2,450,286$ | $1,363,219$ | $1,087,067$ |
| $65-69$ | $1,151,048$ | 628,436 | 522,612 |
| $70-74$ | $1,330,597$ | 765,988 | 564,609 |
| $75-79$ | 598,838 | 327,416 | 252,422 |
| $80-84$ | 760,053 | 408,680 | 351,373 |
| 85 above | 715,225 | 404,021 | 311,204 |
| Total | $140,431,790$ | $71,345,488$ | $69,086,302$ |

Sources: 2006 population and housing census, Nigeria
Table 2: Age Distribution of 2006 Nigerian census data.

| Age group | Population |
| :--- | :--- |
| $0-4$ | $22,594,967$ |
| $5-9$ | $20,005,330$ |
| $10-14$ | $16,135,950$ |
| $15-19$ | $14,899,419$ |
| $20-24$ | $13,435,079$ |
| $25-29$ | $12,211,426$ |
| $30-34$ | $9,467,538$ |
| $35-39$ | $7,331,755$ |
| $40-44$ | $6,456,470$ |
| $45-49$ | $4,591,293$ |
| $50-54$ | $4,249,219$ |
| $55-59$ | $2,066,247$ |
| $60-64$ | $2,450,286$ |
| $65-69$ | $1,151,048$ |
| $70-74$ | $1,330,597$ |
| $75-79$ | 598,838 |
| $80-84$ | 760,053 |
| $85+$ | 715,225 |
| Total | $140,431,790$ |

### 2.2 METHODOLOGY

There are arithmetic techniques that have been developed to handle errors on age reporting. Such techniques include age ratios, sex ratios, age accuracy index, United Nations age-sex accuracy index whipple's index, myers's index etc.
The following techniques were used to analyze the data in this work.
2.2.1 AGE RATIO (AR): These are calculated from the census data to check the quality of the census returns by age groups. It may be defined as the ratio of the population in the given age group to half of the sum of the population in the two adjacent age groups multiplied by 100 .Hence, AR for a 5 year group, $5^{p} \mathrm{X}$ is defined as
$\mathrm{AR}=\frac{5 P x}{1 / 2[(5 P x-5)+(5 P x+5)]} \times 100$
Where
$5^{p}{ }_{x}$ is the population in age group x to age $\mathrm{x}+5$
$5^{p(x-5)}$ Is the population in the preceding age group.
$5^{p(x+5)}$ Is the population in the succeeding age group
The lower the AR, the more adequate the census data on age.
If $A R=100$, it implies there is no error in age reporting.
If $\mathrm{AR}>100$, it implies there were people that were not supposed to be there who were included in the given age group.
If $\mathrm{AR}<100$, it implies that there were people who were supposed to be there but were mis- classified.

### 2.2.2 UNITED NATIONS AGE-SEX ACCURACY INDEX

This index was employed to test the accuracy of age enumeration. It uses both age-sex ratios to identify deviation from what might be expressed. The united Nation Age-sex accuracy index (also called joint score) is a single index for the joint evaluation of data on age and sex, proposed by the united Nation, based on empirical evidence. This index is computed as a weighted sum of the united Nation sex ratio score and the United Nation Age ratio score of the studied population. The ratio score consists of the "male" age ratio score and the "female" age ratio score. Therefore it has three components.

Average sex ratio score (s):- this score is first obtained by first calculating the sex ratio at each age group. Successive difference irrespective of the sign are added and averaged.

Age specific sex ratio $=\frac{5 \mathrm{P} \chi^{\mathrm{M}}}{5 \mathrm{P} \chi^{\mathrm{F}}} \times 100$
Where
$5 \mathrm{P} \chi^{\mathrm{M}}=$ Males aged $\chi$ to $\chi+5$

$$
5 \mathrm{P} \chi^{\mathrm{F}}=\text { Female aged } \chi \text { to } \chi+5
$$

Average male age ratio score (M):- for each age group of males. The age ratio is computed thus:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Age ratio }(\mathrm{AR})=\frac{5 P x}{1 / 2[(5 P x-5)+(5 P x+5)]} \times 100 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The deviations from unity irrespective of the sign are added
and averaged (M).
Average female age sex ratio score (F):- for each age group for females, the age ratios are calculated using the same formula as for males. The deviations from unity irrespective of the sign are added and averaged (F).
The index is then computed as UNAI $=3(\mathrm{~S})+\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{F}$. Therefore, the index can be summarized through the following categories.
It is said to be accurate when the UNAI shows the age sex accuracy index to be between 0-19.9
Inaccurate if the index is between 20-39.9
Highly inaccurate if the index is above 40

