

## Jordan Higher K-Centralizer on $\Gamma$ -Rings

Salah M. Salih, Ali M. Kamal, Balsam M. Hamad

Department of Mathematics, College of Education, Al-Mustansiriya University

**Abstract:** Let  $M$  be a semiprime  $\Gamma$ -ring satisfying a certain assumption. Then we prove that every Jordan left higher  $k$ -centralizer on  $M$  is a left higher  $k$ -centralizer on  $M$ . We also prove that every Jordan higher  $k$ -centralizer of a 2-torsion free semiprime  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  satisfying a certain assumption is a higher  $k$ -centralizer.

**Keywords:** Semiprime  $\Gamma$ -ring, left higher centralizer, higher  $k$ -centralizer, Jordan higher  $k$ -centralizer.

### I. Introduction:

The definition of a  $\Gamma$ -ring was introduced by Nobusawa [7] and generalized by Barnes [2] as follows:  
 Let  $M$  and  $\Gamma$  be two additive abelian groups. If there exists a mapping  $M \times \Gamma \times M \longrightarrow M$  (the image of  $(a, \alpha, b)$  being denoted by  $a\alpha b$ ;  $a, b \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ ) satisfying for all  $a, b, c \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$

- (i)  $(a + b)\alpha c = a\alpha c + b\alpha c$ ,
- $a(\alpha + \beta)c = a\alpha c + a\beta c$ ,
- $a\alpha(b + c) = a\alpha b + a\alpha c$

- (iii)  $(a\alpha b)\beta c = a\alpha(b\beta c)$ .

Then  $M$  is called a  $\Gamma$ -ring.

In [3] F.J. Jing defined a derivation on  $\Gamma$ -ring, as follows:

An additive map  $d: M \longrightarrow M$  is said to be a derivation of  $M$  if

$$d(x\alpha y) = d(x)\alpha y + x\alpha d(y), \text{ for all } x, y \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma$$

M. Saponci and A. Nakajima in [8] defined a Jordan derivation on  $\Gamma$ -ring, as follows:

An additive map  $d: M \longrightarrow M$  is called a Jordan derivation of  $\Gamma$ -ring if

$$d(x\alpha x) = d(x)\alpha x + x\alpha d(x), \text{ for all } x \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma$$

A.H. Majeed and S.M. Salih in [6] defined a higher derivation and Jordan higher derivation on  $\Gamma$ -ring as follows:

A family of additive mapping of  $M$ ,  $D = (d_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is called a higher derivation of  $M$  if for every  $x, y \in M$ ,  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$d_n(x\alpha y) = \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(x)\alpha d_j(y)$$

$D$  is called Jordan derivation of  $M$  if

$$d_n(x\alpha x) = \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(x)\alpha d_j(x)$$

In 2011 M.F. Hoque and A.C. Paul, [5], also B. Zalar in [11] defined a centralizer on  $\Gamma$ -ring, as follows

An additive mapping  $T: R \longrightarrow R$  is left (right) centralizer if

$$T(x\alpha y) = T(x)\alpha y \text{ (} T(x\alpha y) = x\alpha T(y)\text{) holds for all } x, y \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma.$$

In [5], defined a Jordan centralizer on  $\Gamma$ -ring,

An additive mapping  $T: M \longrightarrow M$  is Jordan left (right) centralizer if

$$T(x\alpha x) = T(x)\alpha x \text{ (} T(x\alpha x) = x\alpha T(x)\text{) for all } x \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma.$$

In [9] Salah M. Salih and Balsam Majid H. defined a higher centralizer on  $\Gamma$ -ring, as follows:

A family of additive mapping of  $M$ , such that  $t_0 = id_M$  then  $T$  is said to be higher centralizer of  $M$  if

$$t_n(x\alpha y + y\beta x) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)\alpha y + y\beta t_i(x)$$

for all  $x, y \in M$ ,  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

In [9], defined a Jordan higher centralizer on  $\Gamma$ -ring, as follows:

A family of additive mappings of  $M$ , such that  $t_0 = id_M$  then  $T$  is said to be a Jordan higher centralizer of  $M$  if

$$t_n(x\alpha x + x\alpha x) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)\alpha x + x\alpha t_i(x)$$

for all  $x \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Z.Ullah and M.A. Chaudhay [10] developed the concepts of a K-centralizer on a semiprime  $\Gamma$ -ring and Jordan K-centralizer on  $\Gamma$ -ring as follows:

Let  $M$  be a  $\Gamma$ -ring and  $K: M \longrightarrow M$  an automorphism such that  $K(x\alpha y) = K(x)\alpha K(y)$  for all  $x, y \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma$ . An additive mapping  $T: M \longrightarrow M$  is a left (right K-centralizer if  $T(x\alpha y) = T(x)\alpha K(y)$  ( $T(x\alpha y) = K(x)\alpha T(y)$ ) holds for all  $x, y \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma$ .  $T$  is called a K-centralizer if it is both a left and right K-centralizer.

