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ABSTRACT: Leaving the waste materials to the environment directly can cause environmental problem. Hence the reuse of waste material has been emphasized. Waste can be used to produce new products or can be used as admixtures so that natural resources are used more efficiently and the environment is protected from waste deposits. Marble stone industry generates both solid waste and stone slurry. Whereas solid waste results from the rejects at the mine sites or at the processing units, stone slurry is a semi liquid substance consisting of particles originating from the sawing and the polishing processes and water used to cool and lubricate the sawing and polishing machines. Stone slurry generated during processing corresponds to around 40% of the final product from stone industry. This is relevant because the stone industry presents an annual output of 68 million tones of processed products. Therefore the scientific and industrial community must commit towards more sustainable practices. There are several reuse and recycling solutions for this industrial by-product, both at an experimental phase and in practical applications. These industrial wastes are dumped in the nearby land and the natural fertility of the soil is spoiled. The physical, chemical and mechanical properties of the waste are analyzed.

In this project our main objective is to study the influence of partial replacement of cement with marble powder, and to compare it with the compressive strength of ordinary M30 concrete. We are also trying to find the percentage of marble powder & silica fume replaced in concrete that makes the strength of the concrete maximum. Now a day’s marble powder has become a pollutant. So, by partially replacing cement with marble powder, we are proposing a method that can be of great use in reducing pollution to a great extent.

In this investigation a series of compression tests were conducted on 150mm, cube and 150mm x 300mm, cylindrical specimens using a modified test method that gave the complete compressive strength, using silica fume of constant 8% with and without marble powder of volume fractions 0, 8, 12, & 16% on Ordinary Portland cement concrete.
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I. Introduction

1.1 Influence of Marble Dust (Powder) in Concrete.

The advancement of concrete technology can reduce the consumption of natural resources and energy sources and lessen the burden of pollutants on environment. Presently large amounts of marble dust are generated in natural stone processing plants with an important impact on environment and humans. This project describes the feasibility of using the marble dust in concrete production as partial replacement of cement. In INDIA, the marble and granite stone processing is one of the most thriving industry the effects if varying marble dust contents on the physical and mechanical properties of fresh and hardened concrete have been investigated. Slump and air content of fresh concrete and absorption and compressive strength of hardened concrete were also investigated.

1.2 Application of Silica Fume in Concrete.

The American concrete institute (ACI) defines silica fume as a “very fine noncrystalline silica produced in electric arc furnaces as a byproduct of production of elemental silicon or alloys containing silicon”. Silica fume is also known as micro silica, condensed silica fume, volatized silica or silica dust. It is usually a grey colored powder, somewhat similar to Portland cement or some fly ashes. It can exhibit both pozzolanic and cementitious properties. Silica fume has been recognized as a pozzolanic admixture that is effective in enhancing the mechanical properties to a great extent. Addition of silica fume to concrete improves the durability of concrete, compressive strength of concrete, abrasion resistance, reduces permeability and also in protecting the embedded steel from corrosion.
1.3 Literature Review

The effects of silica fume on compressive strengths on high strength lightweight concrete was done by H. Katkhuda, B. Hanayneh and N. Shatarat. They carried out by replacing cement with different percentages of silica fume at different constant water-binder ratio keeping other mix design variables constant. The silica fume was replaced by 0%, and 8% for a water-binder ratios ranging from 0.26 to 0.42. For all mixes, compressive strength were determined at 7& 28 days. The results showed that the compressive strengths increased with silica fume incorporation but the optimum replacement percentage is not constant because it depends on the water–cementitious material (w/c) ratio of the mix. Based on the results, a relationship between compressive strengths of silica fume concrete was developed using statistical methods.

Quarrying of raw materials (limestone and clay) for the production of cement is becoming the source of environmental degradation. To produce one ton of Portland cement, 1.6 tons of raw materials are needed and the extraction of raw materials from the earth is 20% faster than the earth replenish it, so raw materials consumed in 12 months will take 14.4 months to be filled back [5]. Many researchers from the world are working on this serious issue created by cement and one of the solutions is the introduction of polymeric cement by the development of inorganic alumina-silicate polymer [4]. A binder can be obtained by the reaction of industrial by-products such as fly-ash (FA) [6], [7], silica fume (SF) [8] with the alkaline liquid. In 1979, Joseph Davidovits created and applied the term geopolymer because polymerization process takes place, in which Si and Al present in the source material (FA/SF), reacts with the alkaline liquid to produce binders. Portland cement [4] that will ultimately lead to the decrease in global warming and depletion of ozone layer.