### 2.2.3 MYERS'S INDEX

This is a technique developed to reflect preferences or dislikes of terminal digits. Myers's index is used for each of the ten digits from 0 to 9 to ascertain what proportion of the total population reports at an age ending in each of the digits, $x(x=0,1,2, \ldots, 9)$.The bias developed by starting only at a particular digit is minimized by beginning the count at each of the 10 digits in turn and then averaging the results. The method derives a blended population for the digit at age x which is essentially a weighted sum of the number of persons reporting ages ending in each of the ten terminal digits denoted by $P_{x}$.The underlying assumption is that if there are no systematic irregularities in the reporting of age, the blended sum at each terminal digit should be approximately equal to 10 percent of the total blended population. The blended population at each of the ten digits $\left(b_{x}^{P}\right.$ can be compared with the total of the blended population called the blended sum, to obtain the percentage distribution of the blended sum $\left(\mathrm{BSD}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)$.Hence, the
$\mathrm{BSD}_{\mathrm{x}}=\frac{b^{p_{X}}}{\sum_{x=0}^{9} b^{p_{x}}} \mathrm{x} 100$.
Where $B S D_{\mathrm{x}}$ is the proportion of the total population reporting on the given terminal digit. Any $b_{x}^{P}$ must therefore be 10 percent of $\sum_{x=0}^{9} b^{p} x$ when there is no preference for any digit x

The blended sum $B S D$ lies in the range $0 \leq B S D \leq 100$.
When $\mathrm{BSD}_{\mathrm{x}}=10$, there is no age heaping; when $\mathrm{BSD}_{\mathrm{x}}>10$, it indicates a tendency towards preference for a particular digit; when $\mathrm{BSD}_{\mathrm{x}}<10$, it indicates a tendency towards avoidance for a particular digit. An overall measure of index of preference for all terminal digits, the myers's Index is one half the sum of the absolute deviations from 10.That is

$$
\begin{equation*}
M I=\frac{1}{2}\left|\sum_{x=0}^{9} B S D x-10\right| \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

## III. Data Analysis

The collected data were analyzed using the techniques discussed above as follows:

### 3.1 AGE RATIO:

In calculating the age ratios, we use the formular:
$\mathrm{AR}=\frac{5 P x}{1 / 2[(5 P x-5)+(5 P x+5)]} \times 100$
Where
$5^{p}{ }_{x}$ is the population in age group $x$ to age $x+5$
$5^{p(x-5)}$ is the population in the preceding age group
$5^{p(x+5)}$ is the population in the succeeding age group.
For age group 5-9,
Where $5^{\mathrm{p}}{ }_{\mathrm{x}}=20,005,330 ; 5^{p(x-5)}=22,594,967 ; 5^{p(x+5)}=16,135,950$.
$\mathrm{AR}_{5-9}=\frac{20,005,330}{1 / 2(22,544,967+16,135,950)} \times 100=103$.
For age group 55-59,
$\mathrm{AR}=\frac{5 P x}{1 / 2[(5 P x-5)+(5 P x+5)]} \times 100$
Where $5^{\mathrm{p}}=2,066,247 ; 5^{p(x-5)}=4,249,219 ; 5^{p(x+5)}=2,450,286$
$\mathrm{AR}=\frac{2066247}{1 / 2(4249219+2450286)} \times 100=62$.
For age group 80-84,
$\mathrm{AR}=\frac{5 P x}{1 / 2[(5 P x-5)+(5 P x+5)]} \times 100$
where $5^{\mathrm{p}}{ }_{\mathrm{x}}=760,053 ; 5^{p(x-5)}=598,838 ; 5^{p(x+5)}=715,225$.
$\mathrm{AR}_{80-84}=\frac{760,053}{1 / 2(59838+715225)} \times 100=116$.
Table 3: The numerical and percentage distribution by 5 year age group and sex.