In this paper, we define and study higher K-centralizer, Jordan higher K-centralizer, and we prove that every Jordan higher K-centralizer of a semiprime  $\Gamma$ -ring is a higher K-centralizer. Through this paper we denote the set of all natural numbers include zero.

## II. Preliminaries

In this section we will introduce the definition of K-higher centralizer, Jordan K-higher centralizer and describe some notions.

### Definition (2.1):

Let  $M$  be a  $\Gamma$ -ring. An additive subgroup  $U$  of  $M$  is called a left (right) ideal of  $M$  if  $M\Gamma U \subseteq U$  ( $U\Gamma M \subseteq U$ ). If  $U$  is both a left and right ideal, then  $U$  is called an ideal of  $M$ .

### Definition (2.2):

An ideal  $P$  of a  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  is called prime ideal if for any ideals  $A, B$  of  $M, A\Gamma B \subseteq P$ , implies  $A \subseteq P$  or  $B \subseteq P$ .

### Definition (2.3):

An ideal  $P$  of a  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  is called semi-prime if for any ideal  $A$  of  $M, A\Gamma A \subseteq P$ , implies  $A \subseteq P$ .

### Definition (2.4):

A  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  is said to be prime if  $a \Gamma M \Gamma b = \{0\}, a, b \in M$ , implies  $a = 0$  or  $b = 0$ .

### Definition (2.5):

A  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  is said to be semiprime if  $a \Gamma M \Gamma a = \{0\}, a \in M$ , implies  $a = 0$ .

### Definition (2.6):

A  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  is said to be commutative if  $x\alpha y = y\alpha x$  for all  $x, y \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma$ .

### Definition (2.7):

A  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  is said to be 2-torsion free if  $2x = 0$  implies  $x = 0$  for all  $x \in M$ .

### Definition (2.8):

Let  $M$  be a  $\Gamma$ -ring. Then the set  $Z(M) = \{x \in M: x\alpha y = y\alpha x \text{ for all } y \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma\}$  is called the center of the  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$ .

## III. The Higher K-Centralizer of Semiprime $\Gamma$ -Ring

Now we will introduce the definition of left (right) higher K-centralizer and higher K-centralizer, Jordan higher K-centralizer on  $\Gamma$ -ring and other concepts which be used in our work.

### Definition (3.1):

Let  $M$  be a  $\Gamma$ -ring and  $T = (t_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a family of additive mappings of  $M$ , such that  $t_0 = \text{id}_M$  and  $K = (k_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  a family of automorphism. Then  $T$  is said to be left (right) higher K-centralizer if

$$T_n(x\alpha y) = \sum_{i+j=n} t_i(x)\alpha k_j(y) \quad \left( T_n(x\alpha y) = \sum_{i+j=n} k_i(x)\alpha t_j(y) \right)$$

holds for all  $x, y \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma$ .  $T_n$  is called a higher K-centralizer if it is both a left and a right K-centralizer.

For any fixed  $a \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , the mapping  $T_n(x) = \sum_{i=n} a \alpha k_i(x)$  is a left higher K-centralizer and

$T_n(x) = \sum_{i=n} k_i(x) \alpha a$  is a right K-centralizer.

**Definition (3.2):**

Let  $M$  be a  $\Gamma$ -ring and  $T = (t_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a family of additive mappings of  $M$ , such that  $t_0 = \text{id}_M$  and  $K = (k_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  a family of automorphism. Then  $T$  is said Jordan left (right) higher K-centralizer if

$$T_n(x\alpha x) = \sum_{i+j=n} t_i(x)\alpha k_j(x) \quad \left( T_n(x\alpha x) = \sum_{i+j=n} k_i(x)\alpha t_j(x) \right)$$

holds for all  $x \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma$ .

**Definition (3.3):**

Let  $M$  be a  $\Gamma$ -ring and  $T = (t_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a family of additive mappings of  $M$ , such that  $t_0 = \text{id}_M$  and  $K = (k_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  a family of automorphism. Then  $T$  is said Jordan higher K-centralizer if

$$T_n(x\alpha y + y\alpha x) = \sum_{i+j=n} t_i(x)\alpha k_j(y) + k_j(y)\alpha t_i(x)$$

holds for all  $x, y \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma$ .

**Lemma (3.4):** [5]

Let  $M$  be a semiprime  $\Gamma$ -ring. If  $a, b \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$  are such that  $a \alpha x \beta b = 0$  for all  $x \in M$  then  $a \alpha b = b \alpha a = 0$ .

**Lemma (3.5):** [5]

Let  $M$  be a semiprime  $\Gamma$ -ring and  $A: M \times M \rightarrow M$  a additive mapping. If  $A(x,y)\alpha w\beta(x,y) = 0$  for all  $x, y, w \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ , then  $A(x,y)\alpha w\beta(u,v) = 0$  for all  $x, y, u, v \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

**Lemma (3.6):** [5]

Let  $M$  be a semiprime  $\Gamma$ -ring satisfying the assumption  $x\alpha y\beta z = x\beta y\alpha z$  for all  $x, y, z \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . If  $a \in M$  is a fixed element such that  $a \alpha [x,y]\beta = 0$  for all  $x, y \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ , then there exists an ideal  $U$  of  $M$  such that  $a \in U \subset Z(M)$ .

