# On The Closure Properties Of Single-Valued Neutrosophic Primal Topological Spaces

# Yaser Saber, Esam Bader, Abdelaziz Babiker

Department Of Mathematics, College Of Science Al-Zulfi, Majmaah University, Al-Majmaah 11952, Saudi Arabic.

Department Of Financial Management, College Of Business Administration, Majmaah University, Al-Majmaah 11952, Saudi Arabic.

Engineering And Technology Unit, Applied College, Majmaah University, Al-Majmaah 11952, Saudi Arabia. Sudan University Of Science And Technology (SUST), Khartoum, Sudan.

## Abstract:

In this paper, we investigate the concept of closure within the framework of single-valued neutrosophic primal topological spaces. We introduce and define the single-valued neutrosophic primal closure operator and examine its fundamental properties, such as idempotency, extensivity, and preservation under primal continuous mappings. Furthermore, we explore the relationships between the primal closure and other classical closure operators in neutrosophic and fuzzy topologies. Several characterizations are provided to distinguish primal closed sets from general closed sets. Our results contribute to a deeper understanding of the structural behavior of neutrosophic primal topologies and offer a foundation for further applications in uncertainty modeling and decision-making systems.

**Keywords:** Single-valued neutrosophic primal; closure and interior operators (including per-primal,  $\alpha$ -primal,  $\beta$ -primal forms); single-valued neutrosophic primal continuity;  $\alpha$ -continuity; compactness.

Date of Submission: 04-05-2025 Date of Acceptance: 14-05-2025

# I. Introduction

The theory of neutrosophic sets, initiated by Smarandache in 1999 [1], provides a flexible mathematical framework for handling indeterminate, inconsistent, and incomplete information. As a generalization of classical, fuzzy, and intuitionistic fuzzy set theories, neutrosophic sets have found extensive applications in logic, decision-making, artificial intelligence, and topology. A particular branch of this theory, known as single-valued neutrosophic sets (SVNS), restricts the membership, indeterminacy, and non-membership degrees to values within the standard unit interval [0,1], thereby enabling practical implementation in real-world scenarios.

Topological structures defined under SVNS—referred to as single-valued neutrosophic topological spaces—have been the subject of growing interest. Among various extensions, the concept of single-valued neutrosophic primal topology offers a nuanced approach to defining open, closed, interior, and closure operators that account for primal properties unique to the neutrosophic environment.

In classical topology, the closure operator plays a fundamental role in understanding the structure of topological spaces. However, in neutrosophic topology—especially within the primal framework—the behavior and properties of closure are less straightforward due to the inherent uncertainty and dual nature of membership and non-membership values. This motivates a deeper investigation into how closure functions in such contexts and how it interacts with other neutrosophic operators.

Recently, neutrosophic theory has gained traction across various branches of mathematics. Salama et al. [2,3] extended Chang's [4] framework for fuzzy topological spaces  $(\Omega,T)$  to neutrosophic settings. Hur et al. [5,6] further developed the structure of neutrosophic and neutrosophic crisp sets, while Smarandache [7] explored their applications to non-standard intervals.

Recognizing the limitations of Chang's approach, Sostak [8] redefined fuzzy topology as a mapping from fuzzy subsets of  $\Omega$  to the interval [0,1], establishing what is now referred to as smooth fuzzy topology. Researchers such as Fang et al. [9] and Zahran et al. [10] contributed further by analyzing decomposition techniques in fuzzy continuity, ideal continuity, and  $\alpha$ -continuity.

The concept of single-valued neutrosophic sets was introduced by Wang [11], and fuzzy neutrosophic topological spaces in the Sostak sense were developed by Gayyar [12]. Kim [13] defined a foundation for ordinary single-valued neutrosophic topology, while Alsharari et al. [13] introduced stratified filters and quasi-uniformities for single-valued neutrosophic soft sets. Saber et al. [14] presented the Ideals on fuzzy topological spaces to study topological structures in this context. Alsharari, et al. [15] presented the stratified single-valued soft topogenous structures.

Ongoing work [16–26] has continued to expand the theory of single-valued neutrosophic topological spaces  $(\Omega,T)$ , including the definition of open local functions and primal structures [27].

In this work, we define and analyze the single-valued neutrosophic primal closure operator, exploring its properties, comparing it with traditional closure concepts, and identifying its implications for the broader structure of neutrosophic topological spaces. The results obtained here form a basis for further studies on

# II. Preliminaries

This section provides a comprehensive introduction to the fundamental concepts and methods underlying Single-Valued Neutrosophic Set Theory (SVN-set) and Single-Valued Neutrosophic Primal Theory (SVN-primal).

Let  $\xi^{\mathbf{H}}$  denote the set of all SVN-sets defined on a universe  $\mathbf{H}$ . A structure of the form  $(\mathbf{H}, T^{\sigma\tau\pi}, P^{\sigma\tau\pi})$  is referred to as a Single-Valued Neutrosophic Primal Topological Space (SVNPTS). In this framework:

- $\xi$  represents the closed interval [0, 1],
- ξ<sub>0</sub> denotes the open interval (0, 1],
- For any  $\alpha \in \xi$  and  $\theta \in \Psi$ , define the constant function  $\alpha(\theta) = \alpha$ .

# **Definition 1 ([7])**

Let  $\maltese$  be a non-empty set. An n-set on  $\maltese$  is defined as:  $D = \{\langle \kappa, \sigma_- D(\vartheta), \tau_- D(\vartheta), \pi_- D(\vartheta) \rangle \mid \vartheta \in \maltese\},$ 

where:

- $\pi_D(\vartheta)$ : Degree of membership,
- $\tau D(\theta)$ : Degree of indeterminacy.
- $\sigma_D(\vartheta)$ : Degree of non-membership, with all values in the extended interval  $(0^-, 1^+)$ .