| Age group | Male | $\%$ | Female | $\%$ | Total (both sexes) | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $0-4$ | $11,569,218$ | 16.2 | 11,025749 | 16.0 | $22,594,967$ | 16.1 |
| $5-9$ | $10,388,611$ | 14.6 | $9,616,769$ | 13.9 | $20,005,330$ | 14.2 |
| $10-14$ | $8,504,319$ | 11.9 | 7.631 .631 | 11.0 | $16,135,950$ | 11.5 |
| $15-19$ | $7,536,532$ | 10.6 | $7,362,887$ | 10.7 | $14,899,419$ | 10.6 |
| $20-24$ | $6,237,549$ | 8.7 | $7,197,530$ | 10.4 | $13,435,079$ | 9.6 |
| $25-29$ | $5,534,458$ | 7.8 | $6,676,968$ | 9.7 | $12,211,426$ | 8.7 |
| $30-34$ | $4,505,186$ | 6.3 | $4,962,352$ | 7.2 | $9,467,538$ | 6.7 |
| $35-39$ | $3,661,133$ | 5.1 | $3,670,622$ | 5.3 | $7,331,755$ | 5.2 |
| $40-44$ | $3,395,489$ | 4.8 | $3,060,981$ | 4.4 | $6,456,470$ | 4.6 |
| $45-49$ | $2,561,526$ | 3.6 | $2,029,767$ | 2.9 | $4,591,293$ | 3.3 |
| $50-54$ | $2,363,937$ | 3.3 | $1,885,282$ | 2.7 | $4,249,219$ | 3.0 |
| $55-59$ | $1,189,770$ | 1.7 | 876,477 | 1.3 | $2,066,247$ | 1.5 |
| $60-64$ | $1,363,219$ | 1.9 | $1,087,067$ | 1.6 | $2,450,286$ | 0.2 |
| $65-69$ | 628,436 | 0.9 | 522,612 | 0.8 | $1,151,048$ | 0.8 |
| $70-74$ | 765,988 | 1.1 | 564,609 | 1.8 | $1,330,597$ | 0.9 |
| $75-79$ | 327,416 | 0.5 | 252,422 | 0.4 | 598,838 | 0.4 |
| $80-84$ | 408,680 | 0.6 | 351,373 | 0.5 | 760,053 | 0.5 |
| 85 above | 404,021 | 0.6 | 311,204 | 0.5 | 715,225 | 0.5 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 1 , 3 4 5 , 4 8 8}$ |  | $\mathbf{6 9 , 0 8 6 , 3 0 2}$ |  | $\mathbf{1 4 0 , 4 3 1 , 7 9 0}$ |  |

Sources: Nigeria, 2006 population census

Table 4: Distribution of 5-year age group and sex ratio of Nigeria 2006 population census.

| Age group | Male | Female | Age ratio |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $0-4$ | $11,569,218$ | 11,025749 | 104.93 |
| $5-9$ | $10,388,611$ | $9,616,769$ | 108.03 |
| $10-14$ | $8,504,319$ | $7,631,631$ | 111.44 |
| $15-19$ | $7,536,532$ | $7,362,887$ | 102.36 |
| $20-24$ | $6,237,549$ | $7,197,530$ | 86.66 |
| $21-29$ | $5,534,458$ | $6,676,968$ | 82.89 |
| $30-34$ | $4,505,186$ | $4,962,352$ | 90.79 |
| $35-39$ | $3,661,133$ | $6,676,968$ | 99.74 |
| $40-44$ | $3,395,489$ | $4,962,352$ | 110.93 |
| $45-49$ | $2,561,526$ | $3,670,622$ | 126.20 |
| $50-54$ | $2,363,937$ | $3,060,981$ | 125.39 |
| $55-59$ | $1,189,770$ | $2,029,767$ | 135.74 |
| $60-64$ | $1,363,219$ | $1,087,067$ | 125.40 |
| $65-69$ | 628,436 | 522,612 | 120.24 |
| $70-74$ | 765,988 | 564,609 | 135.67 |
| $75-79$ | 327,416 | 252,422 | 129.71 |
| $80-84$ | 408,680 | 351,373 | 116.31 |
| 85 above | 404,021 | 311,204 | 129.83 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 1 , 3 4 5 , 4 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 9 , 0 8 6}, 302$ | $\mathbf{1 0 3 . 2 7}$ |

The Age Ratio for the 2006 population census is 103.27
Table 5: The United Nation Age-Sex Accuracy Index using the age ratio from table 5 above

