

Fixed points of self maps in d_p – complete topological spaces

V.Naga Raju¹ and V.Srinivas²

1,2 Department of Mathematics, College of Engineering, Osmania University, Hyderabad, India-500007

Abstract : The purpose of this paper is to prove some fixed point theorems in d_p - complete topological spaces which generalize the results of Troy L Hicks and B.E.Rhoades[6].

Keywords : d_p - complete topological spaces, d -complete topological spaces, orbitally lower semi continuous and orbitally continuous maps.

I. Introduction

In 1992, Troy L Hicks [5] introduced the notion of d -complete topological spaces as follows:

1.1 Definition: A topological space (X, t) is said to be d - complete if there is a mapping $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$

such that (i) $d(x, y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = y$ and (ii) $\langle x_n \rangle$ is a sequence in X such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1})$ is

convergent implies that $\langle x_n \rangle$ converges in (X, t) .

Troy.L.Hicks and B.E.Rhoades[6] proved the following theorem in d – complete topological spaces .

1.2 Theorem : Let T be a selfmap of a topological space (X, t) and $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that $O_T(u)$ has a cluster point $z \in X$. If

- $G(x) = d(x, Tx)$ is T -orbitally continuous at z and Tz
- T is orbitally continuous at z and
- $d(Tx, T^2x) < d(x, Tx)$ for all $x, Tx \in \overline{O_T(u)}$,then $Tz = z$.

In this paper we introduce d_2 - complete topological spaces as a generalization of d -complete topological spaces. In fact, we define d_p - complete topological spaces for any integer $p \geq 2$. For a non-empty set X , let X^p be its p -fold cartesian product.

1.3 Definition: A topological space (X, t) is said to be d_p - complete if there is a mapping $d_p : X^p \rightarrow [0, \infty)$

such that (i) $d_p(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_p$ and (ii) $\langle x_n \rangle$ is a sequence in X with

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_p(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, \dots, x_{n+p-1}) = 0$ implies that $\langle x_n \rangle$ converges to some point in (X, t) . A d_p - complete topological space is denoted by (X, t, d_p) .

1.4 Remark: The function d in the Definition 1.1 and the function d_2 (the case $p = 2$) in Definition 1.2 are both defined on $X \times X$ and satisfy condition (i) of the definitions which are identical. Since the

convergence of an infinite series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n$ of real numbers implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$, but not conversely; it

follows that every d -complete topological space is d_2 - complete, but not conversely. Therefore the class of d_2 - complete topological spaces is wider than the class of d -complete spaces and hence a separate study of fixed point theorems of self-maps on d_2 - complete topological spaces is meaningful.

The purpose of this paper is to establish fixed point theorems of self-maps of d_p - complete topological spaces for $p \geq 2$.

II. Preliminaries

Let X be a non-empty set. A mapping $d_p : X^p \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ will be called a p -non-negative on X provided $d_p(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_p$.

2.1 Definition: Suppose (X, t) is a topological space and d_p is a p -non negative on X . A sequence $\langle x_n \rangle$ in X is said to be a d_p -Cauchy sequence if $d_p(x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+p-1}) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

In view of Definition 2.1, a topological space (X, t) is d_p -complete if there is a p -non- negative d_p on X such that every d_p -Cauchy sequence in X converges to some point in (X, t) .

If T is a self map of a non-empty set X and $x \in X$, then the orbit of x , $O_T(x)$ is given by $O_T(x) = \{x, Tx, T^2x, \dots\}$. If T is a self map of a topological space X , then a mapping $G : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is said to be T -orbitally lower semi-continuous (resp. T -orbitally continuous) at $x^* \in X$ if $\langle x_n \rangle$ is a sequence in $O_T(x)$ for some $x \in X$ with $x_n \rightarrow x^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ then $G(x^*) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(x_n)$

(resp. $G(x^*) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(x_n)$). A self map T of topological space X is said to be w -continuous at $x \in X$ if $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ implies $Tx_n \rightarrow Tx$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

If d_p is a p -non-negative on a non-empty set X , and $T : X \rightarrow X$ then we write, for simplicity of notation, that

(2.2) $G_p(x) := d_p(x, Tx, T^2x, \dots, T^{p-1}x)$ for $x \in X$

Clearly we have

(2.3) $G_p(x) = 0$ if and only if x is a fixed point of T .

