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Abstract: At micro-level operations, to make precise microscopic movements, there is need of an alternative mechanism other than conventional mechanism like compliant mechanism. It is rapidly increasing field where a device that uses the compliance of its structure to achieve mechanical tasks such as force and motion transmission. Due to lack of available space, the new mechanisms should be compact without compromise in their accurate & precise performance. Unlike conventional mechanisms, compliant mechanism is monolithic, joint-free & elastic continua. This paper chiefly focuses on the results of variation of parameters within the design of a compliant micro-gripper that uses semi-circular hinge to transmit motion. According to the requirement of an application, the various dimensions of a micro-gripper can be varied. PRBM (Pseudo Rigid Body Model) approach is used to design the micro-gripper. This paper contains analysis work using FEA software (ANSYS).
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I. INTRODUCTION

There is an increasing demand, in a very sort of fields, for the flexibility to create microscopic movements or to position things with high degree of accuracy [1]. Compliant mechanisms represent a more recent design discipline of light-weight structures. Themajorcriteriatofordesignoflight-weightstructuresarestiffness, stability and in some cases an additional strength. Generally, the structures are designed to not deflect over a definite value below the load using as less material as possible [2]. Ease of manufacture, reduced assembly expenditure, reduced friction, wear, noise, and therefore the ability to accommodate unconventional actuation schemes are a number of the various benefits of compliant mechanisms [3]. In general, the stiffest structure has been considered optimal. Most structural optimization problems are developed by minimizing the compliance of a structure as an objective function. However, it is doable that higher performance is often obtained with a flexible structure rather than the stiffest structure if flexibility is with efficiency enforced within the structure. Moreover, flexible components can offer mechanical function to the structure. An example of structure with mechanical function is a compliant mechanism. Compliant mechanisms are a comparatively new breed of joint-less mechanisms that utilize elastic deformation as source of motion. They are designed to be purposely flexible, and this flexibility permits the structure to perform as a mechanism. As noted by Midha.[4] compliant mechanisms are desirable since they need fewer components, and have less wear, noise, and backlash than their rigid-body counterparts. Hence, the design of compliant mechanisms is an example of how flexible structures can give higher performance than stiff structures [4]. M.R. Arvind et.al [5] developed a micro-gripper by considering the 2D-Flexure hinge parameters of circular and elliptical hinges. The finished the results of parameters and position of hinge on the stiffness and output displacement of gripper. Using PRBM approach, a micro-gripper was planned by Lin and Shih [8] and counter-link lengths were optimized. Krishnan and Saggere [6] explained micro category gripper for manipulation of complicated shaped-small sized objects for any position and projected rotational flexures idea with obtained a most geometrical advantage of 11.56. Zubir & Shirinzadeh [7] developed a high precision parallel jaw motion micro-gripper by cantilever beam approach and using PRBM approach and attended maximum jaw displacement of 100 micron and amplification factor of 2.85 and compared results using FEM, they have additionally done optimization of rigid links. Flexural hinges design depends on capability of rotation, precision of rotation, stress levels, energy consumption and energy storage that is incredibly important. Nah & Zhong [8] designed and invented a micro-gripper tested using piezoelectric actuator for wire and gear of varied displacement modes, with 170 microns stoke and amplification factor 3 mm. Paros & Weisbord firstly introduced the right circular flexure hinges [9]. They formulated simplified design equations to find compliance of flexure hinges. Many other research groups had derived compliance equations for circular hinge & FEA results to develop empirical formula [10]. Using Casigliano’s 2nd theorem for symmetric conic structure, Lobotniu derived closed form compliance equations [11].
II. METHODOLOGY AND GEOMETRIC MODELLING

The PRBM (Pseudo Rigid Body Model) is a method which is used to simplify the analysis & design of compliant mechanisms. Though there are various methods available to design a compliant micro-gripper, PRBM approach is used to design a micro-gripper. In PRBM method, flexible links are replaced by rigid links and rotational springs corresponding to the bending of these links. The PRBM is a bridge that connects rigid-body mechanism theory and compliant mechanism theory [12]. There are various types of hinges those can be used in compliant mechanism. The main types of them are rectangular hinge, semi-circular hinge, elliptical hinge. The type of hinge selection depends upon the application for which the mechanism is designed. In this paper, semi-circular hinge is selected.

Using a single, monolithic piece of a metal, the gripper is designed using semi-circular flexure hinges as shown in Fig. 1. This monolithic design helps to overcome the disadvantages of conventional linkages & assembly. These semi-circular hinges offer desired motion to the gripping arms. The overall motion is transferred by elastic deformation of semi-circular hinges. For micro-gripper design, dimensional constraints considered are (70 x 90 mm). The distance between 2 tips of gripping arms is kept 1 mm. Initially, other dimensions (Fig. 2.) are considered as; Hinge radius \( r \) = 2.5 mm, overall thickness \( b \) = 2 mm, web thickness \( t \) = 1 mm, input link \( h \) = 20 mm.