| Age group | Age ratio | First difference | Male age-ratio | Dev. From 100 | Female age-ratio | Dev. From 100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-4 | - | 3.1 | - | - | - | - |
| 5-9 | 104.94 | 3.4 | 103.5 | 115.1 | 111.7 | 3.1 |
| 10-14 | 108.03 | -9.1 | 94.9 | -5.1 | 89.9 | -10.1 |
| 15-19 | 111.44 | -15.7 | 102.2 | 2.2 | 99.3 | -0.7 |
| 20-24 | 102.36 | -3.8 | 95.4 | -4.6 | 102.5 | 2.5 |
| 21-29 | 86.66 | 7.8 | 103.0 | 3.0 | 109.8 | 9.8 |
| 30-34 | 82.89 | 9.0 | 98.0 | -2.0 | 96.0 | -4.0 |
| 35-39 | 99.74 | 11.2 | 92.7 | -7.2 | 91.5 | -8.5 |
| 40-44 | 110.93 | 15.3 | 109.1 | 9.1 | 107.4 | 7.4 |
| 45-49 | 126.20 | -0.8 | 89.0 | -11.0 | 82.1 | -17.9 |
| 50-54 | 125.39 | 10.4 | 126.0 | 26.0 | 129.7 | 29.7 |
| 55-59 | 135.74 | -10.3 | 63.8 | -36.2 | 59.0 | -41.0 |
| 60-64 | 125.40 | -5.2 | 150.0 | 50.0 | 155.4 | 55.4 |
| 65-69 | 120.24 | 15.4 | 59.0 | -41.0 | 63.3 | -36.7 |
| 70-74 | 135.67 | -6.0 | 160.3 | 60.3 | 145.7 | 45.7 |
| 75-79 | 129.71 | -13.4 | 55.7 | -44.3 | 55.1 | -44.9 |
| 80-84 | 116.31 | 13.5 | 111.7 | 11.7 | 124.7 | 24.7 |
| 85 above | 129.83 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | - | 153.5 |  | 428.8 | - | 342.1 |

The joint score for the UNAI is given by $3(\mathrm{~A})+\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{F}$. (7)

Where:
A is average Age ratio
M is average Male ratio
$F$ is average Female ratio
Equation for Age Ratio

## FOR MALE:

Average $=\frac{5 \mathrm{px}^{\mathrm{m}}}{\frac{1}{2}(5 p x-5)+(5 p x+5)} \times 100$
$=\frac{10388611}{\frac{1}{2}(11569+8504319)} \times 100=103.5$
FOR FEMALE:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Average }=\frac{5 \mathrm{px}^{\mathrm{f}}}{\frac{1}{2}(5 p x-5)+(5 p x+5)} \times 100 \\
= & \frac{961679}{\frac{1}{2}(11025749+7631631)} \times 100=111.7
\end{aligned}
$$

Where $5 \mathrm{Px}^{\mathrm{m}}$ stands for Male aged from $\times$ to $\times+5$
$5 \mathrm{Px}^{\mathrm{f}}$ stands for Female aged from $\times$ to $x+$
$5 p x=$ is the given number in the entire five year
$5 p x-5=$ the number in the proceeding five year
$5 p x+5=$ the number in the succeeding five year
Computation from table 5 above:
First differences irrespective of the sign are added and averaged for the ratio.
Average $(A)=\frac{153}{17}=9.0$
Male average $=\frac{428.8}{16}=26.8$
Female average $=\frac{342.1}{16}=21.4$
Then, the UNAI $=3(\mathrm{~A})+\mathrm{M}$. Ave +F . Ave

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =3(9.0)+26.8+21.4 \\
& =75.2
\end{aligned}
$$

From the distribution by 5 year age group and sex, the UNAI shows that the reported age-sex data for the 2006 population census is highly inaccurate because the index is 75.2 , which is above 40 .

Table 6: Myer's Index

| Term | $10-19$ | $20-29$ | $30-39$ | $40-49$ | $50-59$ | $60-69$ | $70-79$ | $80-89$ | $90-99$ | Total | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Digit x | $10+\mathrm{x}$ | $20+\mathrm{x}$ | $30+\mathrm{x}$ | $40+\mathrm{x}$ | $50+\mathrm{x}$ | $60+\mathrm{x}$ | $70+\mathrm{x}$ | $80+\mathrm{x}$ | $90+\mathrm{x}$ | $(10+\mathrm{x})+$ | $(20+\mathrm{x})+$ |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| 0 | 3689425 | 2783597 | 1987524 | 910534 | 846271 | 391038 | 295132 | 158103 | 49103 | 11110727 | 18532029 |
| 1 | 2958746 | 3469210 | 2569210 | 1005239 | 924137 | 513826 | 197546 | 149273 | 83641 | 11870828 | 20782910 |
| 2 | 3023587 | 2836357 | 1210468 | 1624927 | 758134 | 352193 | 220137 | 185246 | 57357 | 10268406 | 17513225 |
| 3 | 4581355 | 4900258 | 1967350 | 894273 | 691357 | 498276 | 286427 | 98534 | 68497 | 13986327 | 23391299 |
| 4 | 3247103 | 2033524 | 1921096 | 1218214 | 714531 | 283147 | 114201 | 100124 | 94356 | 9726296 | 16205489 |
| 5 | 5284133 | 2846253 | 2006924 | 1784259 | 547136 | 310248 | 187023 | 49268 | 41637 | 13056881 | 20829629 |
| 6 | 2698145 | 1682382 | 1632089 | 846285 | 482367 | 267485 | 197214 | 93721 | 50348 | 7950036 | 13201927 |
| 7 | 1859503 | 2085361 | 1504632 | 976248 | 512069 | 412751 | 154479 | 73248 | 27025 | 7605316 | 13351129 |
| 8 | 2570262 | 1673691 | 959481 | 966087 | 368194 | 219348 | 143002 | 39761 | 21894 | 6961720 | 11353178 |
| 9 | 1123110 | 1335872 | 1040519 | 821697 | 471270 | 353220 | 132274 | 12775 | 21367 | 5312104 | 9501098 |