#### **Definition 2 ([11])**

A set D is a Single-Valued Neutrosophic Set (SVN-set) on  $\maltese$  if:  $D = \{\langle \kappa, \sigma_- D(\vartheta), \tau_- D(\vartheta), \pi_- D(\vartheta) \rangle \mid \vartheta \in \maltese\},$ 

where

- $\sigma_D: \mathbf{\Phi} \to \xi$  (falsity membership),
- $\tau_D: \mathbf{\Psi} \to \xi$  (indeterminacy membership),
- $\pi_D: \mathbf{H} \to \xi$  (truth membership). Special cases:
- Null SVN-set (denoted 0):  $\forall \vartheta \in \maltese, \sigma_D(\vartheta) = 0, \tau_D(\vartheta) = 1, \pi_D(\vartheta) = 1.$
- Absolute SVN-set (denoted  $\Pi$ ):  $\forall \vartheta \in \mathbf{\Psi}, \sigma_{-}D(\vartheta) = 1, \tau_{-}D(\vartheta) = 0, \pi_{-}D(\vartheta) = 0$ .

## **Definition 3 ([11])**

The complement of an SVN-set D is denoted D<sup>c</sup> and defined as:

- $\sigma_D^c(\vartheta) = \pi_D(\vartheta)$ ,
- $\tau_D^c(\vartheta) = \tau_D(\vartheta)$ ,
- $\pi_D^c(\theta) = \sigma_D(\theta)$ .

## **Definition 4 ([28])**

Given  $D, Z \in \xi^{\Phi}$ 

- $\bullet \ D \subseteq Z \Leftrightarrow \forall \vartheta \in \maltese, \sigma\_D(\vartheta) \leq \sigma\_Z(\vartheta), \tau\_D(\vartheta) \geq \tau\_Z(\vartheta), \pi\_D(\vartheta) \geq \pi\_Z(\vartheta).$
- $D = Z \Leftrightarrow D \subseteq Z \text{ and } Z \subseteq D$ .

#### **Definition 5 ([29])**

Let  $D, Z \in \xi^{\maltese}$ . Then:

- $D \wedge Z = \langle min(\sigma_D, \sigma_Z), max(\tau_D, \tau_Z), max(\pi_D, \pi_Z) \rangle$
- $D \lor Z = \langle max(\sigma_D, \sigma_Z), min(\tau_D, \tau_Z), min(\pi_D, \pi_Z) \rangle$

## **Definition 6 ([17])**

An SVN Topological Space (SVNTS) is a tuple  $(\mathbf{\Psi}, T^{\sigma}, T^{\tau}, T^{\pi})$ , where each T is a mapping  $\xi \to \xi^{\mathbf{\Psi}}$  satisfying: (T1)  $T^{\sigma}(0) = T^{\sigma}(1) = 1, \ T^{\tau}(0) = T^{\tau}(1) = 0, \ T^{\pi}(0) = T^{\pi}(1) = 0.$  (T2) For any D, Z  $\in \xi^{\mathbf{\Psi}}$ :  $T^{\sigma}(D \wedge Z) \geq T^{\sigma}(D) \wedge T^{\sigma}(Z),$   $T^{\tau}(D \wedge Z) \leq T^{\tau}(D) \vee T^{\tau}(Z),$ 

$$T^{\pi}(D \wedge Z) \leq T^{\pi}(D) \vee T^{\pi}(Z).$$

- (T3) For any family  $\{D_i\} \subseteq \xi^{\Psi}$ :

$$T^{\sigma}(VD_j) \ge VT^{\sigma}(D_j), T^{\tau}(VD_j) \le VT^{\tau}(D_j), \quad T^{\pi}(VD_j) \le VT^{\pi}(D_j).$$

# **Theorem 1 ([27])**

Let 
$$(\mathbf{H}, T^{\sigma\tau\pi})$$
 be an SVNTS. Define the operator  $CT\sigma\tau\pi: \xi^{\mathbf{H}} \times \xi_0 \to \xi^{\mathbf{H}}$  by:  $CT\sigma\tau\pi(D, r) = V\{Z \in \xi^{\mathbf{H}} \mid \Pi \subseteq D, \quad T^{\sigma}(Z^c) \geq r, \quad T^{\pi}(Z^c) \leq 1 - r, \quad T^{\pi}(Z^c) \leq 1 - r\}.$ 

## **Definition 7 ([27])**

Similarly, define the interior operator 
$$IT\sigma\tau\pi: \xi^{\Psi} \times \xi_0 \to \xi^{\Psi}$$
 by:  $IT\sigma\tau\pi(D,r) = \Lambda\{Z \in \xi^{\Psi} \mid D \supseteq Z, \quad T^{\sigma}(Z) \ge r, \quad T^{\pi}(Z) \le 1-r, \quad T^{\pi}(Z) \le 1-r\}.$ 

# **Definition 8 ([27])**

A triple of mappings  $P^{\sigma}$ ,  $P^{\tau}$ ,  $P^{\pi}: \xi^{\Phi} \rightarrow \xi$  is called a SVN-primal if:

$$P^{\sigma}(1) = 0$$
,  $P^{\sigma}(0) = 1$ ,  $T^{\tau}(1) = 0$ ,  $T^{\tau}(0) = 1$ ,  $T^{\pi}(1) = 0$ ,  $T^{\pi}(0) = 1$ . (P2) For all  $D, Z \in \xi^{\mathfrak{X}}$ :

$$P^{\sigma}(D \wedge Z) \leq P^{\sigma}(D) \vee P^{\sigma}(Z), \qquad P^{\tau}(D \wedge Z) \geq P^{\tau}(D) \wedge P^{\tau}(Z), \qquad T^{\pi}(D \wedge Z) \geq T^{\pi}(D) \wedge T^{\pi}(Z).$$