![Fig.1. The modeled micro-gripper using CATIA V5](image1.png)

![Fig.2. The generalized semi-circular hinge](image2.png)

III. PRBM ANALYSIS

The model as shown in Fig.1 is analyzed by using equation 1 to obtain displacement at the tip of the gripping arms [13].

\[
X = \frac{1}{N} \frac{F h^2}{2 \pi} \frac{9 \pi r^{0.5}}{2E b^2 t^3}
\]

Input Force \( F \), No. of hinges \( N \) = 8, Elasticity \( E \) = 200 GPa, Poisson’s ratio = 0.3, Mass density = 7,850 kg/m³.

By varying different parameters like hinge radius \( r \), web thickness \( t \), overall thickness \( b \) and number of hinges used \( N \), 4 models were designed & compared. All the designs are analyzed by PRBM method as well as FEA (ANSYS). Both the results are compared.

IV. FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS

The models are saved in the format of .igs in CATIA V5. Those models are imported back into ANSYS WORKBENCH for the purpose of meshing and analysis. Simple type of meshing (Proximity & curvature) is done. Size for meshing is chosen as fine (Fig.3.) After meshing, displacement analysis is carried out. Two ends named as O (Fig.1.) are fixed & force is applied at the input link. The deformed & undeformed shapes formed in the model are shown in the Fig.4. Fig.5 shows the minimum & maximum von Mises stresses developed. The maximum stresses developed near the semi-circular hinge area.
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Fig.3. meshing in ANSYS workbench

Fig.4. Deformed & undeformed shape

Fig.5. von Mises stresses developed

V. COMPARISON OF PRBM & FEA RESULTS

The displacement given by PRBM at various input forces are compared with the results after FEA

Table 1 – Comparison of variation in results of Change in hinge radius

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>F (N)</th>
<th>Hinge Radius (r) (mm)</th>
<th>Overall Thickness (b) (mm)</th>
<th>Web thickness (t) (mm)</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Displacement by PRBM (mm)</th>
<th>Displacement by FEA (mm)</th>
<th>Von Mises stress in FEA (MPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.021632056</td>
<td>0.015026</td>
<td>33.285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.024978547</td>
<td>0.020357</td>
<td>39.924</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.027926865</td>
<td>0.026709</td>
<td>49.093</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 – Comparison of variation in results of Change in overall thickness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>F (N)</th>
<th>Hinge Radius (r) (mm)</th>
<th>Overall Thickness (b) (mm)</th>
<th>Web thickness (t) (mm)</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Displacement by PRBM (mm)</th>
<th>Displacement by FEA (mm)</th>
<th>Von Mises stress in FEA (MPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.027926865</td>
<td>0.026709</td>
<td>49.093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.01861791</td>
<td>0.017486</td>
<td>31.301</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>F (N)</th>
<th>Hinge Radius (r) (mm)</th>
<th>Overall Thickness (b) (mm)</th>
<th>Web thickness (t) (mm)</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Displacement by PRBM (mm)</th>
<th>Displacement by FEA (mm)</th>
<th>Von Misssstress in FEA (MPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.048786225</td>
<td>0.044635</td>
<td>71.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.036342593</td>
<td>0.03415</td>
<td>57.533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.027926865</td>
<td>0.026709</td>
<td>49.093</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 – Comparison of variation in results of Change in web thickness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>F (N)</th>
<th>Hinge Radius (r) (mm)</th>
<th>Overall Thickness (b) (mm)</th>
<th>Web thickness (t) (mm)</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Displacement by PRBM (mm)</th>
<th>Displacement by FEA (mm)</th>
<th>Von Misssstress in FEA (MPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.037235819</td>
<td>0.20735</td>
<td>144.420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.027926865</td>
<td>0.026709</td>
<td>49.093</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 – Comparison of variation in results of Change in number of hinges

VI. CONCLUSION

A compliant micro-gripper having semi-circular flexure hinges were designed and analyzed using PRBM method & FEA. All different configurations were analyzed and compared with each other. Some of major observations are;
As radius of a semi-circular hinge (r) is increased, the deformation at the tips increases. Also the increase in (r) causes increase in stresses.

- Overall thickness (b) is inversely proportional to the displacement. But stresses reduce due to increase in material thickness.
- If web thickness (t) is reduced, more deflection is obtained at the cost of increase in stresses.
- Number of hinges plays vital role in design of compliant mechanism. It decides the distribution of force and stresses throughout the mechanism. If numbers of hinges are increased, the deformation reduces and stresses also get reduced.
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