**Lemma (3.7):** [5]

Let  $M$  be a semiprime  $\Gamma$ -ring satisfying the assumption  $x\alpha y\beta z = x\beta y\alpha z$  for all  $x, y, z \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . Let  $D$  be a derivation of  $M$  and  $a \in M$ , a fixed element

- (i) If  $D(x)\alpha D(y) = 0$  for all  $x, y \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ , then  $D = 0$ .
- (ii) If  $a\alpha x - x\alpha a \in Z(M)$  for all  $x \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , then  $a \in Z(M)$ .

**Lemma (3.8):** [5]

Let  $M$  be a semiprime  $\Gamma$ -ring satisfying the assumption  $x\alpha y\beta z = x\beta y\alpha z$  for all  $x, y, z \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . Let  $a, b \in M$  be two fixed elements such that  $a \alpha x = x \alpha b$  for all  $x \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . Then  $a = b \in Z(M)$ .

**Lemma (3.9):**

Let  $M$  be a semiprime  $\Gamma$ -ring satisfying the assumption  $x\alpha y\beta z = x\beta y\alpha z$  for all  $x, y, z \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . Let  $T: M \rightarrow M$  be a Jordan left higher K-centralizer, then

- (a)  $T_n(x\alpha y + y\alpha x) = \sum_{i+j=n} t_i(x)\alpha k_j(y) + t_i(y)\alpha k_j(y)$
- (b)  $T_n(x\alpha y\beta x + x\beta y\alpha x) = \sum_{i+j+s=n} t_i(x)\alpha k_j(y)\beta k_s(x) + t_i(x)\beta k_j(y)\alpha k_s(x)$

(c) If  $M$  is a 2-torsion free  $\Gamma$ -ring satisfying the above assumption, then

$$(i) T_n(x\alpha y\beta x) = \sum_{i+j+s=n} t_i(x)\alpha k_j(y)\beta k_s(x)$$

$$(ii) T_n(x\alpha y\beta z + z\beta y\alpha x) = \sum_{i+j+s=n} t_i(x)\alpha k_j(y)\beta k_s(z) + t_i(z)\beta k_j(y)\alpha k_s(x)$$

**Proof:**

Since  $T_n$  is a Jordan left higher K-centralizer, therefore

$$(1) T_n(x\alpha x) = \sum_{i+j=n} t_i(x)\alpha k_j(x).$$

(a) Replacing  $x$  by  $x + y$  in (1), we get

$$(2) T_n(x\alpha y + y\alpha x) = \sum_{i+j=n} t_i(x)\alpha k_j(y) + t_i(y)\alpha k_j(x) \text{ for all } x, y \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma.$$

(b) Replacing  $y$  by  $x\alpha y + y\alpha x$  and  $\alpha$  by  $\beta$  in (2), we get

$$T_n(x\beta(x\alpha y + y\alpha x) + (x\alpha y + y\alpha x)\beta x) = \sum_{i+j=n} t_i(x)\beta k_j(x\alpha y + y\alpha x) + t_i(x\alpha y + y\alpha x)\alpha k_j(x)$$

The last relation along with (2) implies

$$T_n(x\beta x\alpha y + x\beta y\alpha x + x\alpha y\beta x + y\alpha x\beta x) = \sum_{i+j+s=n} t_i(x)\beta k_j(x)\alpha k_s(y) + t_i(x)\beta k_j(y)\alpha k_s(x) + t_i(x)\alpha k_j(y)\beta k_s(x) + t_i(y)\alpha k_j(x)\beta k_s(x)$$

which gives

$$T_n(x\beta x\alpha y + y\alpha x\beta x) + T_n(x\beta y\alpha x + x\alpha y\beta x) = \sum_{i+j+s=n} t_i(x)\beta k_j(x)\alpha k_s(y) + t_i(x)\beta k_j(y)\alpha k_s(x) + t_i(x)\alpha k_j(y)\beta k_s(x) + t_i(y)\alpha k_j(x)\beta k_s(x)$$

the last relation along with (2) implies

$$(3) T_n(x\beta y\alpha x + x\alpha y\beta x) = \sum_{i+j+s=n} t_i(x)\beta k_j(y)\alpha k_s(x) + t_i(x)\alpha k_j(y)\beta k_s(x)$$

(c) Using the assumption  $x\alpha y\beta z = x\beta y\alpha z$  and 2-torsion freeness of  $M$ , from (3) we get

$$(4) T_n(x\beta y\alpha x) = \sum_{i+j+s=n} t_i(x)\beta k_j(y)\alpha k_s(x)$$

Replacing  $x$  by  $x + z$  in (4), we get

$$T_n((x + z)\beta y\alpha(x + z)) = \sum_{i+j+s=n} t_i(x + z)\beta k_j(y)\alpha k_s(x + z)$$