(P3) If 
$$D \leq Z \Rightarrow P^{\sigma}(Z) \leq P^{\sigma}(D)$$
,  $P^{\tau}(Z) \geq P^{\tau}(D)$ ,  $T^{\pi}(Z) \geq T^{\pi}(D)$ .  
 If  $P \leq P^{\star}$  (pointwise), we say that P is finer than  $P^{\star}$ .  
 The structure  $(\maltese, T^{\sigma\tau\pi}, P^{\sigma\tau\pi})$  is called a SVNPTS.

# **Definition 9 ([27])**

Let  $(\mathbf{H}, T^{\sigma\tau\pi}, P^{\sigma\tau\pi})$ , be a single-valued neutrosophic topological space (synpts). For each point  $\vartheta \in \mathbf{H}$  and for each  $D \in \xi^{\mathbf{H}}$ , the single-valued neutrosophic primal open local function  $D_r^*(T^{\sigma\tau\pi}, P^{\sigma\tau\pi})$  is defined as the union of all syn-points  $x_{\{t,s,e\}}$  such that: If  $Z \in Q_{T^{\sigma\tau\pi}}(x_{\{t,s,e\}},r)$ , and  $P^{\sigma}(R) \geq r$ ,  $P^{\pi}(R) \leq 1-r$ ,  $P^{\pi}(R) \leq 1-r$ , then there exists at least one  $\vartheta \in \mathbf{H}$  such that the following conditions hold:

$$\sigma_{-}D(\vartheta) + \sigma_{-}Z(\vartheta) - 1 > \sigma_{-}R(\vartheta),$$

$$\pi_{-}D(\vartheta) + \pi_{-}Z(\vartheta) - 1 \leq \tau_{-}R(\vartheta),$$

$$\pi_{-}D(\vartheta) + \pi_{-}Z(\vartheta) - 1 \leq \pi_{-}R(\vartheta).$$

In this article, we denote this local function as  $D_r^*$  without loss of generality. Remark 1 ([27])

Let  $(\maltese, T^{\sigma\tau\pi}, P^{\sigma\tau\pi})$ , be a single-valued neutrosophic topological space (synpts) and let  $D \in \xi^{\maltese}$ . Define the following operators:

$$C^* \sigma \tau \pi(D, r) = D \vee D_r^*$$
  
$$I^* \sigma \tau \pi(D, r) = D \wedge [(D^c)]_r^*$$

The operator  $C^\star \sigma \tau \pi$  is referred to as an *svn-primal closure operator*. The structure  $(T^\sigma(P^\sigma), T^\tau(P^\tau), T^\pi(P^\pi))$  forms the *svnt* generated by  $C^\star \sigma \tau \pi$   $C^\star_{\tau \sigma \tau \pi}$ , where:

$$T^{\star}(I)(D) = \bigvee\{r: C^{\star}\sigma\tau\pi(D^c, r) = D^c\}.$$

Theorem 2 ([27])

Let  $(\maltese, T^{\sigma\tau\pi})$  be an SVNTS (soft valued neutrosophic triple system), and let  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  be two SVN-primals on  $\maltese$ . Then, for each  $D, Z \in \xi^{\maltese}$  and  $r \in \xi_0$ , the following properties hold:

- 1. If  $D \leq Z$ , then  $D_r^* \leq Z_r^*$ .
- 2. If  $P_1^{\sigma} \leq P_2^{\sigma}$ ,  $P_1^{\tau} \geq P_2^{\tau}$  and  $P_1^{\pi} \geq P_2^{\pi}$ , then:  $D_r^{\star}(T^{\sigma\tau\pi}, P_1^{\sigma\tau\pi}) \geq D_r^{\star}(T^{\sigma\tau\pi}, P_2^{\sigma\tau\pi})$
- 3.  $D_r^* = C^* \sigma \tau \pi(D, r) \leq C T \sigma \tau \pi(D, r)$ .
- $4. \quad (D_r^{\star})_r^{\star} \leq D_r^{\star}.$
- 5. If  $P^{\sigma}(D) \geq r$ ,  $P^{\pi}(D) \leq 1 r$ ,  $P^{\pi}(D) \leq 1 r$ , and  $P^{\pi} \leq 1 r$ , then  $(D \vee Z)_r^* = D_r^* \vee Z_r^* = D_r^*$
- 6.  $D_r^{\star} \vee Z_r^{\star} = (D \vee Z)_r^{\star}$
- 7.  $D_r^* \wedge Z_r^* \geq (D \vee Z)_r^*$ .

## **III.** Primal Semi-Closure Operator

**Definition 10** Let  $(\maltese, T^{\sigma\tau\pi}, P^{\sigma\tau\pi})$  be a *synpts* and  $r \in \zeta_0$ . Then  $D \in \zeta^{\maltese}$  is called:

- (1) r-synpo iff  $D \leq IT \sigma \tau \pi(D_r^*, r)$ .
- $(2) r-svnspo \text{ iff } D \leq C^* \sigma \tau \pi (IT \sigma \tau \pi(D,r),r) .$

(3) r-synppo iff  $D \leq IT \sigma \tau \pi(C^* \sigma \tau \pi(D,r),r)$ .