II. Materials Used

2.1. Ordinary Portland Cement
IS: 8112-1989 for 43 Grade. The properties of cement tested were Fineness (90µSieve) = 8%. Normal consistency = 27.5%, Initial & Final setting time = 185 minute & 275 minute and 28 days Compressive strength = 55.5Mpa.

2.2. Silica Fume
Silica fume having fineness by residue on 45 micron sieve = 0.7 %, specific gravity = 2.27, Moisture Content =0.72% were used. The chemical analysis of silica fume (Grade 920-D): silicon dioxide = 86.7%, LOI at 975[degrees] C = 1.7% and carbon = 0.92%, are conforming to ASTM C1240-1999 standards.

2.3. Fine Aggregate
Locally available river sand passing through 4.75 mm IS sieve, conforming to grading zone-II of IS: 383-1970 was used. The physical Properties of sand like Fineness Modulus, Specific Gravity, water absorption, Bulk Density, & Moisture Content were 2.473, 2.60, and 1.5%, 2492 Kg / m³ & 0.8%.

2.4. Course Aggregate
Crushed natural rock stone aggregate of maximum nominal size up to 20mm (A1) and aggregate passing 10mm (A2) were used. The combined specific gravity, Bulk Density and water absorption of 20mm & 10mm were 2.91, 2492 kg/m3, 1.0% & 1.5% @ 24hrs.Fineness modulus of 20mm & 10mm aggregate were 2.810.

2.5. Marble Powder
Marble Powder collected in marble shops at Gangabai ghat Rd Area, Nagpur. It was used in project. It conforms IS: 4031-1988 (Part-11) and has a specific gravity of 2.813

Sulphonated Naphthalene formaldehydecondensates CAC-35U. Super plasticizer was used. It conforms to IS: 9103-1999 [16] and has a specific gravity of 1.22.

2.7. Water.
Water conforming to as per IS: 456-2000[19] was used for mixing as well as curing of Concrete specimens.

III. Experimental Set-up
Experimental investigation is carried out to study the properties of M30 grade of concrete. Silica Fume of 8% with addition of marble powder with various percentage as 0%, 8%, 12% & 16% by the volume of concrete. The mix proportion was (1:2.03:2.7) of cement 400 Kg/ m³ with W/C Ratio 0.43 and ratio of course aggregate A1:A2 was 70:30. The 150 X 150 X 150 mm cubes and 150 X 300 mm Cylinder were casted. The compressive strength was carried out at the age of 7 and 28 days, at various % of marble powder and 8% of silica fume.
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IV. Test Results and Discussions

4.1 Workability
The workability of silica fume with and without marble powder has found to decrease than normal concrete. It appeared that the addition of super plasticizer improves the workability. Super plasticizer was added range of 0.25% to 1.05% by weight of cementations materials for maintaining the slump up to 35 mm.

4.2 Compressive Strength ($f_c$) (Cube & Cylinder)

1) Effect of Marble Powder.
a) Compressive strength of marble powder (Cube & Cylinder) for 8%, 12% & 16% replacement with OPC cement at 7 and 28 days is marginally decreased. Showed Table 1. & Figure 1 & 4.

2. Effect of Silica Fume.
a) Compressive strength of Silica Fume (Cube & Cylinder) for 8% replacement with OPC cement at 7 and 28 days is increased by 3.65%, 8.58% & 7.30%, 6.05%. Showed Table 1. & Figure 2 & 5.

a) Compressive strength of 8% silica fume with 8% marble powder (Cube & Cylinder) replacement with OPC cement at 7 and 28 days is increased by 1.64%, 3.92%, 2.79%, 1.78%. And,
b) Compressive strength of 8% silica fume with 12% marble powder (Cube & Cylinder) replacement with OPC cement at 7 and 28 days is decreased by 6.35%, 5.06%, 10.30%, 20.76%. And,
c) Compressive strength of 8% silica fume with 16% marble powder (Cube & Cylinder) replacement with OPC cement at 7 and 28 days is decreased by 12.18%, 14.79%, 20.83%, 31.95%. Showed Table 1. & Figure 3 & 6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. N</th>
<th>Age of Curing</th>
<th>Marble Powder</th>
<th>Silica Fume</th>
<th>Comp. Strength of Cube (Mpa)</th>
<th>Increasing strength of (%) error</th>
<th>Comp. strength of Cylinder (Mpa)</th>
<th>Increasing strength of (%) error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>34.81</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>30.43</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>36.08</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>32.65</td>
<td>7.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>35.38</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>31.28</td>
<td>2.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>32.60</td>
<td>-6.35</td>
<td>28.89</td>
<td>-5.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>30.57</td>
<td>-12.18</td>
<td>25.93</td>
<td>-14.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>45.13</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>44.32</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>49.0</td>
<td>8.58</td>
<td>47.00</td>
<td>6.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>46.90</td>
<td>3.92</td>
<td>45.11</td>
<td>1.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>40.48</td>
<td>-10.30</td>
<td>35.12</td>
<td>-20.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>35.73</td>
<td>-20.83</td>
<td>30.16</td>
<td>-31.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1:- Experimental results of compressive strength of cube & cylinder at 7 days & 28 days of age.