Which implies

$$T_n(x\beta y\alpha z + z\beta y\alpha x) = \sum_{i+j+s=n} t_i(x)\beta k_j(y)\alpha k_s(z) + t_i(z)\beta k_j(y)\alpha k_s(x)$$

The last relation along with the assumption  $x\alpha y\beta z = x\beta y\alpha z$  gives

$$(5) T_n(x\alpha y\beta z + z\beta y\alpha x) = \sum_{i+j+s=n} t_i(x)\alpha k_j(y)\beta k_s(z) + t_i(z)\beta k_j(y)\alpha k_s(x).$$

**Theorem (3.10):**

Let  $M$  be a semiprime  $\Gamma$ -ring satisfying the assumption  $x\alpha y\beta z = x\beta y\alpha z$  for all  $x, y, z \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . Let  $T_n: M \rightarrow M$  be a Jordan left higher K-centralizer. Then  $T_n$  is a left higher K-centralizer.

**Proof:**

Using lemma (3.9-c(i)), we have

$$(6) T_n(x\alpha y\beta z Y y\alpha x + y\alpha x\beta z Y x\alpha y) = \sum_{i+j+s+t+r=n} t_i(x)\alpha k_j(y)\beta k_s(z) Y k_t(y)\alpha k_r(x) + t_i(y)\alpha k_j(x)\beta k_s(z) Y k_t(x)\alpha k_r(y)$$

Moreover, lemma (3.9-c(ii)) gives

$$(7) T_n(x\alpha y\beta zYy\alpha x + y\alpha x\beta zYx\alpha y) = \sum_{i+j+s+t=n} t_i(x\alpha y)\beta k_j(z)Yk_s(y)\alpha k_t(x) + t_i(y\alpha x)\beta k_j(z)Yk_s(x)\alpha k_t(y)$$

Subtracting (6) from (7), we get

$$\left( \sum_{i+s+t+r=n} t_i(x\alpha y) - t_j(x)\alpha k_s(y) \right) \beta k_s(z)Yk_t(y)\alpha k_r(x) + \left( \sum_{i+j+s+t+r=n} t_i(y\alpha x) - t_j(y)\alpha k_s(x) \right) \beta k_s(z)Yk_t(x)\alpha k_r(y) = 0$$

Which implies

$$(8) H(x, y)\beta \sum_{s+t+r=n} k_s(z)Yk_t(y)\alpha k_r(x) + H(y, x)\beta \sum_{s+t+r=n} k_s(z)Yk_t(x)\alpha k_r(y) = 0$$

$$\text{When } H(x, y) = \sum_{i=n} t_i(x\alpha y) - \sum_{i+j=n} t_i(x)\alpha yk_j(y)$$

Which along with (2) implies  $H(x, y) = -H(y, x)$

Using the last relation, from (8), we get

$$H(x, y)\beta k_s(z)Y[k_t(x)k_r(y)]_\alpha = 0$$

Replacing  $x$  by  $k_t^{-1}(x)$ ,  $y$  by  $k_r^{-1}(y)$  and  $z$  by  $k_s^{-1}(z)$  in the last relation, we get

$$H(k_t^{-1}(x), k_r^{-1}(y))\beta zY[x, y]_\alpha = 0$$

The last relation along with lemma 3.5 implies

$$H(k_t^{-1}(x), k_r^{-1}(y))\beta zY[u, v]_\alpha = 0.$$

Replacing  $x$  by  $k_t(x)$  and  $y$  by  $k_r(y)$  in the last relation, we get

$$(9) H(x, y)\beta zY[u, v]_\alpha = 0.$$

Using lemma 3.4 in (9), we get

$$(10) H(x, y)\beta [u, v]_\alpha = 0.$$

We now fix some  $x, y \in M$  and denote  $H(x, y)$  by  $H$ . Using lemma 3.6 we get the existence of an ideal  $U$  such that  $H \in U \subseteq Z(M)$ .

In particular,  $b\alpha H, H\alpha b \in Z(M)$  for all  $b \in M$ , then

$$x\alpha(H\beta H\gamma y) = (H\beta H\gamma y)\alpha x = (yYH\beta H)\alpha x = yY(H\beta H\alpha x) = (H\beta H\alpha x)Yy$$

which implies

$$4T_n(x\alpha(H\beta H\gamma y)) = 4T_n(yY(H\beta H\alpha x))$$

Which gives

$$2T_n(x\alpha H\beta H\gamma y + x\alpha H\beta H\gamma y) = 2T_n(yYH\beta H\alpha x + yYH\beta H\alpha x) =$$

$$2T_n(x\alpha H\beta H\gamma y + H\beta H\gamma y x\alpha) = 2T_n(yYH\beta H\alpha x + H\beta H\alpha x Yy)$$