The complement of *r-svnpo* (resp, *r-svnppo*, *r-svnppo-set*) are called *r-svnpc* (resp, *r-svnspc*, *r-svnppc*).

Example 1 Presume that  $\maltese = \{u1, u2, u3\}$ ; define the svn-sets Z1, Z2, Z3,  $R \in \xi \maltese$  as follows

$$Z1 = \langle (0.5,0.5,0.5), (0.5,0.5,0.5), (0.5,0.5,0.5) \rangle,$$
  
 $Z2 = \langle (0.4,0.4,0.4), (0.5,0.5,0.5), (0.5,0.5,0.5) \rangle,$   
 $Z3 = \langle (0.4,0.4,0.4), (0.4,0.4,0.4), (0.4,0.4,0.4) \rangle,$   
 $Z3 = \langle (0.1,0.1,0.1), (0.0,0), (0.0,0) \rangle.$ 

 $R = \langle (0.1,0.1,0.1), (0,0,0), (0,0,0) \rangle.$  Define the mapping,  $P^{\sigma}$ ,  $P^{\tau}$ ,  $P^{\pi}$ :  $\xi^{\mathfrak{P}} \to \xi \xi$  and  $T^{\sigma}$ ,  $T^{\tau}$ ,  $T^{\pi}$ :  $\xi^{\mathfrak{P}} \to \xi$  as follows:

$$T^{e}(\Pi) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } Z = \overline{0}, \\ 1, & \text{if } Z = \overline{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } Z = \{Z1, Z2\}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases} P^{\varrho}(\Pi) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } Z = \overline{0}, \\ \frac{1}{4}, & \text{if } Z = Y, \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } Z = \{Z1, Z2\}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases} P^{\varrho}(\Pi) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } Z = \overline{0}, \\ \frac{1}{4}, & \text{if } Z = Y, \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } \overline{0} < Z < R, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

$$T^{\varsigma}(\Pi) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } Z = \overline{0}, \\ 0, & \text{if } Z = \overline{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } \overline{0} < Z < R, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases} P^{\varsigma}(\Pi) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } Z = \overline{0}, \\ \frac{3}{4}, & \text{if } \overline{0} < Z < R, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

$$\mathfrak{X}^{\varphi}(\Pi) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } Z = \overline{0}, \\ 0, & \text{if } Z = \overline{1}, \\ \frac{1}{4}, & \text{if } Z = \{Z2, Z3\}, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases} P^{\varphi}(\Pi) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } Z = \overline{0}, \\ \frac{2}{3}, & \text{if } \overline{0} < Z < R, \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } \overline{0} < Z < R, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

$$\mathfrak{Z}^{\varphi}(\Pi) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } Z = \overline{0}, \\ 0, & \text{if } Z = \overline{1}, \\ \frac{1}{4}, & \text{if } Z = \{Z2, Z3\}, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases} P^{\varphi}(\Pi) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } Z = 0, \\ \frac{2}{3}, & \text{if } Z = \Upsilon, \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } \overline{0} < Z < R, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

Suppose that  $\Theta = ((0.3,0.3,0.3), (0.3,0.3,0.3), (0.3,0.3,0.3))$ , then,  $\Theta$  is  $\frac{1}{2}$  - synppo but it is not  $\frac{1}{2}$ -synspo.

**Definition 11** A mapping PSC :  $\zeta^{\mathbf{H}} \times \zeta_0 \to \zeta^{\mathbf{H}}$  is called a single-valued neutrosophic primal semi-closure operator (for short, PSC) on  $\maltese$  if, for all  $D,Z \in \xi^{\maltese}$  and  $r,t \in \xi_0$ , the following axioms hold:

- 1.  $PSC(\overline{0},r) = \overline{0}$ ;
- 2.  $D \leq PSC(D,r)$ ;
- 3.  $PSC(D,r) \vee PSC(Z,r) = PSC(D \vee Z,r)$ ;
- 4.  $PSC(D,t) \leq PC(D,r)$  if  $t \leq r$ ;
- 5. PSC(PSC(D,r),r) = PSC(D,r).

The pair  $(\maltese, PSC)$  is referred to as a single-valued neutrosophic primal semiclosure space (*svnpscs*).

If 1 and PSC2 are single-valued neutrosophic semi-closure operators on ♣, then PSC1 is said to be finer than PSC2, denoted  $PSC2 \leq PC1$ , if  $PSC1(D,r) \leq PSC2(D,r)$  for every  $D \in \xi^{\infty}$  and  $r \in \xi_0$ .

**Theorem 3** Let  $(\maltese, T^{\sigma \tau \pi})$  be an synts. Then, for any  $D \in \xi^{\maltese}$  and  $r \in \xi_0$ , we define an operator PSC:  $\xi^{\maltese} \times \xi_0 \to \xi^{\maltese}$ as follows:

$$PSC\sigma\tau\pi(D,r) = \Lambda\{Z \in \xi^{*}: D \leq Z, Z \text{ is } r - svnpc\}.$$

Then,  $(\maltese, PSC\sigma\tau\pi)$  is an synpscs.

**Proof** Suppose that  $(\mathbf{\Psi}, PSC\sigma\tau\pi)$  is an SVNTS. Then, (1), (2) and (4) follows directly from the definition of  $PSC\sigma\tau\pi$ .