III. Main results

3.1 Theorem: Suppose T is a self-map of a topological space (X, t) and d_p is a p -non-negative on X .

Suppose that there is a $u \in X$ such that $O_T(u)$ has a cluster point $z \in X$. If

- a) $G_p(x)$ is T -orbitally continuous at z and Tz
 - b) T is orbitally continuous at z , and
 - c) $G_p(Tx) < G_p(x)$ for all $x, Tx \in \overline{O_T(u)}$ (the closure of $O_T(u)$),
- then $Tz = z$.

Proof: Let $a_i = G_p(T^i u)$ for $i \geq 1$. Then, by (c), we get $a_{i+1} < a_i$ and therefore $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_i = \alpha$ exists and

in fact, $\alpha = \inf_i a_i$. Since z is a cluster point of $O_T(u)$, there is a sequence $\langle T^{i_k} u \rangle$ in $O_T(u)$ such that

$T^{i_k} u \rightarrow z$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, by (a), we have

(3.2) $G_p(z) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_{i_k}$

Also, it follows from (b) that $T^{i_k+1} u = T(T^{i_k} u) \rightarrow Tz$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and since $G_p(x)$ is T -orbitally continuous at Tz we get

(3.3) $G_p(Tz) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_{i_k+1}$

Now (3.2) and (3.3) imply that $G_p(Tz) = G_p(z)$ which forces $Tz = z$ (For if $Tz \neq z$, then (c) gives $G_p(Tz) < G_p(z)$).

IV. Consequences

To present certain consequences of the main result, we introduce some notations:

If d_p is a p -non-negative on a non-empty set X and T is a self-map of X , then for any

$x, y \in X$ we write

(4.1) $H_p(x, y) = d_p(x, y, Ty, T^2y, \dots, T^{p-2}y)$

(4.2) $E_p(x, y) = d_p(x, y, y, \dots, y)$

Clearly

(4.3) $H_p(x, Tx) = G_p(x)$ and $E_p(x, x) = 0$.

4.4 Theorem: Let T be a self-map of a topological space (X, t) and d_p be a p -non- negative on X . Suppose that there is a $u \in X$ such that $O_T(u)$ has a cluster point $z \in X$. If

a) $G_p(x)$ is T -orbitally continuous at z and Tz

b) T is orbitally continuous at z , and

c) $H_p(Tx, Ty) \leq \frac{M_1(x)}{M_2(x)}$, where

$M_1(x) = \max \{H_p(x, y), H_p(x, Ty), H_p(x, y), E_p(y, Tx), G_p(x), H_p(x, Ty), G_p(y), E_p(y, Tx)\}$
 and $M_2(x) = \max \{H_p(x, Ty), E_p(y, Tx)\}$ for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq Ty$ or $y \neq Tx$, then $Tz = z$.

Proof : Taking $y = Tx$ in the inequality of the theorem and using (4.3), we get

$$G_p(Tx) \leq \frac{\max \{G_p(x), H_p(x, T^2x), G_p(x), H_p(x, T^2x)\}}{H_p(x, T^2x)}$$

$$\Rightarrow G_p(Tx) \leq \frac{G_p(x) \cdot H_p(x, T^2x)}{H_p(x, T^2x)}$$

$$\Rightarrow G_p(Tx) \leq G_p(x)$$

and therefore the theorem follows from Theorem 3.1.

4.5 Remark: Note that, the result of Hicks and Rhoades ([6], Corollary 3, pp.849) is a particular case of Theorem 4.4 and the corresponding result for metric spaces has been proved by Achari ([1], Theorem 1).

4.6 Theorem: Let T be a self-map of a topological space (X, t) and d_p be a p -non-negative on X . Suppose that there is a $u \in X$ such that $O_T(u)$ has a cluster point $z \in X$. If

a) $G_p(x)$ is T -orbitally continuous at z and Tz

b) T is orbitally continuous at z , and

c) $H_p(Tx, Ty) < \max \left\{ H_p(x, y), \frac{G_p(x) \cdot G_p(y)}{H_p(x, y)}, A(x, y) \cdot H_p(x, y) \cdot E_p(y, Tx) \right\}$

for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$, where $A(x, y) = a(x, y, Ty, \dots, T^{p-2}y)$ and $a: X^p \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. Then $Tz = z$.