Using (2) in the last relation, we get

$$2 \sum_{i+j+s+t=n} t_i(x)\alpha k_j(H)\beta k_s(H)Yk_t(y) + 2 \sum_{i+j=n} t_i(H\beta H\gamma y)\alpha k_j(x) =$$

$$2 \sum_{i+j+s+t=n} t_i(y)Yk_j(H)\beta k_s(H)\alpha k_t(y) + 2 \sum_{i+j=n} t_i(H\beta H\alpha x)Yk_j(y)$$

Which implies

$$2 \sum_{i+j+s+t=n} t_i(x)\alpha k_j(H)\beta k_s(H)Yk_t(y) + \sum_{i+j=n} t_i(H\beta H\gamma y + yYH\beta H)\alpha k_j(x) =$$

$$2 \sum_{i+j+s+t=n} t_i(y)Yk_j(H)\beta k_s(H)\alpha k_t(x) + \sum_{i+j=n} t_i(H\beta H\alpha x + x\alpha H\beta H)Yk_j(y)$$

The last relation along with (2) gives

$$2 \sum_{i+j+s+t=n} t_i(x)\alpha k_j(H)\beta k_s(H)Yk_t(y) + \sum_{i+j=n} \left( \sum_{r+s+t=i} t_r(H)\beta k_s(H)Yk_t(y) + \sum_{r+s+t=i} t_r(y)Yk_s(H)\beta k_t(H)\alpha k_j(y) \right) = 2 \sum_{i+j+s+t=n} t_i(y)Yk_j(H)\beta k_s(H)\alpha k_t(x) + \sum_{i+j=n} \left( \sum_{r+s+t=i} t_r(H)\beta k_s(H)\alpha k_t(x)Yk_j(y) + \sum_{r+s+t=i} t_r(x)\alpha k_s(H)\beta k_t(H)Yk_j(y) \right)$$

So, we have

$$2 \sum_{i+j+s+t=n} t_i(x)\alpha k_j(H)\beta k_s(H)Yk_t(y) + \sum_{r+s+t+j=n} t_r(H)\beta k_s(H)Yk_t(y)\alpha k_j(x) + \sum_{r+s+t+j=n} t_r(y)Yk_s(H)\beta k_t(H)\alpha k_j(x) = 2 \sum_{i+j+s+t=n} t_i(y)Yk_j(H)\beta k_s(H)\alpha k_t(x) + \sum_{r+s+t+j=n} t_r(H)\beta k_s(H)\alpha k_t(x)Yk_j(y) + \sum_{r+s+t+j=n} t_r(x)\alpha k_s(H)\beta k_t(H)Yk_j(y)$$

Which implies

$$\sum_{i+j+s+t=n} t_i(x)\alpha k_j(H)\beta k_s(H)Yk_t(y) + \sum_{r+s+t+j=n} t_r(H)\beta k_s(H)Yk_t(y)\alpha k_j(x) = \sum_{i+j+s+t=n} t_i(y)Yk_j(H)\beta k_s(H)\alpha k_t(x) + \sum_{r+s+t+j=n} t_r(H)\beta k_s(H)\alpha k_t(x)Yk_j(y)$$

Replacing  $H$  by  $k_w^{-1}(H)$ , where  $w_j = s$  or  $t$  or  $j$  we get

$$\sum_{i+t=n} t_i(x)\alpha H\beta H Yk_t(y) + \sum_{r+t+j=n} t_r(k_r^{-1}(H))\beta H Yk_t(y)\alpha k_j(x) = \sum_{i+t=n} t_i(y)YH\beta H\alpha k_t(x) + \sum_{r+t+j=n} t_r(k_r^{-1}(H))\beta H\alpha k_t(x)Yk_j(y)$$

Since  $H \in U \subseteq Z(M)$  and  $x\alpha y\beta z = x\beta y\alpha z$  for all  $x, y, z \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ , therefore  $H Yk_t(y)\alpha k_j(x) = H Yk_t(y)\alpha k_j(x) = k_j(x)\alpha(H Yk_t(y)) = (k_j(x)\alpha H)Yk_t(y) = H\alpha k_j(x)Yk_t(y)$

Using this in the last relation we get

$$(11) \sum_{i+t=n} t_i(x)\alpha k_t(y)YH\beta H = \sum_{i+t=n} t_i(y)YH\beta H\alpha k_t(x).$$

Now since  $H \in U \subseteq Z(M)$ , one has

$$x\alpha yYH\beta H = x\alpha(yYH)\beta H = (x\alpha H)Y(y\beta H) = (H\alpha x)Y(H\beta y),$$

$$4T_n(x\alpha y)YH\beta H = 4T_n(H\alpha x)Y(H\beta y),$$

$$2T_n(x\alpha yYH\beta H + H\beta H Yx\alpha y) = 2T_n(H\alpha xYH\beta y + H\beta yYH\alpha x).$$

The last relation along with (2) gives

$$2 \sum_{i+j+s=n} t_i(x\alpha y)Yk_j(H)\beta k_s(H) + 2 \sum_{i+j+s+t=n} t_i(H)\beta k_j(H)Yk_s(x)\alpha k_t(y) =$$

$$2 \sum_{i+j+s=n} t_i(H\alpha x)Yk_j(H)\beta k_s(H) + 2 \sum_{i+j+s=n} t_i(H\beta y)Yk_j(H)\alpha k_s(x)$$