(3) Since  $D, Z \leq D \cup Z$  we obtain  $PSC\sigma\tau\pi(Z,r) \leq PSC\sigma\tau\pi(D \cup Z,r)$  and  $PSC\sigma\tau\pi(D,r) \leq PSC\sigma\tau\pi(D \cup Z,r)$ Z, r), therefore,

$$PSC\sigma\tau\pi(D,r) \cup PSC\sigma\tau\pi(Z,r) \leq PSC\sigma\tau\pi(D \cup Z,r).$$

Let  $(\mathbf{\Psi}, T^{\sigma\tau\pi})$  be an *synts*. From (2), we have

$$D \leq PSC\sigma(D,r), \qquad [PSC\sigma(D,r)]^c \leq C\sigma(int\sigma([PSC\sigma(D,r)]^c,r),r)$$

$$[PSC\sigma(D,r)]^c \geq C\sigma(int\sigma([PSC\sigma(D,r)]^c,r),r),$$

$$[PSC\sigma(D,r)]^c \geq C\sigma(int\sigma([PSC\sigma(D,r)^c,r),r))$$

$$D \geq PSC\tau(D,r), \qquad [PSC\tau(D,r)]^c \geq C\tau(int\tau([PSC\tau(D,r)]^c,r),r)$$

$$[PSC\tau(D,r)]^c \leq C\tau(int\tau([PSC\tau(D,r)]^c,r),r),$$

$$[PSC\tau(D,r)]^c \leq C\tau(int\tau([PSC\tau(D,r)]^c,r),r),$$

$$[PSC\tau(D,r)]^c \leq C\tau(int\tau([PSC\tau(D,r)]^c,r),r),$$

$$D \geq PSC\tau(D,r), \qquad [PSC\pi(D,r)]^c \geq C\pi(int\pi([PSC\pi(D,r)]^c,r),r)$$

```
[PSC\pi(D,r)]^c \leq C\pi(int\pi([PSC\pi(D,r)]^c,r),r),
                                             [PSC\pi(D,r)]^c \leq C\pi(int\tau([PSC\pi(D,r)]^c,r),r),
And
Z \leq PSC\sigma(Z,r),
                                              [PSC\sigma(Z,r)]^c \leq C\sigma(int\sigma([PSC\sigma(Z,r)]^c,r),r)
                                            [PSC\sigma(Z,r)]^c \geq C\sigma(int\sigma([PSC\sigma(Z,r)]^c,r),r),
                                             [PSC\sigma(Z,r)]^{c} \geq C\sigma(int\sigma([PSC\sigma(Z,r)^{c},r),r))
                      Z > PSC\tau(Z,r).
                                                                     [PSC\tau(Z,r)]^c \geq C\tau(int\tau([PSC\tau(Z,r)]^c,r),r)
                                             [PSC\tau(Z,r)]^c \leq C\tau(int\tau([PSC\tau(Z,r)]^c,r),r),
                                             [PSC\tau(Z,r)]^c \leq C\tau(int\tau([PSC\tau(Z,r)]^c,r),r),
                                            [PSC\pi(Z,r)]^c \ge C\pi(int\pi([PSC\pi(Z,r)]^c,r),r)
[PSC\pi(Z,r)]^c \le C\pi(int\pi([PSC\pi(Z,r)]^c,r),r),
                      Z \ge PSC\tau(Z,r),
                                             [PSC\pi(Z,r)]^c \leq C\pi(int\tau([PSC\pi(Z,r)]^c,r),r)
It implies that D \cup Z \leq PSC\sigma\tau\pi(D,r) \cup PSC\sigma\tau\pi(Z,r) and
[PSC\sigma(D,r) \cup [PSC\sigma(Z,r)]^c = [PSC\sigma(D,r)]^c \cap [PSC\sigma(Z,r)]^c
\leq C\sigma(in \sigma([PSC\sigma(D,r)]^c,r),r) \cap C\sigma(int\sigma([PSC\sigma(Z,r)]^c,r),r)
= C\sigma(int\sigma([PSC\sigma(D,r)]^c \cap [PSC\sigma(Z,r)]^c,r),r)
= C\sigma(int\sigma([PSC\sigma(D,r) \cup PSC\sigma(Z,r)]^c,r),r),
[PSC\tau(D,r) \cup [PSC\tau(Z,r)]^c = [PSC\tau(D,r)]^c \cap [PSC\tau(Z,r)]^c
\geq C\tau(int\tau([PSC\tau(D,r)]^c,r),r) \cap C\tau(int\tau([PSC\tau(Z,r)]^c,r),r)
= C\tau(int\tau([PSC\tau(D,r)]^c \cap [PSC\tau(Z,r)]^c,r),r)
= C\tau(int\tau([PSC\tau(D,r) \cup PSC\tau(Z,r)]^c,r),r),
[PSC\pi(D,r) \cup [PSC\pi(Z,r)]^c = [PSC\pi(D,r)]^c \cap [PSC\pi(Z,r)]^c
\geq C\tau(int\pi([PSC\pi(D,r)]^c,r),r) \cap C\pi(int\pi([PSC\pi(Z,r)]^c,r),r)
= C\pi(int\pi([PSC\pi(D,r)]^c \cap [PSC\pi(Z,r)]^c,r),r)
= C\pi(int\pi([PSC\pi(D,r) \cup PSC\pi(Z,r)]^c,r),r),
Hence, PSC\sigma\tau\pi(D,r) \vee PSC\sigma\tau\pi(Z,r) \geq PSC\sigma\tau\pi(D \vee Z,r), thus
                                         PSC\sigma\tau\pi(D,r) \vee PSC\sigma\tau\pi(Z,r) = PSC\sigma\tau\pi(D \vee Z,r)
(5) Suppose that there exists r \in \xi_0, D \in \xi^{\oplus} and \kappa \in \mathbb{R} such that
                                         T_{PSC\sigma T\sigma}^{\sigma}\left(PSC\sigma(D,r),r\right)(v) > T_{PSC\sigma T\sigma}^{\sigma}((D,r),r)(v).
                                         T_{PSC\sigma T\sigma}^{\tau}\left(PSC\tau(D,r),r\right)(v) \leq T_{PSC\sigma T\sigma}^{\tau}((D,r),r)(v).
                                         T^{\pi}_{PSC\sigma T\sigma}\left(PSC\pi(D,r),r\right)(v) \leq T^{\pi}_{PSC\sigma T\sigma}((D,r),r)(v).