Proof: Taking $y = Tx$ in the inequality of the theorem and using (4.3), we get

$$G_p(Tx) < \max \left\{ G_p(x), \frac{G_p(x) \cdot G_p(Tx)}{G_p(x)} \right\}$$

$$G_p(Tx) < \max \{G_p(x), G_p(Tx)\}$$

which implies $G_p(Tx) < G_p(x)$ and therefore the theorem follows from Theorem 3.1.

4.7 Remark: Note that, the result of Hicks and Rhoades ([6], Corollary 4, pp.849) is a particular case of Theorem 4.6 and the corresponding result for metric spaces has been first proved by L. B. Ćirić ([2], Theorem 2).

4.8 Theorem: Let T be a self-map of a topological space (X, t) and d_p be a p -non-negative on X . Suppose that there is a $u \in X$ such that $O_T(u)$ has a cluster point $z \in X$. If

a) $G_p(x)$ is T -orbitally continuous at z and Tz

b) T is orbitally continuous at z and

c) $H_p(Tx, Ty)H_p(x, y) < H_p(x, y) \{ a_1 H_p(x, y) + a_2 G_p(x) + a_3 G_p(y) + a_4 E_p(y, Tx) \} + a_5 G_p(x)G_p(y)$.

for all $x, y \in X$, where $a_i \geq 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^5 a_i = 1$. Then $Tz = z$ and z is unique.

Proof: Taking $y = Tx$ in the inequality of the theorem and using (4.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_p(Tx)G_p(x) &< G_p(x)\{a_1G_p(x) + a_2G_p(x) + a_3G_p(Tx)\} + a_5G_p(x)G_p(Tx) \\
 \Rightarrow G_p(Tx)G_p(x) &< a_1[G_p(x)]^2 + a_2[G_p(x)]^2 + a_3G_p(x)G_p(Tx) + a_5G_p(x)G_p(Tx) \\
 \Rightarrow G_p(Tx)G_p(x) &< (a_1 + a_2)[G_p(x)]^2 + (a_3 + a_5)G_p(x)G_p(Tx) \\
 \Rightarrow (1 - a_3 - a_5)G_p(Tx) &< (a_1 + a_2)G_p(x) \\
 \Rightarrow G_p(Tx) &< \frac{a_1 + a_2}{1 - a_3 - a_5} \cdot G_p(x) \\
 \Rightarrow G_p(Tx) &< \frac{a_1 + a_2}{a_1 + a_2 + a_4} \cdot G_p(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

which gives $G_p(Tx) < G_p(x)$ and therefore the theorem follows from Theorem 3.1.

4.9 Remark: Note that, the result of Hicks and Rhoades ([6], Corollary 5, pp.849) is a particular case of Theorem 4.8 and the corresponding result for metric spaces has been first proved by K.M. Ghosh ([4], Theorem 2).

References

- [1] J. Achari, *Results on fixed point theorems*, Maths. Vesnik 2 (15) (30) (1978), 219-221.
- [2] Ciric, B. Ljubomir, *A certain class of maps and fixed point theorems*, Publ. L'Inst. Math. (Beograd) 20 (1976), 73-77.
- [3] B. Fisher, *Fixed point and constant mappings on metric spaces*, Rend. Accad. Lincei 61(1976), 329 – 332.
- [4] K.M. Ghosh, *An extension of contractive mappings*, JASSY 23(1977), 39-42.
- [5] Troy. L. Hicks, *Fixed point theorems for d-complete topological spaces I*, Internet. J. Math & Math. Sci. 15 (1992), 435-440.
- [6] Troy. L. Hicks and B.E. Rhoades, *Fixed point theorems for d-complete topological spaces II*, Math. Japonica 37, No. 5(1992), 847-853.
- [7] K. Iseki, *An approach to fixed point theorems*, Math. Seminar Notes, 3(1975), 193-202.
- [8] S. Kasahara, *On some generalizations of the Banach contraction theorem*, Math. Seminar Notes, 3(1975), 161-169.
- [9] S. Kasahara, *Some fixed point and coincidence theorems in L-Spaces*, Math. Seminar Notes, 3(1975), 181-187.
- [10] M.S. Khan, *Some fixed point theorems IV*, Bull. Math. Roumanie 24 (1980), 43-47.