Which implies

$$2 \sum_{i+j+s=n} t_i(x\alpha y)Yk_j(H)\beta k_s(H) + 2 \sum_{i+j+s+t=n} t_i(H)\beta k_j(H)Yk_s(x)\alpha k_t(y) =$$

$$2 \sum_{i+j+s=n} t_i(x\alpha H + H\alpha x)Yk_j(H)\beta k_s(H) + \sum_{i+j+s=n} t_i(y\beta H + H\beta y)Yk_j(H)\alpha k_s(x)$$

Which further gives

$$2 \sum_{i+j+s=n} t_i(x\alpha y)Yk_j(H)\beta k_s(H) + 2 \sum_{i+j+s+t=n} t_i(H)\beta k_j(H)Yk_s(x)\alpha k_t(y) =$$

$$\sum_{r+t+j+s=n} t_r(x)\alpha k_t(H)Yk_j(H)\beta k_s(y) + t_r(H)\alpha k_t(H)Yk_j(H)\beta k_s(y) +$$

$$\sum_{r+t+j+s=n} t_r(y)\beta k_t(H)Yk_j(H)\alpha k_s(x) + t_r(H)\alpha k_t(y)Yk_j(H)\beta k_s(y)$$

Replacing H by  $k_w^{-1}(H)$  in the last relation, where  $w = j$  or  $s$  or  $t$ , we get

$$2 \sum_{i+j+s=n} t_i(x\alpha y)YH\beta H + 2 \sum_{i+s+t=n} t_i(k_i^{-1}(H))\beta HYk_s(x)\alpha k_t(y) =$$

$$\sum_{r+s=n} t_r(x)\alpha HYHk_j\beta k_s(y) + \sum_{r+t+s=n} t_r(k_i^{-1}(H))\beta \alpha k_t(x)YH\beta k_s(y) +$$

$$\sum_{r+s=n} t_r(y)\beta HYH\alpha k_s(x) + \sum_{r+t+s=n} t_r(H)\beta k_t(x)YH\alpha k_s(x)$$

Since  $H \in U \subseteq Z(M)$  and  $x\alpha y\beta z = x\beta y\alpha z$  for all  $x, y, z \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ , therefore

$$2 \sum_{i=n} t_i(x\alpha y)YH\beta H = \sum_{r+s=n} t_r(x)\alpha k_s(y)YH\beta H + \sum_{r+s=n} t_r(y)YH\beta H\alpha k_s(x)$$

The last relation along with (11) gives

$$\sum_{i=n} t_i(x\alpha y)YH\beta H = \sum_{r+s=n} t_r(x)\alpha k_s(y)YH\beta H$$

That is  $HYH\beta H = 0$ .

Using lemma 3.4 in the last relation we get

$$H\beta H = 0$$

Now  $H\beta M\alpha H = (H\beta H)\alpha M = \{0\}$ .

Thus  $H = 0$ , that is

$$T_n(x\alpha y) - \sum_{i+j=n} t_i(x)\alpha k_j(y) = 0. \text{ So, } T_n(x\alpha y) = \sum_{i+j=n} t_i(x)\alpha k_j(y).$$

**Lemma (3.11):**

Let M be a semiprime  $\Gamma$ -ring satisfying the assumption  $x\alpha y\beta z = x\beta y\alpha z$  for all  $x, y, z \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$  and for some fixed element

$m \in M$  if  $T_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n m\alpha k_i(x) + k_i(x)\alpha m$  is a Jordan higher K-centralizer, then  $m \in Z(M)$ .

**Proof:**

By hypothesis

$$(12) T_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n m\alpha k_i(x) + k_i(x)\alpha m$$

Since  $T_n$  is a Jordan higher K-centralizer, therefore

$$(13) T_n(x\beta y + y\beta x) = \sum_{i+j=n} t_i(x)\beta k_j(y) + k_j(y)\beta t_i(x)$$

Using (12) in (13), we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n m\alpha k_i(x\beta y + y\beta x) + k_j(x\beta y + y\beta x)\alpha m = \sum_{i+j=n} (m\alpha k_i(x) + k_i(x)\alpha m)\beta k_j(y) +$$

$$k_j(y)\beta(m\alpha k_i(x) + k_i(x)\alpha m)$$

Which implies

$$\sum_{i+j=n} m\alpha k_i(x)\beta k_j(y) + m\alpha k_i(y)\beta k_j(x) + k_j(x)\beta k_j(y)\alpha m + k_i(y)\beta k_j(x)\alpha m$$

$$= \sum_{i+j=n} (m\alpha k_i(x) + k_i(x)\alpha m)\beta k_j(y) + k_j(y)\beta(m\alpha k_i(x) + k_i(x)\alpha m)$$