By the definition of PSC\sigma\tau\pi, there exists an D \in \xi^{\mathbf{H}} with D \ge Z and Z that is synpscs such that
                                T_{PSC\sigma T\sigma}^{\sigma}\left(PSC\sigma(D,r),r\right)(v) > T^{\sigma}(Z)(v) > T_{PSC\sigma T\sigma}^{\sigma}((D,r),r)(v).
                                T_{PSC\sigma T\sigma}^{\tau}\left(PSC\tau(D,r),r\right)(v) \leq T^{\tau}(Z)(v) \leq T_{PSC\sigma T\sigma}^{\tau}((D,r),r)(v).
                                T^{\pi}_{PSC\sigma T\sigma}\left(PSC\pi(D,r),r\right)(v) \leq T^{\pi}(Z)(v) \leq T^{\pi}_{PSC\sigma T\sigma}((D,r),r)(v).
Since PSC\sigma\tau\pi(D,R) \leq Z and Z that is synpscs, by the definition of PSC\sigma\tau\pi(PSC\sigma\tau\pi), we have
                 T_{PSC\sigma T\sigma}^{\sigma}(PSC\sigma(D,r),r)(v) \leq T^{\sigma}(Z)(v),
                                                                                    T_{PSC\sigma T\sigma}^{\tau}(PSC\tau(D,r),r)(v) > T^{\tau}(Z)(v),
                                                  T_{PSC\sigma T\sigma}^{\pi}(PSC\pi(D,r),r)(v) > T^{\pi}(Z)(v).
It is a contradiction. Thus, PSC(PSC(D,r),r) = PSC(D,r). Hence, PSC is a single valued neutrosophic primal
closure operator in 4.
Theorem 4 Let (F, PSC\sigma\tau\pi) be an SVNPSCS and D \in \xi^{\oplus} and r \in \xi_0. Define the mapping T_{PSC\sigma T\sigma}^{\tau\tau\pi}: \xi^{\oplus} \to \xi by
                                             T_{PSC\sigma}^{\sigma}(D,r) = \bigvee \{r \in \xi_0: PSC\sigma(D^c,r) = D^c\}.
                                              T^{\tau}_{PSC\sigma}(D,r) = \wedge \, \{r \in \, \xi_0 \colon \ PSC\tau(D^c,r) = D^c \}.
                                              T_{PSC\sigma}^{\tau}(D,r) = \Lambda \{r \in \xi_0: PSC\tau(D^c,r) = D^c\}.
Then,
1)
            T_{PSC\sigma}^{\sigma} is an SVNTS on F;
            PSC\sigma\tau\pi is finer than SC.
2)
Proof (T1) Let (F, PSC\sigma\tau\pi) be an SVNSCS. Since PSC(\overline{0}, r) = \overline{0} and PSC(\overline{1}, r) = \overline{1}; for every r \in \zeta_0,
            Let
                          (F, PSC\sigma\tau\pi)
                                                   be
                                                                             SVNSCS.
                                                                                                       Suppose that
                                                                                                                                 there
                                                                                                                                             exists
D2∈ \xi^{\text{#}} such that
                                            T_{PSC\sigma T\sigma}^{\sigma}(D1 \wedge D2) < T_{PSC\sigma T\sigma}^{\sigma}(D1) \wedge T_{PSC\sigma T\sigma}^{\sigma}(D2),
                                            T_{PSC\sigma T\sigma}^{\tau}(D1 \wedge D2) > T_{PSC\sigma T\sigma}^{\tau}(D1) \vee T_{PSC\sigma T\sigma}^{\sigma}(D2),
                                            T_{PSC\sigma T\sigma}^{\pi}(D1 \wedge D2) > T_{PSC\sigma T\sigma}^{\pi}(D1) \vee T_{PSC\sigma T\sigma}^{\sigma}(D2),
there exists r \in \zeta_0 such that
```

$$\begin{split} T_{PSC\sigma T\sigma}^{\sigma}(D1 \wedge D2) > r > T_{PSC\sigma T\sigma}^{\sigma}(D1) \wedge T_{PSC\sigma T\sigma}^{\sigma}(D2), \\ T_{PSC\sigma T\sigma}^{\tau}(D1 \wedge D2) \leq 1 - r \leq T_{PSC\sigma T\sigma}^{\tau}(D1) \vee T_{PSC\sigma T\sigma}^{\sigma}(D2), \\ T_{PSC\sigma T\sigma}^{\pi}(D1 \wedge D2) \leq 1 - r \leq T_{PSC\sigma T\sigma}^{\pi}(D1) \vee T_{PSC\sigma T\sigma}^{\sigma}(D2), \end{split}$$

For each  $i \in \{1,2\}$ , there exists  $r \in \zeta_0$  with PSC(Di, ri) = Di such that

$$r < ri \le T^{\sigma}_{PSC\sigma T\sigma}(Di), \ T^{\tau}_{PSC\sigma T\sigma}(Di) \le 1 - ri < -r, \ T^{\pi}_{PSC\sigma T\sigma}(Di) \le 1 - ri < -r.$$