Table 7: Final calculation of Myer's Index

| Ter <br> m <br> digit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sum } \\ & (10+\mathrm{x}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sum } \\ & (20+\mathrm{x}) \end{aligned}$ | Weights for sum ( $10+\mathrm{x}$ ) | Weights <br> for sum <br> (20+x) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weighted } \\ & \text { sum }(10+\mathrm{x}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weighted } \\ & \operatorname{sum}(20+x) \end{aligned}$ | Blended sum | Percentage distribution (BSDx) | Dev. from 10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Absolute dev. } \\ & \text { from } \quad 10= \\ & \left\|B S D_{x}-10\right\| \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | $6=(2 x 4)$ | $7=3 \times 5$ | $8=6+7$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9=\frac{b^{r} X}{\sum_{b} P X} \\ & \times 100 \end{aligned}$ | $10=9-10$ | 11=(10)\| |
| 0 | 11110727 | 18532029 | 1 | 9 | 11110727 | 166788261 | 177898988 | 13.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| 1 | 11870828 | 20782910 | 2 | 8 | 23741656 | 166263280 | 190004936 | 14.4 | 4.4 | 4.4 |
| 2 | 10268406 | 17513225 | 3 | 7 | 30805218 | 122592575 | 153397793 | 11.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| 3 | 13986327 | 23391299 | 4 | 6 | 55945308 | 140347794 | 196293102 | 14.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 |
| 4 | 9726296 | 16205489 | 5 | 5 | 48631480 | \$1027445 | 129658925 | 9.8 | -0.2 | 0.2 |
| 5 | 13056881 | 20829629 | 6 | 4 | 78341286 | 83318516 | 161659802 | 12.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 |
| 6 | 7950036 | 13201927 | 7 | 3 | 55650252 | 39605781 | 95256033 | 7.2 | -2.8 | 2.8 |
| 7 | 7605316 | 13351129 | 8 | 2 | 60842528 | 26792258 | 87634786 | 6.6 | -3.4 | 3.4 |
| 8 | 6961720 | 11353178 | 9 | 1 | 62655480 | 11353178 | 74008658 | 5.6 | -4.4 | 4.4 |
| 9 | 5312104 | 9501098 | 10 | 0 | 53121040 | 0 | 53121040 | 4.0 | -6.0 | 6.0 |
| Totl |  |  |  |  | - | - | 1318934063 | 100 | - | 33.5 |

From the table above, $M I=\frac{1}{2}\left|\sum_{x=0}^{9} B S D x-10\right|=\frac{1}{2}(33.5)=16.75$ which is greater than 10 , meaning that during the census, people made preference towards particular digits.

## IV. Discussion of Results And Conclusion.

In the analyses carried out on age ratio, 103 for age group 5-9 being greater than 100 likewise the value, 116 for age group $80-84$ showed that there were some people who were not supposed to belong to the age groups but were classified into the groups; the value, 62 for age group 55-59 showed that there were some people who were supposed to belong to the age group but were classified into some other age groups. The value, 75.2 for United Nations Age-sex accuracy Index (UNAI) being greater than 40 gave an indication of high level of inaccuracy in the age reporting of the 2006 population census. The value, 16.75 for Myers's Index showed that in the census age reporting, some people preferred quoting ages that ended in particular digits. Based on the findings, we conclude that the 2006 census age data were not accurately reported owning to both mis-statement of age and age heaping. Hence the following recommendations: Since it takes good information to ensure good developmental planning; the National Population Commission should endeavour to embark on post enumeration survey so as to ensure the correctness of the collected information; Statistical analysis of the collected data using any demographic techniques should be done to ensure error free data before using the collected age data for developmental planning; Also, NPC should endeavour to use well trained personnel in the census survey to ensure accuracy in both data collection and recording analysis and interpretation.
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