Figure 1: Compressive strength (Cubes) at 7, 28 days for 0%, 8%, 12%, and 16% of Marble Powder.
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Figure 2: Compressive strength (Cube) at 7, 28 days for 0% and 8% of Silica Fume.

Figure 3: Compressive strength (Cube) at 7, 28 days for 8% Silica Fume with 0%, 8%, 12%, & 16% Marble Powder.

Figure 4: Compressive strength (Cylinder) at 7, 28 days for 0%, 8%, 12%, and 16% of Marble Powder.

Figure 5: Compressive strength (Cylinder) at 7, 28 days for 0% and 8% of Silica Fume.
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Figure 6: Compressive strength (Cylinder) at 7, 28 days for 8% Silica Fume with 0%, 8%, 12%, & 16% Marble Powder.

Figure 7: Relationship between Compressive strength of Cube & Compressive strength of Cylinder at 7 days of 8% Silica Fume & 0%, 8%, 12%, & 16% Marble Powder.

Figure 8: Relationship between Compressive strength of Cube & Compressive strength of Cylinder at 28 days of 8% Silica Fume & 0%, 8%, 12%, & 16% Marble Powder. Compressive strength of Cube and Cylinder at 28 days of 8% Silica Fume & 0%, 8%, 12%, & 16% Marble Powder. Cube and Compressive strength of cylinder at 7 days of 8% Silica Fume.

Figure 13: Showed Marble Powder.

Figure 14: Showed Silica Fume

V. Conclusions

Following conclusions were obtained base on the experimental investigations.
The workability of concrete is decreases, with addition of silica fume & silica fume with marble powder by the replacement of cement.

2. The compressive strength of cube & cylinder is marginally decreases, by using 8%, 12% & 16% replacement of OPC cement with marble powder in concrete.

3. The compressive strength of cube is increased up to 3.65%, 8.58% at 7 days & 28 days of curing by using 8% replacement of OPC cement with silica fume.

4. The compressive strength of cylinder is increased up to 7.30%, 6.05% at 7 days & 28 days of curing by using 8% replacement of OPC cement with silica fume.

5. The compressive strength of cube & cylinder is marginally decreases by using 12% marble powder & 8% silica fume at 7 & 28 days of curing as shown in figure 3. & table 1.

6. For other combination, the compressive strength of cube & cylinder is marginally decreases by using 16% marble powder & 8% silica fume at 7 & 28 days of curing as shown in figure 3. & table 1.

7. The optimum result of compressive strength of cube is found at 8% silica fume and 8% marble powder with replacement of OPC cement in concrete that is 1.64%, 3.92% at 7 & 28 days of curing.

8. The optimum result of compressive strength of cylinder is found at 8% silica fume and 8% marble powder with replacement of OPC cement in concrete that is 2.79%, 1.78% at 7 & 28 days of curing.

VI. Acknowledgement

The authors would like to express their sincere appreciation for providing marble powder by Kohinur, Taj, Newraj Marble shops At Gangabai Ghat Rd Area, Nagpur and Super plasticizer by Black cat Enterprises (p) Ltd. Nagpur.

References


[18] IS 650:1966 “Specification for standard sand for testing of cement” (first revision)


[20] IS 3535:1986”Methods of sampling hydraulic cements” (first revision)

[21] IS 4031 (Part 1013)”Methods of physical test for hydraulic cement” (first revision)

[22] IS 4032:1948(Part 1 to13) “Methods of chemical analysis of hydraulic cement” (first revision)

[23] IS: 13311-Part 1 “Velocity Criterion for Concrete Quality Grading”