In addition, since  $PSC\sigma\tau\pi(Di) = Di$  by (2) and (4) of Definition 11, for any  $i \in \{1,2\}$ ,

$$PSC\sigma\tau\pi(D1 \cup D2,r) = D1 \cup D2.$$

It follows that  $T^{\sigma}_{PSC\sigma T\sigma}(D1 \wedge D2) \geq r$ ,  $T^{\tau}_{PSC\sigma T\sigma}(D1 \wedge D2) \leq 1-r$  and  $T^{\pi}_{PSC\sigma T\sigma}(D1 \wedge D2) \leq 1-r$ . It is a contradiction. Thus, for every For any D1,D2  $\in \xi^{\#}$ :  $T^{\sigma}(D1 \wedge D2) \geq T^{\sigma}(D1) \wedge T^{\sigma}(D2)$ ,  $T^{\tau}(D1 \wedge D2) \leq T^{\tau}(D1) \vee T^{\tau}(D2)$ ,  $T^{\pi}(D1 \wedge D2) \leq T^{\pi}(D1) \vee T^{\pi}(D2)$ . (T3) Obvious.

Example 1 Presume that  $F = \{u1, u2\}$ ; define the *svn-sets*  $Z1, Z2 \in \xi^{\#}$  as follows  $Z1 = \langle (0.1, 0.1), (0.3, 0.3), (0.3, 0.3) \rangle, Z2 = \langle (0.4, 0.4, ), (0.1, 0.1), (0.1, 0.1) \rangle.$ 

We define the mapping PSC :  $\zeta^F \times \zeta_0 \rightarrow \zeta^F$  as follows:

$$PSC(D, r) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } D = \overline{0}, \\ Z1 \cap Z2, & \text{if } 0 \neq D \leq Z1 \cap Z2, \ 0 < r < \frac{1}{2}, \\ Z1, & \text{if } D \leq Z1, \ D \nleq Z2, \ 0 < r < \frac{1}{2}, \\ Z2, & \text{if } D \leq Z2, \ D \nleq Z1, \ 0 < r < \frac{1}{2}, \\ Z1 \cup Z2, & \text{if } 0 \neq D \leq Z1 \cap Z2, \ 0 < r < \frac{1}{2}, \\ Z1 \cup Z2, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, *PSC* is a single-valued neutrosophic closure operator.

From Theorem 2, we have a single-valued neutrosophic topology  $(T_{PSC}^{\sigma}, T_{PSC}^{\tau}T_{PSC}^{\pi})$  on F as follows:

$$T_{PSC,}^{\sigma} (D) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } D = \overline{0} \text{ or } \overline{1} \\ \frac{2}{3}, & \text{if } D = (Z1)^c, \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } D = (Z2)^c, \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } D = (Z1)^c \cup (Z1)^c, \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } 0 \neq D \leq Z1 \cap Z, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$T_{PSC}^{\tau} \ (\mathrm{D}) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \mathrm{D} = \overline{0} \ or \ \overline{1} \\ \frac{1}{3}, & \text{if } \mathrm{D} = (\mathrm{Z}1)^c, \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } D = (\mathrm{Z}2)^c, \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } D = (\mathrm{Z}1)^c \cup (\mathrm{Z}1)^c, \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } 0 \neq \mathrm{D} \leq \mathrm{Z}1 \cap \mathrm{Z}, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$T^{\pi}_{PSC} \ (D) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } D = \overline{0} \ or \ \overline{1} \\ \frac{1}{3}, & \text{if } D = (Z1)^c, \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } D = (Z2)^c, \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } D = (Z1)^c \cup (Z1)^c, \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } 0 \neq D \leq Z1 \cap Z, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus, the  $T_{PSC}^{\sigma\tau\pi}$  is a single-valued neutrosophic topology on F.