So, we have

$$\sum_{i+j=n} m\alpha k_i(x)\beta k_j(y) + m\alpha k_i(y)\beta k_j(x) + k_i(x)\beta k_j(y)\alpha m + k_i(y)\beta k_j(x)\alpha m$$

$$= \sum_{i+j=n} m\alpha k_i(x)\beta k_j(y) + k_i(x)\alpha m\beta k_j(y) + k_j(y)\beta m\alpha k_i(x) + k_j(y)\beta k_i(x)\alpha m$$

Which further gives

$$\sum_{i+j=n} m\alpha k_i(y)\beta k_j(x) + k_i(x)\beta k_j(y)\alpha m = \sum_{i+j=n} k_i(x)\alpha m\beta k_j(y) + k_j(y)\beta m\alpha k_i(x)$$

Using the assumption  $x\alpha y\beta z = x\beta y\alpha z$  in the last relation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i+j=n} (m\alpha k_i(y)\beta k_j(x) - k_j(y)\alpha m\beta k_j(x)) - \sum_{i+j=n} k_i(x)\beta m\alpha k_j(y) - k_i(x)\beta k_j(y)\alpha m \\ &= \sum_{i+j=n} (m\alpha k_i(y) - k_i(y)\alpha m)\beta k_j(x) - \sum_{i+j=n} k_i(x)\beta (m\alpha k_j(y) - k_j(y)\alpha m) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Which implies

$$\sum_{i=1}^n m\alpha k_j(y) - k_i(y)\alpha m \in Z(M).$$

The last relation along with lemma 3.7 implies  $m \in Z(M)$ .

**Lemma (3.12):**

Let  $M$  be a semiprime  $\Gamma$ -ring satisfying the assumption  $x\alpha y\beta z = x\beta y\alpha z$  for all  $x, y, z \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . Then every Jordan higher  $K$ -centralizer of  $M$  maps  $Z(M)$  into  $Z(M)$ .

**Proof:**

Let  $m \in Z(M)$ . Then

$$(14) T_n(m\alpha x) = T_n(m\alpha x + x\alpha m) = \sum_{i+j=n} t_i(m)\alpha k_j(x) + k_j(x)\alpha t_i(m)$$

Let  $S_n(x) = 2T_n(m\alpha x)$ . Then

$$S_n(x\beta y + y\beta x) = 2T_n(m\alpha(x\beta y + y\beta x)) = 2T_n(m\alpha x\beta y + m\alpha y\beta x).$$

Since  $m \in Z(M)$  and  $x\alpha y\beta z = x\beta y\alpha z$ , one has

$$S_n(x\beta y + y\beta x) = 2T_n((x\alpha m)\beta y + y\beta(x\alpha m))$$

$$\sum_{i+j=n} 2t_i(x\alpha m)\beta k_j(y) + 2k_j(y)\beta t_i(x\alpha m) = \sum_{i+j=n} S_i(x)\beta k_j(y) + k_j(y)\beta S_i(x).$$

Hence  $S_n$  is a Jordan higher  $K$ -centralizer. So (14) along with lemma 3.11.2013  $T_n(m) \in Z(M)$ .

**Theorem (3.13):**

Every Jordan higher  $K$ -centralizer of a 2-torsion free semiprime  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  satisfying the assumption  $x\alpha y\beta z = x\beta y\alpha z$  for all  $x, y, z \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$  is a higher  $K$ -centralizer.

**Proof:**

Suppose that  $T_n$  is a Jordan higher  $K$ -centralizer, then

$$\begin{aligned} T_n(x\alpha y + y\alpha x) &= \sum_{i+j=n} t_i(x)\alpha k_j(y) + k_i(y)\alpha t_j(x) \\ &= \sum_{i+j=n} k_i(x)\alpha t_j(y) + t_j(y)\alpha k_j(x) \end{aligned}$$

Replacing  $y$  by  $x\beta y + y\beta x$  in the last relation we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i+j=n} t_i(x)\alpha k_j(x\beta y + y\beta x) + k_i(x\beta y + y\beta x)\alpha t_j(x) \\ &= \sum_{i+j=n} t_i(x\beta y + y\beta x)\alpha k_j(x) + k_j(x)\alpha t_i(x\beta y + y\beta x) \\ &= \sum_{r+s+j=n} t_r(x)\beta k_s(y)\alpha k_j(x) + k_r(y)\beta t_s(x)\alpha k_j(x) + k_j(x)\alpha t_r(x)\beta k_s(y) + k_j(x)\alpha k_s(y)\beta t_r(x) \end{aligned}$$

Which implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i+t+u=n} t_i(x)\alpha k_t(x)\beta k_u(y) + t_i(x)\alpha k_t(y)\beta k_u(x) + k_t(x)\beta k_u(y)\alpha t_i(x) + k_t(y)\beta k_u(x)\alpha t_i(x) \\ &= \sum_{r+s+j=n} t_r(x)\beta k_s(y)\alpha k_j(x) + k_s(y)\beta t_r(x)\alpha k_j(x) + k_j(x)\alpha t_r(x)\beta k_s(y) + k_j(x)\alpha k_s(y)\beta t_r(x) \end{aligned}$$