## References

- [1]. Smarandache, F. A Unifying Field In Logics, Neutrosophy: Neutrosophic Probability, Set And Logic; American Research Press: Rehoboth, NM, USA.
- [2]. Salama, A.A.; Alblowi, S.A. Neutrosophic Set And Neutrosophic Topological Spaces. IOSR J. Math. 2012, 3, 31–35.
- [3]. Salama, A.A.; Smarandache, F.; Kroumov, V. Neutrosophic Crisp Sets And Neutrosophic Crisp Topological Spaces. Neutrosophic Sets Syst. 2014, 2, 25–30.
- [4]. Chang, C.L. Fuzzy Topological Spaces. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 1968, 24, 182–190.
- [5]. Hur, K.; Lim, P.K.; Lee, J.G.; Kim, J. The Category Of Neutrosophic Sets. Neutrosophic Sets Syst. 2016, 14, 12–20.
- [6]. Hur, K.; Lim, P.K.; Lee, J.G.; Kim, J. The Category Of Neutrosophic Crisp Sets. Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform. 2017, 14, 43–54.
- [7]. Smarandache, F. A Unifying Field In Logics: Neutrosophic Logic. Neutrosophy, Neutrosophic Set, Neutrosophic Probability And Statistics, 6 Th Ed.; Infolearnquest: Ann Arbor, MI, USA, 2007. Available Online: Http://Fs.Gallup.Unm.Edu/Ebook-Neutrosophics6.Pdf (Accessed On 10 February 2019).
- [8]. Sostak A. On A Fuzzy Topological Structure. In Circolo Matematico Di Palermo, Palermo; Rendiconti Del Circolo Matematico Di Palermo, Proceedings Of The 13th Winter School On Abstract Analysis, Section Of Topology, Srni, Czech Republic, 5–12 January 1985; Circolo Matematico Di Palermo; Palermo, Italy, 1985; Pp. 89–103.
- [9]. Fang, J.M.; Yue, Y.L. Base And Subbase In I-Fuzzy Topological Spaces. J. Math. Res. Expos. 2006, 26, 89–95.
- [10]. Zahran, A.M.; Abbas, S.E.; Abd El-Baki, S.A.; Saber, Y.M. Decomposition Of Fuzzy Continuity And Fuzzy Ideal Continuity Via Fuzzy Idealization. Chaos Solitons & Fractals 2009, 42, 3064–3077.
- [11]. Wang H.; Smarandache, F.; Zhang, Y.Q.; Sunderraman, R. Single Valued Neutrosophic Sets. Multispace Multistruct. 2010, 4, 410–413.
- [12]. El-Gayyar, M. Smooth Neutrosophic Topological Spaces. Neutrosophic Sets Syst. 2016, 65, 65–72.
- [13]. Kim, J.; Smarandache, F.; Lee, J.G.; Hur, K. Ordinary Single Valued Neutrosophic Topological Spaces. Symmetry 2019, 11, 1075.
- [14]. Saber, Y.M.; Abdel-Sattar, M.A. Ideals On Fuzzy Topological Spaces. Appl. Math. Sci. 2014, 8, 1667–1691.
- [15]. Alsharari, F.; Saber, Y.M.; Alohali, H.; Alqahtani, M.H.; Ebodey, M.; Elmasry, T.; Alsharif, J.; Soliman, A.F.; Smarandache, F.; Sikander, F. On Stratified Single-Valued Soft Topogenous Structures. Heliyon 2024, 10, E27926.
- [16]. Saber, Y.M.; Alohali, H.; Elmasry, T.; Smarandache, F. On Singlevalued Neutrosophic Soft Uniform Spaces. AIMS Math. 2024, 9, 412–439. https://doi.org/10.3934/Math.2024023.
- [17]. Saber, Y.M.; Alsharari, F.; Smarandache, F. On Single-Valued Neutrosophic Ideals In Sostak Sense. Symmetry 2020, 12, 194.
- [18]. Saber, Y.M.; Alsharari, F.; Smarandache, F.; Abdel-Sattar, A. Connectedness And Stratification Of Single-Valued Neutrosophic Topological Spaces. Symmetry 2020, 12, 1464.
- [19]. Azzh Saad Alshehry, Loredana Ciurdariu, Yaser Saber, Amal F Soliman. Some New Estimations Of Left And Right Interval Fractional Pachpatte's Type Integral Inequalities Via Rectangle Plane. Axioms 2024, 13(7), 417; https://Doi.Org/10.3390/Axioms13070417.
- [20]. Mesfer H Alqahtani, Lou Der-Chyuan, Fahad Sikander, Yaser Saber, Lee Cheng-Chi. Novel Fuzzy Ostrowski Integral Inequalities For Convex Fuzzy-Valued Mappings Over A Harmonic Convex Set: Extending Real-Valued Intervals Without The Sugeno Integrals. Mathematics 2024, 12(22), 3495; https://Doi.Org/10.3390/Math12223495.
- [21]. Yaser Saber, Florentin Smarandache, Mohamed Abusalih, Esam Bader, Tawfik Elmasry, Abdelaziz Babiker. Single-Valued Neutrosophic Ideal Approximation Spaces. Int. J. Anal. Appl., 22 (2024), 26. Https://Doi.Org/10.28924/2291-8639-22-2024-26.
- [22]. Yaser Saber. Connectedness In Single-Valued Neutrosophic Soft Grill Topological Spaces. Int. J. Anal. Appl., 21 (2023), 137. Https://Doi.Org/10.28924/2291-8639-21-2023-137.
- [23]. Fahad Alsharari, Florentin Smarandache, Yaser Saber. Compactness On Single-Valued Neutrosophic Ideal Topological Spaces. Neutrosophic Sets And Systems, Vol. 41, 2021.
- [24]. Yasser M Saber, Fahad Alsharari. Generalized Fuzzy Ideal Closed Sets On Fuzzy Topological Spaces In Sostak Sense. International Journal Of Fuzzy Logic And Intelligent Systems 2018; 18(3): 161-166. https://Doi.org/10.5391/IJFIS.2018.18.3.161.
- [25]. Fahad Alsharari, Yaser M Saber. Separation Axioms On Fuzzy Ideal Topological Spaces In Sostak Sense. International Journal Of Advanced And Applied Sciences, 7(2) 2020, Pages: 78-84. https://Doi.org/10.21833/ljaas.2020.02.011.
- [26]. YM Saber, Fahad Alsharari, Florentin Smarandache, A Abdel-Sattar. On Single Valued Neutrosophic Regularity Spaces. Computer Modeling In Engineering & Sciences 2022, 130(3), 1625-1648. https://Doi.Org/10.32604/Cmes.2022.017782.
- [27]. Alsharari, F.; Alohali, H.; Saber, Y.M.; Smarandache, F. An Introduction To Single-Valued Neutrosophic Primal Theory. Symmetry 2024, 16(4), 402.
- [28]. Ye, J. Single Valued Neutrosophic Cross-Entropy For Multicriteria Decision Making Problems. Appl. Math. Modell. 2014, 38, 1 3. Https://Doi.Org/10.1016/J.Apm.2013.07.020.
- [29]. Yang, H.L.; Guo, Z.L.; Liao, X. On Single Valued Neutrosophic Relations. J. Intell. Fuzzy Syst. 2016, 30, 1045–1056.