Using the assumption  $x\alpha y\beta z = x\beta y\alpha z$ , from the last relation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i+t+u=n} t_i(x)\alpha k_t(x)\beta k_u(y) + k_t(y)\beta k_u(x)\alpha t_i(x) \\ &= \sum_{r+s+j=n} k_s(y)\beta t_r(x)\alpha k_j(x) + k_j(x)\alpha t_r(x)\beta k_s(y) \end{aligned}$$

So, we have

$$\sum_{i+t+u=n} (t_i(x)\alpha k_t(x) - k_t(x)\alpha t_i(x))\beta k_u(y) = \sum_{i+t+u=n} k_u(y)(t_i(x)\alpha k_t(x) - k_t(x)\alpha t_i(x))$$

That is,  $[t_i(x), k_t(x)]_\alpha \beta k_u(y) = k_u(y)\beta [t_i(x), k_t(x)]_\alpha$ , which implies  $[t_i(x), k_t(x)] \in Z(M)$ .

Now we prove that  $[t_i(x), k_t(x)]_\alpha = 0$ .

Let  $m \in Z(M)$ , lemma 3.12 implies that  $T_n(m) \in Z(M)$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} 2T_n(m\alpha x) &= T_n(m\alpha x + x\alpha m) \\ &= \sum_{i+j=n} t_i(m)\alpha k_j(x) + k_j(x)\alpha t_i(m) \\ &= 2t_i(x)\alpha k_j(m) \end{aligned}$$

Which implies

$$\begin{aligned} (15) \quad T_n(m\alpha x) &= \sum_{i+j=n} t_i(x)\alpha k_j(m) \\ &= \sum_{i+j=n} t_i(m)\alpha k_j(x) \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$[t_i(x), k_t(x)]_\alpha \beta k_u(m) = t_i(x)\alpha k_t(x)\beta k_u(m) - k_t(x)\alpha t_i(x)\beta k_u(m).$$

The last relation along with (15) implies

$$[t_i(x), k_t(x)]_\alpha \beta k_u(m) = 0$$

Since  $[t_i(x), k_t(x)]_\alpha$  itself is a central element one has  $[t_i(x), k_t(x)]_\alpha = 0$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} 2T_n(x\alpha x) &= T_n(x\alpha x + x\alpha x) \\ &= \sum_{i+j=n} t_i(x)\alpha k_j(x) + k_j(x)\alpha t_i(x) \\ &= 2 \sum_{i+j=n} t_i(x)\alpha k_j(x) \\ &= 2 \sum_{i+j=n} k_j(x)\alpha t_i(x) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{That is, } T_n(x\alpha x) = \sum_{i+j=n} k_j(x)\alpha t_i(x).$$

Hence,  $T_n$  is a Jordan left higher K-centralizer. By theorem 3.10,  $T_n$  is a left higher K-centralizer.

Similarly, we can prove that  $T_n$  is a right higher K-centralizer. Therefore  $T_n$  is a higher K-centralizer.

### References:

- [1]. R.Awtar, Lie Ideals and Jordan Derivation of Prime Rings, Proc.Amer.Math.Soc., Vol.90, No.1, pp.9-14, 1984.
- [2]. W.E.Barnes, On the  $\Gamma$ -Rings of Nobusawa, Pacific J.Math., 18 (1966), 411-422.
- [3]. F.J.Jing, On Derivations of  $\Gamma$ -Rings, QuFuShiFan Daxue Xuebeo Ziran Kexue Ban, Vol.13, No.4, pp.159-161, 1987.
- [4]. B.E.Johnson and A.M.Sinclair, Continuity of Derivation and a Problem of Kaplasky, Amer.J.Math., 90(1968), 1067-1078.
- [5]. M.F.Hoque and A.C.Paul, On Centralizers of Semiprime Gamma Rings, International Mathematical Forum, 6(13)(2011), 627-638.
- [6]. A.H.Majeed and S.M.Salih, Jordan Higher Derivation on Prime  $\Gamma$ -Rings, College of Education, Conference, 16<sup>th</sup>, Al-Mustansiriyah Univ., 2009.
- [7]. N.Nobusawa, On a Generalization of the Ring Theory, Osaka J. Math., (1964), 81-89.
- [8]. M.Sapanco and A.Nakajima, Jordan Derivations on Completely Prime Gamma Rings, Math. Japonica, 46(1)(1997), 47-51.
- [9]. S.M.Salih, B.Majid, (U,M) Derivation  $\Gamma$ -Rings, Education College Conference, Al-Mustansiriya University, 2012.
- [10]. Z.Ullah and M.Anwar Chaudhary, on K-Centralizer of Semiprime Gamma Rings, Bahauddin Zakariya Univ.Multan, Pakistan, Vol.6, No.21, 2012.
- [11]. B.Zalar, On Centralizers of Semiprime Ring, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae, 32(1991), 609-614.